

#### **Financial Statements and Federal Single Audit Report**

#### **Seattle School District No. 1**

**King County** 

For the period September 1, 2015 through August 31, 2016

Published May 25, 2017 Report No. 1019237





#### Office of the Washington State Auditor Pat McCarthy

May 25, 2017

Board of Directors Seattle School District No. 1 Seattle, Washington

#### **Report on Financial Statements and Federal Single Audit**

Please find attached our report on Seattle School District No. 1's financial statements and compliance with federal laws and regulations.

We are issuing this report in order to provide information on the District's financial condition.

Sincerely,

Tat Marchy

Pat McCarthy State Auditor Olympia, WA

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

Schedule Of Findings And Questioned Costs	.4
Schedule Of Federal Award Findings And Questioned Costs	. 6
Summary Schedule Of Prior Audit Findings	13
Independent Auditor's Report On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting And On Compliance And Other Matters Based On An Audit Of Financial Statements Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards	15
Independent Auditor's Report On Compliance For Each Major Federal Program And Report On Internal Control Over Compliance In Accordance With The Uniform Guidance	17
Independent Auditor's Report On Financial Statements	20
Financial Section	23
Corrective Action Plan For Findings Reported Under Uniform Guidance	60
About The State Auditor's Office	61

#### SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

#### Seattle School District No. 1 King County September 1, 2015 through August 31, 2016

#### **SECTION I – SUMMARY OF AUDITOR'S RESULTS**

The results of our audit of Seattle School District No. 1 are summarized below in accordance with Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards (Uniform Guidance).

#### **Financial Statements**

We issued an unmodified opinion on the fair presentation of the District's financial statements in accordance with its regulatory basis of accounting. Separately, we issued an unmodified opinion on the fair presentation with regard to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP).

Internal Control over Financial Reporting:

- *Significant Deficiencies:* We reported no deficiencies in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be significant deficiencies.
- *Material Weaknesses:* We identified no deficiencies that we consider to be material weaknesses.

We noted no instances of noncompliance that were material to the financial statements of the District.

#### **Federal Awards**

Internal Control over Major Programs:

• *Significant Deficiencies:* We reported no deficiencies in the design or operation of internal control over major federal programs that we consider to be significant deficiencies.

• *Material Weaknesses:* We identified deficiencies that we consider to be material weaknesses.

We issued an unmodified opinion on the District's compliance with requirements applicable to each of its major federal programs.

We reported findings that are required to be disclosed in accordance with 2 CFR 200.516(a).

#### **Identification of Major Federal Programs:**

The following programs were selected as major programs in our audit of compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance.

<u>CFDA No.</u>	Program or Cluster Title
10.553	Child Nutrition Cluster – School Breakfast Program
10.555	Child Nutrition Cluster – National School Lunch Program
84.377	School Improvement Grants
93.600	Head Start

The dollar threshold used to distinguish between Type A and Type B programs, as prescribed by the Uniform Guidance, was \$1,539,628.

The District qualified as a low-risk auditee under the Uniform Guidance.

#### **SECTION II – FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS**

None reported.

#### SECTION III – FEDERAL AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

See finding 2016-001.

#### SCHEDULE OF FEDERAL AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

#### Seattle School District No. 1 King County September 1, 2015 through August 31, 2016

2016-001 The District did not have adequate internal controls to ensure compliance with procurement requirements for its School Improvement Grant.

CFDA Number and Title:	84.377 – School Improvement Grants
Federal Grantor Name:	U.S. Department of Education
Federal Award/Contract Number:	NA
Pass-through Entity Name:	Office of Superintendent of Public
	Instruction
Pass-through Award/Contract	GR0225361
Number:	
Questioned Cost Amount:	\$0

#### **Description of Condition**

The District spent \$1,155,391 in its School Improvement Grant in the 2015-2016 school year. This grant is designed to provide funding to local education agencies that demonstrate the greatest needs for the funds and the strongest commitment to ensure the funds are used to substantially raise student achievement in the persistently lowest-achieving schools in the state.

The District is required to use a competitive process to procure personal services to ensure it receives the best price from the lowest responsible bidder. During this process, the District can declare a contractor as the sole source of supply if required conditions are met. If the sole-source exception to competition is used, the District must keep documentation of how it arrived at this decision before ratifying the contract.

The District paid two contractors for three contracts totaling \$157,000 to provide tutoring services and staffing to provide academic support for student development. The District did not follow proper procedures to procure the services as federal regulations require, and did not maintain adequate documentation to demonstrate these services were only available through a single source.

We consider this control deficiency to be a material weakness. This issue was not reported as a finding in the prior audit.

#### Cause of Condition

Staff at the school building level procured personal service contracts that were paid for with federal funds. The District did not provide training or guidance to staff about federal procurement requirements. Also, the District did not monitor procurement procedures at the school building level to ensure compliance with federal requirements.

#### Effect of Condition and Questioned Costs

The District cannot ensure it received the best possible price for the services provided. The purchase is allowable under the federal program; therefore, we are not questioning costs.

#### **Recommendation**

We recommend the District establish and follow internal controls to ensure the District:

- Retains sufficient documentation to demonstrate compliance with federal procurement requirements, including sole-source exceptions, for services.
- Monitors services procured at the building level are to ensure compliance with federal requirements.
- Provides adequate training and guidance to grant staff about federal requirements.

#### District's Response

The District concurs with this finding. The District recently updated procedures, including documentation requirements for sole-source vendors. Increased monitoring activities were implemented during the 2016-17 school year and procurement training has been created for staff.

#### Auditor's Remarks

We thank the District for its assistance during the audit and will follow up on corrective actions taken during the next audit.

#### Applicable Laws and Regulations

Title 2 Code of Federal Regulations, Section § 200.303 – Internal controls, states in part:

The non-Federal entity must:

(a) Establish and maintain effective internal control over the Federal award that provides reasonable assurance that the non-Federal entity is managing the Federal award in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the Federal award. These internal controls should be in compliance with guidance in, "Standards for Internal Control in the Federal Government," issued by the Comptroller General of the United States or the "Internal Control Integrated Framework", issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO).

(b) Comply with Federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the Federal awards.

Title 2 Code of Federal Regulations, Section 200.516 – Audit findings, states in part:

(a) Audit findings reported. The auditor must report the following as audit findings in a schedule of findings and questioned costs:

- (1) Significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in internal control over major programs and significant instances of abuse relating to major programs. The auditor's determination of whether a deficiency in internal control is a significant deficiency or material weakness, for the purpose of reporting an audit finding, is in relation to a type of compliance requirement for a major program identified in the Compliance Supplement.
- (2) Material noncompliance with the provisions of Federal statutes, regulations, or the terms and conditions of Federal awards related to a major program. The auditor's determination of whether a noncompliance with the provisions of Federal statutes, regulations, or

the terms and conditions of Federal awards is material for the purpose of reporting an audit finding is in relation to a type of compliance requirement for a major program identified in the compliance supplement

The American Institute of Certified Public Accountants defines significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in its *Codification of Statements on Auditing Standards*, section 935, as follows:

.11 For purposes of adapting GAAS to a compliance audit, the following terms have the meanings attributed as follows:

**Material weakness in internal control over compliance.** A deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a compliance requirement will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. In this section, a reasonable possibility exists when the likelihood of an event occurring is either reasonably possible or probable as defined as follows:

**Reasonably possible.** The chance of the future event or events occurring is more than remote but less than likely.

**Probable.** The future event or events are likely to occur.

Significant deficiency in internal control over compliance. Α deficiency, combination or а of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

**Material noncompliance.** In the absence of a definition of material noncompliance in the governmental audit requirement, a failure to follow compliance requirements or a violation of prohibitions included in the applicable compliance requirements that results in noncompliance that is quantitatively or qualitatively material, either individually or when aggregated with other noncompliance, to the affected government program.

Title 2 Code of Federal Regulations, Section § 200.318 – General procurement standards, states in part:

(a) The non-Federal entity must use its own documented procurement procedures which reflect applicable State, local, and tribal laws and regulations, provided that the procurements conform to applicable Federal law and the standards identified in this part.

(i) The non-Federal entity must maintain records sufficient to detail the history of procurement. These records will include, but are not necessarily limited to the following: rationale for the method of procurement, selection of contract type, contractor selection or rejection, and the basis for the contract price.

Title 2 Code of Federal Regulations, Section § 200.319 – Competition, states in part:

(a) All procurement transactions must be conducted in a manner providing full and open competition consistent with the standards of this section.

Title 2 Code of Federal Regulations, Section § 200.320 – Methods of procurement to be followed, states in part:

(b) Procurement by small purchase procedures. Small purchase procedures are those relatively simple and informal procurement methods for securing services, supplies, or other property that do not cost more than the Simplified Acquisition Threshold. If small purchase procedures are used, price or rate quotations must be obtained from an adequate number of qualified sources.

(f) Procurement by noncompetitive proposals. Procurement by noncompetitive proposals is procurement through solicitation of a proposal from only one source and may be used only when one or more of the following circumstances apply:

- (1) The item is available only from a single source;
- (2) The public exigency or emergency for the requirement will not permit a delay resulting from competitive solicitation;

- (3) The Federal awarding agency or pass-through entity expressly authorizes noncompetitive proposals in response to a written request from the non-Federal entity; or
- (4) After solicitation of a number of sources, competition is determined inadequate.

Seattle School District Policy – Superintendent Procedure 6220SP.D, Cross Reference: 6220

- C. <u>Competitive Process for Contracts under \$25,000:</u>
  - 1. The department manager or school principal shall obtain such competition as appropriate under the circumstances, although competition is not required under \$25,000, except for contracts funded by federal grant funds. For contracts funded by federal grant funds, the initiator must either conduct a competition or provide sole source documentation.

#### E. <u>Competitive Process for Services Contracts above</u> <u>\$50,000:</u>

- 1. <u>Advertisements.</u> Procurement shall use the combination of advertising in the Daily Journal of Commerce or other newspapers, letters to vendors, and notices in other locations which it believes will assure the most competitive selection. The initiator shall be responsible for advertising costs.
- 2. <u>Competitive Bids, RFPs, and RFQs.</u> Procurement, in consultation with the Initiator, shall choose from the following methods to select a contractor, using the method deemed most likely to produce a competitive selection in a timely manner. Procurement may utilize any of the following competitive processes, as appropriate:

d) <u>Sole Source Items: Justification Required.</u> Competition is not required for contracts for unique items, or sole source services (services for which it is not practicable to obtain competition, or the services of a specific individual who has specialized skills or where time limitations make it impractical to obtain competition).

#### SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS

#### Seattle School District No. 1 King County September 1, 2015 through August 31, 2016

This schedule presents the status of federal findings reported in prior audit periods. The status listed below is the representation of Seattle School District No. 1. The State Auditor's Office has reviewed the status as presented by the District.

Audit Period:	Report Ref. No.:	Finding Ref. No.:	CFDA Number(s):
2014	1014423	2014-001	84.010/84.360
Federal Program Name ar	nd Granting	Pass-Through Agency	Name:
Agency:		Office of Superintender	nt of Instruction
U.S. Department of Educati	on		

#### **Finding Caption:**

The District did not have adequate internal controls to ensure compliance with federal time and effort requirements.

#### **Background:**

During fiscal year 2014, the District spent \$48,148,007 in federal funds. We audited five major programs with expenditures totaling \$28,424,645. We noted the District had issues with time and effort documentation for two of the five major programs we audited: Title I and High School Graduation Initiative.

Any employee compensation charged to federal grants must be supported by documentation that meets the standards of the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-87 (cost principles), including written and certified (after-the-fact) documentation of actual work. Depending on the number and type of activities employees work, time and effort documentation can be documented on a semi-annual certification or a monthly personnel activity report, such as a timesheet.

We audited the District's internal controls over payroll to determine whether salaries and benefits charged to the grant were supported by time and effort documentation as required by federal regulations. Employees who work fulltime on their particular federal program are required to complete semi-annual certifications and must be signed by the employee or supervisory official having first-hand knowledge of the work performed by the employee.

Our audit found that the District did not follow the procedures in place to ensure semi-annual time and effort certifications were signed as required. We consider these internal control weaknesses to be significant deficiencies.

Status of Corrective Actio	.n•			
$\Box$ Fully $\Box$ Partial			☐ Find	ling is considered no
Corrected Corrected	I I NOT C	orrected	longer v	-
Corrective Action Taken:			longer v	
	amlined the TEE we	nonting and an	nnoual nn	access to increase timely
The District has further stree compliance. The District			-	program managers to
enhance understanding and		iraining jor ai	jeuerui	program managers to
Audit Period:	Report Ref. No:	Finding Ref.	No:	CFDA Number(s):
2015	1016815	2015-001		84.377/84.388
Federal Program Name a	nd Granting	Pass-Throug	h Agency	v Name:
Agency:	0			nt of Public Instruction
U.S. Department of Education	ion	1		
Finding Caption:		1		
The District did not ensure	allowable costs were	e charged to its	School In	nprovement Grants.
Background:				
In the 2014-2015 school	year, the District s	pent \$1,333,08	6 for the	e School Improvement
Grants. The objective of th	e program is to dran	natically turn a	round the	academic achievement
of students in the Nation	n's persistently low	vest-achieving	schools t	through the successful
implementation of four sche	ool intervention mod	lels.		
Allowable Costs/Cost Princ	<u>ciples</u>			
We reviewed expenditures	to determine if costs	s were allowabl	e under t	he grant agreement and
in compliance with federal	•	-		-
State, Local, and Indian Tri				
reimbursement, it must be				
eight payments totaling \$1		-		-
documentation for these inv				-
compliance with grant regunder noted one payment of \$949				le costs. In addition, we
			aule.	
Status of Corrective Actio				ling is considered as
Fully Partial Corrected Corrected	I I Not C	orrected	longer v	ling is considered no
Corrective Action Taken:			longer v	
The District removed the d	disallowed costs fro	m the School I	mprovom	ont Grant par journal
entry. In addition to the an	•		-	- ·
Grants Director reviewed		0 00		
compliance with allowable	• •		<i>.</i>	I O WINN I
	* *			
Washington State Auditor's Office				Page 14
Source State Matter & Office				1 uge 14

#### INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

#### Seattle School District No. 1 King County September 1, 2015 through August 31, 2016

Board of Directors Seattle School District No. 1 Seattle, Washington

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of Seattle School District No. 1, King County, Washington, as of and for the year ended August 31, 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the District's financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated May 24, 2017.

#### INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the District's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the District's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

#### **COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the District's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of the District's compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

#### PURPOSE OF THIS REPORT

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the District's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose. However, this report is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited. It also serves to disseminate information to the public as a reporting tool to help citizens assess government operations.

Tat Marthy

Pat McCarthy State Auditor Olympia, WA

May 24, 2017

#### INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM AND REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE

#### Seattle School District No. 1 King County September 1, 2015 through August 31, 2016

Board of Directors Seattle School District No. 1 Seattle, Washington

#### **REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM**

We have audited the compliance of Seattle School District No. 1, King County, Washington, with the types of compliance requirements described in the U.S. *Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of the District's major federal programs for the year ended August 31, 2016. The District's major federal programs are identified in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs.

#### Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of its federal awards applicable to its federal programs.

#### Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of the District's major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of Title 2 *U.S. Code of Federal Regulations* Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Those standards and the Uniform Guidance require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal

program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the District's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. Our audit does not provide a legal determination on the District's compliance.

#### **Opinion on Each Major Federal Program**

In our opinion, the District complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended August 31, 2016.

#### **REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE**

Management of the District is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the District's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program in order to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control over compliance.

A *deficiency in internal control over compliance* exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A *material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency or a combination of deficiencies is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance compliance control over compliance is a deficiency in *internal control over compliance* is a deficiency or a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. We identified certain deficiencies in internal control over compliance, as described in the accompanying Schedule of Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs as Finding 2016-001 to be a material weakness.

#### **District's Response to Findings**

The District's response to the internal control over compliance findings identified in our audit is described in the accompanying Schedule of Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs. The District's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the response.

#### **Purpose of this Report**

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose. However, this report is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited. It also serves to disseminate information to the public as a reporting tool to help citizens assess government operations.

Tat Marchy

Pat McCarthy State Auditor Olympia, WA

May 24, 2017

#### INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### Seattle School District No. 1 King County September 1, 2015 through August 31, 2016

Board of Directors Seattle School District No. 1 Seattle, Washington

#### **REPORT ON THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of Seattle School District No. 1, King County, Washington, as of and for the year ended August 31, 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the District's financial statements, as listed on page 23.

#### Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with the financial reporting provisions of Washington State statutes and the *Accounting Manual for Public School Districts in the State of Washington* (Accounting Manual) described in Note 1. This includes determining that the basis of accounting is acceptable for the presentation of the financial statements in the circumstances. Management is also responsible for the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

#### Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's

judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the District's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant account estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

#### **Unmodified Opinion on Regulatory Basis of Accounting (Accounting Manual)**

As described in Note 1, the District has prepared these financial statements to meet the financial reporting requirements of Washington State statutes using accounting practices prescribed by the Accounting Manual. Those accounting practices differ from accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP). The difference in these accounting practices is also described in Note 1.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Seattle School District No. 1, as of August 31, 2016, and the changes in financial position thereof for the year then ended in accordance with the basis of accounting described in Note 1.

#### Unmodified Opinions on the Governmental and Fiduciary Funds Based on U.S. GAAP

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the General, ASB, Debt Service, Capital Projects and Fiduciary funds as of August 31, 2016, and the changes in financial position thereof for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for governmental and fiduciary funds.

#### **Other Matters**

#### Supplementary and Other Information

Our audit was performed for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements taken as a whole. The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards (Uniform Guidance). The accompanying Schedule of Long-Term Liabilities is also presented for purposes of additional analysis, as required by the prescribed Accounting Manual. These schedules are not a required part of the financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements, and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the financial statements taken as a whole.

#### OTHER REPORTING REQUIRED BY GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated May 24, 2017 on our consideration of the District's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the District's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Tat Marthy

Pat McCarthy State Auditor Olympia, WA

May 24, 2017

#### FINANCIAL SECTION

#### Seattle School District No. 1 King County September 1, 2015 through August 31, 2016

#### FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Balance Sheet – Governmental Funds – 2016
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance – Governmental Funds – 2016
Statement of Fiduciary Net Position – Fiduciary Funds – 2016
Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Position – Fiduciary Funds – 2016
Notes to Financial Statements – 2016

#### SUPPLEMENTARY AND OTHER INFORMATION

Schedule of Long-Term Liabilities – 2016 Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards – 2016 Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards – 2016

	General	ASB	Debt Service	Capital Projects	Transportation Vehicle	Permanent	
	Fund	Fund	Fund	Fund	Fund	Fund	Total
ASSETS:							
Cash and Cash Equivalents	116,782,436.84	4,047,702.84	12,906,973.61	101,875,863.82	0.00	0.00	235,612,977.11
Minus Warrants Outstanding	-11,261,364.60	-57,585.99	0.00	-4,518,894.95	00.00	0.00	-15,837,845.54
Taxes Receivable	92,681,228.95		37,134.94	75,997,555.10	0.00		168,715,918.99
Due From Other Funds	12,783,514.87	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	12,783,514.87
Due From Other Governmental Units	12,954,129.66	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	12,954,129.66
Accounts Receivable	1,278,607.20	1,095.00	0.00	2,875.32	0.00	0.00	1,282,577.52
Interfund Loans Receivable	0.00			0.00			0.00
Accrued Interest Receivable	56,356.61	2,779.08	9,201.98	74,289.09	0.00	0.00	142,626.76
Inventory	1,551,110.13	0.00		0.00			1,551,110.13
Prepaid Items	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00
Investments	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Investments/Cash With Trustee			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Investments-Deferred Compensation	0.00			0.00			0.00
Self-Insurance Security Deposit	0.00						0.00
TOTAL ASSETS	226,826,019.66	3,993,990.93	12,953,310.53	173,431,688.38	0.00	0.00	417,205,009.50
DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES:							
Deferred Outflows of Resources - Other	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
TOTAL DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES	00.00	00.0	0.00	0.00	00.0	0.00	0.00
TOTAL ASSETS AND DEFERRED OUTFLOW OF RESOURCES	226,826,019.66	3,993,990.93	12,953,310.53	173,431,688.38	00.00	0.00	417,205,009.50
LIABILITIES:							
Accounts Payable	12,418,655.17	292,804.69	0.00	38,620,840.92	0.00	0.00	51,332,300.78
Contracts Payable Current	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Accrued Interest Payable			0.00				0.00
Accrued Salaries	27,952,632.52	00.00		0.00			27,952,632.52
Anticipation Notes Payable	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
			The accompanying notes	are	an integral part of th	this financial s	statement.

### Balance Sheet

## Governmental Funds

August 31, 2016

001
No.
Schools
Public
Seattle

### Balance Sheet

## Governmental Funds

## August 31, 2016

	General Fund	ASB Fund	Debt Service Fund	Capital Projects Fund	Transportation Vehicle Fund	Permanent Fund	Total
LIABILITIES:							
Payroll Deductions and Taxes Payable	11,535,101.21	0.00		0.00			11,535,101.21
Due To Other Governmental Units	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Deferred Compensation Payable	0.00			0.00			0.00
Estimated Employee Benefits Payable	3,988,354.57						3,988,354.57
Due To Other Funds	0.00	86,477.14	0.00	12,697,037.73	0.00	0.00	12,783,514.87
Interfund Loans Payable	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Deposits	48,374.20	0.00		3,696,711.25			3,745,085.45
Unearned Revenue	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.00	0.00		0.00
Matured Bonds Payable			0.00				0.00
Matured Bond Interest Payable			0.00				00.00
Arbitrage Rebate Payable	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
TOTAL LIABILITIES	55,943,117.67	379,281.83	0.00	55,014,589.90	0.00	0.00	111,336,989.40
DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES:							
Unavailable Revenue	151,514.94	0.00	22,030.18	354,149.02	0.00	0.00	527,694.14
Unavailable Revenue - Taxes Receivable	92,681,228.95		37,134.94	75,997,555.11	0.00		168,715,919.00
TOTAL DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES	92,832,743.89	0.00	59,165.12	76,351,704.13	0.00	0.00	169,243,613.14
FUND BALANCE:							
Nonspendable Fund Balance	1,551,110.13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1,551,110.13
Restricted Fund Balance	5,122,470.13	3,614,709.10	12,894,145.41	4,529,772.00	0.00	0.00	26,161,096.64
Committed Fund Balance	23,300,000.00	0.00	0.00	33,958,956.19	0.00	0.00	57,258,956.19
Assigned Fund Balance	45,019,063.84	0.00	0.00	3,576,666.16	0.00	0.00	48,595,730.00
Unassigned Fund Balance	3,057,514.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	3,057,514.00
TOTAL FUND BALANCE	78,050,158.10	3,614,709.10	12,894,145.41	42,065,394.35	00.00	0.00	136,624,406.96
TOTAL LIABILITIES, DEFERRED INFLOW OF RESOURCES, AND FUND BALANCE	226,826,019.66 D	3,993,990.93	12,953,310.53	173,431,688.38	00.0	0.0	417,205,009.50

	For the	For the Year Ended August 31,	1gust 31, 2016				
	General Fund	ASB Fund	Debt Service Fund	Capital Projects Fund	Transportation Vehicle Fund	Permanent Fund Total	
REVENUES:							
Local	219,643,629.63	4,936,226.07	186,872.95 1	162,582,366.08	00.00	387,349,094.73	.73
State	418,948,378.52		00.00	3,648,918.87	0.00	422,597,297.39	.39
Federal	52,420,627.95		413,206.93	00.00	0.00	52,833,834.88	.88
Federal Stimulus	0.00					0	0.00
Other	17,480,984.80			1,455,675.18	0.00	0.00 18,936,659.98	.98
TOTAL REVENUES	708,493,620.90	4,936,226.07	600,079.88 1	600,079.88 167,686,960.13	0.00	0.00 881,716,886.98	.98
EXPENDITURES: CURRENT:							
Regular Instruction	332,718,905.51					332,718,905.51	.51
Federal Stimulus	0.00					0	0.00
Special Education	119,524,536.30					119,524,536.30	.30
Vocational Education	10,151,614.14					10,151,614.14	.14
Skill Center	990,197.70					990,197.70	.70
Compensatory Programs	62,080,071.43					62,080,071.43	.43
Other Instructional Programs	34,404,642.16					34,404,642.16	.16
Community Services	1,840,259.15					1,840,259.15	.15
Support Services	147,760,909.63					147,760,909.63	.63
Student Activities/Other		4,897,648.42				0.00 4,897,648.42	.42
CAPITAL OUTLAY:							
Sites				00.00		0	0.00
Building				214,752,925.28		214,752,925.28	.28
Equipment				2,798,150.35		2,798,150.35	.35
Instructional Technology				6,907,106.85		6,907,106.85	.85
Energy				0.00		0	0.00
Transportation Equipment					0.00	0	0.00
Sales and Lease				00.00		0	0.00
Other	1,136,554.69					1,136,554.69	.69
DEBT SERVICE:							
Principal	0.00		1,375,000.00	00.00	00.00	1,375,000.00	.00
Interest and Other Charges	0.00		1,408,300.00	00.00	00.00	1,408,300.00	.00
Bond/Levy Issuance				00.00	0.00	0	0.00
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	710,607,690.71	4,897,648.42	2,783,300.00 2	2,783,300.00 224,458,182.48	00.00	0.00 942,746,821.61	.61

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this financial statement.

Seattle Public Schools No. 001 Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance

Governmental Funds

# Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance

## Governmental Funds

#### 2016 31 August Fudad Š the ЧOЧ

	For the	e Year Ended A	For the Year Ended August 31, 2016				
	General Fund	ASB Fund	Debt Service Fund	Capital Projects Fund	Transportation Vehicle Fund	Permanent Fund	Total
DEBT SERVICE:							
REVENUES OVER (UNDER) EXPENDITURES	-2,114,069.81	38,577.65	-2,183,220.12 -56,771,222.35	-56,771,222.35	00.00	- 00.0	0.00 -61,029,934.63
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES):							
Bond Sales & Refunding Bond Sales	0.00		0.00	00.00	00.00		0.00
Long-Term Financing	00.00			00.00	00.00		0.00
Transfers In	15,107,254.10		8,202,576.07	00.00	0.00		23,309,830.17
Transfers Out (GL 536)	0.00		00.00	0.00 -23,309,830.17	00.00	- 00.0	0.00 -23,309,830.17
Other Financing Uses (GL 535)	0.00		00.00	00.00	00.00		0.00
Other	75,257.88		0.00	00.00	0.00		75,257.88
TOTAL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)	15,182,511.98		8,202,576.07	8,202,576.07 -23,309,830.17	0.00	00.00	75,257.88
EXCESS OF REVENUES/OTHER FINANCING SOURCES OVER (UNDER) EXPENDITURES AND OTHER FINANCING USES	13,068,442.17	38,577.65	6,019,355.95	6,019,355.95 -80,081,052.52	0.00	- 00.0	0.00 -60,954,676.75
BEGINNING TOTAL FUND BALANCE	64,981,715.93	3,576,131.45	6,874,789.46	6,874,789.46 122,146,446.87	00.0	0.00 1	0.00 197,579,083.71
Prior Year(s) Corrections or Restatements	0.00	0.00	00.00	0.00	00.00	0.00	0.00
ENDING TOTAL FUND BALANCE	78,050,158.10	3,614,709.10	12,894,145.41	42,065,394.35	0.00	0.00 1	0.00 136,624,406.96

# Statement Of Fiduciary Net Position

## Fiduciary Funds

## August 31, 2016

ASSETS:	Private	
Imprest Cash	Furpose Trust	Uther Trust
Cash On Hand	27,725.36	0.00
Cash On Deposit with Cty Treas	0.00	0.00
Minus Warrants Outstanding	1,872,663.35	0.00
Due From Other Funds	-6,000.00	0.00
Accounts Receivable	0.00	0.00
Accrued Interest Receivable	0.00	0.00
Investments	1,352.30	0.00
Investments/Cash With Trustee	0.00	0.00
Other Assets	0.00	0.00
Capital Assets, Land	0.00	
Capital Assets, Buildings	0.00	
Capital Assets, Equipment	0.00	
Accum Depreciation, Buildings	0.00	0.00
Accum Depreciation, Equipment	0.00	
TOTAL ASSETS	0.00	0.00
LIABILITIES:	1,895,741.01	0.00
Accounts Payable		
Due To Other Funds	7,000.00	0.00
TOTAL LIABILITIES	0.00	0.00
NET POSITION:	7,000.00	0.00
Held in trust for:		
Held In Trust For Intact Trust Principal		
Held In Trust For Private Purposes	199,500.00	0.00
	1,689,241.01	
Held In Trust For Pension Or Other Post-Employment Benefits		0.00
Held In Trust For Other Purposes	0.00	0.00
TOTAL NET POSITION	1,888,741.01	0.00

# Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Position

## Fiduciary Funds

## For the Year Ended August 31, 2016

ADDITIONS: Contributions:	Private Purpose Trust	Other Trust
Private Donations	119,313.14	0.00
Employer		0.00
Members		0.00
Other	0.00	0.00
TOTAL CONTRIBUTIONS	119,313.14	0.00
Investment Income:		
Net Appreciation (Depreciation) in Fair Value	0.00	0.00
Interest and Dividends	13,242.78	0.00
Less Investment Expenses	0.00	0.00
Net Investment Income	13,242.78	0.00
Other Additions:		
Rent or Lease Revenue	0.00	0.00
Total Other Additions	0.00	0.00
TOTAL ADDITIONS	132,555.92	0.00
DEDUCTIONS:		
Benefits		0.00
Refund of Contributions	0.00	0.00
Administrative Expenses	277.20	0.00
Scholarships	76,418.00	
Other	55,045.92	0.00
TOTAL DEDUCTIONS	131,741.12	0.00
Net Increase (Decrease)	814.80	0.00
Net PositionPrior Year August Beginning	1,887,926.21	0.00
Prior Year F-196 Manual Revision	0.00	0.00
Net Position - Total	1,887,926.21	0.00
Prior Year(s) Corrections or Restatements	0.00	0.00
NET POSITIONENDING	1,888,741.01	0.00

#### Seattle School District #1 Notes to the Financial Statements September 1, 2015 through August 31, 2016

#### **NOTE 1: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

The Seattle School District #1 is a municipal corporation organized pursuant to Title 28A of the Revised Code of Washington (RCW) for the purposes of providing public school services to students in grades K–12. Oversight responsibility for the District's operations is vested with the independently elected board of directors. Management of the District is appointed by and is accountable to the board of directors. Fiscal responsibility, including budget authority and the power to set fees, levy property taxes, and issue debt consistent with provisions of state statutes, also rests with the board of directors.

The District presents governmental fund financial statements and related notes on the modified accrual basis of accounting in accordance with the *Accounting Manual for Public School Districts in the State of Washington*, issued jointly by the State Auditor's Office and the Superintendent of Public Instruction by the authority of RCW 43.09.200, RCW 28A.505.140, RCW 28A.505.010(1) and RCW 28A.505.020. This manual prescribes a financial reporting framework that differs from generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) in the following manner:

- (1) Districtwide statements, as defined in GAAP, are not presented.
- (2) A Schedule of Long-Term Liabilities is presented as supplementary information.
- (3) Supplementary information required by GAAP is not presented.

#### **Fund Accounting**

Financial transactions of the District are reported in individual funds. Each fund uses a separate set of self-balancing accounts that comprise its assets, liabilities, fund equity, revenues, and expenditures (or expenses) as appropriate. All funds are considered major funds. The various funds in the report are grouped into governmental (and fiduciary) funds as follows:

#### Governmental Funds

#### General Fund

This fund is used to account for all expendable financial resources, except for those that are required to be accounted for in another fund. In keeping with the principle of having as few funds as are necessary, activities such as food services, maintenance, data processing, printing, and student transportation are included in the General Fund.

#### Capital Projects Funds

These funds account for financial resources that are to be used for the construction or acquisition of major capital assets. There are two funds that are considered to be of the capital projects fund type: the Capital Projects Fund and the Transportation Vehicle Fund.

<u>Capital Projects Fund</u>. This fund is used to account for resources accumulated for the acquisition and construction of major capital assets such as land and buildings.

#### Debt Service Fund

This fund is used to account for the accumulation of resources for and the payment of matured general long-term debt principal and interest.

#### Special Revenue Fund

In Washington State, the only allowable special revenue fund for school districts is the Associated Student Body (ASB) Fund. This fund is accounted for in the District's financial statements as the financial resources legally belong to the District. The ASB Fund is used to account for resources that are restricted or committed for those purposes that relate to the operation of the Associated Student Body of the District.

#### Permanent Funds

These funds are used to report resources that are legally restricted such that only earnings, and not principal, may be expended. Amounts in the Permanent Fund may only be spent in support of the District's programs and may not be used to the benefit of any individual.

#### **Fiduciary Funds**

For the fiscal year, the District only has a single Fiduciary Fund, a Private-Purpose Trust Fund.

#### Private-Purpose Trust Fund

This fund is used to account for resources that are legally held in trust by the District. The trust agreement details whether principal and interest may both be spent, or whether only interest may be spent. Resources in the Private Purpose Trust Fund are used to benefit individuals, private organizations, or other governments in accordance with the trust agreements.

#### Measurement focus, basis of accounting, and fund financial statement presentation

Governmental fund financial statements are reported using the current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized as soon as they are measurable and available. Revenues are considered "measurable" if the amount of the transaction can be readily determined. Revenues are considered "available" when they are collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to pay liabilities of the current period. For this purpose, the District considers revenues to be available if they are collected within 60 days after year-end. Property taxes receivable are measurable but are considered to be available only if they are collected within 30 days after year-end. Categorical program claims and interdistrict billings are measurable and available and are, therefore, accrued.

Expenditures are recognized under the modified accrual basis of accounting when the related fund liability is incurred, except for unmatured principal and interest on long-term debt which are recorded when due. Purchases of capital assets are recorded as expenditures during the year of acquisition. For federal grants, the recognition of expenditures is dependent on the obligation date. (Obligation means a purchase order has been issued, contracts have been awarded, or goods and/or services have been received.)

#### **Budgets**

Chapter 28A.505 RCW and Chapter 392-123 Washington Administrative Code (WAC) mandate school district budget policies and procedures. The board adopts annual appropriated budgets for all governmental funds. These budgets are appropriated at the fund level. The budget constitutes the legal authority for expenditures at that level. Appropriations lapse at the end of the fiscal period.

Budgets are adopted on the same modified accrual basis as used for financial reporting. Fund balance is budgeted as available resources and, under statute, Budgeted Fund Balance may not be negative, unless the District enters into binding conditions with state oversight pursuant to RCW 28A.505.110.

#### District Policy regarding the application of restricted or unrestricted resources

The District receives state funding for specific categorical education-related programs. Amounts that are received for these programs that are not used in the current fiscal year may be carried forward into the subsequent fiscal year, where they may be used only for the same purpose as they were originally received. When the District has such carryover, those funds are expended before any amounts received in the current year are expended.

Additionally, the District has other restrictions placed on its financial resources. When expenditures are recorded for purposes for which a restriction or commitment of fund balance is available, those funds that are restricted or committed to that purpose are considered first before any unrestricted or unassigned amounts are expended.

#### Fund balance classifications policies and procedures.

The District classifies ending fund balance for its governmental funds into five categories.

<u>Nonspendable Fund Balance</u>. The amounts reported as Nonspendable are resources of the District that are not in spendable format. They are either non-liquid resources such as inventory or prepaid items, or the resources are legally or contractually required to be maintained intact, such as permanent fund endowments.

<u>Restricted Fund Balance</u>. Amounts that are reported as Restricted are those resources of the District that have had a legal restriction placed on their use either from statute, WAC, or other legal requirements that are beyond the control of the board of directors. Restricted fund balance includes anticipated recovery of revenues that have been received but are restricted as to their usage.

<u>Committed Fund Balance</u>. Amounts that are reported as Committed are those resources of the District that have had a limitation placed upon their usage by formal action of the District's board

of directors. Commitments are made either through a formal adopted board resolution or are related to a school board policy. Commitments may only be changed when the resources are used for the intended purpose or the limitation is removed by a subsequent formal action of the board of directors.

<u>Assigned Fund Balance</u>. In the General Fund, amounts that are reported as Assigned are those resources that the District has set aside for specific purposes. These accounts reflect tentative management plans for future financial resource use such as the replacement of equipment or the assignment of resources for contingencies. Assignments reduce the amount reported as Unassigned Fund Balance, but may not reduce that balance below zero.

In other governmental funds, Assigned fund balance represents a positive ending spendable fund balance once all restrictions and commitments are considered. These resources are only available for expenditure in that fund and may not be used in any other fund without formal action by the District's board of directors and as allowed by statute.

The Superintendent, Deputy Superintendent and the Assistant Superintendent for Business and Finance are the only persons who have the authority to create Assignments of fund balance.

<u>Unassigned Fund Balance</u>. In the General Fund, amounts that are reported as Unassigned are those net spendable resources of the District that are not otherwise Restricted, Committed, or Assigned, and may be used for any purpose within the General Fund.

In other governmental funds, Unassigned fund balance represents a deficit in ending spendable fund balance once all restrictions and commitments are considered.

A negative Unassigned fund balance means that the legal restrictions and formal commitments of the District exceed its currently available resources.

#### **Cash and Cash Equivalents**

All of the District's cash and cash equivalents are considered to be cash on hand, demand deposits, and short-term investments with original maturities of three months or less from the date of acquisition.

#### **Receivables and Payables**

The District's receivables (or payables) reflect current financial resources(obligations) that are expected to be collected(paid) within one year.

#### Inventory

Inventory is valued at cost using the weighted average method. The consumption method of inventory is used, which charges inventory as an expenditure when it is consumed. A portion of fund balance, representing inventory, is considered Nonspendable. Such reserves for inventory indicate that a portion of net current assets is set aside to replace or increase the inventory. USDA commodity inventory consists of food donated by the United States Department of Agriculture. It is valued at the prices paid by the USDA for the commodities.

#### NOTE 2: DEPOSITS AND INVESTMENTS

The King County Treasurer is the *ex officio* treasurer for the District and holds all accounts of the District. The District directs the County Treasurer to invest those financial resources of the District that the District has determined are not needed to meet the current financial obligations of the District.

The District's deposits and certificates of deposit are entirely covered by federal depository insurance (FDIC) or by collateral held in a multiple financial institution collateral pool administered by the Washington Public Deposit Protection Commission (PDPC).

The district's participation in the King County Investment Pool is voluntary and the pool is not rated by a nationally recognized statistical rating organization (NRSRO). The fair value of the district's investment in the pool is measured using a net asset value (NAV) as determined by the pool. The pool maintains an average effective duration of 0.97 year.

Type of Investment	Fund	Carrying Amount		Fair Value	
County Treasurer's Investment Pool					
	General	\$	116,261,234	\$	116,367,037
	Capital Projects		98,832,064		98,555,206
	Debt Service		12,902,357		12,906,974
	Associated Student Body		3,915,493		3,909,966
	Private Purpose Trust		1,876,180		1,872,663
Total		\$	233,787,328	\$	233,611,846

The District's investments as of August 31, 2016, are as follows:

#### **NOTE 3: PENSION PLANS**

#### **General Information**

The Washington State Department of Retirement Systems (DRS), a department within the primary government of the state of Washington, prepares a stand-alone comprehensive annual financial report (CAFR) that includes financial statements and required supplementary information for each pension plan. The pension plan's basic financial statement is accounted for using the accrual basis of accounting. The measurement date of the pension plans is June 30. Benefit payments (including refunds of employee contributions) are recognized when due and payable in accordance with the benefit terms. Investments are reported at fair value.

The school district is reporting the net pension liability in the notes and on the Schedule of Longterm Liabilities calculated as the district's proportionate allocation percentage multiplied by the total plan collective net pension liability.

Detailed information about the pension plans' fiduciary net position is available in the separately issued DRS CAFR. Copies of the report may be obtained by contacting the Washington State

Department of Retirement Systems, P.O. Box 48380, Olympia, WA 98504-8380; or online at http://www.drs.wa.gov./administrations/annual-report.

#### Membership Participation

Substantially all school district full-time and qualifying part-time employees participate in one of the following three contributory, multi-employer, cost-sharing statewide retirement systems managed by DRS: Teachers' Retirement System (TRS), Public Employees' Retirement System (PERS) and School Employees' Retirement System (SERS).

Plan	Retirees and Beneficiaries Receiving Benefits	Inactive Plan Members Entitled to but not yet Receiving Benefits	Active Plan Members
PERS 1	49,417	827	3,230
SERS 2	7,391	5,704	26,127
SERS 3	6,715	7,899	32,409
TRS 1	34,859	223	962
TRS 2	4,700	2,443	17,612
TRS 3	8,866	8,373	53,417

Membership participation by retirement plan as of June 30, 2016, was as follows:

#### Membership & Plan Benefits

Certificated employees are members of TRS. Classified employees are members of PERS (if Plan 1) or SERS. Plan 1 under the TRS and PERS programs are defined benefit pension plans whose members joined the system on or before September 30, 1977. TRS 1 and PERS 1 are closed to new entrants.

TRS is a cost-sharing multiple-employer retirement system comprised of three separate plans for membership purposes: Plans 1 and 2 are defined benefit plans and Plan 3 is a defined benefit plan with a defined contribution component. TRS eligibility for membership requires service as a certificated public school employee working in an instructional, administrative or supervisory capacity.

TRS is comprised of three separate plans for accounting purposes: Plan 1, Plan 2/3, and Plan 3. Plan 1 accounts for the defined benefits of Plan 1 members. Plan 2/3 accounts for the defined benefits of Plan 2 members and the defined benefit portion of benefits for Plan 3 members. Plan 3 accounts for the defined contribution portion of benefits for Plan 3 members. Although members can only be a member of either Plan 2 or Plan 3, the defined benefit portions of Plan 2 and Plan 3 are accounted for in the same pension trust fund. All assets of this Plan 2/3 defined benefit plan may legally be used to pay the defined benefits of any of the Plan 2 or Plan 3 members or beneficiaries, as defined by the terms of the plan. Therefore, Plan 2/3 is considered to be a single plan for accounting purposes.

TRS Plan 1 provides retirement, disability and death benefits. TRS 1 members were vested after the completion of five years of eligible service. Retirement benefits are determined as two percent of the average final compensation (AFC), for each year of service credit, up to a

maximum of 60 percent, divided by twelve. The AFC is the total earnable compensation for the two consecutive highest-paid fiscal years, divided by two. Members are eligible for retirement at any age after 30 years of service, or at the age of 60 with five years of service, or at the age of 55 with 25 years of service. Other benefits include temporary and permanent disability payments, an optional cost-of-living adjustment (COLA), and a one-time duty-related death benefit, if found eligible by the Department of Labor and Industries.

TRS Plan 2/3 provides retirement, disability and death benefits. Retirement benefits are determined as two percent of the average final compensation (AFC) per year of service for Plan 2 members and one percent of AFC for Plan 3 members. The AFC is the monthly average of the 60 consecutive highest-paid service credit months. There is no cap on years of service credit. Members are eligible for normal retirement at the age of 65 with at least five years of service credit. Retirement before age 65 is considered an early retirement. TRS Plan 2/3 members, who have at least 20 years of service credit and are 55 years of age or older, are eligible for early retirement with a reduced benefit.

The benefit is reduced by a factor that varies according to age, for each year before age 65. TRS Plan 2/3 members who have 30 or more years of service credit, were hired prior to May 1, 2013, and are at least 55 years old, can retire under one of two provisions: With a benefit that is reduced by three percent for each year before age 65; or with a benefit that has a smaller (or no) reduction (depending on age) that imposes stricter return-to-work rules.

TRS Plan 2/3 members hired on or after May 1, 2013 have the option to retire early by accepting a reduction of five percent for each year of retirement before age 65. This option is available only to those who are age 55 or older and have at least 30 years of service. TRS Plan 2/3 retirement benefits are also actuarially reduced to reflect the choice of a survivor benefit.

Other benefits include duty and non-duty disability payments, a cost-of-living allowance (based on the Consumer Price Index), capped at three percent annually and a one-time duty-related death benefit, if found eligible by the Department of Labor and Industries.

PERS Plan 1 provides retirement, disability and death benefits. PERS 1 members were vested after the completion of five years of eligible service. Retirement benefits are determined as two percent of the member's average final compensation (AFC) times the member's years of service. The AFC is the average of the member's 24 highest consecutive service months. Members are eligible for retirement from active status at any age with at least 30 years of service, at age 55 with at least 25 years of service, or at age 60 with at least five years of service.

Members retiring from inactive status prior to the age of 65 may receive actuarially reduced benefits. PERS Plan 1 retirement benefits are actuarially reduced to reflect the choice of a survivor benefit. Other benefits include duty and non-duty disability payments, an optional cost-of-living adjustment (COLA), and a one-time duty-related death benefit, if found eligible by the Department of Labor and Industries.

SERS is a cost-sharing multiple-employer retirement system comprised of two separate plans for membership purposes. SERS Plan 2 is a defined benefit plan and SERS Plan 3 is a defined benefit plan with a defined contribution component. SERS members include classified employees of school districts and educational service districts.

SERS is reported as two separate plans for accounting purposes: Plan 2/3 and Plan 3. Plan 2/3 accounts for the defined benefits of Plan 2 members and the defined benefit portion of benefits for Plan 3 members. Plan 3 accounts for the defined contribution portion of benefits for Plan 3 members.

Although members can only be a member of either Plan 2 or Plan 3, the defined benefit portions of Plan 2 and Plan 3 are accounted for in the same pension trust fund. All assets of this Plan 2/3 defined benefit plan may legally be used to pay the defined benefits of any of the Plan 2 or Plan 3 members or beneficiaries. Therefore, Plan 2/3 is considered to be a single plan for accounting purposes.

SERS provides retirement, disability and death benefits. Retirement benefits are determined as two percent of the member's average final compensation (AFC) times the member's years of service for Plan 2 and one percent of AFC for Plan 3. The AFC is the monthly average of the member's 60 highest-paid consecutive service months before retirement, termination or death. There is no cap on years of service credit. Members are eligible for retirement with a full benefit at 65 with at least five years of service credit. Retirement before age 65 is considered an early retirement. SERS members, who have at least 20 years of service credit and are 55 years of age or older, are eligible for early retirement with a reduced benefit.

The benefit is reduced by a factor that varies according to age, for each year before age 65. SERS members who have 30 or more years of service credit and are at least 55 years old can retire under one of two provisions, if hired prior to May 2, 2013: With a benefit that is reduced by three percent for each year before age 65; or with a benefit that has a smaller (or no) reduction (depending on age) that imposes stricter return-to-work rules.

SERS members hired on or after May 1, 2013, have the option to retire early by accepting a reduction of five percent for each year of retirement before age 65. This option is available only to those who are age 55 or older and have at least 30 years of service. SERS retirement benefits are also actuarially reduced to reflect the choice of a survivor benefit. Other benefits include duty and non-duty disability payments, a cost- of-living allowance (based on the Consumer Price Index), capped at three percent annually and a one-time duty-related death benefit, if found eligible by the Department of Labor and Industries.

### **Plan Contributions**

The employer contribution rates for PERS, TRS, and SERS (Plans 1, 2, and 3) and the TRS and SERS Plan 2 employee contribution rates are established by the Pension Funding Council based upon the rates set by the Legislature. The methods used to determine the contribution requirements are established under chapters 41.40, 41.32, and 41.35 RCW for PERS, TRS and SERS respectively. Employers do not contribute to the defined contribution portions of TRS Plan 3 or SERS Plan 3. Under current law the employer must contribute 100 percent of the employer-required contribution. The employee contribution rate for Plan 1 in PERS and TRS is set by statute at six percent and does not vary from year to year.

The Employer and employee contribution rates for the PERS plan are effective as of July 1. SERS and TRS contribution rates are effective as of September 1. The pension plan contribution rates (expressed as a percentage of covered payroll) for 2016 were as follows:

Pens	ion Rates		
	7/1/16 Rate	7/1/15 Rate	
PERS 1			
Member Contribution Rate	6.00%	6.00%	
Employer Contribution Rate	11.18%	11.18%	
Pens	ion Rates		
	9/1/16 Rate	9/1/15 Rate	
TRS 1			
Member Contribution Rate	6.00%	6.00%	
Employer Contribution Rate	13.13%	13.13%	
TRS 2			
Member Contribution Rate	5.95%	5.95%	
Employer Contribution Rate	13.13%	13.13%	
TRS 3			
Member Contribution Rate	*	*	
Employer Contribution Rate	13.13%	13.13%	**
SERS 2			
Member Contribution Rate	5.63%	5.63%	
Employer Contribution Rate	11.58%	11.58%	
SERS 3	· · · · ·		
Member Contribution Rate	*	*	
Employer Contribution Rate	11.58%	11.58%	**
Note: The DRS administrative rate of .0018 is i	ncluded in the employer ra	te.	
* = Variable from 5% to 15% based on rate sele	ected by the member.		
** = Defined benefit portion only.			

### The Collective Net Pension Liability

The collective net pension liabilities for the pension plans districts participated in are reported in the following table.

The Collective Net Pension Liability as of June 30, 2016:				
Dollars in Thousands	PERS 1	SERS 2/3	TRS 1	TRS 2/3
Total Pension Liability	\$12,496,872	\$4,870,806	\$9,001,257	\$12,172,222
Plan fiduciary net position	(\$7,126,401)	(\$4,214,039)	(\$8,587,020)	(\$10,798,925)

Participating employers' net pension liability	\$5,370,471	\$656,767	\$3,414,237	\$1,373,297
Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension liability	57.03%	86.52%	62.07%	88.72%

### The School District's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability (NPL)

At June 30, 2016, the school district reported a total liability of \$369,216,837 for its proportionate shares of the individual plans' collective net pension liability. Proportions of net pension liability is based on annual contributions for each of the employers participating in the DRS administered plans. At June 30, 2016, the district's proportionate share of each plan's net pension liability is reported below:

June 30, 2016	PERS 1	SERS 2/3	TRS 1	TRS 2/3
District's Annual Contributions	\$5,802,052	\$7,507,730	\$17,325,011	\$18,639,504
Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability	\$54,946,078	\$41,215,838	\$194,631,738	\$78,423,183

At **June 30**, 2016, the school district's percentage of the proportionate share of the collective net pension liability was as follows and the change in the allocation percentage from the prior period is illustrated below.

Change in Proportionate Shares	PERS 1	SERS 2/3	TRS 1	TRS 2/3
Current year proportionate share of the Net Pension Liability	1.023115%	6.275565%	5.700592%	5.710577%
Prior year proportionate share of the Net Pension Liability	1.003433%	6.143410%	5.674489%	5.662808%
Net difference percentage	0.019681%	0.132155%	0.026104%	0.047769%

### **Actuarial Assumptions**

Capital Market Assumptions (CMAs) and expected rates of return by asset class provided by the Washington State Investment Board. The Office of the State Actuary relied on the CMAs in the selection of the long-term expected rate of return for reporting purposes.

The total pension liabilities for TRS 1, TRS 2/3, PERS 1 and SERS 2/3 were determined by actuarial valuation as of June 30, 2015, with the results rolled forward to June 30, 2016, using the following actuarial assumptions, applied to all prior periods included in the measurement:

Inflation	3.0% total economic inflation, 3.75% salary inflation
Salary increases	In addition to the base 3.75% salary inflation assumption, salaries
	are also expected to grow by promotions and longevity.
Investment rate of return	7.50%

### **Mortality Rates**

Mortality rates used in the plans were based on the RP-2000 Combined Healthy Table and Combined Disabled Table published by the Society of Actuaries. The Office of the State Actuary applied offsets to the base table and recognized future improvements in mortality by projecting the mortality rates using 100 percent Scale BB. Mortality rates are applied on a generational basis, meaning members are assumed to receive additional mortality improvements in each future year, throughout their lifetime. The actuarial assumptions used in the June 30, 2015, valuation were based on the results of the 2007–2012 Experience Study. Additional assumptions for subsequent events and law changes are current as of the 2015 actuarial valuation report.

### Long-term Expected Rate of Return

The long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was determined using a building-block method in which a best-estimate of expected future rates of return (expected returns, net of pension plan investment expense, but including inflation) are developed for each major asset class by the Washington State Investment Board (WSIB). Those expected returns make up one component of WSIB's CMAs. The CMAs contain three pieces of information for each class of assets the WSIB currently invest in:

- Expected annual return
- Standard deviation of the annual return;
- Correlations between the annual returns of each asset class with every other asset class

WSIB uses the CMAs and their target asset allocation to simulate future investment returns over various time horizons.

The long-term expected rate of return of 7.50 percent approximately equals the median of the simulated investment returns over a fifty-year time horizon, increased slightly to remove WSIB's implicit and small short-term downward adjustment due to assumed mean reversion. WSIB's implicit short-term adjustment, while small and appropriate over a ten to fifteen-year period, becomes amplified over a fifty-year measurement period.

Best estimates of arithmetic real rates of return for each major asset class included in the pension plans' target asset allocation as of June 30, 2016, are summarized in the following table:

TRS1, TRS 2/3, PERS 1, and SERS 2/3				
Asset Class	Target Allocation Percentage	% Long-term Expected Real Rate of Return		
Fixed Income	20.00%	1.70%		

Tangible Assets	5.00%	4.40%
Real Estate	15.00%	5.80%
Global Equity	37.00%	6.60%
Private Equity	23.00%	9.60%

The inflation component used to create the above table is 2.20 percent, and represents WSIB's most recent long-term estimate of broad economic inflation.

### **Discount Rate**

The discount rate used to measure the total pension liability was 7.50 percent. To determine the discount rate, an asset sufficiency test was completed to test whether the pension plan's fiduciary net position was sufficient to make all projected future benefit payments of current plan members. Consistent with current law, the completed asset sufficiency test included an assumed 7.70 percent long-term discount rate to determine funding liabilities for calculating future contributions rate requirements. Consistent with the long-term expected rate of return, a 7.50 percent future investment rate of return on invested assets was assumed for the test. Contributions from plan members and employers are assumed to continue to be made at contractually required rates. Based on those assumptions, the pension plan's fiduciary net position was projected to be available to make all projected future benefit payments of current plan members.

Therefore, the long-term expected rate of return of 7.50 percent on pension plan investments was applied to determine the total pension liability.

### Sensitivity of the Net Pension Liability to Changes in the Discount Rate

The following table presents the Seattle School District's proportionate share of the collective net pension liability (NPL) calculated using the discount rate of 7.50 percent, as well as what the net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is one percentage-point lower (6.50 percent) or one percentage-point higher (8.50 percent) than the current rate. Amounts are calculated using the school district's specific allocation percentage, by plan, to determine the proportionate share of the collective net pension liability.

	1% Decrease (6.50%)	Current Discount Rate (7.50%)	1% Increase (8.50%)
PERS 1 NPL	\$6,476,248,000	\$5,370,471,000	\$4,418,882,000
Allocation Percentage	1.023115%	1.023115%	1.023115%
Proportionate Share of Collective NPL	\$66,259,445	\$54,946,078	\$45,210,231
SERS 2/3 NPL	\$1,600,655,000	\$656,767,000	(\$75,324,000)
Allocation Percentage	6.275565%	6.275565%	6.275565%

Proportionate Share of Collective NPL	\$100,450,141	\$41,215,838	\$(4,727,006)
TRS 1 NPL	\$4,197,137,000	\$3,414,237,000	\$2,739,882,000
Allocation Percentage	5.700592%	5.700592%	5.700592%
Proportionate Share of Collective NPL	\$239,261,676	\$194,631,738	\$156,189,507
TRS 2/3 NPL	\$3,107,958,000	\$1,373,297,000	(\$1,595,357,000)
Allocation Percentage	5.710577%	5.710577%	5.710577%
Proportionate Share of Collective NPL	\$177,482,336	\$78,423,183	\$(91,104,090)

### NOTE 4: ANNUAL OTHER POST-EMPLOYMENT BENEFIT COST AND NET OPEB OBLIGATIONS

The state, through the Health Care Authority (HCA), administers an agent multi-employer other post-employment benefit plan. The Public Employees Benefits Board (PEBB), created within the HCA, is authorized to design benefits and determine the terms and conditions of employee and retired employee participation and coverage, including establishment of eligibility criteria for both active and retired employees. Programs include medical, dental, life insurance and long-term disability insurance

Employers participating in the plan include the state of Washington (which includes general government agencies and higher education institutions), 60 of the state's K–12 school districts and educational service districts (ESDs), and 221 political subdivisions and tribal governments. Additionally, the PEBB plan is available to the retirees of the remaining 237 K–12 school districts and ESDs. The District's retirees are eligible to participate in the PEBB plan under this arrangement.

According to state law, the Washington State Treasurer collects a fee from all school district entities which have employees that are not current active members of the state Health Care Authority but participate in the state retirement system. The purpose of this fee is to cover the impact of the subsidized rate of health care benefits for school retirees that elect to purchase their health care benefits through the state Health Care Authority. For the fiscal year 2015-16, the District was required to pay the HCA \$65.25 per month per full-time equivalent employee to support the program, for a total payment of \$4,920,876. This assessment to the District is set forth in the state's operating budget and is subject to change on an annual basis. This amount is not actuarially determined and is not placed in a trust to pay the obligations for post-employment health care benefits.

The District has no control over the benefits offered to retirees, the rates charged to retirees, nor the fee paid to the Health Care Authority. The District does not determine its annual required

contribution nor the net other post-employment benefit obligation associated with this plan. Accordingly, these amounts are not shown on the financial statements.

### NOTE 5: COMMITMENTS UNDER NONCAPITALIZED (OPERATING) LEASES

The District has several operating leases for the facilities used for the New Center School, and Interagency, and warehouses for capital programs, and a science materials center and copier lease. Total costs for all leases are:

Year Ended August 31	
2017	\$2,027,982
2018	\$1,759,058
2019	\$ 492,665
2020	\$ 522,378
2021	\$ 552,732
Total	\$5,354,815

### **NOTE 6: CONSTRUCTION AND OTHER SIGNIFICANT COMMITMENTS**

The District adopted a Facilities Master Plan (the "Plan") in 1992 that provides direction for the strategic use of all District facilities through 2010 and is the basis for developing its capital improvement program. The Plan updated in March 2008 expanding the period covered through 2020.

The Plan identifies facility requirements based on student enrollment and demographics, projected space requirements, community use, and a facilities inventory. Planning is accomplished through a community-based approach, including input from the City, community organizations, parents, and District staff, as well as consideration of requirements relating to historical and landmark buildings.

Since 1995, the District has obtained voter approval for a series of major capital levies, divided between two purposes, the Building Excellence Levies (BEX) and the Buildings, Technologies and Athletics Levies (BTA), to further the goals of the Plan. The BTA program focuses on deferred maintenance, code requirements, seismic upgrades, and modernization of athletic facilities, classroom technology and management information systems.

<u>BEX Levies:</u> In February 1995, Seattle voters approved a six-year, \$330 million BEX property tax levy to fund renovation and/or construction of 19 school buildings throughout the District. The levy enabled the District to completely renovate West Seattle High School and Ballard High School, among other buildings.

In February 2001, Seattle voters approved a six-year \$398 million BEX II property tax levy to fund renovation and/or new construction in 17 school buildings throughout the District. The levy enables the District to completely renovate three aging high schools, namely Roosevelt, Cleveland, and Garfield, as well as Madison Middle School. The levy also increased capacity

at elementary and middle schools in the southeast part of the City, and improved technology support.

In February 2007, Seattle voters approved a six-year \$490 million BEX III Capital Bond (of which the Bonds are a portion), which will help pay for projects divided into three categories: Building Projects, Infrastructure Improvements, and Technology Improvements. The Building Projects component includes the renovations or replacement of seven school facilities and continues the District's long-range plan for renewing aging school buildings throughout the District. The Infrastructure Improvements component includes health and safety upgrades such as replacement or repair of plumbing to ensure drinking water quality, interior upgrades to improve indoor air quality, and replacement and renovation of athletic fields. The Technology Improvements component includes replacement of student progress; and improvements to business and academic systems. This levy replaces an expiring capital levy.

The \$694.9 million Building Excellence IV (BEX IV) capital levy was approved by Seattle voters in February 2013. It supports the District's long-range plans to upgrade and renovate aging school facilities and address enrollment growth. Since 1998, the BEX I, BEX II and BEX III voter approved levies have allowed the District to replace or renovate 37 buildings. BEX IV continues the work to replace or modernize district buildings, infrastructure and technology with previous levies. It will allow the district to address earthquake and safety issues, capacity needs, building condition and infrastructure improvements, and major preventive maintenance needs throughout the school district. BEX IV projects were chosen based on four criteria as approved by the School Board: safety and security, capacity needs, building condition and maximizing flexibility for programs and services.

**<u>BTA Levies</u>**: In February 1998, the voters of Seattle passed a six-year \$150 million BTA I Levy to fund the District's Buildings, Technology and Athletic Facilities program. There are four components to the BTA I levy: Building Reinvestment (\$60 million), Technology (\$40 million), Athletic Facilities (\$40 million), and Science and Performing Arts (\$10 million). In total, the levy financed more than 465 facility improvement projects at every school.

In February 2004, Seattle voters continued the work of BTA I by approving a six-year \$178 million capital levy ("BTA II"), which pays for nearly 700 projects, improving every school in the District. The BTA II levy contains three major components: Buildings (\$95.5 million), Technology (\$42.75 million), and Academics (\$39.75 million).

BTA III was approved by voters in February 2010. This is a six-year \$270M capital levy that provides funds for projects that will benefit every school in the district and to open five buildings to meet growing student enrollment. There are three components to the BTA III levy: Building Construction (\$140.5M), Academics (\$94.6M) and Technology (\$34.9M).

In February 2016, the voters of Seattle approved a six-year \$475.3 million BTA IV capital levy. BTA IV will improve schools in every region of the city. There are three major components to the levy: Building (\$335.4 million), Technology (104.7 million) and Academics/Athletics (\$35.2 million).

Desired	Project	Accumulated	Accumulated
Project	Authorized Amount	Revenue to 8/31/16	Expend to 8/31/16
BEX II			
Building Construction	407,000,000		410,244,083
Technology	26,000,000		25,718,312
BEX II total	433,000,000	434,721,742	435,962,395
BTA I			
Building Reinvestment	60,000,000		54,114,704
Athletic Fields	40,000,000		38,221,722
Science and Arts	6,000,000		6,273,788
Technology	40,000,000		40,977,072
BTA I total	146,000,000	154,223,523	139,587,286
BTA II			
Building Reinvestment	95,500,000		102,993,804
Academics	36,620,000		31,366,593
Technology	39,880,000		35,345,983
BTA II total	172,000,000	181,999,195	169,706,380
BEX III			
Building Construction	383,000,000		414,615,970
Infrastructure	26,000,000		16,792,159
Technology	42,000,000		41,530,654
BEX III total	451,000,000	479,948,593	472,938,783
BTA III			
Building Construction	140,500,000		63,004,624
Academics	94,600,000		78,546,152
Technology	34,900,000		24,259,783
BTA III total	270,000,000	266,413,385	165,810,559
BEX IV			
Building Construction	530,757,952		271,788,316
Infrastructure	110,379,960		19,758,112
Technology	53,800,000		16,028,343
BEX IV total	694,937,912	295,217,632	307,574,771
BTA IV			
Building Construction	335,400,000		604,732
Academics	35,200,000		-
Technology	104,700,000		129,758
	475,300,000	-	734,490

### NOTE 7: REQUIRED DISCLOSURES ABOUT CAPITAL ASSETS

The District's capital assets are insured in the amount of \$500 million per loss occurrence for fiscal year 2015/16. In the opinion of the District's insurance consultant, the amount is sufficient to adequately fund replacement of the District's assets.

### Capital Assets Lease Agreements

The District leases various school buildings and properties throughout the City of Seattle to outside parties, with termination dates ranging from current month-to-month through 2084. Original cost and carrying values are not available, as the properties were acquired more than fifty years ago. Revenues through the end of all current leases are \$44.309,474 Five year revenues from current leases are as follows:

<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>Revenue</u>
2017	\$1,145,430
2018	\$ 982,978
2019	\$ 982,978
2020	\$1,021,075
2021	\$1,042,608

### **NOTE 8: REQUIRED DISCLOSURES ABOUT LONG-TERM LIABILITIES**

### Long-Term Debt

### **General Obligation Bonds**

In May 2010, the District issued refinancing bonds of \$33,080,000 for the majority of the 2001 Series A Bonds. The 2001 Bonds maturing on and after December 1, 2012 could be called for redemption prior to their scheduled maturities and were refunded. Bonds maturing before December 1, 2012 were not refunded, but redeemed when due. The final payment on the original Series A Bonds was made in 2012.

The District issued \$17,500,000 Limited General Obligation Bonds, 2010 (Taxable Qualified School Construction Bonds – Direct Payment to Issuer). This was in accordance with a Board Resolution passed in October 2010. The bond interest rate is 2.55% (fully refundable by the Federal Government), and the maturity date is June 1, 2017.

Bonds payable at August 31, 2016, are comprised of the following individual issues:

		Amount	9/1/15			8/31/16
Issue Name	Rates	Authorized	Beg. Bal	Additions	Reductions	Balance
2010 Refunding		\$33,080,000	\$28,700,000	-	\$1,375,000	\$27,325,000
Bonds	2-4.0%					
2010 Qualified		\$17,500,000	\$17,500,000	-	-	\$17,500,000
School	2.55%*					
Construction Bond						
Total General		\$50,580,000	\$46,200,000	-	\$1,375,000	\$44,825,000
Obligation Bonds						

\* Interest is 100% refundable by the Federal government, except for reductions due to sequestration

Years Ending August 31	Principal	Interest	Total
2017	\$19,015,000	\$1,370,975	\$20,385,975
2018	1,690,000	876,650	2,566,650
2019	1,865,000	823,325	2,688,325
2020	2,055,000	764,525	2,819,525
2021	2,250,000	699,950	2,949,950
2022	2,455,000	626,306	3,081,306
2023-2027	15,495,000	1,627,932	17,122,932
Total	\$44,825,000	\$6,789,663	\$51,614,663

The following is a schedule of annual requirements to amortize debt at August 31, 2016:

At August 31, 2016, the District had \$12,894,145 available in the Debt Service Fund to service the general obligation bonds.

### **NOTE 9: INTERFUND BALANCES AND TRANSFERS**

The District did not have any interfund loan activity during fiscal year 2015-16.

Interfund transactions consist of: 1) reimbursement due to the General Fund for salary, inventory issues, and work-order expenditures budgeted, and properly chargeable to other funds, recorded as reductions in expenditures to the General Fund, and expenditures in the reimbursing fund; 2) transfers to Debt Service Fund for debt payments and 3) other interfund transfers as authorized.

The following table depicts interfund transfer activity:

Fund	Transferred To (Fund) 965 9900	Transferred From (Fund) 535 or 536
		(1 414) 555 61 556
General	\$15,107,254	
Debt Service	\$8,202,576	
Capital Projects		\$23,309,830
Total	\$23,309,830	\$23,309,830

### NOTE 10: ENTITY RISK MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES

The district is a member of the Washington Schools Risk Management Pool (Pool). Chapter 48.62 RCW authorizes the governing body of any one or more governmental entities to form together into or join a pool or organization for the joint purchasing of insurance, and/or joint self-insuring, and/or joint hiring or contracting for risk management services to the same extent that they may individually purchase insurance, self-insure, or hire or contract for risk management services. An agreement to form a pooling arrangement was made pursuant to the provisions of Chapter 39.34 RCW, the Interlocal Cooperation Act. The Pool was formed in 1986 when educational service districts and school districts in the State of Washington joined together by signing the Cooperative Risk Management Pool Agreement to pool their self-insured losses and

jointly purchase insurance and administrative services. Over 90 school and educational service districts have joined the Pool.

The Pool allows members to jointly purchase insurance coverage, establish a plan of selfinsurance, and provide related services, such as risk management. The Pool provides the following coverages for its members: property, liability, vehicle, public official liability, crime, employment practices, machinery breakdown, and network security.

Members make an annual contribution to fund the Pool. The Pool acquires reinsurance from unrelated underwriters that are subject to a per-occurrence self-insured retention of \$1 million. Members are responsible for varied deductibles for both liability and property claims. Insurance carriers cover losses over \$1 million to the maximum limits of each policy. Since the Pool is a cooperative program, there is a joint liability among the participating members.

Members contract to remain in the Pool for a minimum of three years and must give notice two and one half years before terminating participation. The Cooperative Risk Management Pool Account Agreement is renewed automatically each year after the initial three-year period. Even after termination, a member is still responsible for contributions to the Pool for any unresolved, unreported, and in-process claims for the period they were a signatory to the Cooperative Risk Management Pool Account Agreement if the assets of the Pool were exhausted.

The Pool is fully funded by its member participants.

The Pool is governed by a board of directors which is comprised of one designated representative from each participating member. An executive board is elected at the annual meeting and is responsible for overseeing the business affairs of the Pool.

### NOTE 11: PROPERTY TAXES

Property tax revenues are collected as the result of special levies passed by the voters in the District. Taxes are levied on January 1. The taxpayer has the obligation of paying all taxes on April 30 or one-half then and one-half on October 31. Typically, slightly more than half of the collections are made on the April 30 date. The October 31 collection is not available in time to cover liabilities for the fiscal period ended August 31. Property taxes receivable are measurable but are considered to be available only if they are collected within 30 days after year-end.

### NOTE 12: JOINT VENTURES AND JOINTLY GOVERNED ORGANIZATIONS

The District is a member of the King County Director's Association (KCDA). KCDA is a purchasing cooperative designed to pool the member districts' purchasing power. The board authorized joining the association by signing Articles of Agreement dated August 18, 1971, and has remained in the joint venture ever since. The District's current equity of \$31,422 is the accumulation of the annual assignment of KCDA's operating surplus based upon the percentage derived from KCDA's total sales to the District compared to all other districts applied against paid administrative fees. The District may withdraw from the joint venture and will receive its equity in ten annual allocations of merchandise or 15 annual payments.)

### NOTE 13: FUND BALANCE CLASSIFICATION DETAILS

			Capital Projects	Debt Service
	General Fund	ASB Fund	Fund	Fund
Nonspendable Fund Balance				
Inventory and Prepaid Items	\$1,551,110			
Restricted Fund Balance				
For Other Items		\$3,614,709	\$4,529,772	
For Fund Purpose				
For Carryover of Restricted	\$5,122,470			
Revenues	$\psi 0, 122, 470$			
For Debt Service				\$12,894,145
Committed Fund Balance				
For Economic Stabilization	\$23,300,000			
Other Commitments			\$33,958,956	
Assigned Fund Balance				
Contingencies				
Other Capital Projects				
Other Purposes	\$45,019,064			
Fund Purposes			\$3,576,666	
Unassigned Fund Balance	\$3,057,514			

The District's financial statements include the following amounts presented in the aggregate.

In addition, the Capital Projects Fund has the following amounts in Restricted and Committed Fund Balance, based on the source of the revenues:

Restricted from Bond Proceeds	\$4,529,772
Committed from Levy Proceeds	\$23,335,047

On August 19, 2015, the board of directors took action to commit a portion of the District's ending balance towards an economic stabilization account. The amount of fund balance that has been set aside may only be used for that purpose. It cannot be used for any other purpose of the District.

### NOTE 14: POST-EMPLOYMENT BENEFIT PLANS OTHER THAN PENSION PLANS—BOTH IN SEPARATELY ISSUED PLAN FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND EMPLOYER STATEMENTS

### 457 Plan – Deferred Compensation Plan

District employees have the option of participating in a deferred compensation plan as defined in §457 of the Internal Revenue Code that is administered by the state deferred compensation plan.

### 403(b) Plan – Tax Sheltered Annuity (TSA)

The District offers a tax deferred annuity plan for its employees. The plan permits participants to defer a portion of their salary until future years under two types of deferrals: elective deferrals (employee contribution) and non-elective contribution (employer matching).

The District complies with IRS regulations that require school districts to have a written plan to include participating investment companies, types of investments, loans, transfers, and various requirements. The plan is administered by Carruth Compliance Consulting, Inc. The plan assets are assets of the District employees, not the school district, and are therefore not reflected on these financial statements.

### **NOTE 15: TERMINATION BENEFITS**

### **Compensated Absences**

Employees earn sick leave at a rate of 12 days per year up to a maximum of one contract year.

Under the provisions of RCW 28A.400.210, sick leave accumulated by District employees is reimbursed at death or retirement at the rate of one day for each four days of accrued leave, limited to 180 accrued days. This chapter also provides for an annual buyout of an amount up to the maximum annual accumulation of 12 days. For buyout purposes, employees may accumulate such leave to a maximum of 192 days, including the annual accumulation, as of December 31 of each year.

These expenditures are recorded when paid, except termination sick leave that is accrued upon death, retirement, or upon termination provided the employee is at least 55 years of age and has sufficient years of service. Vested sick leave was computed using 25% of per diem value of all accumulated sick leave of the employees who currently are eligible to receive termination payments as well as other employees who are expected to become eligible in the future to receive such payments, plus related Security and Medicare taxes.

### **NOTE 16: OTHER DISCLOSURES**

### **Related Organization**

### Alliance For Education

The Alliance For Education is an independent nonprofit organization which acts as a constructive partner to the Seattle School District to engage the greater community in public education. The Alliance for Education pursues its mission of helping every child in Seattle Public Schools achieve academic success by securing seed capital for innovations in learning and by fostering city-wide support for excellence in schools. The Board of Directors of the Alliance For Education is a broad-based group of civic, business, education and community leaders and includes the Superintendent of the District, as well as the President of the School Board. A Memorandum of Understanding provides a framework for a variety of collaborative efforts, including grant funded work at the District, community engagement efforts, fundraising

initiatives and various technical and professional supports. The financial statements of Alliance For Education are available online at <a href="http://www.alliance4ed.org">www.alliance4ed.org</a>.

As of September 1, 2016 the memorandum of understanding between the District and the Alliance for Education ended.

100	
No.	
Schools	
Public	
Seattle	

### Schedule of Long-Term Liabilities

### For the Year Ended August 31, 2016

Description	Beginning Outstanding Debt September 1, 2015	Amount Issued / Increased	Amount Redeemed / Decreased	Ending Outstanding Debt August 31, 2016	Amount Due Within One Year
Voted Debt					
Voted Bonds	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
LOCAL Program Proceeds Issued in Lieu of Bonds	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Non-Voted Debt and Liabilities					
Non-Voted Bonds	46,200,000.00	0.00	1,375,000.00	44,825,000.00	19,015,000.00
LOCAL Program Proceeds	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Capital Leases	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Contracts Payable	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Non-Cancellable Operating Leases	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Claims & Judgements	6,255,000.00	1,859,000.00	0.00	8,114,000.00	2,941,659.00
Compensated Absences	24,586,861.00	1,705,869.00	0.00	26,292,730.00	2,847,853.00
Long-Term Notes	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Anticipation Notes Payable	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Lines of Credit	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Other Non-Voted Debt	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Other Liabilities					
Non-Voted Notes Not Recorded as Debt	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Net Pension Liabilities:					
Net Pension Liabilities TRS 1	179,775,861.00	14,855,877.00	0.00	194,631,738.00	
Net Pension Liabilities TRS 2/3	47,782,889.00	30,640,294.00	0.00	78,423,183.00	
Net Pension Liabilities SERS 2/3	24,951,520.00	16,264,318.00	0.00	41,215,838.00	
Net Pension Liabilities PERS 1	52,488,894.00	2,457,184.00	0.00	54,946,078.00	
Total Long-Term Liabilities	382,041,025.00	67,782,542.00	1,375,000.00	448,448,567.00	24,804,512.00
		•	•	•	

SEATTLE PUBLIC SCHOOLS, KING COUNTY EIN: 916001541 SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS FOR FISCAL YEAR ENDING AUGUST 31, 2016
---

Federal Agency				CFDA	Other Identi	Other Identification Number		Expenditures	es		Passed Through Foot	Foot
Name	_	Pass Through Agency	y Federal Program Litle	No.	Fund	Contract No.	Direct Awards	Pass-Through Awards	ards	Total	to Subrecipients note	note
Department of Agriculture	Child Nutrition Cluster	IdSO	School Breakfast Program	10.553	1010			\$ 1,982,083.34	33.34 \$	1,982,083.34		4
	Child Nutrition Cluster	IdSO	National School Lunch Program	10.555	1010			\$ 7,192,335.89	35.89 \$	7,192,335.89		4
	Child Nutrition Cluster	IdSO	National School Lunch Program - Non Cash Asst. Food Commodities	10.555	1010			\$ 1,111,831.59	31.59 \$	1,111,831.59		3
				Subi	total of Child	Subtotal of Child Nutrition Cluster \$	-	\$ 10,286,250.82	<b>50.82 S</b>	10,286,250.82		
		IdSO	Child and Adult Care Food Program	10.558	1A04-15	WINS of OPSI		\$ 6,1!	6,151.05 \$	6,151.05		4
		IdSO	Child and Adult Care Food Program	10.558	1A04-16	WINS of OPSI		\$ 211,834.88	34.88 \$	211,834.88		4
					Subtotal of	Subtotal of CFDA No. 10.558 \$	1	\$ 217,985.93	5.93 \$	217,985.93		
		OSPI	Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program	10.582	1L41-15	LOA		\$ 1,65	1,629.37 \$	1,629.37		4
		IdSO	Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program	10.582	1L41-16	LOA		\$ 203,923.19	23.19 \$	203,923.19		
					Subtotal of	Subtotal of CFDA No. 10.582 \$	•	\$ 205,552.56	2.56 \$	205,552.56		
	Forest Service Schools and Roads Cluster	Office of State Treasurer	Schools and Roads - Grants to States	10.665	1000			\$ 21,6	21,622.15 \$	21,622.15		4
			Sub	total of ]	Department	Subtotal of Department of Agriculture	•	\$ 10,731,411.46	1.46 \$	10,731,411.46		
Department of Defense		National Security Agency	Language Grant Program	12.900	1G61-15	H98230-14-1- 0240		\$ (75,191.00)	1.00) \$	(75,191.00)		
		National Security Agency	Language Grant Program	12.900	1G61-16	H98230-15-1- 0083		\$ 79,69	79,691.53 \$	79,691.53		
		National Security Agency	Language Grant Program	12.900	1G61-17	H98230-16-1- 0148		\$ 86,11	86,182.56 \$	86,182.56		
				Subtotal		of Department of Defense §	- \$	\$ 90,683.09	3.09 \$	90,683.09		
Department of Justice		City of Seattle, HOD	National Forum on Youth Violence Prevention	16.819	1N54-16	DA16-1338		\$ 2,31	2,387.01 \$	2,387.01		
				Subtot	ul of Depart	Subtotal of Department of Justice §	•	\$ 2,387.01	7.01 \$	2,387.01		
National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities	0	Washington State Library	Grants to States	45.310	1M62-16	G-4967 (15-DL- 003)		\$ 7,5	7,523.46 \$	7,523.46		
			Promotion of the Arts_Grants to Organizations and Individuals	45.024	1N29-16	15-5100-7187	\$ 75,252.77		69	75,252.77		
			Subtotal of National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities	ion on th	e Arts and		\$ 75,252.77	\$ 7,523.46	3.46 \$	82,776.23		
National Science Foundation		Michigan State University	Education and Human Resources	47.076	1N03-15	RC104101SPS		\$ 45,8	45,849.29 \$	45,849.29		
			Subto	otal of N <sub>8</sub>	tional Scie	Subtotal of National Science Foundation §	- \$	\$ 45,849.29	<b>3.29</b> \$	45,849.29		
Environmental Protection Agency		Cornallis Environmental Center	Environmental Education Grants	66.951	1N40-16	Sub Award Contract (NE- 00J87201-0)		\$ 7,3	7,363.70 \$	7,363.70		
			Subtotal of	Enviror	imental Pro	Subtotal of Environmental Protection Agency §	۱ ج	\$ 7,363.70	3.70 \$	7,363.70		

Federal Agency	Churden	Dass Thus ab A and an		CFDA	Other Identi	Other Identification Number		Expenditures		Passed Through Foot	oot
	usuer	гахь илгоида Аденсу	_	No.	Fund	Contract No.	Direct Awards	Pass-Through Awards	ls Total	to Subrecipients note	iote
Department of Education		IdSO	Title I Grants to Local Educational Agencies	84.010	1C01-15	GR0201780		\$ 95,545.79	95,545.79		
		IdSO	Title I Grants to Local Educational Agencies	84.010	1C01-16	GR0202206		\$ 12,433,459.95	5 82,433,459.95		
		IdSO	Title I Grants to Local Educational Agencies	84.010	1M30-16	GR0261038		\$ 32.76	6 \$ 32.76		
		IdSO	Title I Grants to Local Educational Agencies	84.010	1M32-14	GR0228333		\$ (36.26)	5) <b>S</b> (36.26)		
		IdSO	Title I Grants to Local Educational Agencies	84.010	1M34-16	GR0261024		\$ 16,639.34	4 \$ 16,639.34		
		IdSO	Title I Grants to Local Educational Agencies	84.010	1M37-16	GR0261050		\$ 46,450.54	4 \$ 46,450.54		
		IdSO	Title I Grants to Local Educational Agencies	84.010	1M38-16	GR0261053		\$ 18,604.16	6 \$ 18,604.16		
		IdSO	Title I Grants to Local Educational Agencies	84.010	1C03-15	GR0222484		\$ 518.73	3 \$ 518.73		
		IdSO	Title I Grants to Local Educational Agencies	84.010	1C03-16	GR0222506		\$ 149,241.25	5 8 149,241.25		
		IdSO	Title I Grants to Local Educational Agencies	84.010	1N05-16	GR0261022		\$ 29,996.88	8 \$ 29,996.88		
		IdSO	Title I Grants to Local Educational Agencies	84.010	1N09-15	GR0228769		\$ (5,874.26)	5) <b>s</b> (5,874.26)		
		IdSO	Title I Grants to Local Educational Agencies	84.010	1N09-16	GR0263025		\$ 14,813.42	2 8 14,813.42		
		IdSO	Title I Grants to Local Educational Agencies	84.010	1N33-16	GR0263023		\$ 4,305.71	1 \$ 4,305.71		
		IdSO	Title I Grants to Local Educational Agencies	84.010	1N34-16	GR0263020		\$ 17,525.29	9 8 17,525.29		
			- · ·		Subtotal of	Subtotal of CFDA No. 84.010	•	\$ 12,821,223.30	0 \$ 12,821,223.30		
		IdSO	Migrant Education_State Grant Program	84.011	1C02-16	GR0282206		\$ 77,440.81	1 s 77,440.81		
		IdSO	Migrant Education_State Grant Program	84.011	1F78-16	GR0290811		\$ 12,773.12	2 8 12,773.12		
					Subtotal of	Subtotal of CFDA No. 84.011	•	\$ 90,213.93	3 \$ 90,213.93		
		IdSO	Title I State Agency Program for Neglected and Delinquent Children and Youth	84.013	1C04-15	GR0223045		\$ (6,413.73)	3) \$ (6,413.73)		
		IdSO	Title I State Agency Program for Neglected and Delinquent Children and Youth	84.013	1C04-16	GR0223060		\$ 347,130.29	9 \$ 347,130.29		
					Subtotal of	Subtotal of CFDA No. 84.013	- 8	\$ 340,716.56	6 \$ 340,716.56		
Special Education (IDEA)	al ation A)	IdSO	Special Education_Grants to States	84.027	1A48-15	GR0305222		\$ 47,570.68	8 \$ 47,570.68		
Special Education (IDEA)	al ation A)	IdSO	Special Education_Grants to States	84.027	1A48-16	GR0305566		\$ 11,252,837.80	0 \$ 11,252,837.80		

$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Federal Agency				CFDA	Other Ident	Other Identification Number		Expenditures	res		<b>Passed Through</b>	Foot
onOSPUSpecial Education_Crants to special Education_Prechool84,073 $10,04,615$ $C0033321$ $5$ $993,3214$ $5$ $993,2314$ $5$ $993,2314$ $5$ $993,2314$ $5$ $993,2314$ $5$ $993,2314$ $5$ $993,2314$ $5$ $993,2361$ $200,200,200$ $200,200,200,200200,200,200,200200,200,200,200200,200,200,200,200200,200,200,200,200200,200,200,200,200,200200,200,200,200,200200,200,200,200,200,200200,200,200,200,200,200,200,200200,200,200,200,200,200,200,200,200,200$	Name	Cluster	Pass Through Agency	Federal Program Litle	No.	Fund	Contract No.		Pass-Through A	wards		to Subrecipients note	note
		Special Education (IDEA)	IdSO	Education	84.027	1G28-16	GR03		\$ 993;		993,231.85		
		Special Education (IDEA)	IdSO	Education_	84.173	1A46-15	GR0363221				11,343.46		
$ \frac{1}{1260} = \frac{1}{1260} \frac{1}{1$		Special Education (IDEA)	IdSO	Education	84.173	1A46-16	GR0363557				315,326.19		
					Subtot	al of Special J		•			12,620,309.98		
			IdSO	Career and Technical Education - - Basic Grants to States	84.048	1A03-15	GR0173305				5,157.63		
			IdSO	Career and Technical Education - - Basic Grants to States	84.048	1A03-16	GR0173560				346,638.00		
			IdSO	Career and Technical Education - - Basic Grants to States	84.048	1K72-16	GR0189102				9,000.19		
			IdSO	Career and Technical Education - - Basic Grants to States	84.048	1N52-16	GR0189103				7,440.58		
			IdSO	Career and Technical Education - - Basic Grants to States	84.048	1M54-16	GR0173442				16,252.04		
						Subtotal of		-			384,488.44		
					84.060	1C27-16				649	82,762.83		
						Subtotal of			s	•	82,762.83		
Subtotal of CFDA No. 84.196         s         s         44.412.00         s         10         5         10         5         10         5         10         5			IdSO	Education for Homeless Children and Youth		1F77-16	GR0456187				44,412.00		
Fund for the Improvement of Education84.2151H60-13U215J080192-12\$(456.03)\$\$\$EducationB4.2151L.56-14Q215F110228-13\$160.16 $$$$$Fund for the Improvement ofEducation84.3151L.56-14Q215F110228-13$160.16$$$$matica PolicyEducation84.3051N02-15MOU No.$$						Subtotal of		-			44,412.00		
Fund for the Improvement of Education84.2151L.56-14Q215F110228-13\$160.16 $>$ \$\$\$Education84.21511.56-14Q215F110228-13\$100.16\$ <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <th>Fund for the Improvement of Education</th> <td>84.215</td> <td>1H60-13</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td><b>6</b>9</td> <td>(456.03)</td> <td></td> <td></td>				Fund for the Improvement of Education	84.215	1H60-13				<b>6</b> 9	(456.03)		
subtotal of CFDA No. 84.215s(295.87)sssematica PolicyEducation Research, Development and Dissemination84.305 $1N02$ -15 $MOU No.$ ss(101,075.41)ssHopkinsEducation Research, asity84.305 $1N02$ -15 $MOU No.$ $MOU No.$ s(295.87)ss101,075.41s10HopkinsEducation Research, asity84.305 $1N27$ -16 $LOU SubontractLOU SubontractNOU No.s101,075.41s10rsity ofEducation Research,Development and Dissemination84.3051N27-16UVSC8747s2163,332.56s10rsity ofEducation Research,Development and Dissemination84.3051N48-16UVSC8747s221,660.1232Advanced Placement Program84.3361N48-16UVSC87473222Advanced Placement Test Fee;84.3301C88-16GR0887378333333333Advanced Placement Test Fee;84.3301C88-16GR0887378333333333333333333333333333333333333$				Fund for the Improvement of Education	84.215	1L56-14				<b>6</b> 9	160.16		
Imaginal conditionsEducation Research, bevelopment and Dissemination84.305 $1N02-15$ $MOU No.$ $40166X04074$ S $101,075.41$ S						Subtotal of			<b>6</b>	•	(295.87)		
Hopkins         Education Research, bevelopment and Dissemination         84.305         IN27-16         LOI/ Subcontract (of Dept of Education Research, #R305H150081         S         163,832.56         S         163,832.56         S         1           rsity of Development and Dissemination         84.305         IN48-16         UWSC8747         S         21,660.12         S         2         2           rsity of Development and Dissemination         84.305         IN48-16         UWSC8747         S         2			Mathematica Policy Research	Education Research, Development and Dissemination	84.305	1N02-15	MOU No. 40166X04074				101,075.41		
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			Johns Hopkins university	Education Research, Development and Dissemination	84.305	1N27-16	LOI/ Subcontract of Dept of Ed #R305H150081				163,832.56		
Subtotal of CFDA No. 84.305     S     Subtotal of CFDA No. 84.305     S <ths< th="">     S     S</ths<>			University of Washington	Education Research, Development and Dissemination	84.305	1N48-16	UWSC8747				21,660.12		
Advanced Placement ProgramAdvanced Placement Test Fee; (Advanced Placement Intentive Program Grants)84.330IC88-16GR0887378S3,038.00SAdvanced Placement Intentive Program Grants)84.330IC88-16GR0887378S3,038.00SAdvanced Placement Intentive Advanced Placement Test Fee; Advanced Placement Intentive Program Grants)84.330ID91-16GR0887379S6,958.00S						Subtotal of		•			286,568.09		
Advanced Placement Program     Advanced Placement Test Fee;     84.330     1D91-16     GR0887379     \$\$     6,958.00     \$       Advanced Placement Incentive     Program Grants)     Program Grants     \$     \$     \$			IdSO	Advanced Placement Program (Advanced Placement Test Fee; Advanced Placement Incentive Program Grants)	84.330	1C88-16	GR0887378				3,038.00		
			IdSO	Advanced Placement Program (Advanced Placement Test Fee; Advanced Placement Incentive Program Grants)	84.330	1D91-16	GR0887379				6,958.00		

VTV	SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS FOR FISCAL YEAR ENDING AUGUST 31, 2016	
5 CO	ERA ST 3	,
SUNC	FED	
541 541	0F G Al	
JIC SCHOOLS, EIN: 916001541	IRES	
SCF N: 91	DITU R EN	
UBLIC	XPENI . YEA	0
SEATTLE PUBLIC SCHOOLS, KING COUNTY EIN: 916001541	DULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWA FOR FISCAL YEAR ENDING AUGUST 31, 2016	
SEA	SCHEDU FOI	

Federal Agency	Ę			CFDA	Other Iden	Other Identification Number			Expenditures		Passed Through	Foot
Name	Cluster	rass 1 nrougn Agency		No.	Fund	Contract No.	Direct Awards		Pass-Through Awards	Total	to Subrecipients	note
		IdSO	Advanced Placement Program (Advanced Placement Test Fee; Advanced Placement Incentive Program Grants)	84.330	1F43-16	GR0887380		<del>99</del>	14,504.00 \$	14,504.00		
					Subtotal of	Subtotal of CFDA No. 84.330	\$	- 8	24,500.00 \$	24,500.00		
		Puget Sound ESD	Arts in Education	84.351	1L76-15	9115		÷	103.22 \$	103.22		
		Puget Sound ESD	Arts in Education	84.351	1N23-15	9111		<b>6</b> 9	28,501.79 \$	28,501.79		
					Subtotal of	Subtotal of CFDA No. 84.351	\$	-	28,605.01 \$	28,605.01		
			High School Graduation Initiative (2016: Archived)	84.360	1K98-15	S360100166-14	\$ 960,545.61	5.61	<del>59</del>	960,545.61		
		-			Subtotal of	of CFDA No. 84.360	\$ 960,545.61	5.61 \$	-	960,545.61		
		IdSO	English Language Acquisition State Grants	84.365	1C21-15	GR0402120		<del>9</del> 9	17,030.54 \$	17,030.54		
		IdSO	English Language Acquisition State Grants	84.365	1C21-16	GR0402263		se	1,027,006.30 \$	1,027,006.30		
					Subtotal of	Subtotal of CFDA No. 84.365	\$	-	1,044,036.84 \$	1,044,036.84		
		IdSO	Mathematics and Science Partnerships	84.366	1G78-15	GR0555373		\$	33,994.05 \$	33,994.05		
		IdSO	Mathematics and Science Partnerships	84.366	1N18-15	GR0555385		\$	11,158.86 \$	11,158.86		
		IdSO	Mathematics and Science Partnerships	84.366	1N18-16	GR0555395		÷	416,368.22 \$	416,368.22		
					Subtotal of	Subtotal of CFDA No. 84.366	s	•	461,521.13 \$	461,521.13		
		IdSO	Supporting Effective Instruction State Grant (formerly Improving Teacher Quality State Grants)	84.367	1C17-15	GR0523643		<del>60</del>	(19,449.03) \$	(19,449.03)		
		IdSO	Supporting Effective Instruction State Grant (formerly Improving Teacher Ouality State Grants)	84.367	1C17-16	GR0523885		÷	2,941,030.71	2,941,030.71		
					Subtotal of	Subtotal of CFDA No. 84.367	\$	se 1	2,921,581.68 \$	2,921,581.68		
			Teacher and School Leader Incentive Grants (formerly the Teacher Incentive Fund)	84.374	1K99-14	S374A100044-13	\$ (54,188.25)	-		(54,188.25)		
			Teacher and School Leader Incentive Grants (formerly the Teacher Incentive Fund)	84.374	1K99-15	S374A100044-14	\$ 93,556.45	5.45	88	93,556.45		
			Teacher and School Leader Incentive Grants (formerly the Teacher Incentive Fund)	84.374	1K99-16	S374A100044- 14B	\$ 461,447.84	7.84	<del>69</del>	461,447.84		
					Subtotal of	Subtotal of CFDA No. 84.374	\$ 500,816.04	5.04 S	•	500,816.04		
		IdSO	School Improvement Grants	84.377	1 M87-15	GR0225345		s		(2,554.75)		
		OSPI	School Improvement Grants	84.377	1M87-16	1M87-16 GR0225361	G	<del>99</del> 9	1,198,470.55 \$	1,198,470.55		
		NBPTS	Investing in Innovation (i3) Fund	84.411	1M72-14	1303008	6	e ee	-	4,983.50		
					Subtotal of	Subtotal of CFDA No. 84.411	s	s I	4,983.50 \$	4,983.50		
		Puget Sound ESD	Race to the Top - District Grants (Archived 2015)	84.416	1M39-15	8711		s	5,691.46 \$	5,691.46		
		Puget Sound ESD	Race to the Top - District Grants (Archived 2015)	84.416	1 M48-16	9204		\$	40,278.14 \$	40,278.14		

SEATTLE PUBLIC SCHOOLS, KING COUNTY EIN: 916001541 SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS FOR FISCAL YEAR ENDING AUGUST 31, 2016	CEDA Other Identification Number
---	----------------------------------

Federal Agency	Ę	-		CFDA	Other Ident	<b>Other Identification Number</b>		Expenditures		Pa	Passed Through Foot	Foot
Name	Cluster	Pass Through Agency	Federal Prog	No.	Fund	Contract No.	Direct Awards	Pass-Through Awards	rds Total		to Subrecipients note	note
		Puget Sound ESD	Race to the Top - District Grants (Archived 2015)	84.416	1M52-15	8614		\$ 71,325.85	\$	71,325.85		
		Puget Sound ESD	Race to the Top - District Grants (Archived 2015)	84.416	1M52-16	9401		\$ 251,580.72	<del>64</del>	251,580.72		
		Puget Sound ESD	Race to the Top - District Grants (Archived 2015)	84.416	1 M57-14	8471		\$ 42,501.03	\$	42,501.03		
		Puget Sound ESD	Race to the Top - District Grants (Archived 2015)	84.416	1 M59-16	8559		\$ 405,047.78	\$	405,047.78		
		Puget Sound ESD	Race to the Top - District Grants (Archived 2015)	84.416	1M76-15	8590		\$ 43,070.38	\$	43,070.38		
		Puget Sound ESD	Race to the Top - District Grants (Archived 2015)	84.416	1 M88-15	6698		\$ 48,982.97	\$	48,982.97		
		Puget Sound ESD	Race to the Top - District Grants (Archived 2015)	84.416	1M91-16	09355		\$ 53,788.24	<del>9</del>	53,788.24		
		Puget Sound ESD	Race to the Top - District Grants (Archived 2015)	84.416	1N16-15	9065		\$ 366,521.08	s	366,521.08		
		Puget Sound ESD	Race to the Top - District Grants (Archived 2015)	84.416	1N30-16	9208		\$ 332,511.27	s	332,511.27		
					Subtotal of	Subtotal of CFDA No. 84.416	۰ ۶	\$ 1,661,298.92	s	1,661,298.92		
			Su	btotal o	<b>Departme</b>	<b>Subtotal of Department of Education</b>	\$ 1,543,828.61	\$ 33,930,375.18	\$	35,474,203.79		
Department of Health and Human Services			Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services <u>.</u> Projects of Regional and National Significance	93.243	1K96-14	5U79SM060300- 03	\$ (51.95)		<del>99</del>	(51.95)		
			Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services_Projects of Regional and National Significance	93.243	1K96-15	5U79SM060300- 03	\$ 4,862.89		<del>99</del>	4,862.89		
					Subtotal of	Subtotal of CFDA No. 93.243	\$ 4,810.94	\$	s I	4,810.94		
		King County Public Health	Partnerships to Improve Community Health	93.331	1N24-15	CDIP 3927- PREV01- 2015GKB		\$ 107,650.22	<del>99</del>	107,650.22		
		King County Public Health	Partnerships to Improve Community Health	93.331	1N24-16	PREV01- 2015GKB		\$ 38,809.96	\$	38,809.96		
		King County Public Health	Partnerships to Improve Community Health	93.331	1N25-15	CDIP3894 (1U58DP005663- 01)		\$ 20,001.89	<del>99</del>	20,001.89		
		King County Public Health	Partnerships to Improve Community Health	93.331	1N25-16	CDIP3894 (1U58DP005663- 01)		\$ 10,470.00	\$	10,470.00		
					Subtotal of	Subtotal of CFDA No. 93.331	•	\$ 176,932.07	\$	176,932.07		
		IdSO	Pregnancy Assistance Fund Program	93.500	1M68-16	GR0179145		\$ 10,000.10	<del>5</del> 9	10,000.10		
					Subtotal of	Subtotal of CFDA No. 93.500	S	\$ 10,000.10	\$	10,000.10		
		SOWA (School Out of WA-YMCA)	Refugee and Entrant Assistance_Discretionary Grants	93.576	1D76-16	Contract		\$ 70,000.71	<del>60</del>	70,000.71		
					Subtotal of	Subtotal of CFDA No. 93.576	•	\$ 70,000.71	<del>9</del>	70,000.71		
			Head Start	93.600	1B60-14					39.69		
			Head Start	93.600	1B60-15	10CH0196/02	\$ 845,837.76		\$	845,837.76		

SEATTLE PUBLIC SCHOOLS, KING COUNTY EIN: 916001541	SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS	FOR FISCAL YEAR ENDING AUGUST 31, 2016	
---	--	--	--

Federal Agency	/ Cluster	Doce Thursday A son or		CFDA	Other Iden	Other Identification Number		Expenditures	tures		Passed Through Foot	oot
Name		г азъ 1 шгоиди Аденсу	reueral rrogram ruue	N0.	Fund	Contract No.	Direct Awards	Pass-Through Awards	Awards	Total	to Subrecipients n	note
			Head Start	93.600	1B60-16	10CH0196-03-00	\$ 3,582,298.26	-	÷	3,582,298.26		
					Subtotal of	Subtotal of CFDA No. 93.600	\$ 4,428,175.71	÷	•	4,428,175.71		
	Medicaid Cluster	Seattle KC - Public Health	Medical Assistance Program	93.778	1A16-14	CHS3274		\$ (33	(33,944.42) \$	(33,944.42)		
	Medicaid Cluster	НСА	Medical Assistance Program	93.778	1000			9	60,749.01 \$	60,749.01		
					Subtotal of	Subtotal of Medicaid Cluster	•	\$ 20	26,804.59 \$	26,804.59		
		IdSO	Assistance Programs for Chronic Disease Prevention and Control	93.945	1M75-16	GR0930218		\$	8,654.03 \$	8,654.03		
					Subtotal of	Subtotal of CFDA No. 93.945	-	\$	8,654.03 \$	8,654.03		
		King County -Mental Health, Chemical Abuse and Dependency Service Division	Block Grants for Prevention and Treatment of Substance Abuse	93.959	1L93-15	5726463		\$	29,860.76 \$	29,860.76		
		King County -Mental Health, Chemical Abuse and Dependency Service Division	Block Grants for Prevention and Treatment of Substance Abuse	93.959	1L93-16	5726463		\$	77,419.59 \$	77,419.59		
		King County -Mental Health, Chemical Abuse and Dependency Service Division	Block Grants for Prevention and Treatment of Substance Abuse	93.959	1L93-17	5726463		\$ 5	10,415.00 \$	10,415.00		
					Subtotal of	Subtotal of CFDA No. 93.959	•	s 11	117,695.35 \$	117,695.35		
			Subtotal of Department of Health and Human Services	nent of H	ealth and ]	Human Services	\$ 4,432,986.65	\$ 410,	410,086.85 \$	4,843,073.50		
Miscellaneous		Corporation For Public Broadcasting	Radio Community Service Grant	666.66	1N51-16	3		\$	43,199.46 \$	43,199.46		
					Subtotal o	<b>Subtotal of Miscellaneous</b>	•	\$ 43,	43,199.46 \$	43,199.46		
TOTAL FED	ERAL AWAR	FOTAL FEDERAL AWARDS EXPENDED					\$ 6,052,068.03	\$ 45,268,879.50	879.50 \$	51,320,947.53		

e
Ξ
B
che
Sc
6
Ř.
-
<b>t</b>
S
Ť.
2

### Note 1 - BASIS OF ACCOUNTING

federally funded portions of the program. District records should be consulted to determine amounts expended or matched from non-federal The Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is prepared on the modified accrual basis of accounting. Expenditures represent only the sources

# Note 2 - NON CASH AWARDS - FOOD COMMODITIES

The amount of food commodities reported on the schedule is the market value of commodities distributed by the School District during the current year. The value is determined by the USDA.

# Note 3 - SCHOOLWIDE PROGRAMS

entire educational program within a school for all students, rather than limit services to certain targeted students. The following federal The district operates a "schoolwide program" in 31 buildings. Using federal funding, schoolwide programs are designed to upgrade an program amounts were expended by the District in its schoolwide programs:

Title I Grants to Local Educational Agencies	84.010	$\boldsymbol{\diamond}$	4,958,468.86
Title I State Agency Program for Neglected and Delinquent Children and Youth	84.013	$\boldsymbol{\diamond}$	329,233.36
School Improvement Grants	84.377	$\boldsymbol{\diamond}$	1,155,390.62
Race to the Top - District Grants (Archived 2015)	84.416	$\boldsymbol{\diamond}$	41,378.02
Total		÷	6,484,470.86

### Note 4 - UNIT COST CONTRACTS

Under certain programs, the district receives a fixed amount for the activity, regardless of the district's expenditures. Expenditures for these programs are listed as the amount received from the grantor.

# Note 5 - FEDERAL INDIRECT RATE

Seattle School District's Federal Restricted rates are as follows:

4.70%	3.51%	3.96%
FY 2014/2015	FY 2015/2016	FY 2016/2017

The Seattle School District has not elected to use the 10-percent de minimis indirect cost rate allowed under the Uniform Guidance

### CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN FOR FINDINGS REPORTED UNDER UNIFORM GUIDANCE

### Seattle School District No. 1 King County September 1, 2015 through August 31, 2016

This schedule presents the corrective action planned by the auditee for findings reported in this report in accordance with Title 2 *U.S. Code of Federal Regulations* (CFR) Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). The information in this schedule is the representation of the Seattle School District No. 1.

Finding ref number:	Finding caption:	
2016-001	The District did not have adequate internal controls to ensure compliance with procurement requirements for its School	
2010-001	Improvement Grant.	
Name, address, and t	elephone of auditee contact person:	
Amy Fleming, Directo	r of Accounting	
2445 3rd Ave S.		
Seattle, WA 98104		
(206) 252-0274		
Corrective action the	auditee plans to take in response to the finding:	
providers considered of procurement training additional training.	res including documentation requirements for awarding contracts to as sole source have been updated and revised. In addition, an online video has been created and will be used as a tool for staff that need Monitoring of contracts have been tightened during 16-17 to ensure ed at the building level.	

Anticipated date to complete the corrective action: September 2017

### **ABOUT THE STATE AUDITOR'S OFFICE**

The State Auditor's Office is established in the state's Constitution and is part of the executive branch of state government. The State Auditor is elected by the citizens of Washington and serves four-year terms.

We work with our audit clients and citizens to achieve our vision of government that works for citizens, by helping governments work better, cost less, deliver higher value, and earn greater public trust.

In fulfilling our mission to hold state and local governments accountable for the use of public resources, we also hold ourselves accountable by continually improving our audit quality and operational efficiency and developing highly engaged and committed employees.

As an elected agency, the State Auditor's Office has the independence necessary to objectively perform audits and investigations. Our audits are designed to comply with professional standards as well as to satisfy the requirements of federal, state, and local laws.

Our audits look at financial information and compliance with state, federal and local laws on the part of all local governments, including schools, and all state agencies, including institutions of higher education. In addition, we conduct performance audits of state agencies and local governments as well as <u>fraud</u>, state <u>whistleblower</u> and <u>citizen hotline</u> investigations.

The results of our work are widely distributed through a variety of reports, which are available on our <u>website</u> and through our free, electronic <u>subscription</u> service.

We take our role as partners in accountability seriously, and provide training and technical assistance to governments, and have an extensive quality assurance program.

Contact information for the State Auditor's Office	
Public Records requests	PublicRecords@sao.wa.gov
Main telephone	(360) 902-0370
Toll-free Citizen Hotline	(866) 902-3900
Website	www.sao.wa.gov