

Washington State Auditor's Office

Troy Kelley

Integrity • Respect • Independence

Investigation Report

Department of Natural Resources

For the Investigation Period July 1, 2011 through November 30, 2013

Published November 3, 2014 Report No. 1012889





Washington State Auditor Troy Kelley

November 3, 2014

Department of Natural Resources Olympia, Washington

Report on Fraud Investigation

Attached is the official report on loss at the Department of Natural Resources. On December 9, 2013, the Department notified the State Auditor's Office of a potential loss of public funds. This notification was submitted to us under the provisions of state law (RCW 43.09.185).

This report contains the results of our investigation of the former equipment technicians' unallowable activities at the Department from July 1, 2011 through November 30, 2013. The purpose of our investigation was to determine if a loss had occurred.

Our investigation was performed under the authority of state law (RCW 43.09.330) and included procedures we considered necessary under the circumstances.

Questions about this report should be directed to Sarah Walker, Fraud Manager, at (509) 454-3621.

Twy X. Kelley

TROY KELLEY STATE AUDITOR

cc: Mr. Ben Hainline, Internal Audit Manager

FRAUD INVESTIGATION REPORT

Investigation Summary

On December 9, 2013, the Department's internal audit manager notified our Office regarding a potential loss of public funds, as required by state law.

The Department conducted an investigation into the matter and determined that a violation of Department policies did occur at the Larch Corrections Center equipment maintenance shop, which led to an estimated loss to the state of approximately \$63,000 between July 1, 2011 and November 30, 2013. We have reviewed the Department's investigation and agree with the conclusions. We performed additional procedures to address risks identified during our review.

The Department shared the results of its investigation with the state Executive Ethics Board. We will refer this case to the Clark County Prosecuting Attorney's Office for any further action it determines is necessary.

Background and Investigation Results

Two equipment technicians at a Department of Natural Resources equipment maintenance shop located at Larch Corrections Center failed to follow state law and Department policy by procuring parts from a vendor that was not on the state's master contract, making questionable parts purchases and exceeding their purchasing authority on multiple occasions without supervisory approval. The Department discovered the issue during a routine audit and conducted an internal investigation, which is summarized below. As a result of the allegations, the two employees were placed on home assignment and subsequently resigned. They have been employed by the Department since 1980 and 1982, respectively.

The Department, located in Thurston County, operates nine shops throughout the state, which provide maintenance and repairs for vehicles and heavy duty equipment. In fiscal year 2013, the Department maintenance shops spent almost \$4.8 million in repairs for vehicles and heavy duty equipment.

The Department's investigation focused on the purchasing history and inventory procedures at the Larch Corrections Center from July 2011 to November 2013. The investigation revealed the following:

• Overpayment for goods procured from nonauthorized vendor.

From July 1, 2011 to November 30, 2013 the technicians purchased \$210,301 of parts and services from a nonauthorized parts vendor. A sample of invoices from the vendor totaling \$10,426 was selected for examination. The internal investigation revealed that the state overpaid by approximately \$2,522 by not purchasing from a vendor on the state's master contract. Based on the purchase volume over the examination period, the

internal investigation concluded that the state likely overpaid by an estimated \$51,000 for the inventory items purchased from the nonauthorized parts vendor.

Additional inquiries were made to determine whether a personal relationship exists between the two employees and the vendor. No indication of inappropriate relations was found; however, subsequent inquiries revealed that the two employees knew the sales person who had previously worked at a different, state approved vendor but began working for the competitor sometime in 2011.

• *Questionable Part Purchases*

An analysis of the maintenance records identified 20 vehicles with notably higher cost of maintenance as compared to other repair shops. Further examination by the Department's internal audit function revealed \$11,712 in questionable parts purchases that appeared excessive, unnecessary and/or inconsistent with the type of vehicle or maintenance frequency schedule. Upon further review of the supporting documentation, we discovered an additional \$692 in parts not included in the original total bringing the questioned purchases total to \$12,404.

• Exceeding Purchase Authority without Required Supervisory Approval

The investigation also revealed that the two technicians exceeded their purchase authority 137 times during the examination period without usually obtaining the required supervisory approval by requesting separate invoices from the vendor and charging the purchases to multiple purchase orders. This was in violation of Department policy, which sets the purchase authority for equipment technicians to \$1,500 for light fleet and \$2,500 for heavy duty equipment.

In order to determine if any additional losses occurred, we examined requested invoices from the vendor for years 2011, 2012 and 2013. We reviewed the invoices to identify the amount of credits issued during the period. We also spoke to vendor staff who confirmed that refunds for items returned were credited to the Department's account except for a single refund of \$264 paid by check. We verified with the Department that the check was received.

Control weaknesses

Internal controls at the Department were not adequate to safeguard public resources. We found the following weaknesses allowed the loss to occur:

- The Department does not have an effective control in place to ensure payments are only made to vendors listed on the state's master contract.
- The Department did not follow its established inventory procedures and failed to account for all stock items. The immediate supervisor did not perform an adequate review of the

facility and did not ensure that all items are recorded in inventory. A walk-through of the Larch Corrections Center revealed 4,616 stock items that were not in the Department inventory.

- The immediate supervisor did not review work orders to ensure that the technicians did not exceed their purchase authority.
- Appropriate inventory levels were not set as required by department policy making it more difficult to identify the purchase of excessive quantities of inventory.

Recommendation

We recommend the Department strengthen internal controls over purchasing and inventory. Specifically, inventory counts should be conducted periodically and reconciliation to the financial records should be made. Discrepancies should be promptly investigated.

Inventory purchases should be reviewed by a supervisor and, if possible, by a person independent of the purchasing function who is knowledgeable of the types of activities for which inventory is purchased and can assess the reasonableness of the type of items purchased.

Inventory stock levels should be established and compared against actual purchases to ensure adequate oversight and monitoring to safeguard public resources and compliance with Department policies.

A sample of vehicle maintenance records should be reviewed periodically by someone with the appropriate technical knowledge to identify parts or repairs that appear excessive or inappropriate.

The Department should also establish safeguards to ensure that only purchases from authorized vendors are paid unless an exception is granted by a supervisor.

We also recommend the Department consider recovering the losses and related investigation costs of \$5,340 from the former equipment technicians and/or Office of Risk Management, Department of Enterprise Services, as appropriate. Any compromise or settlement of this claim by the Department must be approved in writing by the Attorney General and State Auditor as directed by state law (RCW 43.09.330). Assistant Attorney General Matt Kernutt is the contact person for the Attorney General's Office and can be reached at 360-586-0740 or mattk1@atg.wa.gov. The contact for the State Auditor's Office is Deputy Director of Local Audit Sadie Armijo, who can be reached at (360) 676-2165 ext. 108 or Sadie.Armijo@sao.wa.gov.

Department's Response

The Department of Natural Resources (DNR) would like to thank the State Auditor's Office for their work on this special report. We appreciate their thoroughness and professionalism as we worked together to address these actions.

We concur with the findings and agree with the auditor's recommendations.

Since discovery of the loss, DNR has strengthened internal controls, including centralized review and accountability of repair operations and activities.

State Auditor's Office Remarks

We thank Department officials and personnel for their thorough investigation and for their timely assistance and cooperation with our review.

ABOUT THE STATE AUDITOR'S OFFICE

The State Auditor's Office is established in the state's Constitution and is part of the executive branch of state government. The State Auditor is elected by the citizens of Washington and serves four-year terms.

We work with our audit clients and citizens to achieve our vision of government that works for citizens, by helping governments work better, cost less, deliver higher value, and earn greater public trust.

In fulfilling our mission to hold state and local governments accountable for the use of public resources, we also hold ourselves accountable by continually improving our audit quality and operational efficiency and developing highly engaged and committed employees.

As an elected agency, the State Auditor's Office has the independence necessary to objectively perform audits and investigations. Our audits are designed to comply with professional standards as well as to satisfy the requirements of federal, state, and local laws.

Our audits look at financial information and compliance with state, federal and local laws on the part of all local governments, including schools, and all state agencies, including institutions of higher education. In addition, we conduct performance audits of state agencies and local governments as well as <u>fraud</u>, state <u>whistleblower</u> and <u>citizen hotline</u> investigations.

The results of our work are widely distributed through a variety of reports, which are available on our <u>website</u> and through our free, electronic <u>subscription</u> service.

We take our role as partners in accountability seriously, and provide training and technical assistance to governments, and have an extensive quality assurance program.

| Contact information for the State Auditor's Office | |
|--|---------------------------|
| Deputy Director for Communications | Thomas Shapley |
| | Thomas.Shapley@sao.wa.gov |
| | (360) 902-0367 |
| Public Records requests | (360) 725-5617 |
| Main telephone | (360) 902-0370 |
| Toll-free Citizen Hotline | (866) 902-3900 |
| Website | www.sao.wa.gov |