

Independence • Respect • Integrity

Financial Statements and Federal Single Audit Report

City of Bellevue

King County

For the period January 1, 2014 through December 31, 2014

Published September 14, 2015 Report No. 1014811





Washington State Auditor's Office

September 14, 2015

Mayor and City Council City of Bellevue Bellevue, Washington

Report on Financial Statements and Federal Single Audit

Please find attached our report on the City of Bellevue's financial statements and compliance with federal laws and regulations.

We are issuing this report in order to provide information on the City's financial condition.

Sincerely,

JAN M. JUTTE, CPA, CGFM

Jan M Jutte

ACTING STATE AUDITOR

OLYMPIA, WA

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Federal Summary	4
Schedule Of Audit Findings And Responses	6
Independent Auditor's Report On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting And On Compliance And Other Matters Based On An Audit Of Financial Statements Performed In Accordance With <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	18
Independent Auditor's Report On Compliance For Each Major Federal Program And On Internal Control Over Compliance In Accordance With Omb Circular A-133	21
Independent Auditor's Report On Financial Statements	24
Financial Section	28
Corrective Action Plan For Findings Reported Under Omb Circular A-133	. 114
About The State Auditor's Office	. 116

FEDERAL SUMMARY

City of Bellevue King County January 1, 2014 through December 31, 2014

The results of our audit of the City of Bellevue are summarized below in accordance with U.S. Office of Management and Budget Circular A-133.

Financial Statements

An unmodified opinion was issued on the basic financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the aggregate discretely presented component units, each major fund and the aggregate remaining fund information.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting:

- *Significant Deficiencies:* We identified deficiencies in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be significant deficiencies.
- *Material Weaknesses:* We identified no deficiencies that we consider to be material weaknesses.

We noted no instances of noncompliance that were material to the basic financial statements of the City.

Federal Awards

Internal Control Over Major Programs:

- Significant Deficiencies: We reported no deficiencies in the design or operation of internal control over major federal programs that we consider to be significant deficiencies.
- *Material Weaknesses:* We identified no deficiencies that we consider to be material weaknesses.

We issued an unmodified opinion on the City's compliance with requirements applicable to its major federal program.

We reported no findings that are required to be disclosed under section 510(a) of OMB Circular A-133.

Identification of Major Programs:

The following was a major program during the period under audit:

<u>CFDA No.</u>	<u>Program Title</u>
20.205	Highway Planning and Construction Cluster - Highway Planning and
	Construction

The dollar threshold used to distinguish between Type A and Type B programs, as prescribed by OMB Circular A-133, was \$300,000.

The City qualified as a low-risk auditee under OMB Circular A-133.

SCHEDULE OF AUDIT FINDINGS AND RESPONSES

City of Bellevue King County January 1, 2014 through December 31, 2014

2014-001 The City's controls over financial statement preparation are inadequate to ensure accurate and complete financial reporting.

Background

It is the responsibility of City management to design and follow internal controls that provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting.

Government Auditing Standards, prescribed by the Comptroller General of the United States, require the auditor to communicate significant deficiencies as defined below in the Applicable Laws and Regulations section, as a finding.

Description of Condition

The City's financial statement preparation process is complex. The City's yearend financial statements are prepared by multiple people, each of whom prepares a different section of the statements. We noted that a sufficient detailed review and reconciliation of the financial statements were not performed to ensure the statements were accurate and complete.

The City prepares and submits for audit a comprehensive annual financial report (CAFR) in a Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 34 reporting format. These types of statements are complex.

The City's financial statement preparation and review process was not sufficiently detailed to detect the misstatements identified. Our audit identified deficiencies in internal controls that, when taken together, represent a significant deficiency.

Utility accounts receivables

When the City receives utility payments, the amounts are posted to a utility holding account. The payments are then uploaded to the utility billing system to reduce the customer account balance. City procedures require monthly reconciliations of the utility billing system and the Accounts Receivable balance in the general ledger to ensure the automated uploads were accurate and complete. However, in 2014, no reconciliations were performed. Instead, the automated system was relied on to ensure uploads were successful. In 2014 there were eight days in which the system reported the upload was successful; however the system

uploads had failed, or partially failed. The utility holding account is recorded in the Water Utility Fund and daily, the balance is allocated to the appropriate utility funds based on actual receipts.

As a result, accounts receivable in the Water Utility Fund was understated and cash was overstated. The other utility funds accounts receivable were overstated and cash was understated. However, the accounts and amounts were subsequently corrected for financial reporting.

Capital assets

The City reported \$26.3 million in the construction in progress balance for the Governmental Activities. However, this should have been reported as land.

The City reported three projects totaling \$2.2 million as completed in 2014. However, we determined the projects were completed in 2010. Further, the department tracked on-going, program costs in the construction in progress account. These projects should have been removed from construction in progress four years ago and reported in the appropriate capital asset category.

However, the account and amounts were subsequently reclassified for financial reporting.

Restricted Cash and Equity in Pooled Investments and Restricted Net Position, for Capital Projects

The City's practice is to evaluate restricted cash and net position balances when a significant change in activity occurs. For 2014, the City did not identify a significant change and therefore did not perform the evaluation.

The City reported restricted cash and restricted net position balances in its three utility funds. The balances should have been reported as unrestricted because the restrictions are not externally imposed. Accounting standards only allow funds to be reported as restricted when there are externally imposed limitations.

However, the account and amounts were subsequently reclassified for financial reporting.

Cause of Condition

Utility accounts receivables

City staff did not perform the reconciliation as required by City procedure and management did not monitor to ensure the reconciliations were performed timely.

Further, there were only two City employees that received system notifications in the event an upload had errors. The two individuals worked in the Procurement

department who were not responsible for the utility uploads and therefore, were not monitoring the notification emails.

Capital assets

Due to staff turnover, the process did not operate as designed for year-end 2014 which resulted in land purchases being recorded to construction in progress The City's process is to review and identify non-construction in progress related items. This balance was subsequently reclassified for financial reporting.

In the case of the \$2.2 million misclassification, the accountant confirmed the status of capital projects at year-end with the project manager. However, the decentralized department staff who was responsible for coding costs to construction in progress had a different definition of completed when providing the status of capital projects at year end. And department staff did not fully understand which costs are allowed to be capitalized. Although the projects were completed four years ago, the project manager considered the project open due to subsequent program costs to monitor and verify that the project objectives were met. As a result, the project manager communicated to the accountant that the projects were still open. The amount affected the beginning balance of construction in progress and the infrastructure account.

Restricted Cash and Equity in Pooled Investments and Restricted Net Position, for Capital Projects

The City's presentation of Restricted Cash and Equity in Pooled Investments and Restricted Net Position for Capital Projects in the financial statements was the result of an historical analysis performed in which the individuals were unaware of the difference in the definitions of restrictions per city policy and those required by accounting standards.

However, the accounts and amounts were subsequently reclassified for financial reporting.

Effect of Condition

The control deficiencies identified above represent a significant deficiency. As a result of our audit inquiry, the City identified the following errors and subsequently corrected the financial statements:

<u>Utility accounts receivables</u>

• Water Utility Fund: Accounts receivable was understated by \$2.0 million. The amount originally reported was \$2.8 million when it should have been \$4.8 million. Further, cash was overstated by \$2.0 million.

- Sewer Utility Fund: Accounts receivable was overstated by \$1.0 million. The amount originally reported was \$10.1 million when it should have been \$9.1 million. Further, cash was understated by \$1.0 million.
- Storm and Surface Water Utility Fund: Accounts receivable was overstated by \$965,000. The amount originally reported was \$4.2 million when it should have been \$3.2 million. Further, cash was understated by \$965,000.

Capital assets

- Construction in progress was overstated \$26.4 million and land was understated by the same amount for the Governmental Activities opinion unit.
- Construction in progress beginning balance and deletions were overstated \$2.2 million in the Storm and Surface Water Utility Fund opinion unit.
- Accumulated depreciation balance was understated by \$176,185 in the Storm and Surface Water Utility Fund opinion unit.
- Depreciation expense balance was understated by \$44,046 in the Storm and Surface Water Utility Fund opinion unit.

Restricted Cash and Equity in Pooled Investments and Restricted Net Position, for Capital Projects

- Water Utility Fund: \$45.2 million in both the Restricted Cash and Equity in Pooled Investments balance and Restricted Net Position, for Capital Projects balance.
- Sewer Utility Fund: \$59.3 million in the Restricted Cash and Equity in Pooled Investments balance and \$74.3 million in the Restricted Net Position, for Capital Projects balance.
- Storm and Surface Water Utility Fund: \$45.9 million in both the Restricted Cash and Equity in Pooled Investments balance and Restricted Net Position, for Capital Projects balance.

Recommendation

We recommend the City establish internal controls to:

• Perform monthly reconciliations of the Accounts Receivable balances between the utility billing system and the accounting system to ensure the automated uploads successfully posted into the general ledger.

- Ensure appropriate decentralized staff receive system notifications when there are errors in the automated uploads.
- Confirm with decentralized departments the status of capital projects at year-end and provide appropriate resources on when capital projects are considered complete or in service.
- Evaluate the classification of significant account balances to determine whether the current method of reporting is accurate.

City's Response

Overall:

The City strongly disagrees that a significant deficiency exists in the control surrounding the preparation of the financial statements. The City does agree the preparation of the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) is complex and the frequency of changes in Government Accounting Standards Board (GASB) pronouncements creates challenges for all governments. The team of staff who prepare and review the financial statements are highly qualified, competent, and conscientious staff who work many hours to ensure the financial statements are fairly stated and in conformance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP). The process to prepare and review the CAFR is collaborative and extensive, given the time available.

The City has prepared a CAFR for over 38 years and has received the Award for Excellence in Financial Reporting for the Government Finance Officers Association (GFOA) for 34 of those years. The 2013 CAFR award represented the 30th consecutive award.

State Auditor's Office (SAO) management has significantly changed the environment in which the City works with the SAO. The City received a notice from the state auditor's office that stated "To ensure our office has adequate time to meet your deadlines, we are requesting complete and final financial statements are ready by the following dates...CAFR April 1st...If you don't meet the deadlines outlined above, it is likely you will have to request an extension from the Government Finance Officers Association." The auditors' ascertain on requiring final financial statements was based on Statements on Auditing Standards (SAS) 112 which was superseded by new standards (AU-C Section 265). Through discussion with the auditors, the City was allowed to provide complete and final statements by May 1. The City met the revised deadline, though, preliminary statements would not be accepted by the auditor if the City

Washington State Auditor's Office Page 10

_

¹ Letter from Renee Meyer, Audit Manager, SAO to Diane McPherson, Accounting Manager, City of Bellevue received fall 2013.

wanted a timely audit. The city contends that state law provides 150 days (May 30) to provide final financial statements to the auditor's office and that the earlier dates that the auditor demanded is not a requirement of Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards. If the state auditor's office initiated the audit with preliminary statements, City staff would be provided adequate preparation and review time as existed under previous audit teams. Authoritative guidance used to ascertain the City's position is presented below the City's response.

The auditors were provided eight weeks to audit the financial statements. During that time they reviewed many accounts, statements and note disclosures and based on their inquiry, one reconciliation/system error item and one classification item were discovered. A second classification item was discovered by the city; however, because the statements were considered "final", this was added to the aggregation of errors.

Utility Accounts Receivable:

Background: The City's general ledger system receives electronic lock box data into a temporary location within the system. An email notice stating whether the upload was successful is sent to the utility billing manager. In the instances where the uploads ultimately failed to post to the accounting system, the billing manager received emails reporting the file uploaded successfully. The next step in the system is to post the uploaded data to the general ledger. A message on the success or failure of this step is housed within the system and was not accessible by key staff. In 2014, reconciliations were not completed due workload and the automated system process was relied on to ensure uploads were successful. Monthly accounts receivable reconciliations between the utility billing system and the general ledger, and/or appropriate staff receiving the error messages would have identified the system failures despite not having access to the system failure notice.

Since the discovery of the utility accounts receivable issue, the reconciliation process has been completely reviewed and several redundant controls set in place to identify when upload errors occur and management is monitoring the reconciliations. This includes access to the success/failure messages by both Utility and Finance/Accounting staff as well utility management and finance department oversight over the reconciliation process.

Capital Projects:

The two issues related to capital assets are classification issues on the Statement of Net Position and there was no misstatement of total capital assets or net position. We do agree that there were process faults in classifications and the

City is improving the process of recording the construction in progress account and educating project managers on what is required to be reported regarding project nature and status. Staff caught the issue in their own continual review of the financials and corrected it independently of the auditor's discovery. The misclassification was corrected by the City and updated information was provided to the auditors.

Restricted Cash and Equity in Pooled Investments and Restricted Net Position, for Capital Projects:

This is a classification issue on the Statement of Net Position and there was no misstatement of Net Position. Council policy requires reserves to be set aside in the Utility Funds for repair and replacement in an effort to share more equitably the burden of such costs among current and future customers and reduce reliance on debt. Under Council policy, these funds are restricted in use. Since 2002, when GASB 34 was implemented, these reserves have been reported as restricted on the Statement of Net Position. These reserves have not be questioned by the auditors in the previous annual audits. Upon GAAP review, the City agrees that restricted classifications are limited to external contracts and laws, and the funds must be shown as unrestricted. However, it is the City's opinion that the readers of the CAFR could be misled to believe those balances are now available for any purpose. Further, the auditor inquiry was about a different area of concern regarding restrictions of assets and it was City staff who discovered the misclassification. Although initially sparked by the auditors, they did not discover the misclassification this year or in the past twelve years. The City as corrected the classification.

Finally, it must be noted, that due to the State Auditor's Office change in policy to wait for final financial statements prior to initiating the audit and the City's desire to continue to seek the Award for Excellence in Financial Reporting, the City's focus placed greater emphasis on areas where a change in GAAP or change in the underlying nature of activity took place. Further review focus was placed on high-risk activity and significant balance changes. This is a normal course of business and must be done in order to meet the receded timeframe to present final statements within the auditor's timeline.

<u>GAGAS – Yellow Book</u>

Chapter 3 – General Standards

Preparing Accounting Records and Financial Statements

3.51 Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in accordance with the applicable financial reporting framework, even if the auditor assisted in drafting those financial statements.

Consequently, an auditor's acceptance of responsibility for the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that the auditor will subsequently audit would impair the auditor's independence.

- **3.52** Services related to preparing accounting records and financial statements that an auditor may be able to provide to an audited entity if the conditions in paragraph 3.46 are met include:
 - **a.** recording transactions for which management has determined or approved the appropriate account classification, or posting coded transactions to an audited entity's general ledger;
 - **b.** preparing financial statements based on information in the trial balance;

<u>AICPA</u>

AU-C sec 200.03

Audit of Financial Statements para. .05

Financial statements subject to audit are those of the entity, prepared and presented by management of the entity with oversight from those charged with governance. GAAS do not impose responsibilities on management or those charged with governance and do not override laws and regulations that govern their responsibilities. However, an audit in accordance with GAAS is conducted on the premise that management, and, when appropriate, those charged with governance have acknowledged certain responsibilities that are fundamental to the conduct of the audit. The audit of the financial statements does not relieve management or those charged with governance of their responsibilities.

Preparation and Fair Presentation of the Financial Statements

(Ref: par. .05)

A4 The auditor may make suggestions about the form or content of the financial statements, or assist management by drafting them, in whole or in part, based on information provided to the auditor by management <u>during</u> the performance of the audit. However, the auditor's responsibility for the audited financial statements is confined to the expression of the auditor's opinion on them.

<u>RCW</u>

43.09.230

Local government accounting — Annual reports — Comparative statistics.

The state auditor shall require from every local government financial reports covering the full period of each fiscal year, in accordance with the forms and

methods prescribed by the state auditor, which shall be uniform for all accounts of the same class.

Such reports shall be prepared, certified, and filed with the state auditor within one hundred fifty days after the close of each fiscal year.

The reports shall contain accurate statements, in summarized form, of all collections made, or receipts received, by the officers from all sources; all accounts due the public treasury, but not collected; and all expenditures for every purpose, and by what authority authorized; and also: (1) A statement of all costs of ownership and operation, and of all income, of each and every public service industry owned and operated by a local government; (2) a statement of the entire public debt of every local government, to which power has been delegated by the state to create a public debt, showing the purpose for which each item of the debt was created, and the provisions made for the payment thereof; (3) a classified statement of all receipts and expenditures by any public institution; and (4) a statement of all expenditures for labor relations consultants, with the identification of each consultant, compensation, and the terms and conditions of each agreement or arrangement; together with such other information as may be required by the state auditor.

The reports shall be certified as to their correctness by the state auditor, the state auditor's deputies, or other person legally authorized to make such certification.

Their substance shall be published in an annual volume of comparative statistics at the expense of the state as a public document.

[1995 c 301 § 12; 1993 c 18 § 2; 1989 c 168 § 1; 1977 c 75 § 41; 1965 c 8 § 43.09.230. Prior: 1909 c 76 § 5; RRS § 9955.]

Auditor's Remarks

The City elects to prepare a Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) in order to receive the Award for Excellence in Financial Reporting. This is an optional award that has a June 30th deadline. As a result, our Office is required to perform the financial statement audit sooner than those local governments who do not seek the certification. There is additional work required from the Auditor's Office in order for the City to receive its Award for Excellence in Financial Reporting as a result additional time in needed.

The 150-day reporting requirement (RCW 43.09.230) is a separate reporting requirement and does not correspond with the timing for completing the audit work necessary for the City to submit the CAFR award.

Government Audit Standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement. It is not effective to perform audit procedures using draft financial statements as it limits our ability to perform the evaluations required by audit standards.

We thank the City for its commitment to fiscal accountability and accurate financial reporting.

Applicable Laws and Regulations

RCW 43.09.200 – Local government accounting – Uniform system of accounting, states in part:

The state auditor shall formulate, prescribe, and install a system of accounting and reporting for all local governments, which shall be uniform for every public institution, and every public office, and every public account of the same class.

Budgeting, Accounting and Reporting System (BARS) Manual, Accounting, Accounting Principles and General Procedures, Internal Control, states in part:

Internal control is a management process for keeping an entity on course in achieving its business objectives, as adopted by the governing body. This management control system should ensure that resources are guarded against waste, loss and misuse; that reliable data is obtained, maintained, and fairly disclosed in financial statement and other reports; and resource use is consistent with laws, regulations and policies.

Each entity is responsible for establishing and maintaining an effective system of internal control throughout their government.

Government Auditing Standards, July 2007 Revision - Section 5.11, states in part:

For all financial audits, auditors should report the following deficiencies in internal control:

a. Significant deficiency: a deficiency in internal control, or combination of deficiencies, that adversely affects the entity's ability to initiate, authorize, record, processor report financial data reliably in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles such that there is a more than remote likelihood that a misstatement of the entity's

financial statements that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected.

b. Material weakness: a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the financial statements will not be prevented or detected.

Government Auditing Standards, December 2011 Revision, paragraph 4.23 states:

4.23 When performing GAGAS financial audits, auditors should communicate in the report on internal control over financial reporting and compliance, based upon the work performed, (1) significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in internal control; (2) instances of fraud and noncompliance with provisions of laws or regulations that have a material effect on the audit and any other instances that warrant the attention of those charged with governance; (3) noncompliance with provisions of contracts or grant agreements that has a material effect on the audit; and (4) abuse that has a material effect on the audit.

The American Institute of Certified Public Accountants defines material weaknesses and significant deficiencies in its Codification of Statements on Auditing Standards, Section 265 as follows:

.07 For purposes of generally accepted auditing standards, the following terms have the meanings attributed as follows:

Material weakness. A deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis.

Significant deficiency. A deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

.09 If the auditor has identified one or more deficiencies in internal control, the auditor should evaluate each deficiency to determine, on the basis of the audit work performed, whether, individually or in combination, they constitute significant deficiencies or material weaknesses.

.10 If the auditor determines that a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control is not a material weakness, the auditor should consider whether prudent officials, having knowledge of the same facts and circumstances, would likely reach the same conclusion.

Governmental Accounting Standards Board, Statement No. 34, paragraph 34 states:

Restricted Net Assets

- 34. Net assets should be reported as restricted when constraints placed on net asset use are either:
 - a. Externally imposed by creditors (such as through debt covenants), grantors, contributors, or laws or regulations of other governments
 - b. Imposed by law through constitutional provisions or enabling legislation.

Enabling legislation, as the term is used in this Statement, authorizes the government to assess, levy, charge, or otherwise mandate payment of resources (from external resource providers) and includes a legally enforceable requirement that those resources be used only for the specific purposes stipulated in the legislation.

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

City of Bellevue King County January 1, 2014 through December 31, 2014

Mayor and City Council City of Bellevue Bellevue, Washington

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the aggregate discretely presented component units, each major fund and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Bellevue, King County, Washington, as of and for the year ended December 31, 2014, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated July 24, 2015. As discussed in Note 1 to the financial statements, during the year ended December 31, 2014, the City implemented Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 67, *Financial Reporting for Pension Plans – an amendment of GASB Statement No.* 25.

INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable

possibility that a material misstatement of City's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. We did identify certain deficiencies in internal control, described in the accompanying Schedule of Audit Findings and Responses as Finding 2014-001 that we consider to be significant deficiencies.

COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of the City's compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

CITY'S REPONSE TO FINDINGS

The City's response to the findings identified in our audit is described in the accompanying Schedule of Audit Findings and Responses. The City's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the response.

PURPOSE OF THIS REPORT

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose. However,

this report is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited. It also serves to disseminate information to the public as a reporting tool to help citizens assess government operations.

JAN M. JUTTE, CPA, CGFM

ACTING STATE AUDITOR

OLYMPIA, WA

July 24, 2015

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH OMB CIRCULAR A-133

City of Bellevue King County January 1, 2014 through December 31, 2014

Mayor and City Council City of Bellevue Bellevue, Washington

REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM

We have audited the compliance of the City of Bellevue, King County, Washington, with the types of compliance requirements described in the U.S. *Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended December 31, 2014. The City's major federal programs are identified in the accompanying Federal Summary.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants applicable to its federal programs.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of the City's major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program

occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. Our audit does not provide a legal determination on the City's compliance.

Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

In our opinion, the City complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended December 31, 2014.

REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program in order to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal

control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

PURPOSE OF THIS REPORT

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of OMB Circular A-133. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose. However, this report is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited. It also serves to disseminate information to the public as a reporting tool to help citizens assess government operations.

JAN M. JUTTE, CPA, CGFM

fan M futte

ACTING STATE AUDITOR

OLYMPIA, WA

July 24, 2015

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

City of Bellevue King County January 1, 2014 through December 31, 2014

Mayor and City Council City of Bellevue Bellevue, Washington

REPORT ON THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the aggregate discretely presented component units, each major fund and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Bellevue, King County, Washington, as of and for the year ended December 31, 2014, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements as listed on page 28.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial

statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the City's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

Opinion

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the aggregate discretely presented component units, each major fund and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Bellevue, as of December 31, 2014, and the respective changes in financial position and, where applicable, cash flows thereof for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Matters of Emphasis

As discussed in Note 1 to the financial statements, in 2014, the City adopted new accounting guidance, Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 67, *Financial Reporting for Pension Plans – an amendment of GASB Statement No. 25*. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

Other Matters

Required Supplementary Information

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the management's discussion and analysis on pages 30 through 39, budgetary comparison information on pages 101 through 106, infrastructure modified approach information on page 107, pension trust fund information on pages 108 through 110, and information on postemployment benefits other than pensions on pages 111 be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required

supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

Supplementary and Other Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements. The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by U.S. Office of Management and Budget Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. This schedule is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

OTHER REPORTING REQUIRED BY GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated July 24, 2015 on our consideration of the City's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report

is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

JAN M. JUTTE, CPA, CGFM

ACTING STATE AUDITOR

OLYMPIA, WA

July 24, 2015

FINANCIAL SECTION

City of Bellevue King County January 1, 2014 through December 31, 2014

REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Management's Discussion and Analysis – 2014

BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Statement of Net Position – 2014

Statement of Activities – 2014

Balance Sheet – Governmental Funds – 2014

Reconciliation of the Governmental Funds Balance Sheet to the Statement of Net Position – 2014

Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance – Governmental Funds – 2014

Reconciliation of the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances of Governmental Funds to the Statement of Activities – 2014

Statement of Fund Net Position – Proprietary Funds – 2014

Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Position – Proprietary Funds – 2014

Statement of Cash Flows – Proprietary Funds – 2014

Statement of Net Position – Fiduciary Funds – 2014

Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Position – Fiduciary Funds – 2014

Notes to Financial Statements - 2014

REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances – Budget and Actual – General Fund – 2014

Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances – Budget and Actual – Human Services Fund – 2014

Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances – Budget and Actual – Land Purchase Revolving Fund – 2014

Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances – Budget and Actual – Franchise Fund – 2014

Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances – Budget and Actual – Parks Fees Fund – 2014

Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances – Budget and Actual – Development Services Fund – 2014

Schedule of Modified Approach for Reporting Infrastructure Assets – 2014 Firefighter's Pension Trust Fund – 2014 Information on Postemployment Benefits Other Than Pensions – 2014

SUPPLEMENTARY AND OTHER INFORMATION

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards – 2014 Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards – 2014

Management's Discussion and Analysis

This narrative provides an overview and analysis of the City of Bellevue's financial activities for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014. The purpose is to highlight significant financial issues, major financial activities and resulting changes in financial position, and economic factors affecting the city. Readers are encouraged to consider the information presented here in conjunction with the information furnished in the letter of transmittal in the introductory section and the city's financial statements and accompanying notes following the narrative.

Financial Highlights

The City of Bellevue and Sound Transit are in the final design stages of the East Link light rail system connecting downtown Seattle and downtown Bellevue, set to be completed in 2023. Transportation construction projects related to the light rail, including right of way acquisition were underway in 2014. Several parks facilities projects were near completion in 2014 and will be open for use in the spring and summer of 2015.

Overview of the Financial Statements

This discussion and analysis is intended to serve as an introduction to the City of Bellevue's basic financial statements. The basic statements include three components: 1) government-wide financial statements; 2) fund financial statements; and 3) notes to the financial statements. This report contains other supplementary information in addition to the basic financial statements and required information.

Government-Wide Financial Statements

The government-wide financial statements are designed to provide readers with a broad overview of the city's financial position in a manner similar to that of a private-sector business. These statements are reported on the full accrual basis of accounting. Under the full accrual basis, certain revenues and expenses are reported that will not affect cash flows until future periods.

The government-wide financial statements distinguish governmental activities that are principally supported by taxes and revenues from other agencies from business-type activities that are intended to recover all or a significant portion of their costs through user fees and charges. Governmental activities of the city include general government, public safety, physical and economic environment, transportation, mental/physical health, and culture and recreation. The city's business-type activities consist of marina operations, water, sewer, and storm and surface water utilities. The component unit consists of the Bellevue Convention Center Authority (BCCA), which operates the Meydenbauer Convention Center.

The Statement of Net Position presents information on all of the city's assets, deferred inflows of resources, liabilities, and deferred outflows of resources. The difference between these is reported as net position. Evaluating increases or decreases over time can serve as a useful indicator of whether the financial position of the city is improving or declining.

The Statement of Activities presents information on the net cost of each governmental and business-type function during the fiscal year. The statement also identifies the amount of general revenues needed to fully fund each governmental function.

Fund Financial Statements

These statements focus on major governmental funds and proprietary funds separately. The city's major governmental funds are presented in their own column and the remaining funds are combined into a column titled "Nonmajor Governmental Funds." Statements for the city's proprietary funds and fiduciary funds follow the governmental funds and include net position, revenues, expenses, and changes in fund net position and cash flows.

The City of Bellevue has two types of proprietary funds: enterprise funds and internal service funds. Enterprise funds are used to account for goods and services provided to citizens. Internal service funds are used to account for goods and services provided internally to city departments.

Fiduciary funds account for assets held by the city in a trustee capacity or as an agent for individuals, private organizations, other governments, or other funds. Fiduciary funds are not included in the government-wide financial statements because their assets are not available to support the City of Bellevue's activities. The city has two types of fiduciary funds, a pension fund and agency funds. The accounting for the pension fund is on the accrual basis. Agency funds are custodial in nature and do not include revenues and expenses as they do not measure the results of operations.

Notes to the Financial Statements

The notes provide additional information that is essential to the reader for a full understanding of the data provided in the Government-wide and Fund Financial Statements.

Other Information

In addition to the basic financial statements and accompanying notes, this report also presents certain required supplementary information (RSI) concerning the city's progress in maintaining its transportation infrastructure accounted for using the modified approach, the Schedule of Changes in the City's Net Pension Liability and Related Ratio, Schedule of Contributions for the Firefighters' Pension Fund, the Schedule of Investment Returns for the Firefighters' Pension, the funding progress for the Other Post Employments Benefits, and the Schedule of Contributions from the Employer and Other Contributing Entities for the Other Post Employments Benefits. Also included as required supplementary information are the Schedules of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances - Budget and Actual for annually budgeted the general fund and major special revenue funds.

Combining Statements

The combining statements for other governmental funds, internal service funds, and agency-type fiduciary funds are presented immediately following the required supplementary information.

Statistical Section

This section includes unaudited trend information and demographics.

Government-Wide Financial Analysis

Net position of the city as of December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2014, is summarized in the following table:

			Busine	ss-Type					
	Governmen	mental Activities Activities			Total				
	2014	2013	2014	2013	2014	2013			
			(in thousands	5)					
Current and other assets	\$ 166,847	\$ 187,913	\$227,505	\$ 177,268	\$ 394,352	\$ 365,182			
Capital assets, net	1,745,500	1,699,874	291,267	279,833	2,036,768	1,979,707			
Total assets	1,912,347	1,887,787	518,772	457,102	2,431,120	2,344,888			
Deferred outflows	10,883	11,300	27	34	10,910	11,334			
Total deferred outflows	10,883	11,300	27	34	10,910	11,334			
Total assets and deferred outflows	1,923,231	1,899,087	518,799	457,136	2,442,030	2,356,222			
Long-term liabilities	259,528	265,729	2,911	3,444	262,439	269,173			
Otherliabilities	24,798	26,437	3,200	3,898	27,998	30,335			
Total liabilities	284,326	292,166	6,111	7,342	290,437	299,509			
Deferred inflows	305	8		-	305	8			
Total deferred inflows	305	8	-	-	305	8			
Total liabilities & deferred inflows	284,631	292,175	6,111	7,342	290,742	299,517			
Net investment in capital assets	1,546,380	1,563,631	289,506	277,669	1,835,886	1,841,300			
Restricted	47,503	18,054	529	494	48,032	18,548			
Unrestricted	44,716	25,227	222,653	171,630	267,369	196,857			
Total net position	\$1,638,599	\$1,606,912	\$512,688	\$ 449,793	\$2,151,287	\$2,056,705			

Governmental Activities

Total net position for the city increased by \$94.6 million, of which \$31.7 million was related to governmental activities. Of total governmental activities net position, \$26.3 million is restricted for CIP projects, \$6.4 million is restricted for parks, recreation and open spaces, \$2.6 million is restricted for debt service, \$5.4 million for grants and donation, \$3.1 million for affordable housing projects, and the remaining restricted covers a variety of purposes. Unrestricted net position of \$44.8 million is available to meet ongoing obligations to citizens and creditors. Factors contributing to the changes in net position include:

- Cash and equity in pooled investments decreased \$31.0 million. The city had several significant capital projects underway or completed in 2014.
- The city experienced an increase in accounts receivable of \$2.8 million, mainly due to private donations receivable for the construction of the Bellevue Botanical Garden Visitor Center, Bellevue Youth Theatre, and the Boys & Girls Club facility at Hidden Valley Park.
- Transportation grants receivable increased \$3.1 million for East Link light rail construction.
- Community Development Block Grant home repair program loans receivable rose \$0.9 million.
- Capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation, increased \$45.6 million. Several major projects completed in 2014. The city had a significant amount of construction projects underway, many of them related to East Link.
- The decrease in long-term liabilities of \$6.2 million is the result of debt service, the most significant is General Obligation bonds issued for capital projects funding.
- Other liabilities decreased \$1.6 million, mainly due to normal fluctuations in accounts payable activity.

Business-Type Activities

Business-type activities of the city's utilities and marina increased the City of Bellevue's net position by \$62.9 million in 2014. Of total net position, \$0.5 million is restricted for Marina debt and utility customer deposits, and \$169.5 million of the \$223 million in unrestricted net position is reserved by city policy for future infrastructure replacement. Contributing factors of the increase in net position were:

- Business-type current and other assets increased \$50.2 million. Operating cash and equity in pooled investments and accounts receivable both decreased \$1.4 million, while cash and equity in pooled investments increased by \$26.3 million. The purpose of the increases in cash and equity in pooled investments is to fund the repair and replacement of infrastructure in accordance with the utilities 75 year replacement plan. These reserve collections allocate the costs to current customers and to reduce reliance on debt.
- The city is a member of the Cascade Water Alliance which provides water supply to member organizations. The joint venture equity interest at the end of 2014 was \$25.7 million.
- Business-type capital activities increased by \$11.4 million, of which \$19.9 million is attributable to construction in progress and completed projects related to system rehabilitation and water main replacement, tempered by depreciation of \$8.5 million for the current year.

Changes in Net Position

The table below provides condensed information on revenues, expenses and changes in net position with governmental and business-type activities shown separately.

	Governmer	ital Activities		ss-Type vities	Total			
	2014	2013	2014	2013	2014	2013		
Revenues:			(in thousands	;)				
Program revenues:								
Charges for services	\$ 54,388	\$ 45,426	\$126,571	\$ 119,134	\$ 180,959	\$ 164,561		
Operating grants & contributions	7,585	7,851	-	-	7,585	7,851		
Capital grants & contributions	13,373	5,136	7,619	5,141	20,992	10,277		
General revenues:								
Property taxes	38,379	38,302	-	-	38,379	38,302		
Sales taxes	59,278	53,072	-	-	59,278	53,072		
Other taxes	85,876	78,598	-	-	85,876	78,598		
Investment interest	962	884	1,213	924	2,174	1,807		
Miscellaneous	2,634	1,236	13,163	648	15,797	1,883		
Total revenues	262,475	230,505	148,566	125,846	411,041	356,351		
Expenses:								
General government	31,948	28,354	-	-	31,948	28,354		
Public safety	85,734	84,074	-	-	85,734	84,074		
Physical environment	2,249	2,892	-	-	2,249	2,892		
Transportation	30,925	29,147	-	-	30,925	29,147		
Economic environment	23,336	20,768	-	-	23,336	20,768		
Health and human services	7,596	7,414	-	-	7,596	7,414		
Culture and recreation	39,776	39,448	-	-	39,776	39,448		
Unallocated interest on long-	8,978	8,691	-	-	8,978	8,691		
Water	-	-	46,886	41,218	46,886	41,218		
Sewer	-	-	44,780	44,099	44,780	44,099		
Storm & surface water	-	-	11,764	11,208	11,764	11,208		
Marina	-	-	273	232	273	232		
Total expenses	230,542	220,788	103,703	96,757	334,245	317,545		
Excess (deficiency) before	24 022	0.747	44.050	20.000	76 706	20.005		
transfers	31,933	9,717	44,863	29,089	76,796	38,806		
Transfers	(246)	(366)	246	366				
Increase (decrease) in net						_		
position	31,687	9,351	45,109	29,455	76,796	38,807		
Net position - beginning	1,606,912	1,597,561	449,793	420,338	2,056,705	2,017,899		
Prior period adjustment	-	-	17,786	-	17,786	-		
Net position - ending	\$1,638,599	\$ 1,606,912	\$512,688	\$ 449,793	\$2,151,288	\$2,056,706		

Governmental Activities

Net position of the city increased \$94.6 million. Governmental activities net position increased \$31.7 million, Highlights of the change in governmental activities change in net position are:

- Charges for services increased \$9.0 million. The most significant increases were:
 - o Transportation impact fee revenues of \$2.9 million due to several significant buildings being constructed downtown, such as the expansion of Lincoln Square.
 - o Intergovernmental service agreements of \$1.5 million related to East Link light rail activities.

- Development services revenues increased \$4.7 million, also a result of the construction in downtown Bellevue.
- Taxes increased \$13.6 million, specific tax changes are as follows:
 - General Sales and Use taxes increased \$6.2 million.
 - Excise taxes increased \$2.6 million.
 - o Utility taxes decreased \$1.3 million.
 - Business and occupations tax increased \$6.1 million, \$3.0 million was the result of a one-time collection.
- Capital grants and contributions increased by \$8.2 million. Federal Highway Administration grants represent \$7.2 million of the total amount and \$1.5 million came from private contributions for parks facilities and improvements.
- Governmental activities expenses increased \$9.8 million. Wage increases and related overhead costs accounted for a significant portion of the increase. The other major contributor was depreciation expense.
- The city released \$4.1 million representing the proceeds of the sale of the Old Convention Center Site to the Bellevue Convention Center Authority to finance costs of improvements to the Meydenbauer Convention Center and other matters related thereto.

Business-Type Activities

The City of Bellevue's net position for utilities and marina activities increased by \$62.9 million in 2014. Key elements of this increase are:

- Charges for services increased \$7.4 million mainly due to rate increases. A portion of these revenues fund current and future repair and replacement costs.
- Utility rate increases in 2014 were:
 - o Water 7.6%
 - Sewer 4.0%
 - Storm & surface water 8.1%
- Developer extensions accounted for the increase of \$2.5 million in capital contributions.
- The city purchases water from Cascade Water Alliance and sewer treatment from King County. The costs of those services are passed along to customers. As a result, Water Utility expenses increased \$1.4 million.

This city is a member of a joint venture to operate a water supply system. Cascade Water Alliance (Alliance), a joint municipal utility service corporation, was created to provide water supply to meet current and future needs in a cost-effective and environmentally responsible manner. The change in joint venture equity interest was an \$3.6 million increase for 2014.

Financial Analysis of the Government's Funds

Governmental Funds

The governmental fund statements report on a near-term revenues/financial resources and expenditures basis. This information helps determine the city's financial requirements in the near future. In particular, unassigned fund balance is a good indicator of the city's resources available for spending at the end of the year. Restrictions on fund balance do not significantly affect the availability of fund resources for future use.

The General Fund ending fund balance of \$28.1 million is an increase of \$3.0 million from 2013. Revenues increased \$10.3 million, largely the result of taxes, intergovernmental fire services and other service fee revenue increases. Expenditures increased \$5.9 million mainly due to wage increases.

The Development Services Fund ending fund balance increased by \$2.4 million from 2013 ending at \$6.8 million. There has been a significant increase in development activities which has increased the review and inspection work required to meet demand.

The General Capital Investment Program Fund has an ending fund balance of \$26.3 million. This represents a decrease of \$30.2 million. There were several large transportation infrastructure and parks facilities projects underway or completed during 2014, which utilized bond proceeds.

Business-Type Funds

The proprietary fund statements report on an economic resources basis and provide essentially the same information as that presented in the government-wide statements; however, more detail is provided in the fund statements. The city reports both enterprise funds and internal service funds which support the operations of the government.

The Storm & Surface Water Utility unrestricted net position increased from 2013 by \$7.6 million to \$49.5 million in an effort to build reserves for future infrastructure replacement. The Water Utility's operating revenue increases outpaced operating expenses resulting in an increase in unrestricted net position of \$34.3 million, mainly due to the equity interest in the Cascade Water Alliance joint venture of \$25.7 million. The Sewer Utility's unrestricted net position increased \$9.2 million over 2013 largely due to increases in infrastructure replacement reserves.

Unrestricted net position in the Marina Fund decreased slightly from 2013 ending at \$0.3 million as a result of interest and principal payments of \$0.4 million.

Capital Asset Activity

The City of Bellevue's investment in capital assets for the end of 2014 was \$2.0 billion, net of depreciation, which was an increase of \$57.1 million from the previous year. The following table shows the balances by category for governmental activities, business activities, and the city as a whole.

	Business-Type											
	Governmental Activities				Activities				Total			
	2014		2013		2014		2013		2014			2013
	(in thousands)											
Land	\$	788,978	\$	761,045	\$	24,985	\$	24,959	\$	813,963	\$	786,004
Buildings		139,292		143,985		17,791		18,621		157,083		162,606
Improvements other than buildings		29,366		31,514		-		-		29,366		31,514
Machinery and equipment		15,654		17,108		3,902		3,425		19,556		20,533
Infrastructure		708,804		687,767	:	230,059		219,569		938,863		907,336
Intangible assets		2,351		3,436		52		60		2,403		3,496
Construction in progress		61,057		55,017		14,478		13,200		75,535		68,217
Total	\$1	,745,502	\$:	1,699,872	\$2	291,267	\$	279,834	\$2	2,036,769	\$1	,979,706

Governmental Activities

Capital assets from governmental activities increased \$45.6 million. Key elements of this change are as follows:

- West Lake Sammamish Parkway Improvements
- ITS Master Plan (radar signs, system upgrades, etc.)
- 15th NE Multi Modal Corridor
- Sidewalk additions
- NE 8th/ Lake Washington Blvd
- Construction in progress additions, included several major projects:
 - NE 4th Street expansion
 - o 120th Avenue NE improvements

The city has elected to report its transportation infrastructure capital assets using the modified approach. The modified approach is an alternative to the standard depreciation model normally utilized to report the usage of capital assets as an expense. The modified approach requires that the city have an up-to-date inventory of eligible infrastructure assets; perform condition assessments of the eligible infrastructure assets and summarize the results using a measurement scale; and estimate each year the annual amount to maintain and preserve the eligible infrastructure assets at the condition level established and disclosed by the city. For many years, the city has

performed a biennial condition assessment of its transportation infrastructure, which is published in a bound document. In the off-years, the assessment computation is provided by the city's Pavement Management System.

The city has classified its transportation infrastructure capital assets into two systems: arterial roadways and residential roadways. The standard performance rating for the two systems is noted below:

	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
Arterial:	50 - 100	0 - 49
Residential:	30 - 100	0 - 29

The average condition of the arterial roadways for 2014 increased from 78 to an overall performance rating of 79. The percentage of arterial roadways above a satisfactory rating for 2014 is 89 percent, which is an increase of 1 percent from the prior year. Residential roadways show an average condition and performance ratings remained constant from 2013. Of the total residential lane miles, 99 percent were rated above satisfactory. The city's minimum acceptable condition levels have been defined as having at least 50 percent of arterial roadways, and 30 percent of residential roadways at or above satisfactory condition.

Business-type Activities

The Utility Department is in the process of the annual replacement of a portion of the city's aging infrastructure which is expected to stabilize costs for the future. The net increase of \$11.4 million in capital assets was related to completed infrastructure projects and construction projects currently underway, tempered by depreciation for existing assets. Highlights of some of the major projects underway or completed are:

- Water main replacement activities \$4.3 million
- Coal Creek culvert and sediment pond improvements \$2.1 million
- Sewer Capacity Upgrades \$1.0 million
- Pump station improvements \$1.7 million
- Stream channel modifications and flood control programs \$1.0 million
- Commercial meter rehabilitation and replacement \$1.0 million

For more detailed information about the City of Bellevue's capital assets please refer to Note 6, Capital Assets.

Debt Outstanding

At year-end, the city had \$222.4 million in debt outstanding, which is a decrease of \$7.8 million. The outstanding debt is \$220.2 million and \$1.8 million for governmental activities and business-type activities, respectively. The proceeds of debt are used to fund capital projects.

	Governme	ental .	Activities		Busine Acti		• •	То	tal	
	2014		2013		2014		2013	2014		2013
			(in th	ousand	s)	_			
General obligation bonds	\$ 220,20	3 \$	227,496	\$	1,760	\$	2,169	\$ 221,963	\$	229,665
Special assessment debt	-		10		-		-	-		10
Other long-term debt	47	3	518		-		30	 478		547
Total	\$ 220,68	1 \$	228,024	\$	1,760	\$	2,198	\$ 222,442	\$	230,223

Governmental Activities

The city did not issue new debt in 2014. Of the debt outstanding at December 31, 2014, \$6.0 million is due within one year.

Business-type Activities

The Utility funds do not have outstanding debt and, in place of issuing new debt, are building reserves to pay for repair and replacement of infrastructure assets. Principal reductions for the Marina Fund amounted to \$0.4 million. Of the debt outstanding at December 31, 2014, \$0.4 million is due within one year.

Debt Capacity

Washington State statutes limit the amount of debt a governmental entity may issue to 7.5 percent of its total assessed valuation, subject to a 60 percent majority vote of qualified electors. Of the 7.5 percent limit, 2.5 percent is for general purposes, 2.5 percent for open space/park facilities, and 2.5 percent for utilities. Non-voted general purpose indebtedness is limited to 1.5 percent of assessed valuation and the combination of voted and non-voted general purpose indebtedness cannot exceed 2.5 percent of assessed valuation.

The city's assessed valuation for 2014 was \$36 billion and the total amount of additional debt the city may issue is \$2.5 billion. Remaining debt capacity is categorized as follows (in thousands):

General debt	\$ 678,731
Open space/Parks facilities	900,775
Utilities	900,775
Total	\$2,480,281

Bond Rating

The City of Bellevue has favorable bond ratings which will provide for lower borrowing costs in the future. The bond ratings for 2014 are:

Bond Type:	Standard and Poor's	Moody's
Unlimited Tax General Obligation	on AAA	Aaa
Limited Tax General Obligation	AAA	Aa1
Revenue	N/A	Aa1

For more detailed information about the City of Bellevue's long-term debt please refer to Note 13, Long-Term Liabilities.

Budgetary Highlights and Economic Factors

The City of Bellevue budgets on a biennial basis with each budget beginning in an odd numbered year. Appropriations for operating funds are authorized for two years, but must be reviewed and reauthorized by the City Council in the middle of the biennial period. The following discussion is reflective only of the current year of the biennium.

The 2015-2016 budget was adopted in December 2014 by the City Council. The Operating and Capital Budgets retain current service levels of operations, maintaining the City's infrastructure, and addresses community priorities. For 2015, City Council raised property tax to address critically needed capital infrastructure as well as to ensure it maintains its high quality of public safety. Despite increasing property tax three percent, Bellevue still has the lowest property tax rate of Washington Cities with more than 20,000 residents.

Highlights for the 2015-2016 budget; maintains City reserves, invests in technology to take advantage of efficiencies of a mobile workforce and provides power stretchers for emergency medical technicians mitigating risk of injuries. The City faces significant capital funding needs and the 2015-2016 budget maintain City facilities, as well as funding for the East Link/Sound Transit Memorandum of Understanding commitments.

As the city responds to the Great Recession economic environment, it continues to focus on providing the highest level of priority government programs, services, and capital investments. During the 2015-2016 budget process, the city employed the Budgeting for Outcomes methodology titled Budget One. The Budget One process strives to deliver outcomes that are important to the community and reflects the values and priorities recognized by the City Council and City Management. The Outcomes identified by the Council as community-wide priorities include: (1) Safe Community, (2) Improved Mobility, (3) Innovative, Vibrant, and Caring Community, (4) Quality Neighborhoods, (5) Healthy and Sustainable Environment, (6) Responsive Government, and (7) Economic Growth and Competitiveness.

The budget provides adequate funding for police, fire, parks, and transportation services while seeking opportunities for efficiencies and cost savings in all departments. The budget continues to provide essential services for those

residents who are most in need and to the non-governmental organizations who partner with the city in social and health services, education, food assistance, and other support for those in the community.

Request for Information

The city's financial statements are designed to present users (citizens, taxpayers, customers, investors, and creditors) with a general overview of the city's finances and to demonstrate the city's accountability. If you have questions about the report or need additional financial information, please contact the city's Finance Director at P.O. Box 90012, Bellevue, WA 98009.

Statement of Net Position As of December 31, 2014

(in thousands)

Page 1of 2

Component **Primary Government** Unit Bellevue Convention Governmental **Business-type** Center **Activities** Activities **Total** Authority Assets: Cash & equity in pooled investments \$ 190,601 \$ 9,077 22,129 168,471 Receivables (net of allowances): Taxes 27,715 27,715 Accounts 17,137 22,274 5,137 326 606 Assessments 606 325 39 Interest 235 560 Due from other governments 3,426 3,426 991 Other 3 24 26 481 Internal balances (481)1,404 766 Inventory 638 498 391 391 Other current assets 147 Restricted cash & equity in pooled investments: 2,564 380 2,944 3,766 For debt service For capital projects 72,231 72,231 For other 25,569 25,420 149 844 Noncurrent assets: Joint venture equity interest 25,715 25,715 Net pension asset 2,594 2,594 Noncurrent receivables 3,148 15,147 18,295 Capital assets: 24,985 813,962 Land 788,978 Depreciable capital assets (net) 186,663 21,746 208,408 26,097 Depreciable infrastructure (net) 26,606 230,059 256,665 Nondepreciable infrastructure 682,198 682,198 Construction in progress 61,057 14,478 75,534 1,037 Total assets 1,912,347 518,772 2,431,120 42,822 Deferred outflows of resources: Loss on debt refunding 10,883 27 10,910 Total deferred outflows 10,883 27 10,910 Total assets and deferred outflows 518,799 42,822 1,923,231 2,442,030

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this statement.

Statement of Net Position As of December 31, 2014

(in thousands)

Page 2 of 2

	 Pri	imary	Governmer	nt		Coi	mponent Unit
	vernmental Activities		iness-type ctivities	Total		Co	ellevue nvention Center uthority
Liabilities:							
Accounts payable	\$ 5,382	\$	976	\$	6,358	\$	105
Accrued payroll	6,175		774		6,949		182
Other accrued liabilities	1,186		6		1,192		131
Unearned revenue	5,606		-		5,606		-
Other current liabilities	34		-		34		-
Accrued bond interest payable	770		11		781		44,807
Liabilities payable from restricted assets:							
Customer deposits	893		149		1,042		735
Accounts payable	4,592		1,051		5,643		-
Retainage payable	159		233		392		-
Noncurrent liabilities:							
Due within one year	12,262		725		12,987		1,042
Due in more than one year	247,266		2,186		249,452		11,448
Total liabilities	284,326		6,111		290,437		58,449
Deferred inflows of resources:							
Gain on debt refunding	-		_		_		11
Special assessments	305		_		305		_
Total deferred inflows	 305				305		11
Total liabilities and deferred inflows	 284,631		6,111		290,742		58,461
Net position:							
Net investment in capital assets Restricted for:	1,546,380		289,506		1,835,886		14,725
Debt service	2,638		380		3,018		2,496
Capital projects	26,308		-		26,308		550
Parks maintenance and operations	6,429		-		6,429		_
Convention center financing	154		-		154		-
Affordable housing projects	3,148		-		3,148		-
Other	8,826		149		8,975		_
Unrestricted	44,716		222,653		267,369		(33,409)
Total net position	\$ 1,638,599	\$	512,688	\$	2,151,287	\$	(15,638)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this statement.

City of Bellevue, Washington

Statement of Activities
For the Year Ended December 31, 2014 (in thousands)

Page 1of 2

			Program	Program Revenues	es		Net Exp	ense, Revenue	Net Expense, Revenue and Changes in Net Position	າ Net Position
						,	Pri	Primary Government	nent	Component Unit
			Oper	Operating	Capital	ital		Business-		Bellevue
		Charges for	Gra	Grants &	Grants &	its &	Governmental	al type		Convention
Functions/Programs	Expenses	Services	Contril	Contributions	Contributions	utions	Activities	Activities	Total	Center Authority
Primary government:										
Government activities:										
General government	\$ 31,948	\$ 3,686	Ş	794	\$,	\$ (27,468)	- \$ (8	\$ (27,468)	- \$
Public safety	85,734	16,553		2,409		,	(66,772)	- (3	(66,772)	1
Physical environment	2,249	735		326		6	(1,180)	- (0	(1,180)	
Transportation	30,925	6,391		1,699		9,225	(13,610)	- (0	(13,610)	1
Economic environment	23,336	17,296		,		,	(6,040)	- (0	(6,040)	
Health and human services	7,596	545		2,229		,	(4,822)	- (7	(4,822)	
Culture and recreation	39,776	9,183		129		4,139	(26,325)	- (4	(26,325)	1
Interest on long-term debt	8,978	1					(8,978)	- (8	(8,978)	1
Total governmental activities	230,542	54,388		7,585	1	13,373	(155,195)	- (9	(155,195)	1
Business-type activities:										
Water utility	46,886	52,181		,		2,537	1	7,832	7,832	1
Sewer utility	44,780	53,359		1		2,994	1	11,573	11,573	ı
Storm drainage utility	11,764	20,446		,		2,089	1	10,770	10,770	1
Marina	273	585		,		-	1	312	312	•
Total business-type activities	103,703	126,571				7,619	ı	30,487	30,487	1
Total primary government	\$334,245	\$ 180,959	\$	7,585	\$ 2	20,992	\$ (155,195)	30,487	\$ (124,708)	- \$
Component units: Bellevue Convention Center Authority	14.477	16.113		,		4.097		1	1	5.733
Total component unit	\$ 14.477	√	Ş		Ş	4.097	, .	\ \	5	\$ 5.732
		٠,	١-		٠.	. 201	ጉ	`)	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this statement.

City of Bellevue, Washington

Statement of Activities For the Year Ended December 31, 2014

(in thousands)

Page 2 of 2

(21,399)5,760 Center Authority Component Unit Convention Bellevue Net Expense, Revenue and Changes in Net Position 76,796 38,379 298 34,856 14,665 8,975 590 1,424 2,174 12,364 1,701 2,056,705 59,278 26,790 201,504 17,786 \$ 2,151,28 Total **Primary Government** 236 1,213 11,947 979 14,622 45,109 449,793 246 Activities 17,786 **Business**type Governmental 38,379 59,278 26,790 34,856 14,665 8,975 590 1,424 962 417 721 61 (246)186,882 31,687 1,606,912 \$ 1,638,599 Activities

Grants & contributions - unrestricted

Payments from component unit

General revenues and transfers:

Retail sales and use tax

Utility tax

Property tax

Business and occupation tax

Hotel/Motel tax

Other taxes

Excise taxes

Unrestricted investment interest Gain (loss) in change of fair value

Gain on sale of capital assets

Transfers

Miscellaneous

Total general revenues and transfers

Net position at beginning of year

Change in net position

Prior period adjustment Vet position at end of year The accompanying notes are an integral part of this statement.

Balance Sheet Governmental Funds As of December 31, 2014

(in thousands)

		(111 (11)	usai	iusj					
						N	onmajor		Total
	(General	Dev	elopment	General	Gov	ernmental	Gov	ernmental
		Fund	s	Services	CIP		Funds		Funds
Assets:									
Cash & equity in pooled investments	\$	15,205	\$	13,132	\$ 36,736	\$	24,783	\$	89,856
Receivables (net of allowances):									
Taxes		18,806		-	7,646		1,264		27,715
Accounts		3,148		2	1,530		408		5,088
Current assessments		-		-	-		593		593
Interest & penalties on assessments		-		-	-		13		13
Interest		29		25	71		49		174
Interfund loans receivable		_		-	_		9		9
Due from other funds		481		-	_		_		481
Due from other governments		-		-	3,107		324		3,431
Prepaids		391		_	-		_		391
Housing rehabilitation loans receivable		-		_	_		3,148		3,148
Total assets		38,060		13,159	49,090	-	30,590		130,899
	_		_						
Liabilities:									
Accounts payable		3,846		31	4,592		120		8,589
Retainage payable		-		-	89		-		89
Interfund loans payable		-		-	-		9		9
Due to component unit		-		-	-		1,074		1,074
Due to other governments		38		-	-		-		38
Accrued payroll		4,983		501	3		48		5,536
Accrued taxes		72		-	-		-		72
Deposits payable		393		495	1		1		890
Other current liabilities		34		-	-		-		34
Unearned Revenue		236		5,370	-		-		5,606
Interfund loans payable - noncurrent		-		-	15,000		-		15,000
Total liabilities		9,603		6,397	19,684		1,253		36,937
Deferred to the									
Deferred inflows:		402			2.007		004		4 204
Unavailable revenue	_	403		-	3,097		884		4,384
Total deferred inflows	_	403		-	3,097	-	884		4,384
Total liabilities and deferred inflows		10,006		6,397	22,782		2,137		41,322
Fund balance:									
Nonspendable		391		-	-		-		391
Restricted		-		602	26,308		15,370		42,279
Assigned		1,684		6,160	-		13,084		20,928
Unassigned		25,978		-	_		-		25,978
Total fund balance	_	28,053		6,762	26,308		28,454		89,577
Total liabilities, deferred inflows,		,,,,,		-,					,
and fund balance	\$	38,060	\$	13,159	\$ 49,090	\$	30,590	\$	130,899

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this statement.

Reconciliation of the Governmental Funds Balance Sheet to the Statement of Net Position As of December 31, 2014

(in thousands)

Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of net position are different because:

Total governmental fund balances		\$ 89,577
Capital assets used in governmental activities are not financial resources and		
These assets consist of:		
Land	788,978	
Construction in progress	61,057	
Non-depreciable infrastructure	682,198	
Buildings	215,900	
Improvements other than buildings	48,657	
Intangible assets	20,249	
Machinery and equipment - general government	3,975	
Depreciable infrastructure	151,955	
Accumulated depreciation	(244,334)	
Total capital assets		1,728,635
Due to other governments		
Net pension asset		2,594
Deferred outflow of resources for debt		10,883
Material inventory		209
Long-term liabilities, including bonds payable are not due and payable in the		
current period and therefore are not reported in the funds. These long-term		
liabilities consists of:		
Bonds payable	(200,389)	
Notes payable	(19,815)	
Accrued bond interest payable	(478)	
Net pension asset	(770)	
Compensated absences	(9,946)	
OPEB obligation payable	(7,699)	
Pollution remediation liability	(284)	
Total long-term liabilities		(239,380)
Deferred inflow of resources:		
For special assessments	(305)	
For unavailable revenues	4,384	
Total deferred inflows of resources		4,080
Internal service funds are used by management to charge the costs of certain		
activities, such as equipment rental, self-insurance, information technology and facility services to individual funds. The assets and liabilities of the		
·		
internal service funds are included in governmental activities in the statement		42.002
of net position.		 42,003
Net position of governmental activities		\$ 1,638,599

Washington State Auditor's Office Page 45

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this statement.

Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance Governmental Funds

For the Year Ended December 31, 2014

(in thousands)

				Nonmajor	Total
	General	Development	General	Governmental	Governmental
	Fund	Services	CIP	Funds	Funds
Revenues:					
Taxes and special assessments	\$133,203	\$ 28	\$40,555	\$ 10,595	\$ 184,380
Licenses and permits	510	8,736	-	-	9,246
Intergovernmental	17,444	-	9,651	4,101	31,196
Service charges and fees	24,403	8,546	3,646	797	37,392
Fines and forfeitures	1,071	-	-	3	1,073
Interest and penalties	64	91	358	241	755
Net change in fair value of investments	45	19	208	82	354
Rent	5,218	-	30	-	5,249
Premiums/contributions	15	-	2,900	417	3,332
Other	245	3	204	391	843
Total revenues	182,218	17,423	57,552	16,627	273,821
Expenditures:					
Current: General government	20,485	54	278	11,559	32,376
Public safety	83,911	34	393	3,413	87,717
Physical environment	1,029	-	333	1,153	2,191
Transportation	27,377	-	7,269	33	34,678
Economic environment	4,441	18,324	492	27	23,283
Health and human services	6,735	10,324	-	1,129	7,864
Culture and recreation	32,790	_	4,743	230	37,762
Debt service:	32,730	_	4,743	-	37,702
Principal			40	6,347	6,387
Interest and fiscal charges	1	-	109	9,421	9,532
	1		103	9,421	9,332
Capital outlay: General government			393	- 179	572
Public safety	12	_	395	90	497
Physical environment		_	393	6	6
Transportation	5	_	42,654	-	42,659
Culture and recreation	_		16,879		16,879
Total expenditures	176,785	18,377	73,654	33,587	302,403
•	170,763	10,377	73,034	33,367	302,403
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over (under) expenditures	5,433	(954)	(16,102)	(16,960)	(28,582)
Other financing sources (uses):					
Transfers in	1,818	3,638	-	15,565	21,022
Transfers out	(4,257)	(288)	(14,111)	(1,585)	(20,241)
Sale of capital assets	26				26
Total other financing sources and uses	(2,413)	3,350	(14,111)	13,980	807
Net change in fund balance	3,020	2,396	(30,212)	(2,980)	(27,775)
Fund balance at beginning of year	25,034	4,366	56,520	31,433	117,352
Nons pendable	391	-	-	-	391
Restricted	-	602	26,308	15,370	42,279
Assigned	1,684	6,160	-	13,084	20,928
Unassigned	25,978	-	_	-	25,978
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 28,053	\$ 6,762	\$26,308	\$ 28,454	\$ 89,577
The accompanying notes are an integral part of					

Reconciliation of the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances of Governmental Funds to the Statement of Activities As of December 31, 2014

(in thousands)

Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of activities are different because:

different because:		
Net change in fund balances - total governmental funds		\$ (27,775)
Governmental funds report capital outlays as expenditures. However, in the statement of activities, the cost of those assets is allocated over their estimated useful life as depreciation expense. This is the difference between capital outlays and adjustments in the current period. This is comprised of:		
Capital outlays	60,613	
Contributed capital Current year depreciation	(446) (13,308)	
Total capital outlay expenditures	(13,308)	46,859
Revenues in the statement of activities that do not provide current financial resources are not reported as revenues in the funds. This is comprised of:		10,033
Tax and assessment revenues	(496)	
Grants and contracts revenues	1,177	
Private contributions Total revenues	1,514	2,195
Bond proceeds provide current financial resources to governmental funds, but issuing debt increases long-term liabilities in the statement of net assets. yet, repayment of long-term debt is an expenditure in the governmental funds the repayment reduces long-term liabilities in the statement of net assets. This is the amount by which proceeds exceeded repayments. This amount is comprised of:		2,195
Long-term debt repayments	6,337	
Total debt proceeds and repayments		6,337
Some expenses reported in the statement of activities do not require the use of current financial resources and therefore are not reported as expenditures in the governmental funds. This amount is comprised of:		
Amortization of net OPEB obligation	(1,014)	
Special assessments	10	
Amortization of debt premiums on existing debt	554	
Accrued interest expense	15	
Pollution remediation obligation Accrued compensated absence expense	104 193	
Net pension asset	851	
Inventory adjustment	156	
Notes payable	40	
Total other long-term liabilities		909
Internal service funds are used by management to charge the cost of certain		
activities, such as equipment rental, self-insurance, information technology and facility services to the individual funds. The net revenue (expense) of		
these internal service funds is reported with governmental activities.		3,162
Change in net position of governmental activities		\$ 31,687

Washington State Auditor's Office Page 47

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this statement.

Statement of Fund Net Position Proprietary Funds As of December 31, 2014

(in thousands)

Page 1 of 4

	itorm & face Water Utility		Water Utility	Sewer Utility
Assets:				
Current assets:				
Cash & equity in pooled investments	\$ 47,219	\$	57,037	\$ 64,175
Receivables (net of allowances):				
Accounts	3,246		4,801	9,087
Interest	87		114	122
Other	-		-	-
Notes/contracts	-		-	24
Due from other governments	-		-	-
Inventory	62		469	106
Restricted cash & equity in pooled investments:				
Revenue bonds	-		-	-
Customer deposits	 2		121	 25
Total current assets	 50,617	_	62,543	 73,539
Noncurrent assets:				
Notes/contracts receivable	-		4	143
Investment in joint venture	-		25,715	-
Interfund loans receivable	-		-	15,000
Capital Assets:				
Capital assets (net)	78,900		124,074	 78,550
Total noncurrent assets	78,900		149,793	93,694
Total assets	129,517		212,336	 167,232
Deferred outflow of resources: For debt	_		_	-
Total deferred outflows	 			
Total assets and deferred outflows	129,517		212,336	167,232

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this statement.

Statement of Fund Net Position Proprietary Funds As of December 31, 2014

(in thousands)

	Enterp	nmajor rise Fund -			Inter	Page 2 of 4 tivities - rnal Service
	N	larina	_	Total		Funds
Assets:						
Current assets:						
Cash & equity in pooled investments	\$	39	\$	168,471	\$	32,486
Receivables (net of allowances):						
Accounts		4		17,137		49
Interest		1		325		61
Other		-		-		3
Notes/contracts		-		24		-
Due from other governments		-		-		1
Inventory		-		638		557
Restricted cash & equity in pooled investments:						
Revenue bonds		380		380		-
Customer deposits		-		149		-
Total current assets		424		187,123		33,157
Noncurrent assets:						
Notes/contracts receivable		-		147		-
Investment in joint venture		-		25,715		-
Interfund loans receivable		-		15,000		-
Capital Assets:						
Capital assets (net)		9,743		291,267		16,862
Total noncurrent assets		9,743		332,129		16,862
Total assets		10,167		519,253		50,019
Deferred outflow of resources:						
For debt		27		27		
Total deferred outflows		27		27		-
Total assets and deferred outflows		10,194		519,280		50,019

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this statement.

Statement of Fund Net Position Proprietary Funds As of December 31, 2014

(in thousands)

Page 3 of 4

	Storm & Surface Water Utility	Water Utility	Sewer Utility
Liabilities:			
Current liabilities:			
Accounts payable	167	707	50
Estimated claims	-	-	-
Due to other funds	55	259	167
Due to other governments	-	-	-
Accrued payroll	231	313	231
Accrued compensated absences	107	132	106
Revenue bonds payable	-	-	-
Liabilities payable from restricted assets:	-	-	-
Customer deposits	2	121	25
Accounts payable	323	544	185
Retainage payable	24	156	52
Total current liabilities	908	2,232	816
Noncurrent liabilities:			
Accrued bond interest payable	-	-	-
Accrued compensated absences	249	308	248
Estimated claims	-	-	-
Bonds payable (net)	-	-	-
Total noncurrent liabilities	249	308	248
Total liabilities	1,157	2,540	1,063
Net investment in capital assets	78,900	124,074	78,550
Restricted for:			
Customer deposits	2	121	25
Debt service	-	-	-
Unrestricted	49,457	85,601	87,593
Total net position	\$ 128,360	\$ 209,796	\$ 166,169

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this statement.

Statement of Fund Net Position Proprietary Funds As of December 31, 2014

(in thousands)

			Page 4 of 4
	Nonmajor		Activities -
	Enterprise Fund -		Internal Service
	Marina	Total	Funds
Liabilities:			
Current liabilities:			
Accounts payable	53	976	1,385
Estimated claims	-	-	2,923
Due to other funds	-	481	-
Due to other governments	6	6	2
Accrued payroll	-	774	639
Accrued compensated absences	-	345	305
Revenue bonds payable	380	380	=
Liabilities payable from restricted assets:			
Customer deposits	-	149	3
Accounts payable	-	1,051	-
Retainage payable	-	233	71
Total current liabilities	439	4,395	5,328
Noncurrent liabilities:			
Accrued bond interest payable	11	11	-
Accrued compensated absences	-	805	712
Estimated claims	-	-	1,977
Bonds payable (net)	1,381	1,381	
Total noncurrent liabilities	1,392	2,197	2,689
Total liabilities	1,831	6,591	8,017
Net investment in capital assets	7,982	289,506	16,792
Restricted for:	,	,	,
Customer deposits	-	149	3
Debt service	380	380	-
Unrestricted	2	222,653	25,208
Total net position	\$ 8,364	\$ 512,688	\$ 42,003
1 2 2 2	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	, . , . , . , . , . , . , . , . , .	. ,,,,,

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this statement.

Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Net Position Proprietary Funds

For the Year Ended December 31, 2014

(in thousands)

Page 1 of 2

Page 52

	Storm & Surface			
	Water Utility	Water Utility	Sewer Utility	
Operating revenues:	\$ -	\$ 610	\$ -	
Intergovernmental	5 - 19,886	48,960	۶ - 53,233	
Service charges and fees Rent	19,000	40,900	55,255	
Insurance recovery	-	-	-	
Premiums/contributions				
Other	560	2,611	126	
Total operating revenues	20,446	52,181	53,359	
	20,110	32,101	33,333	
Operating expenses:				
Administrative and general	4,053	17,058	4,707	
Maintenance and operations	5,876	26,076	37,119	
Depreciation	1,836	3,735	2,971	
Insurance costs	-	-	-	
Benefits and claims payments		-		
Total operating expenses	11,764	46,869	44,797	
Operating income (loss)	8,682	5,312	8,562	
Nonoperating revenues (expenses):				
Interest income	310	359	539	
Net change in fair value of investments	87	11,652	207	
Interest expense	-	-	-	
Rental income	16	387	439	
Gain on disposal of capital assets	20	171	45	
Other nonoperating revenues	2	55	77	
Total nonoperating revenue (expenses)	434	12,625	1,309	
Income before contributions and transfers	9,116	17,937	9,871	
Special items, contributions and transfers:				
Transfers in	146	120	169	
Transfers out	(10)	(2)	(177)	
Capital contributed from external sources	2,089	2,537	2,994	
Total special items, contributions and transfers	2,224	2,655	2,986	
Change in net position	11,340	20,592	12,857	
Net position beginning of year	117,020	171,418	153,312	
Prior period adjustment		17,786		
Net position end of year	\$ 128,360	\$ 209,796	\$ 166,169	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this statement.

Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Net Position Proprietary Funds

For the Year Ended December 31, 2014

(in thousands)

1			
	Nonmajor Enterprise Fund - Marina	Total	Page 2 of 2 Governmental Activities - Internal Service Funds
Operating revenues:			
Intergovernmental	\$ -	\$ 610	\$ -
Service charges and fees	-	122,079	23,092
Rent	585	585	6,199
Insurance recovery	-	-	2,924
Premiums/contributions	-	-	26,465
Other		3,297	118
Total operating revenues	585	126,571	58,799
Operating expenses:			
Administrative and general	-	25,818	7,486
Maintenance and operations	210	69,281	22,040
Depreciation	14	8,555	4,820
Insurance costs	-	-	3,195
Benefits and claims payments			17,876
Total operating expenses	224	103,654	55,418
Operating income (loss)	361	22,917	3,381
Nonoperating revenues (expenses):			
Interest income	4	1,213	208
Net change in fair value of investments	1	11,947	63
Interest expense	(49)	(49)	-
Rental income	-	843	-
Gain on disposal of capital assets	-	236	35
Other nonoperating revenues	2	137	54
Total nonoperating revenue (expenses)	(41)	14,327	361
Income before contributions and transfers	321	37,244	3,742
Special items, contributions and transfers:			
Transfers in	-	435	1,564
Transfers out	-	(189)	(2,591)
Capital contributed from external sources		7,619	446
Total special items, contributions and transfers		7,865	(580)
Change in net position	321	45,109	3,162
Net position beginning of year	8,043	449,793	38,841
Prior period adjustment	-	17,786	- -
Net position end of year	\$ 8,364	\$ 512,688	\$ 42,003

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this statement.

Statement of Cash Flows Proprietary Funds For the Year Ended December 31, 2014

(in thousands)

Page 1 of 4 Business-Type Activities -

	Enterprise Funds			
	Storm & Surface Water Utility	Surface Water Water		
Cash flows from operating activities: Cash received from customers and users Contributions received - employer/employees	\$ 19,934	\$ 53,584	\$ 53,528	
Cash payments to suppliers for goods and services Cash payments to employees for services Cash payments to claimants	(4,228) (5,346) -	(36,806) (6,582) -	(37,420) (4,571)	
Cash received from contracts/rent Cash payments for insurance Other receipts	- - -	- - -	- - 	
Net cash provided by operating activities	10,360	10,196	11,537	
Cash flows from noncapital financing activities: Transfers in Transfers out Cash received from non-operating revenues Net cash provided (used) by noncapital financing activities	146 (10) 104 240	120 (2) 527 645	169 (177) 724 716	
Cash flows from capital & related financing activities: Acquisition and construction of capital assets Principal paid on revenue bonds and other debt Interest paid on revenue bonds and other debt Proceeds from sale of assets Cash contributions in aid of construction Contributed in aid of equity Net cash used by capital financing activities	(5,586) - - 20 1,656 431 (3,479)	(7,788) (30) - 197 2,026 510 (5,085)	(6,313) - - 1,197 1,513 - (3,603)	
Cash flows from investing activities: Interest on investments Interfund loan interest Investment in joint venture Net cash provided (used) by investing activities	292 - - - 292	329 - 3,638 3,967	436 107 543	
Net increase (decrease) in cash balance	7,413	9,723	9,193	
Cash & equity in pooled investments - beginning of year Cash & equity in pooled investments - end of year	39,808 \$ 47,221	47,435 \$ 57,158	55,007 \$ 64,200	
Cash & equity in pooled investments at end of year consist of Unrestricted Restricted	47,219 2	57,037 121	64,175 25	
Total cash & equity in pooled investments	\$ 47,221	\$ 57,158	\$ 64,200	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this statement.

Statement of Cash Flows Proprietary Funds For the Year Ended December 31, 2014

(in thousands)

Page 2 of 4

			Page 2 of 4
	Business-Typ		
	Enterpri	se Funds	_ Governmental
	Nonmajor		Activities -
	Enterprise Fund	Enterprise Fund -	
	Marina	Total	Service Funds
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Cash received from customers and users	\$ 585	\$ 127,631	\$ 23,496
Contributions received - employer/employee	-	-	28,712
Cash payments to suppliers for goods and services	(188	(78,642)	(24,338)
Cash payments to employees for services	-	(16,499)	(5,665)
Cash payments to claimants	-	-	(17,479)
Cash received from contracts/rent	-	-	6,528
Cash payments for insurance	-	-	(3,196)
Other receipts	-	-	118
Net cash provided by operating activities	397	32,490	8,176
Cash flows from noncapital financing activities:			
Transfers in	-	435	1,564
Transfers out	-	(189)	(2,590)
Cash received from non-operating revenues	3	1,358	80
Net cash provided (used) by noncapital financing activities	3	1,604	(946)
Cash flows from capital & related financing activities:			
Acquisition and construction of capital assets	-	(19,687)	(3,193)
Principal paid on revenue bonds and other debt	(390) (420)	-
Interest paid on revenue bonds and other debt	(60) (60)	-
Proceeds from sale of assets	-	1,414	87
Cash contributions in aid of construction	-	5,195	-
Contributed in aid of equity		941	
Net cash used by capital financing activities	(450) (12,617)	(3,106)
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Interest on investments	4	1,061	235
Interfund Ioan interest	-	107	-
Interfund Ioan receipts		3,638	
Net cash provided (used) by investing activities	4	4,806	235
Net increase (decrease) in cash balance	(46	26,283	4,359
Cash & equity in pooled investments - beginning of year	465	142,715	28,128
Cash & equity in pooled investments - end of year	\$ 419	\$ 168,998	\$ 32,487
Cash & equity in pooled investments at end of year consist	of:		
Unrestricted	39	168,470	32,487
Restricted	380	528	-
Total cash & equity in pooled investments	\$ 419	\$ 168,998	\$ 32,487

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this statement.

Statement of Cash Flows Proprietary Funds For the Year Ended December 31, 2014 (in thousands)

Page 3 of 4

Business-Type Activities -

	Enterprise Funds					
	Storm & Surface Water Utility		Water Utility		Sewer Utility	
Reconciliation of operating income to net cash provided (used) by operating activities:						
Operating income (loss)	\$	8,682	\$	5,312	\$	8,562
Adjustments to reconcile operating income to net cash provided (used) by operating activities:		4.026		2.725		2.074
Depreciation		1,836		3,735		2,971
Changes in assets and liabilities:		(404)		4 400		4.50
(Increase) decrease in accounts receivable		(184)		1,403		169
(Increase) decrease in due from other funds		55		-		-
(Increase) decrease in other receivables		- (0)		- (04)		48
(Increase) decrease in inventory		(8)		(91)		(9)
Increase (decrease) in accounts payable		268		(530)		(404)
Increase (decrease) in retainage payable		22		117		23
Increase (decrease) in wages & benefits payable		46		27		1
Increase (decrease) in compensated absences		(29)		(65)		(2)
Increase (decrease) in due to other funds		-		259		167
Increase (decrease) in customer deposits		-		29		11
Increase (decrease) in estimated claims payable		-		-		-
Increase (decrease) in unearned revenue		(328)		-		-
Total adjustments		1,678		4,884		2,975
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$	10,360	\$	10,196	\$	11,537
Noncash investing, capital and financing activities:						
Contributions of capital assets	\$	431	\$	510	\$	327
Increase (decrease) in fair value of investments		(87)		(85)		207
Unrealized gain/(loss) on investment in joint venture		-		11,567		-

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this statement.

Statement of Cash Flows Proprietary Funds For the Year Ended December 31, 2014

(in thousands)

Page 4 of 4

	Business-Type Activities - Enterprise Funds				_ Governmental		
	Nonmajor				Act	ivities -	
		rise Fund -			In	iternal	
	M	arina		Total	Serv	ice Funds	
Reconciliation of operating income to net cash provided (used) by operating activities:							
Operating income (loss)	\$	361	\$	22,917	\$	3,381	
Adjustments to reconcile operating income to net cash provided (used) by operating activities:							
Depreciation		14		8,556		4,821	
Changes in assets and liabilities:						-	
(Increase) decrease in accounts receivable		-		1,388		(4)	
(Increase) decrease in due from other funds		-		55		-	
(Increase) decrease in other receivables		-		48		59	
(Increase) decrease in inventory		-		(108)		(534)	
Increase (decrease) in accounts payable		22		(644)		(85)	
Increase (decrease) in retainage payable		-		162		48	
Increase (decrease) in wages & benefits payable		-		74		65	
Increase (decrease) in compensated absences		-		(96)		28	
Increase (decrease) in due to other funds		-		426		-	
Increase (decrease) in customer deposits		-		40		-	
Increase (decrease) in estimated claims payable		-		-		397	
Increase (decrease) in unearned revenue		-		(328)		-	
Total adjustments		36		9,573		4,795	
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$	397	\$	32,490	\$	8,176	
Noncash investing, capital and financing activities:							
Contributions of capital assets	\$	-	\$	1,268	\$	-	
Increase (decrease) in fair value of investments		1		36		(163)	
Unrealized (gain)/loss on investment in joint venture		-		11,567		-	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this statement.

Statement of Fund Net Position Fiduciary Funds As of December 31, 2014

(in thousands)

	 Firemen's Pension		gency unds	
Assets:	_			
Cash & equity in pooled investments:				
Federal Agency Coupon Securities	\$ 4,633	\$	4,475	
Federal Agency Disc Amortizing	292		282	
Certificates of Deposit	557		538	
Interest Bearing Bank Deposits	499		482	
Municipal Bonds	295	295		
Local Government Investment Pool	453	438		
Interest receivable	13		12	
Due from other governments	-		894	
Capital assets (net)	-		103	
Total assets	 6,743		7,510	
Liabilities:				
Accounts payable	-		155	
Due to other governments	-		7,122	
Deposits payable	-		233	
Total liabilities	-		7,510	
Net Position:				
Net position restricted for pensions	 6,743		-	
Total net position	\$ 6,743	\$	-	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this statement.

Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Position Fiduciary Funds For the Year Ended December 31, 2014

(in thousands)

	Firemen's	
	Pens	ion Fund
Additions:		
Investment income:		
Interest	\$	48
Decrease in fair value		18
Other contributions:		
Contributions from nonemployers		208
Total additions		273
Deductions:		
Benefit payments		201
Administrative		11
Total deductions		212
Net increase in net position		61
Net position beginning of year		6,682
Net position end of year	\$	6,743

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this statement.

Note 1: Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

The City of Bellevue was incorporated on April 1, 1953, under a Council-Manager form of government. The City Council is composed of seven members elected at large, each of whom serve a four-year term. The City Manager is appointed by the Council to act as the chief executive officer of the city and is responsible to the Council for proper administration of all city affairs. The city provides a full range of general government services, including public safety, streets, parks and recreation, planning and zoning, permits and inspection, general administration, and water, sewer, and storm water utilities.

The accounting and reporting policies of the city, which conform to generally accepted accounting principles for governments, are regulated by the Washington State Auditor's Office. The city's significant accounting policies are described below.

Reporting Entity

The city's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) presents the government and its component unit, for which the city is financially accountable. Financial accountability is defined as follows: A primary government has substantive authority to appoint a voting majority of a component unit's board; the primary government is either able to impose its will on a component unit or there is a potential for the component unit to provide specific financial benefits to, or impose specific financial burdens on, the primary government; and the component unit is fiscally dependent on the primary government.

There are no instances in which factors other than financial accountability are so significant in the relationship between a particular organization and the city that the exclusion of such organization would be misleading.

Discretely Presented Component Unit

The Bellevue Convention Center Authority (BCCA) is a public corporation formed on December 4, 1989, by the City of Bellevue for the purpose of developing, constructing, and operating the Meydenbauer Convention Center.

The BCCA is governed by a seven-member board that serves at the will of the City Manager. The BCCA's revenue bonds are secured by hotel/motel tax revenues and other revenues of the city available without a vote of the city's electors. The city is obligated for the debt service payments on the BCCA's revenue bonds. BCCA is an enterprise fund and is presented in a separate column in the government-wide financial statements.

Audited financial statements for the BCCA can be obtained from the BCCA, c/o Stacy Graven, Executive Director, 11100 NE 6th Street, Bellevue, WA 98004.

Government-wide and Fund Financial Statements

The government-wide financial statements (i.e. the statement of net position and the statement of activities) report information on all of the non-fiduciary activities of the primary government and its component units. To the extent possible, the effect of interfund overhead activity has been removed from these statements. Governmental activities, which normally are supported by taxes and intergovernmental revenues, are reported separately from business-type activities, which rely to a significant extent on fees and charges for support. Likewise, the primary government is reported separately from certain legally separate component units for which the primary government is financially accountable.

The statement of activities demonstrates the degree to which the direct expenses of a given function or segment is offset by program revenues. Direct expenses are those that are clearly identifiable with a specific function or segment.

Program revenues include 1) charges to customers or applicants who purchase, use, or directly benefit from goods, services, or privileges provided by a given function or segment and 2) grants and contributions that are restricted to meeting the operational or capital requirements of a particular function or segment. Taxes and other items not properly included among program revenues are reported as general revenues.

Separate fund financial statements are provided for governmental funds, proprietary funds, and fiduciary funds. The fiduciary funds are not included in the government-wide financial statements. Major individual governmental funds and all individual enterprise funds are reported as separate columns in the fund financial statements.

Basis of Accounting

The government-wide financial statements are reported using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting, as are the proprietary and fiduciary funds. Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses recorded when a liability is incurred, regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Property taxes are recognized as revenues in the year for which they are levied. Grants and similar items are recognized as revenue as soon as all the eligibility requirements imposed by the provider have been met.

Governmental fund financial statements are reported using the current financial resources measurement focus and modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized when they are both measurable and available. Revenues are considered available when they are collectible during the current period or soon enough thereafter to pay current liabilities. For the City of Bellevue, this period is considered to be the first 60 days after the end of the fiscal period. Property tax, sales tax, business and occupation (B&O) tax, utility tax, franchise tax, grant reimbursements, and interest are associated with the current fiscal period are considered susceptible to accrual and have been recognized as revenues of the current period. Expenditures are recognized when a liability is incurred, as under accrual accounting, with the exception of principal and interest on long-term debt and compensated absences, which are recorded when paid.

Major Governmental Funds

The General Fund is the general operating fund of the city and accounts for all activities not accounted for in another fund. With the implementation of GASB Statement 54, special revenue funds not meeting the criteria of significant revenue inflows from restricted or committed sources are to be reported in the General Fund. Those funds previously reported as separate special revenue funds and now included in the General Fund are as follows: Land Purchase Revolving Fund, Cable Franchise Fund, and Parks Fees Fund.

The Development Services Fund is responsible for administering the Planning and Community Development building review and inspection process, land use and comprehensive planning, and code enforcement. Major revenues for the fund are permit fees, code compliance review and inspection charges.

The General Capital Investment Program Fund administers governmental capital improvement projects. These projects are supported by a designated portion of the city's sales taxes, business and occupation taxes, bonds, grants, contributions, transportation impact fees and other revenue sources authorized by the City Council.

Major Proprietary Funds

The Storm and Surface Water Utility Fund accounts for the operations, capital improvement and debt service activities of the storm and surface water utility. The Water Utility Fund accounts for the operations, capital improvement and debt service activities of the water utility. The Sewer Utility Fund accounts for the operations, capital improvement and debt service activities of the sewer utility.

The proprietary fund statements distinguish operating revenues and expenses from non-operating items. Operating revenues and expenses result from providing services and producing and delivering goods in connection with the funds' principal ongoing operations. The principal operating revenues of the city's utilities funds, marina fund, and internal service funds are charges to customers for sales and service, rentals, vehicle replacement, and insurance. The city also recognizes as operating revenue the portion of utility connection fees intended to recover the cost of connecting new customers to the water and sewer systems. Operating expenses for enterprise funds and internal service funds include operating and maintenance costs, administrative expenses, taxes and depreciation on capital assets. All revenues and expenses not meeting this definition are reported as non-operating revenues and expense. When both restricted and unrestricted resources are available for use, it is the city's policy to use restricted resources first, then unrestricted as they are needed.

Internal Service and Fiduciary Funds

The city uses internal service funds to account for the equipment and fleet operations and replacement; general government facilities management; operations and replacement of software applications and information systems hardware; self-insurance for worker's compensation, unemployment compensation, health benefits, and general property and casualty losses.

Fiduciary funds are used to account for assets held by the city in a trustee capacity or as an agent for individuals, private organizations, other governments, or other funds. Fiduciary funds use the economic resources measurement

focus and the accrual basis of accounting, with the exception of agency funds which technically have no measurement focus, but do employ the accrual basis of accounting for purposes of asset and liability recognition.

The Firemen's Pension Fund accounts for a single-employer defined benefit system established under state law to provide pension benefits for eligible fire fighters. Although this pension plan has subsequently been replaced by the State Law Enforcement Officers and Fire Fighters Pension System, fire fighters hired prior to March 1, 1970 continue to be eligible for benefits under the provisions of the earlier law. Revenues received by the fund include proceeds of a state-imposed tax on fire insurance premiums and as needed, allocations from the city's annual property tax levy based on actuarial estimates.

Agency funds account for assets held by the city as an agent for joint, inter-governmental operations through inter-local agreements. Agency funds are custodial in nature (assets equal liabilities) and do not involve the measurement of the results of operations.

The city complies with all applicable GASB pronouncements and GAAP hierarchy as prescribed by GASB.

New Accounting Standards

In June 2012, the GASB issued Statement No. 67 *Financial Reporting for Pension Plans* and is effective for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2013. This statement replaces the requirements of Statements No. 25 Financial Reporting for Defined Benefit Pension Plans and Note Disclosures for Defined Contribution Plans, and No. 50, Pension Disclosures, as they relate to pension plans that are administered through trusts or equivalent arrangements that meet certain criteria. The requirements of Statements 25 and 50 remain applicable for all other pension plans. The Firemen's Pension plan meets the criteria set forth in Statement No. 67 and the city implemented this statement.

Budget and Basis of Accounting

In accordance with the Revised Code of Washington (RCW) 35A.34, the city budgets for all operating funds. These budgets are prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Appropriations for operating funds are authorized for two years, but must be reviewed and reauthorized by the City Council in the middle of the biennial period. The operating funds include the General Fund; all Special Revenue Funds except the Operating Grants & Donation Fund and the Housing Fund; all internal service funds; and all utility operating funds.

The City Council also adopts appropriation ordinances for all other funds, including the Operating Grants & Donations and Housing funds, on a project-length basis as needed. Appropriation ordinances are adopted for debt service funds only when debt is authorized for issue. These appropriations do not lapse, and are adjusted as part of the budget process. Because these non-operating budgets primarily serve a management control function and related appropriations are continuing in nature, no comparison between budgeted and actual amounts is provided in the statements and note disclosures.

Legal budgetary control is established at the fund level (i.e., expenditures for a fund may not exceed the total appropriation amount). Fund balance at the beginning of the year is considered an available resource and included in the expenditure appropriation authority, but not necessarily appropriated at the program level and identifiable in the Schedules of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances - Budget to Actual presented in *Required Supplementary Information*. The City Manager may authorize transfers of appropriations within a fund, but the City Council must approve by ordinance any increase or decrease in total fund appropriations.

Assets, Liabilities and Net Position or Fund Balance

Cash and Equity in Pooled Investments

Under the city's investment policy, all temporary cash surpluses are invested. Monies from all city funds are internally pooled for investment purposes. These pooled investments are reported on the financial statements as cash and equity in pooled investments. Interest earned on the pooled investments is prorated to individual funds on the basis of their average cash balance.

Since all of the city's investments are internally pooled, and the participating funds use the pool as if it were a demand deposit account, the proprietary funds' equity in pooled investments is considered cash for cash flow reporting purposes.

The city is authorized by State law to purchase certificates of deposit issued by Washington State depositories that participate in a state insurance pool managed by the Washington Public Deposit Protection Commission; investment deposits (Municipal Investor Account) in a qualified public depository located in the State of Washington; U.S.

Treasury and Agency securities; banker's acceptances trading in the secondary market; Washington State Treasurer's Local Government Investment Pool; and repurchase agreements with dealers that use authorized securities as collateral. Administrative costs of the Local Government Investment Pool are included in investment interest earnings and allocated to all funds of the city. The amount applicable to a single fund is not significant, nor readily identifiable.

Although state law also allows pension trust funds to be invested in certain public corporation debt instruments and equities, the city has taken a conservative approach in this area and invests related funds under the more restrictive policies, which govern its general investment operations. As further discussed in Note 4 Cash & Equity in Pooled Investments, City policy requires all investments, including repurchase agreements, to be in the lowest risk category, which is defined as insured investments in the city's name held by the city or the trust department of the city's depository bank.

In accordance with GASB Statement 31, investments in external 2a7-like pools, money market investments, and participating interest-earning investment contracts with a remaining maturity of one year or less at the time of purchase are stated at amortized cost. All other investments are stated at fair value. The fair value of the investment is the amount at which a financial instrument could be exchanged in a current transaction between willing parties, other than in a forced or liquidation sale. Investments in the Washington State Treasurer's Investment Pool are available on demand in the amount of the original investment plus interest earnings and are treated as a moneymarket investment on the city's records. Accordingly, the fair value of the city's position in the Washington State Treasurer's Investment Pool is the same as the value of the city's pool shares.

Restricted Assets

Restricted assets shown in the government-wide Statement of Net Position and the proprietary funds Statement of Net Position include revenue bond proceeds reserved for future capital construction, monies reserved for payment of debt, and deposits held for utility customer accounts. Monies reserved by the Bellevue Convention Center Authority are for rent, operations, and ground lease rental payments.

Receivables

The city records as receivables all material applicable exchange and non-exchange transactions as defined by GASB Statements 33 and 36.

Property Taxes

The city's annual property tax levy is billed and collected by King County. Taxes are levied and become a lien on the first day of the calendar year. They may be paid in two installments. The first installment is due April 30, and if unpaid, the entire balance becomes delinquent May 1. The second installment is due October 31 and becomes delinquent November 1. Interest is charged on delinquent taxes at the rate of 1 percent per month and additional penalties are assessed on the total delinquent balance on May 1 and November 30. Under state statute, the county is required to foreclose on properties when property taxes are three years delinquent.

Uncollected property taxes through December 31 are recorded as receivables at year-end. Historically, the city collects 99.6 percent of taxes levied in the levy year and the remaining delinquent amounts are collected within the next several years. Due to the property foreclosure provision in state law, no allowance for uncollectible taxes is made.

Special Assessments

Special assessments are amounts levied against benefited properties to recover costs associated with the construction of local improvement district (LID) projects. A lien is recorded against benefited properties until the assessment amount has been paid. Special assessments receivable represent all outstanding assessment amounts including current assessments billed but not collected, delinquent assessments unpaid at year-end, and special assessment amounts due in future years, which are recorded in a deferred inflow of resources account. Since special assessments are secured by liens against related properties, no allowance for uncollectible amounts is made.

Other Receivables

Other receivables in the governmental funds include investment interest, locally levied taxes, and other miscellaneous revenues accrued at year-end. Since the governmental funds financial statements are reported on a modified accrual accounting basis, and these revenues must be both measurable and available at year-end to be susceptible to accrual, business and occupation taxes receivable are offset by a deferred inflow of resources and are further reported net of anticipated uncollectible amounts.

Other receivables for the proprietary funds include the Waterworks Utility customer billings outstanding at year-end, which include estimated revenues for services provided between the last billing date and year-end. Due to the

Utility's ability to discontinue service when water accounts become delinquent and to record property liens for unpaid sewer service billings, losses from uncollectible accounts are minimal and are only recorded when incurred.

Due from Other Funds and Other Governments

Amounts due from other funds are reported in the financial statements, which are listed in Note 12 Interfund Receivables, Payables, and Transfers represent outstanding billings to other funds for services provided in the current year. These amounts are typically paid within 30 days following year-end. Amounts due from other governments represent outstanding balances due from granting agencies for cost-reimbursement grants and billings to other jurisdictions for intergovernmental services provided in the current year.

Amounts due from other funds are not reported in the entity-wide Statement of Net Position. However, any residual balances outstanding between governmental activities and business-type activities are reported in the government-wide Statement of Net Position as internal balances.

Interfund Loans Receivable

The Finance Director may authorize loans between funds for periods not exceeding three months. Longer term loans must be specifically authorized by Council resolution. Interfund loan activity for 2014 is presented in Note 12 Interfund Receivables, Payables, and Transfers. In the fund financial statements, the year-end balance of long-term loans to other funds is offset by a corresponding reservation of fund balance to indicate that the outstanding loan amounts do not constitute "available spendable resources" and are, therefore, not available for appropriation.

Housing Rehabilitation Loans Receivable

These loans are issued to individuals meeting designated income criteria and are supported by the city's annual Community Development Block Grant Program. The loans are secured by property liens and are not required to be repaid until the property is sold or otherwise changes ownership. Repayments are considered program income for the Community Development Block Grant Program and monies received are used to fund current grant eligible expenditures. The outstanding loans are offset by restricted fund balance in the governmental funds balance sheet.

Notes and Contracts Receivable

The notes receivable in the governmental funds represent amounts provided by the city to various housing agencies in the form of loans which are evidenced by promissory notes. These notes are issued in connection with the acquisition and construction or renovation of apartment buildings as part of a city program that assists low- and moderate-income persons to obtain affordable housing. Payments on these loans are only required to be made from the property's surplus cash flows. Due to the uncertainty of payment, the city is not recognizing a receivable in the Financial Statements and will record all payments as revenues at the time of the receipt.

Contracts receivable in the proprietary funds consist of water and sewer connection fees due from customers to the Utilities.

Inventories and Prepaid Expenses

Inventories in the governmental funds are recorded as expenditures at the time of purchase. Amounts remaining at year end are immaterial and, therefore, are not reflected in the financial statements for those funds. The city's proprietary funds use a perpetual inventory method where expense is recorded when related items are consumed. Physical inventories are taken at year-end and the value of items remaining in inventory is calculated for financial reporting purposes, using the weighted-average cost valuation method.

Certain payments to vendors reflect costs applicable to future accounting periods and are recorded using the purchases method as prepaid expenses in both government-wide and fund financial statements.

Net Position and Fund Balances

Net position is segregated into three categories on the government-wide statement of net position: 1) net investment in capital assets; 2) restricted; and 3) unrestricted. The flow assumption of the city is to use restricted assets before unrestricted assets. Restricted assets are usually set aside in a separate fund, specifically used for the purpose of debt service or capital replacement.

Fund Balances, with the implementation of GASB Statement 54, are segregated under the following categories and presented on the face of the financial statements in the aggregate. Note 17 Governmental Fund Balances provides greater detail on the purposes for which revenue sources may be spent.

Nonspendable

This fund balance category includes amounts not available to be spent because they are not in spendable form or are legally required to be maintained intact. The city has prepaid expenditures which are not in spendable form.

Spendable amounts are further segregated into categories based on the degree to which the uses of resources are constrained. When an expenditure is incurred for which restricted and unrestricted amounts are available, the city considers restricted amounts to be used first, followed by committed, then assigned, and lastly unassigned amounts; with the exception of the Development Services Fund which uses assigned resources, followed by restricted.

Restricted

Fund balance constrained externally, such as those resulting from federal and state legislation, grant awards, bond covenants, and inter-local service agreements.

Committee

Fund balance constrained by ordinance or resolution as adopted by City Council and requires the same action to remove the constraint. In Washington State, ordinances and resolution carry the same force of law. The city currently has no committed fund balances as these constraints are made in the budget ordinance which lapses at the end of the budget period. By definition, lapsing constraints are assigned rather than committed.

Assigned

Constraints that are neither restricted nor committed are considered assigned. Assignments are adopted by City Council through the budget ordinance. Special revenue funds typically report the majority of assigned fund balance and are created through ordinance by City Council. Fund balance in special revenue funds that are intended to be used for specific purposes, but are neither restricted nor committed, include transfers from other funds, investment interest not constrained by contract or covenant, fees for services, and rents.

Unassigned

Unrestricted fund balance not committed or assigned in the General Fund is considered unassigned. Also negative fund balance in any other governmental fund is unassigned. The city only reports unassigned fund balance in the General Fund.

Capital Assets

General capital assets are those assets not specifically related to activities reported in the proprietary funds. The capital assets purchased or constructed by a governmental fund are recorded as expenditures in the fund at the time the related purchases occur and are not reported on the balance sheet of the governmental funds' balance sheet; however, the associated capital assets are reported in the governmental activities column of the government-wide statement of net position.

Capital assets include buildings and improvements with an original cost of \$100,000 or more, machinery, equipment, hardware and other improvements with an original cost of \$5,000 or more each; and an estimated useful life of more than one year; and all transportation and utilities infrastructure, regardless of their initial cost.

All purchased capital assets are valued at original cost and at estimated historical cost where no records exist. Donated capital assets are valued at their estimated fair value on the date received.

The city capitalized retroactive "infrastructure" assets owned prior to 2002, such as roads, bridges, curbs and gutters, streets and sidewalks, bridges, and lighting systems. The city compiled an inventory of the retroactive infrastructure and recorded these capital assets in the 2004 financial statements. The city elected to capitalize all infrastructure assets, including pre-1980 infrastructure, as the city felt this would more accurately reflect capital assets. Costs of normal maintenance and repair for general capital assets are also not capitalized. However, material improvements that increase an asset's value, capacity or useful life are added to that asset's original capitalized cost.

Equipment items acquired through capital lease agreements and land purchased through conditional sales contracts are reported as general capital assets in the government-wide statement of net position. In the governmental fund financial statements, lease and contract payments are reported as expenditures.

All project costs are included in construction in progress in the government-wide statement of net position. At project completion, capital costs are reclassified to property, plant and equipment while non-capital costs are charged to operating expense. In the governmental fund financial statements, project costs are reported as expenditures.

Capital assets acquired or constructed by the proprietary funds are capitalized in those funds at historical cost. Contributed assets are recorded at their estimated fair values as of the date acquired. The estimated value of donated assets is recorded as contributed revenue by the receiving fund.

Land, construction in progress and transportation infrastructure are not depreciated. Property, plant and equipment, buildings, and street lighting infrastructure are depreciated using the straight line method, using varying estimated service lives for individual assets and asset classifications depending on particular characteristics of an asset and factors surrounding its anticipated use.

The city has elected to use the modified approach to manage its transportation roadway infrastructure. The modified approach requires that the city have a current inventory of infrastructure; perform condition assessments on infrastructure and summarize the results using a measurement scale; and estimate the annual amount to maintain and preserve the infrastructure at a condition level established and disclosed by the city. The city has an inventory of transportation roadway infrastructure placed in service for the current year, performed a condition assessment, established the standard performance rating levels, and estimated the annual amount necessary to maintain and preserve the infrastructure. Further detail is provided in the Schedule of Modified Approach for Reporting Infrastructure Assets under Required Supplementary Information.

Estimated

The average service lives used to calculate depreciation for specific categories of assets are summarized below:

	Estimated
	Service Life
Asset Class	(Years)
Equipment Rental Fund	
Transportation Equipment	4 - 10
Communications Equipment	5 - 8
Traffic Control Equipment	10
Heavy-Duty Work Equipment	5 - 20
Shop/Miscellaneous Equipment	8 - 15
Waterworks Utility	
Structures and Building Improvements	40
Other Improvements	50
Water Treatment Plant and Equipment	50
Pumping Equipment	14
Tools, Shop Equipment, and Office Furniture	10
Transportation Equipment	5
Other Equipment	14
Bellevue Convention Center Authority	
Building Shell	50
Building Mechanical Systems and Roof	25
Office Furniture and Equipment	7
Communications Equipment	7
General Capital Assets	
Structures and Building	39
Other Improvements	20
Equipment	7 - 15
Depreciated Infrastructure	20
Computer Software	15

Additional information on capital assets is provided in Note 6 Capital Assets.

Capitalization of Interest Costs

The city has paid off all debt and is not currently funding any capitalized projects. If the city were to incur any debt, the city would not capitalize the interest expense.

Compensated Absences

Employee vacation leave is at accumulated monthly rates ranging from 12 to 25 days per year depending on tenure and governing union agreements. The maximum number of vacation hours an employee may carry forward from one calendar year to the next is 240 hours. All outstanding vacation leave is payable upon resignation, retirement, or death, to employees who have completed six months of service.

Accrued vacation pay is recorded in the government-wide financial statements, as well as the proprietary funds financial statements. A liability for these amounts is reported in governmental funds only if they have matured.

Sick leave can be accumulated up to a maximum of 1,440 hours, depending on contract and retirement plans. The accrual rate for most City employees is eight hours per month. Fire and police employees who entered the Law Enforcement Officers and Fire Fighters Retirement System (LEOFF) prior to October 1, 1977, receive unlimited sick leave and, therefore, are not subject to this monthly accrual. Fire fighters who entered LEOFF after October 1, 1977, and who work a 24-hour shift receive 12 hours sick leave per month. Ten percent of unused sick leave hours is payable upon retirement at the employee's current hourly rate. Upon resignation, any accrued sick leave balance is lost.

A long-term liability for accumulated sick leave expected to be paid at retirement has been recorded in the government-wide financial statements and the proprietary funds financial statements using the vesting method.

Deferred Outflow of Resources

Deferred outflow of resources is the consumption of net position that is applicable to a future reporting period. A deferred outflow of resources involved no consumption of resources that results in either a net decrease in assets or a net increase in liabilities. It also represents access to present service capability that is under the government's controls. Deferred outflows of resources presented in this manner on the accompanying financial statements are related to outstanding debt.

Long-Term Liabilities

Liabilities for long-term debt are recorded in the government-wide Statement of Net Position and in the proprietary funds Statement of Net Position. The liabilities include bond premiums and discounts which are deferred and amortized over the life of the bonds. Bonds payable are reported net of the applicable bond premium or discount. Long-term debt outstanding at year-end is presented in Note 13, Long-term Liabilities

For governmental funds financial statements, bond issuance costs are expended at the time of issuance. Bond premiums and discounts are deferred and amortized over the life of the bonds. Bond proceeds are reported as an other financing source net of the applicable premium or discount. The nature of debt in the governmental activity is specific to a program; therefore debt service costs are not an allocated expenditure.

Deferred Inflow of Resources

Deferred inflow of resources is the acquisition of net position that is applicable to a future reporting period. A deferred inflow of resources involved no acquisition of resources resulting in either a net increase in assets or a new decrease in liabilities. It represents a present obligation to sacrifice resources that the government has little or no discretion to avoid. Deferred inflow of resources presented in this manner on the accompanying financial statements are related to the gain on refunded debt, and for taxes receivable, grant reimbursements, and special assessments receivable not available under the current financial resources measurement focus.

Interfund Transactions

Interfund services provided and used are accounted for by the related funds as revenues, expenditures, or expenses. Reimbursements to a fund for expenditures previously paid that are more properly applicable to another fund are recorded as an expenditure in the reimbursing fund and a reduction of expenditure/expense in the fund receiving reimbursement.

All other interfund transactions are reported as transfers. As a general rule, the effect of interfund activity has been eliminated from the government-wide financial statements. Direct expenses of the functional categories are included in the government-wide statement of activities while indirect expense allocations are eliminated. Indirect expenses

are primarily charged to the various functions through the use of internal service funds for fleet maintenance, information technology, self-insurance, and facilities management. Elimination of payments to internal service funds are treated as expense reductions. No other indirect expenses are allocated to the various governmental functions. Exceptions to this rule are charges between the government's utilities functions and various functions of the government. Elimination of these charges would distort the direct costs and program revenues reported for the various functions concerned.

Note 2: Stewardship, Compliance and Accountability

Fund Deficits

As of December 31, 2014, total net position for the Bellevue Convention Center Authority, a discrete component unit of the city, reflects an unrestricted net position deficit balance of \$30.9 million and a total net position deficit of \$15.6 million. Due to the annual charge for depreciation on the building and equipment and accrued interest on the Series 1991B and Series 1994 bonds, the deficit unrestricted net position and net position balances are anticipated to grow over the foreseeable future. Cash flows as projected in the annual Finance Plan, however, are expected to meet all obligations as they come due.

Legal Budgetary Compliance

During 2014, no city funds exceeded total authorized appropriations at the fund level. Fund balance is included in authorized expenditure appropriations, but not necessarily appropriated at the program level.

Annually budgeted major fund Budget and Actual Schedules are provided as Required Supplementary Information.

Note 3: Supplemental Appropriations

Operating Budget Funds

During 2014, the City Council amended the 2014 appropriations by an additional \$1.4 million to reflect previously unanticipated needs in the 2014 portion of the 2014-2015 biennial budget. Amendments totaled \$1.3 million and \$105 thousand for the General Fund and Operating Grants and Donations Fund, respectively. As explained in Note 1 Summary of Significant Accounting Polices, both original and supplemental appropriations are adopted by City Council ordinance.

Note 4: Deposits and Investments

Primary Government

Cash and equity in pooled investments are comprised of government and business-type activities. The balances in thousands as of December 31, 2014, are as follows, in thousands:

Cash on hand and in bank	2,712
Equity in pooled investments	 288,634
Total	\$ 291,346

In addition, the city holds in trust for fiduciary funds \$13.2 million in cash and equity in pooled investments.

Deposits

Custodial Credit Risk: Custodial credit risk is the risk that in the event of a bank failure, the city will not be able to recover deposits or collateral securities that are in the possession of an outside party. As of December 31, 2014, the city had a bank balance of \$2.5 million (carrying amount of \$2.7 million). The city's bank balance is insured by the FDIC up to \$250,000 and fully collateralized by the Washington Public Deposit Protection Commission (WPDPC) for amounts over \$250,000. The WPDPC constitutes a multiple financial institution collateral pool. Under RCW 39.58, public depositories are required to segregate and maintain eligible collateral for their unsecured public deposits in the form of securities having a value at least equal to their maximum liability.

The city does not have a formal policy for custodial risk beyond the requirements of state statute. State law restricts deposit of funds in financial institutions physically located in Washington unless otherwise expressly permitted by statute and authorized by the WPDPC.

Investments

The city's investment policy developed by the Finance Department, in consultation with the Investment Advisory Committee, is adopted by the City Council every two years as part of the biennial budget. Authority to manage the investment program is derived from Bellevue City Code Section 3.37.060. This section gives the Finance Director authority to invest in any of the securities identified as eligible investments defined by state statute with primary emphasis on safety on principal and liquidity.

An Investment Advisory Committee (IAC) is established by the City Manager, comprised of four members from the business community with experience in the area of institutional investment management. The IAC meets periodically to review the investment program and advise the City Manager and the Finance Director about matters relating to the city's investment policies and practices.

The city's investment policy does not allow investment in variable rate securities (those where the interest rate changes based on an index or reference rate) or securities whose value depends on the value of an underlying asset (such as a pool of mortgages or small business loans).

The city participates in the Washington State Treasurer's Local Government Investment Pool (LGIP). The LGIP is comparable to a Rule 2a-7 money market fund recognized by the Securities and Exchange Commission. It is managed by the Office of the State Treasurer, which is responsible for establishing the investment policy for the pool.

Investments in the LGIP are available on demand in the amount of the original investment, plus interest earnings, and are treated as a money-market investment on the city's records. Accordingly, the fair value of the city's position in the Washington State Treasurer's Investment Pool is the same as the value of the city's Pool shares. Regulatory oversight for these investments is provided as prescribed by state law. The State Auditor audits the accounts of the State Treasurer to determine the compliance of investment activities with state statutes and the investment policy. Also, an independent audit of the Pool's financial statements is performed annually. The State Treasurer has created an Advisory Committee consisting of eight members appointed by participant associations and four members appointed by the State Treasurer. The committee meets at least quarterly to provide advice on the operation of the Pool.

The table below identifies the investment types that are authorized for the City of Bellevue by the State of Washington (or the city's investment policy where more restrictive):

		Maximum	
	Maximum	% of	Investment in
Authorized Investment Type	Maturity	Portfolio	One Issuer
U.S. Treasury Debt Obligations	5 years	100%	100%
U.S. Agency Coupon Securities	5 years	100%	25%
U.S. Agency Discount Notes	1 year	100%	25%
Repurchase Agreements	30 days	50%	10%
Municipal Bonds	5 years	10%	5%
Certificates of Deposit	1 year	50%	10%
Interest Bearing Bank Deposits	1 year	50%	10%
Bankers Acceptances	6 months	15%	5%
Commercial Paper	90 days	15%	5%
State of Washington Local Governmental Investment Pool (LGIP)	N/A	100%	N/A

Interest Rate Risk: As a means of minimizing risk of loss arising from interest rate fluctuations, the city's investment policy requires the weighted average modified duration of the portfolio not to exceed 2.5 years. One of the other ways that the city manages the exposure to interest rate risk is by purchasing a combination of shorter term and longer term investments and by timing cash flows from maturities so that a portion of the portfolio is maturing as necessary to provide the cash flow and liquidity needed for operations.

The LGIP is an un-rated 2a-7 like pool, as defined by GASB Statement 31. Accordingly, participants' balances in the LGIP are not subject to interest rate risk, as the weighted average maturity of the portfolio will not exceed 90 days.

Information about the sensitivity of the fair values of the city's investments to market interest rate fluctuations is provided by the following table that shows the distribution of the city's investments by maturity, in thousands:

Remaining Maturity in Months							
		3 Months	3 to 12	1 to 2	2 to 3	3 to 5	Credit
Investment Type	Fair Value	or Less	Months	Years	Years	Years	Rating
U.S. Agency Coupon Securities	\$ 207,801	\$ 6,002	\$ 6,039	\$ 63,822	\$ 75,308	\$ 56,630	Aaa/AA+
U.S. Agency							
Discount -	13,115	-	8,377	4,738	-	-	Aaa/AA+
Amortizing							
Certificate of	25,000	_	25,000	_	_	_	Unrated
Deposit	20,000		20,000				• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Interest Bearing	22,392	22,392	_	_	_	_	Unrated
Bank Deposits	,55	,55					• a tea
Municipal Bonds	13,236	-	1,367	2,989	2,661	6,219	Aa2/AA
LGIP	20,321	20,321	-	-	-		Unrated
Total	\$ 301,865	\$ 48,715	\$ 40,783	\$ 71,549	\$ 77,969	\$ 62,849	

Credit Risk: Credit risk is the risk that an issuer or other counterparty to an investment will not fulfill its obligations. This is measured by the assignment of a rating by a nationally recognized statistical rating organization.

The city's investment policy limits the type of securities available for investment to obligations of the U.S. government or its agencies, obligations of government-sponsored corporations, banker's acceptances, interest bearing bank deposits, commercial papers, certificates of deposits, repurchase agreements, and in the LGIP. According to state law and the city's investment policy, commercial papers must be rated with the highest short-term credit rating, of any two Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organizations (NRSROs) at the time of purchase. The city currently does not have any commercial paper in its portfolio. The city's investments in the obligations of U.S. agencies were rated AA+ by Standard & Poor's and AAA by Moody's Investors Service.

The credit risk of the LGIP is limited to obligations of the US government, government sponsored enterprises, or insured demand deposit accounts and certificates of deposit.

Concentration of Credit Risk: Concentration of credit risk is the risk of loss attributed to the magnitude of an investment in a single issuer. The city's investment policy limits the amount that may be invested in any one issuer. As of December 31, 2014, there was no concentration of credit risk exceeding the policy guidelines described above.

The following table displays the city's investments in any one issuer (other than the LGIP) that represents 5 percent or more of the total portfolio, in thousands:

		Reported
Issuer	Investment Type	Amount
Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (FHLMC)	Fixed Rate Agency Coupon	\$62,079
Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB)	Fixed Rate Agency Coupon	60,216
Federal National Mortgage Association (FNMA)	Fixed Rate Agency Coupon	52,797
Federal Farm Credit Bank (FFCB)	Fixed Rate Agency Coupon	45,824
Opus Bank	Certificates of Deposit	17,000
Umpqua Bank	Interest Bearing Bank Deposits	13,192
Opus Bank	Interest Bearing Bank Deposits	9,200
Umpqua Bank	Certificates of Deposit	8,000

Custodial Credit Risk: Custodial credit risk for investments is the risk that, in the event of failure of the counterparty, the city will not be able to recover the value of its investments or collateral securities that are in possession of an outside party. The city's investment policy requires that all investments be held by the city's third party safekeeping agent in the city's name. As of December 31, 2014, all of the \$220.9 million investments in U.S. agency debt

obligations were registered, and held by its safekeeping agent in the city's name. Therefore, the city has no outstanding investments that were exposed to custodial credit risk.

Discretely Presented Component Unit

Cash and equity in pooled investments of the Bellevue Convention Center Activities are comprised of business-type activities only. The balances as of December 31, 2014, are as follows, in thousands:

Cash on hand and in bank	1,068
Equity in pooled investments	12,619
Total	\$ 13,687

Note 5: Receivables

Property Taxes

King County is responsible for collecting all property taxes levied in the County. Amounts collected by the County on the city's behalf are remitted daily.

As described in Note 1 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, taxes are levied and become an enforceable lien against properties as of January. Annual tax billings may be paid in two equal installments due April 30 and October 31, respectively.

Under State law, the city may levy up to \$3.26 per \$1,000 of assessed valuation, subject to the following limitations:

- 1) Chapter 84.55 of the State RCW as amended most recently by Initiative No. 747 (which was passed by voters on November 6, 2001), limits the total dollar amount of regular property taxes levied by the city to the amount of such taxes levied in the three most recent years multiplied by a limit factor, plus an adjustment to account for taxes on new construction, improvements and State-assessed property at the previous year's rate. As amended by Initiative No. 747, the limit factor is the lesser of 101 percent or 100 percent plus the percent change in the Implicit Price Deflator, unless a greater amount is approved by a simple majority of the voters; and
- 2) The state constitution limits total regular property taxes to 1 percent of assessed valuation, or \$10 for each \$1,000 of assessed value. If the combined taxes of all districts exceed this amount, each levy is proportionately reduced until the total is at or below the 1 percent limit.

On May 17, 1988, Bellevue voters approved a property tax lid lift proposition to create a revenue stream to pay for anticipated maintenance and operating expenses resulting from a \$16.5 million Park bond issue. The City Council subsequently imposed this property tax increase as part of the property tax levy ordinance adopted on December 5, 1988. The property tax revenue from the levy lid lift amounted to \$1.0 million in 2014.

The City of Bellevue did not assess a property tax increase for 2014. The city has "banked" the remaining levy capacity for potential future use. As of December 31, 2014, the city has \$9.4 million in unused levy capacity.

The city's regular property tax levy rate for 2014 was \$1.07 per \$1,000 of assessed property value, which yielded a total regular levy of \$38.3 million.

An additional \$4.0 million (\$0.11 per \$1,000 of assessed value) will be levied for parks and natural area programs, as approved by the voters in the November 4, 2008, general election. The levy lid lift will fund the parks capital program over the next 20 years and provide \$0.7 million per year in ongoing maintenance and operation funding. Voted tax levies are not subject to the limitations discussed above.

Long-Term Receivables

The Community Development Block Grant program provides qualifying participants home rehabilitation loans payable upon the sale of the home. The city has \$3.1 million in home rehabilitation loans receivable that are not expected to be received within one year.

Note 6: Capital Assets

Capital asset activity for the primary government for the year ended December 31, 2014, was as follows, in thousands:

					Transfers			
	Beginning				and		Ending	
Governmental activities:	Balance	Ir	ncreases	Decreases	ases Adjustments		ments Balance	
Capital assets, not being depreciated:								
Land	\$ 761,045	\$	27,933	\$ -	\$	-	\$	788,978
Construction in progress	55,017		32,394	(26,354)		-		61,057
Infrastructure	666,692		15,506			-		682,198
Total capital assets, not being depreciated	1,482,754		75,833	(26,354)		-	1	,532,233
Capital assets, being depreciated:								
Buildings	217,786		1,094	-		-		218,880
Improvements other than buildings	49,238		-	-		-		49,238
Intangible assets	20,249		-	-		-		20,249
Machinery and equipment	52,071		2,791	(1,578)		(299)		52,985
Infrastructure	145,310		10,536	(22)				155,824
Total capital assets being depreciated	484,654		14,421	(1,600)		(299)		497,176
Less accumulated depreciation for:								
Buildings	(73,801)		(5,787)	-		-		(79,588)
Improvements other than buildings	(17,430)		(2,442)	-		-		(19,872)
Intangible assets	(16,813)		(1,085)	-		-		(17,898)
Machinery and equipment	(34,963)		(4,104)	1,529		207		(37,331)
Infrastructure	(124,528)		(4,710)	20		-		(129,218)
Total accumulated depreciation	(267,535)		(18,128)	1,549		207		(283,907)
Total capital assets, being depreciated, net	217,119		(3,707)	(51)		(92)		213,269
Governmental activities capital assets, net	\$1,699,873	\$	72,126	\$(26,405)	\$	(92)	\$1	,745,502

Depreciation expense was charged to governmental activities functions/programs as follows, in thousands:

Governmental activities:	
General government	\$ 5,205
Public safety	489
Physical environment	61
Transportation	3,894
Economic environment	174
Culture and recreation	3,485
Total	13,308
Capital assets held by the government's internal service funds are	
charged to the various functions based on their usage of the assets	4,820
Total depreciation expense - governmental activities	\$ 18,128

Capital assets held by the government's proprietary funds are charged to the various functions based on their usage of the assets, in thousands:

Business-type activities:BalanceIncreasesDecreasesAdjustmentsEnding BalanceCapital assets, not being depreciated:SalanceSalanceSalanceLand\$24,95926SalanceSalanceConstruction in progress13,20018,245(16,967)-14,478Total capital assets, not being depreciated38,15918,271(16,967)-39,463Capital assets, being depreciated:SalanceSalanceSalanceSalanceSalanceBuildings36,63868937,327Machinery and equipment10,7391,211(535)29911,714
Capital assets, not being depreciated: Land \$ 24,959 \$ 26 \$ - \$ 24,985 Construction in progress 13,200 18,245 (16,967) - 14,478 Total capital assets, not being depreciated 38,159 18,271 (16,967) - 39,463 Capital assets, being depreciated: Buildings 36,638 689 - - 37,327 Machinery and equipment 10,739 1,211 (535) 299 11,714
Land \$ 24,959 \$ 26 \$ - \$ - \$ 24,985 Construction in progress 13,200 18,245 (16,967) - 14,478 Total capital assets, not being depreciated 38,159 18,271 (16,967) - 39,463 Capital assets, being depreciated: Buildings 36,638 689 - - 37,327 Machinery and equipment 10,739 1,211 (535) 299 11,714
Construction in progress 13,200 18,245 (16,967) - 14,478 Total capital assets, not being depreciated 38,159 18,271 (16,967) - 39,463 Capital assets, being depreciated: Buildings 36,638 689 - - 37,327 Machinery and equipment 10,739 1,211 (535) 299 11,714
Total capital assets, not being depreciated 38,159 18,271 (16,967) - 39,463 Capital assets, being depreciated: Buildings 36,638 689 - - 37,327 Machinery and equipment 10,739 1,211 (535) 299 11,714
Capital assets, being depreciated: Buildings 36,638 689 - - 37,327 Machinery and equipment 10,739 1,211 (535) 299 11,714
Buildings 36,638 689 37,327 Machinery and equipment 10,739 1,211 (535) 299 11,714
Machinery and equipment 10,739 1,211 (535) 299 11,714
_
Infrastructure 349,516 16,720 366,236
Intangible assets 271 271
Total capital assets being depreciated 397,164 18,620 (535) 299 415,548
Less accumulated depreciation for:
Buildings (18,017) (1,519) (19,536)
Machinery and equipment (7,314) (800) 509 (207) (7,812)
Infrastructure (129,947) (6,230) (136,177)
Intangible assets (211) (8) (219)
Total accumulated depreciation (155,489) (8,557) 509 (207) (163,744)
Total capital assets, being depreciated, net 241,675 10,063 (26) 92 251,804
Business activities capital assets, net \$ 279,834 \$ 28,334 \$ (16,993) \$ 92 \$ 291,267

Depreciation expense was charged to business-type activities functions/programs as follows, in thousands:

Business-type	activities:
Dusiness type	activities.

Marina	\$ 14
Water	3,736
Storm and surface water	1,836
Sewer	 2,971
Total depreciation expense - business-type activities	\$ 8,557

DISCRETELY PRESENTED COMPONENT UNIT BELLEVUE CONVENTION CENTER AUTHORITY

(in thousands)

	Beginning Balance	Increases	Decreases	Ending Balance
Capital assets, not being depreciated:				
Construction in Progress	\$ 786	\$ 434	\$ (184)	\$ 1,037
Total capital assets, not being depreciated	786	434	(184)	1,037
Capital assets, being depreciated:				
Building	49,478	13	-	49,491
Machinery and Equipment	4,683	278	(82)	4,879
Total capital assets being depreciated	54,161	291	(82)	54,370
Less accumulated depreciation for:				
Building	(22,702)	(1,098)	-	(23,800)
Machinery and Equipment	(4,352)	(203)	82	(4,473)
Total accumulated depreciation	(27,054)	(1,301)	82	(28,273)
Total capital assets, being depreciated, net	27,107	(1,010)	(4)	26,097
Component unit activities capital assets, net	\$ 27,893	\$ (576)	\$ (188)	\$ 27,134

Note 7: Pension Plans

Washington State Department of Retirement Systems

Substantially all of the city's full-time and qualifying part-time employees participate in one of the following statewide public employee retirement plans administered by the Washington State Department of Retirement Systems. Financial statements and information showing each system's progress in accumulating sufficient assets to pay benefits when due and other pension data are presented in the Washington State Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for the year ended June 30, 2013. Please refer to this report for detailed pension information for the Public Employees Retirement System, the Public Safety Employees Retirement System, and the Law Enforcement Officers and Fire Fighters Retirement System. A copy of this report may be obtained from the State of Washington, Office of Financial Management, P.O. Box 43123, Olympia, WA 98504-3123.

Public Employees Retirement System (PERS)

Plan Description

PERS was established by the state legislature in 1947 under the Revised Code of Washington (RCW) Chapter 41.40. PERS is a multiple employer cost sharing defined benefit plan. Membership in the system includes elected officials, state employees, and employees of local government. Approximately 51 percent of PERS members are state employees.

PERS consists of three plans. Participants who joined the system by September 30, 1977 are Plan 1 members. Those joining the system after that date are enrolled in Plan 2. Existing Plan 2 members were given the option to transfer their membership to Plan 3 by May 31, 2003. Employees hired after May 31, 2003 have the option of choosing either PERS Plan 2 or PERS Plan 3. Retirement benefits are financed by employee and employer contributions and investment earnings. Retirement benefits in the PERS 2 plan are vested after completion of five years of eligible service, and retirement benefits in the PERS 3 plan are vested after completion of ten years of eligible service. All plans provide retirement and disability benefits, annual cost-of-living adjustments, and death benefits to plan members and beneficiaries. Under PERS Plans 1, 2 and 3, annual cost of living allowances are linked to the Seattle Consumer Price Index to a maximum of 3 percent annually.

Funding Policy

Each biennium, the legislature establishes Plan 1 employer contribution rates and Plan 2 employer and employee contribution rates. Employee contribution rates for Plan 1 are established by statute and do not vary from year to year. Employer rates for Plan 1 are not necessarily adequate to fully fund the system. The employer and employee

contribution rates for Plan 2 are developed by the Office of the State Actuary to fully fund the system. All employers are required to contribute at the level established by the legislature.

Both the city and employees made 100 percent of the required contributions for all years.

The city's contributions to PERS for the years ending December 31 were as follows, in thousands:

	PERS	S Plan 1	PER	S Plan 2	PERS	Plan 3
2014	\$	145	\$	5,795	\$	962
2013		129		4,859		777
2012		137		4,084		649

In 2014 the contribution rates for PERS were impacted as noted in the table below:

	Rate Effective	Rate Effective	Rate Effective
	7/1/2013	9/1/2013	1/1/2014
PERS 1 Employer	9.19%	9.21%	9.21%
PERS 1 Employee	6.00%	6.00%	6.00%
PERS 2 Employer	9.19%	9.21%	9.21%
PERS 2 Employee	4.92%	4.92%	4.92%
PERS 3 Employer	9.19%	9.21%	9.21%
PERS 3 Employee	5% - 15% va	riable	

Public Safety Employees' Retirement System (PSERS)

Plan Description

PSERS is a cost-sharing multiple-employer retirement system comprised of a single defined benefit plan, PSERS Plan 2. PSERS was created by the 2004 legislature and became effective July 1, 2006. Membership includes all full-time public safety employees.

PSERS Plan 2 membership includes: 1) full-time public safety employees on or before July 1, 2006, who met at least one of the PSERS eligibility criteria, and elected membership during the election period of July 1, 2006 to September 30, 2006; and 2) full-time public safety employees, hired on or after July 1, 2006, that meet at least one of the PSERS eligibility criteria. Retirement benefits are financed by employee and employer contributions, and investment earnings. Members are vested after completing five years of eligible service. PSERS Plan 2 provides disability benefits. There is no minimum amount of service credit required for eligibility. Eligibility is based on the member being totally incapacitated for continued employment, and leaving that employment as a result of the disability.

Funding Policy

Each biennium, the state Pension Funding Council adopts Plan 2 employer and employee contribution rates. The employer and employee contribution rates for Plan 2 are developed by the Office of the State Actuary to fully fund Plan 2. All employers are required to contribute at the level established by the Legislature. The methods used to determine the contribution requirements are established under state statute in accordance with RCWs 41.37 and 41.45.

Both the city and employees made the required contributions for all years.

The city's contributions to the PSERS system for the years ended December 31 were as follows, in thousands:

	PSERS	Plan 2
2014	\$	77
2013		69
2012		55
2011		48
2010		50
2009		59

In 2014 the contribution rates for PSERS were impacted as noted in the table below:

	Rate Effective		
	7/1/2013	9/1/2013	1/1/2014
PSERS Employer	10.52%	10.54%	10.54%
PSERS Employee	6.36%	6.36%	6.36%

Law Enforcement Officers and Fire Fighters (LEOFF) Retirement System

Plan Description

LEOFF, a cost-sharing, multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan, was established by the State legislature in 1970 under RCW Chapter 41.26. Membership includes all full-time local law enforcement officers and fire fighters. Retirement benefits are financed by employee and employer contributions, investment earnings, and legislative appropriation.

The LEOFF system contains two plans. Participants who joined the system by September 30, 1977, are Plan 1 members. Those who joined thereafter are enrolled in Plan 2. Retirement benefits are vested after completion of five years of eligible service. Both plans provide retirement and disability benefits, annual cost-of-living adjustments, and death benefits to plan members and beneficiaries.

Funding Policy

Plan 1 employers are required to contribute at a rate of 0.23 percent and the state is responsible for the balance of the funding. Plan 2 employers and employees are required to pay at levels established by the legislature. Employer and employee contribution rates for Plan 2 are developed by the Office of the State Actuary to fund the system.

Both the city and the employees made the required contributions for all years. The city's contributions to the LEOFF system for the years ended December 31 were as follows, in thousands:

	LEOI	FF 1	1 LEOFF 2	
2014	\$	1	\$	2,061
2013		2		2,034
2012		2		1,984
2011		2		1,904
2010		2		1,884
2009		3		1,881

In 2014 the contribution rates for LEOFF were impacted as noted in the table below:

	Rate	Rate	Rate
	Effective	Effective	Effective
	7/1/2013	9/1/2013	1/1/2014
LEOFF 1 Employer	0.16%	0.18%	0.18%
LEOFF 1 Employee	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
LEOFF 2 Employer	5.21%	5.23%	5.23%
LEOFF 2 Employee	8.41%	8.41%	8.41%

Firemen's Pension Plan

Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Method used to value investments. All plan investments are reported at fair value. Securities traded on a national exchange are valued at the last reported sales price at current exchange rates. Certificates of deposits are valued at principal invested. Interest earnings are reported upon maturity of investment. Municipal bonds are reported at quoted market prices. Investments in the Local Government Investment Pool are valued at quoted market prices.

Plan Description

Plan administration. The city administers the Firemen's Pension Plan—a single-employer defined benefit pension plan that provides retirement and disability benefits, annual cost-of-living adjustments, and death benefits to plan members and beneficiaries. Benefit terms were established by RCW 41.16 and amended by RCW 41.18. The state retains the authority to amend benefit terms.

Management of the Firemen's Pension Fund is vested in the Pension Board, which consists of five members—city councilmember, who serves as the chairperson of the Board, city clerk, finance director, one retired firefighter and one regularly employed firefighter.

Plan membership. Membership is limited to firefighters employed prior to March 1, 1970, when the LEOFF retirement system was established. At December 31, 2014, pension membership consisted of the following:

Retirees after March 1, 1970, currently receiving full retirement benefits	
through LEOFF 1 and also receiving an adjustment from the	
Firemen's Pension Plan	25
Retirees after March 1, 1970, receiving LEOFF 1 pensions exceeding the	
Firemen's Pension Fund and, therefore; not qualifying for excess	
benefit payment from the Firemen's Pension Plan	8
Total	33

The Plan is closed to new entrants.

Benefits. RCW 41.16.080 through 41.16.180 establish the benefits of the plan. Plan members shall be paid upon retirement a pension based upon the average monthly salary drawn for the five year calendar before retirement, the number of years in service, and a percentage factor based on age upon entering service. In addition, the state requires benefits to include payment on death of a retired firefighter, payment on death of eligible pensioner before retirement, payment on death in line of duty, payment upon disablement in line of duty, payment upon disablement not in line of duty, payment on separation from service, and funeral expenses.

Contributions. RCW 41.16.050 through 41.16.070 established the contribution rates. The state is required to contribute 25 percent of all monies received from taxes on fire insurance premiums and active firefighters are required to contribute based on age. The city is required to contribute a tax of 22.5 cents per \$1,000 of assessed value against all taxable property, unless a qualified actuary establishes that the whole or any part of said dollar rate is not necessary to maintain the actuarial soundness of the Fund. For the year ended December 31, 2014, the state contributed \$207,854 and neither member nor employer made contributions to the Plan. As of the last actuarial study dated January 1, 2015, the actuary determined that current Plan assets and future contributions from state fire insurance taxes and interest earnings will be sufficient to pay all future Plan benefits.

Investments

Investment policy. Plan investments are invested with the city's funds, and therefore, follow city investment policy. The city's policy in regard to the allocation of invested assets is established and may be amended by the director of finance and her designee authorized by City Council. The primary objective of the investment policy is protect the city's principal sums and enable the city to generate a market rate of return from its investment activities while assuring adequate liquidity to meet its cash flow needs. All investment activities will be in compliance with state law. The following was the city's adopted asset allocation policy as of December 31, 2014:

			Maximum
		Maximum	Investment
	Maximum	% of	in One
Authorized Investment Type	Maturity	Portfolio	Issuer
U.S. Treasury Debt Obligations	5 years	100%	100%
U.S. Agency Coupon Securities	5 years	100%	25%
U.S. Agency Discount Notes	1 year	100%	25%
Repurchase Agreements	30 days	50%	10%
Municipal Bonds	5 years	10%	5%
Certificates of Deposit	1 year	50%	10%
Interest Bearing Bank Deposits	1 year	50%	10%
Bankers Acceptances	6 months	15%	5%
Commercial Paper	90 days	15%	5%
State of Washington Local Governmental Investment Pool (LGIP)	N/A	100%	N/A

Concentrations. The Plan held the following investments in organizations that represent 5 percent or more of the Plan's fiduciary net position and are not issued by the US Government at December 31, 2014:

	% of
Investment Type	Net Position
Certificates of Deposit	8%
Local Government Investment Pool	7%

Rate of return. For the year ended December 31, 2014, the annual money-weighted rate of return on pension plan investments was 1.01 percent. The money-weighted rate of return expresses investment performance adjusted for the changing amounts actually invested.

Net Pension Liability of the City

The components of the net pension liability of the City at December 31, 2014, were as follows, in thousands:

Total pension liability	\$ 4,150
Plan fiduciary net position	6,745
City's net pension liability	\$ (2,594)

Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension liability 162.51%

Actuarial Assumptions. The total pension liability was determined by an actuarial valuation as of January 1, 2015, using the following actuarial assumptions, applied to all periods included in the measurement:

Inflation	2.5%
Salary increases	3.0%
Investment rate of return	3.5%

Mortality rates were based on the RP-2000 Mortality Table for Males or Females, as appropriate.

The actuarial assumptions used in the January 1, 2015 valuation were based on the 2001-2006 Experience Study for the Law Enforcement Officers' and Fire Relief and Retirement System prepared by the Office of the State Actuary using the Entry Age Normal Cost Method and attributing liability as a level percentage of compensation.

Discount rate. The discount rate is the single rate that reflects (a) the long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments that are expected to be used to finance payment of benefits, to the extent that the Plan's fiduciary net position is expected to be invested using a strategy to achieve that return, and (b) a yield or index rate for 20-year, tax-exempt general obligation municipal bonds with an average rating of AA/Aa or higher or equivalent quality on another scale), to the extent that the conditions for use of the long-term expected rate of return are not met. Since the fiduciary net position is projected to be positive in all future years, the discount rate is equal to the investment earnings assumption of 3.5 percent.

Sensitivity of the net pension liability to changes in the discount rate. The following presents the net pension liability of the city, calculated using the discount rate of 3.50 percent, as well as what the city's net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1-percentage-point lower (2.50 percent) or 1-percentage-point higher (4.50 percent) than the current rate:

	1% Current		urrent	1%		
	De	Decrease Discount		Increase		
	(;	2.50%)	0%) Rate (3.50%)		(4.50%)	
City's net pension liability	\$	(2,140)	\$	(2,594)	\$	(2,981)

Required supplementary information schedule. A trend schedule for current year and the previous nine years is displayed in the Required Supplemental Information. These schedules will show the actuarial value of the net pension asset trend.

Municipal Employees' Benefit Trust

Plan Description

The Municipal Employees' Benefit Trust (MEBT) is a multiple-employer defined-contribution pension plan which was established as an alternative to the federal Social Security System when city employees, by majority vote, approved

the city's withdrawal from that system effective September 30, 1972, pursuant to USCA, Section 418(g). The plan is administered by the Municipal Employees' Benefit Trust Committee, a five-member committee appointed by the Bellevue City Manager. The Plan Committee administers the MEBT Plan according to the Plan Document adopted by the city and all applicable IRS regulations Plan provisions may be established and amended by a majority vote of the Plan Committee. MEBT includes seven participating cities and NORCOM, with a total of 4,616 participants (Bellevue has 1,478 MEBT 1 participants and 416 MEBT 2 participants). Long-term disability coverage and a death benefit are provided for all employees. The plan's investments are held under a trust agreement with Security Trust Company.

Eliaibilitv

To participate in MEBT, an employee must meet one of the following criteria; 1) Regular status employees of the City of Bellevue (as defined by Human Resources Code Section 3.79): 2) City Councilmember; and 3) Employee designated as eligible for MEBT by the City Council, which is currently Limited Term, Training and Transitional employees. These employees are eligible to participate as of their hire date in MEBT. Participation in MEBT is voluntary. Hourly employees, who do not participate in PERS, participate in MEBT 2 as of their hire date. Participation in MEBT 2 is mandatory for these employees.

Contributions

Regular employees, who elect to participate in MEBT, may contribute on a pre-tax and/or after-tax basis. The aggregate amount of basic contributions for any participant is limited to 100 percent of the FICA tax rate (6.2 percent) on compensation up to the Social Security wage base plus 100 percent of the Medicare tax rate (1.45 percent).

Hourly employees who do not participate in PERS are required to make mandatory contributions equal to 100 percent of the FICA tax rate, currently 6.2 percent of compensation.

Employees may elect to contribute additional compensation on a pre-tax and/or after-tax basis. Basic contributions plus salary deferral contributions plus extra contributions are limited only by federal rules.

The city contributes 100 percent of the FICA tax rate on all eligible employee compensation up to the Social Security wage base plus 100 percent of the Medicare tax rate on all compensation for eligible employees who were hired prior to April 1, 1986, to the Bellevue Contribution Account each pay period.

As of the last day of each month, the employer contributions plus forfeitures less administrative fees and insurance premiums paid during the month are allocated to eligible employees participating in MEBT during the month in the same proportion as each participant's basic pre-tax and after-tax contributions bear to the total basic pre-tax and after-tax contributions.

Employees may contribute up to federal deferred limits, annual addition limits, and any other tax rules that may apply. Actual contributions to the plan for 2014 were as follows, in thousands:

	MEBT 1	_	MEBT 2
Participants	\$8.723		\$ 104

Vesting

Regular employees become fully vested after ten years. Hourly employees are fully vested immediately.

Meydenbauer Center Retirement Plan and Trust

Plan Description

The Bellevue Convention Center Authority's (discretely presented component unit), Meydenbauer Center Retirement Plan and Trust ("Plan") is a defined contribution plan qualified for public employers under Internal Revenue Code Section 401(a). The Plan, approved by resolution of the Bellevue Convention Center Authority Board of Directors on June 14, 1995, became effective July 1, 1995. Wells Fargo Bank serves as the Plan Administrator, Plan Trustee and Investment Manager. As of December 31, 2014, there were 82 active participants in the Plan. The Plan is established as a retirement plan and contains no provision for withdrawing money prior to the termination of employment. Upon termination of employment or retirement, employees receive the account balance of employee contributions and the vested portion of the employer account credited with investment earnings. In the event of employee death or disability, the employee account becomes immediately vested and the full value of the account may be paid out. The plan document defines disability according to specific Federal guidelines. Each regular employee having completed one year of employment and 1,000 hours of service is eligible to participate in the plan. Participation in the Plan is mandatory for all regular employees hired after May 1, 1995.

Washington State Auditor's Office

Page 79

Contributions

The Authority and employees each contribute 5 percent of compensation to the Plan. Additionally each participant may contribute on his own behalf at least 1 percent but not more than 10 percent of his or her compensation on a post-tax basis. The Authority's contributions to the Plan were percent of covered payroll. Actual contributions to the plan for 2014 were as follows, in thousands:

Participants \$192 Authority 145

Note 8: Other Personnel Benefits

Deferred Compensation

The city offers its employees one deferred compensation plan in accordance with Internal Revenue Code Section 457, as revised on August 20, 1996. This plan enables employees to defer a portion of their compensation until future years. The deferred compensation is available to employees upon termination, retirement, or certain unforeseeable emergencies. It is available to their beneficiaries upon the employees' death.

All amounts of compensation deferred under the plan, all property and rights purchased with those amounts, and all income attributable to those amounts, property, or rights are solely the property and right of the contributing employee. Amounts withheld from employee compensation are transmitted directly to the plans' trustees.

Post-Employment Benefits

In accordance with the Revised Code of Washington (RCW) 41.26, the city provides lifetime medical care for law enforcement officers and fire fighters employed prior to October 1, 1977. Under this requirement, most coverage for eligible retirees is provided in one of the city's employee medical insurance programs. However, under authorization of the Disability Board, direct payment is also made for some retiree medical expenses not covered by standard benefit plan provisions. The retiree does not contribute towards the cost of his/her medical care.

Costs of providing these post-employment health care benefits in 2014 were as follows, in thousands:

Bellevue Health Plan - claims, administrative costs, stop loss	
coverage and incurred reserves	\$ 1,329
Group Health - medical insurance premiums	108
Medicare Part Binsurance premiums	125
Disability Board Reimbursements	227
Total Costs	\$ 1,789

In the past, these costs were provided solely on a pay-as-you-go-basis by the city's Health Benefits Fund. On January 1, 1996, however, the city established the LEOFF I Medical Reserve Fund. The city has reserved a \$7.4 million balance in the LEOFF I Medical Reserve fund as of December 31, 2014. These funds are in the State Treasurer's Investment Pool and U.S. Government Securities with maturity dates at time of purchase from less than one year to a maximum of two years.

There are a total of 9 active plan participants and 125 retirees currently receiving benefits.

The medical liability valuation is based on the methodology contained in Statement 106 of the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) and applied in accordance with GASB Statement 12. The significant actuarial assumptions used are as follows:

I. Economic Assumptions:	A. Discount Rate		2.50%
	B. Medical Inflation Rate		3.00%
II. City of Bellevue per-person clair	m cost experience rates:		
	A. Retirees under 65	\$	2,249
	B. Retirees 65 and older	\$	1,060
Actuarial cost method	Projected Unit Credit Actuarial	Cost M	ethod
Amortization method	30 year open		
Asset valuation method	N/A		
Investment Rate	2.50%		

GASB Statement 45, Accounting and Financial Reporting by Employers for Post-employment Benefits Other Than Pensions (OPEB) requires the disclosure of the employer liability for retiree medical subsidies and other post-employment benefits. Recognition of the liability accumulated from prior years will be amortized over 30 years, the first period commencing in 2007.

The annual required contribution (ARC) is equal to an amount required each year to fully fund the liability. The actuarial accrued liability (AAL) for OPEB is determined in accordance with GASB Statement 45, and has been estimated at \$49.7 million with plan assets of 0 percent held in trust. These estimates were prepared by Healthcare Actuaries, LLC.

The December 31, 2014 valuation used the projected unit credit actuarial cost method. The actuarial assumptions included a 2.50 percent investment rate of return (net of administrative expenses) and an initial annual healthcare cost trend rate of 8.0 percent for Premera medical/unreimbursed expenses, remaining at 8.0 percent for each of the next two years, then decreasing to an ultimate rate of 4.2 percent after 66 years. The initial Premera pharmacy trend is 7.0 percent, then 6.6 percent for three years, then 5.4 percent for seven years, then decreasing to an ultimate rate of 4.2 percent after 62 years. The Group Health trend is the same as the Premera medical trend. The Medicare premium trend rate is 6.0 percent for all years. The long-term care trend rate is 5.0 percent for all years. The trend rate for the Excise Tax threshold is 0 percent until 2018, when a trend rate of 3.24 percent is used. All trend rates include a 3.0 percent inflation assumption.

The unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL) at transition is being amortized as a level dollar amount on a closed basis. The remaining amortization period at December 31, 2014 was 22 years. The UAAL is recalculated each year and amortized as a level dollar amount on an open basis over 30 years.

The city's annual OPEB costs and net OPEB obligation for 2014 were as follows, in thousands:

Annual Required Contribution	\$ 3,031
Interest on Net OPEB Obligation	144
Adjustment to annual required contribution	 (371)
Annual OPEB Cost	2,803
Contributions Made	1,789
Increase in Net OPEB Obligation	1,014
Net OPEB Obligation - Beginning of year	6,685
Net OPEB Obligation - End of year	\$ 7,699

The city's annual OPEB cost, the percentage of annual OPEB cost contributed to the plan, and the net OPEB obligation for the years ending December 31 were as follows, in thousands:

		Percentage of			
	Annual	Employer	OPEB Cost	Net OPEB	
	OPEB Cost	Contribution	Contributed	Obligation	
2014	\$ 2,803	\$ 1,789	63.82%	\$ 7,699	
2013	2,690	1,614	59.98%	6,685	
2012	2,899	1,556	53.66%	5,608	

Actuarial valuations of an ongoing plan involve estimates of the value of reported amounts and assumptions about the probability of occurrence of events far into the future. Examples include assumptions about future employment, mortality, and healthcare cost trend. In accordance with GASB Statement 50 the schedule of funding progress,

presented as required supplemental information following the notes to the financial statements, presents multi-year trend information that shows whether the actuarial value of the plan assets is increasing or decreasing over time relative to the actuarial accrued liabilities for benefits.

The funded status of the Health Plan as of December 31, 2014, was as follows, in thousands:

Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL)	\$ 4	19,675
Actuarial Value of Plan Assets		-
Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL)	\$ 4	19,675
Funded Ratio		0.00%
Covered Payroll	\$	760
UAAL as a Percentage of Covered Payroll	65	540.0%

Note 9: Compensated Absences

The city's liability for accrued compensated absences is recorded in the schedule below, in thousands. The funds that incur a liability for compensated absences are responsible for liquidating them in future periods.

Governmental activities:	
General fund	\$ 8,892
Development Services	823
General Capital Investment Program	-
Nonmajor governmental funds	 232
Total governmental activities	9,947
Business-type activities:	
Storm & surface water utility	356
Water utility	440
Sewer utility	 354
Total business-type activities	1,150
Internal Service activities	 1,017
Total compensated absences	\$ 12,114

Note 10: Risk Management

The City of Bellevue is exposed to financial loss resulting from city-caused damage to property or persons, bodily injuries or illness of employees, unemployment compensation benefits paid to former employees, and employee health care benefits. Except as described below, the city is self-insured for these loss exposures. Individual internal service funds are used to account for, and finance, self-insurance activities. These include workers' compensation, unemployment compensation, general liability, and employee health care benefits. Premiums paid to these funds by other governmental funds are used to pay for administrative costs, claims, and risk transfer/insurance, and risk margin factors to cover future unknown loss contingencies.

In accordance with GASB Statement 10, estimated liabilities are accrued in all self-insurance funds for the value of current outstanding claims and claims incurred but not reported (IBNR). Annual actuarial studies are performed for the Workers' Compensation, General Self-Insurance and Health Benefits Funds to determine recommended funding levels for related risk areas. The city has not purchased annuity contracts for any of its outstanding claims.

At December 31, 2014, the city had available cash and equity in pooled investments in the self-insurance funds of \$13 million to provide against risk of catastrophic losses. The claims liability reported in the self-insurance funds is based on the requirements of GASB Statement 10. This statement requires that a liability for claims be reported if information indicates, prior to the issuance of the financial statements that it is probable a liability has been incurred at the date of the financial statements and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. This estimated liability is not discounted to present value.

Changes in the Funds' claims liability amount from fiscal year ended December 31, 2013 to December 31, 2014, in thousands:

	Workers'		Unemployment		General		Health
	Com	pensation	Co	mpensation	Self-	-Insurance	Benefits
December 31, 2013:							
Unpaid claims, beginning of fiscal year	\$	1,701	\$	54	\$	2,576	\$ 1,225
Incurred claims (including IBNRs)		1,430		181		178	14,346
Claim payments		(1,044)		(189)		(1,426)	(14,529)
Unpaid claims, December 31, 2013	\$	2,087	\$	46	\$	1,328	\$ 1,042
December 31, 2014:							
Unpaid claims, beginning of fiscal year	\$	2,087	\$	46	\$	1,328	\$ 1,042
Incurred claims (including IBNRs)		1,056		114		1,189	14,717
Claim payments		(1,183)		(121)		(750)	_(14,625)
Unpaid claims, December 31, 2014	\$	1,960	\$	39	\$	1,767	\$ 1,134
Due within one year		1,046		39		704	1,134
Due in more than one year		914		-		1,063	-

Under the city's self-insurance program, the following commercial insurance policies are purchased to protect the city from claims which exceed anticipated funding levels. Settled claims have not exceeded this commercial coverage in any of the past three fiscal years.

Policy Type	Deductible	Coverage Limits	Description
Excess Workers' Compensation Employer's Liability - All Employees	\$ 500,000	\$ 1,000,000	Protects the city from unanticipated levels of workers' compensation
Boiler & Machinery	10,000	500,000,000	(A) Protects the city from loss due to damage to buildings and contents from boilers and machinery.
Real & Personal Property	100,000	500,000,000	(A) Protects the city from loss by fire and other extended coverages.
Earth Movement	100,000 or 3% of value	40,000,000	(A) Protects the city from loss by earth movement.
Flood	100,000	40,000,000	(A) Protects the city from loss by flood.
Medical Stop Loss	250,000	Unlimited	(B) Stop-loss coverage protects the city from excessive individual claims.
Excess Liability Coverage	2,000,000	10,000,000	(A) Protects the city from excessive individual losses.
Fiduciary Liability	15,000	7,000,000	Protects the city's retirement plans from wrong doing by board members.
Inland Marine - Fine Arts		1,100,000	Protects the city from loss due to damage to its art work.
Crime & Fidelity, Employee Theft, Forgery or Alteration, Funds Transfer Fraud	5,000	1,000,000	Protect the city from loss due to employee dishonesty and other extended coverages. (A) per occurrence (B) per occurrence

Discretely Presented Component Unit

The Bellevue Convention Center Authority utilizes Parker, Smith & Feek, Inc. for marketing and placement of its commercial policies. The Authority maintains insurance against most normal hazards.

Note 11: Leases and Other Contractual Commitments

Operating Leases

Terranomics Crossroads Associates

Effective November 10, 1994, the city entered into a one-year non-cancelable lease agreement with Terranomics Crossroads Associates to provide a Mini City Hall in the Crossroads area. Since 1994 the lease has been extended via mutual agreement between both parties. The current lease extension ends November 30, 2018. Total lease payments for 2014 were \$14. Future lease payments to Terranomics Crossroads Associates are summarized as follows:

2015	\$ 14
2016	15
2017	15
2018	14
Total future minimum lease payments	\$ 58

Effective September 25, 2000, the city entered into a five-year non-cancelable lease agreement with Terranomics Crossroads Associates to provide a Community Police substation in the Crossroads area. Since 2000 the lease has been extended via mutual agreement between both parties. The current lease extension ends September 2020. Total lease payments for 2014 were \$26. Future lease payments to Terranomics Crossroads Associates are summarized as follows:

2015	\$ 22
2016	22
2017	23
2018	23
2019	24
2020	18
Total future minimum lease payments	\$ 132

Delta Business Park

Effective July 1, 1996, the city entered into a five-year lease agreement with Delta Business Park for a building to house vehicles seized by the Eastside Narcotics Task Force. Since 1996 the lease has been extended via mutual agreement between both parties. The current lease extension ends June 30, 2016. Total lease payments for 2014 were \$26. Future lease payments to Delta Business Park are summarized as follows:

2015	\$ 25
2016	13
Total future minimum lease payments	\$ 38

Effective January 1, 2005, the city entered into another five-year lease agreement with Delta Business Park for a storage facility for use by the Police Department. Since 2005 the lease has been extended via mutual agreement between both parties. The current lease ends December 31, 2019. Total lease payments for 2014 were \$18. Future minimum lease payments to Delta Business Park are as follows:

2015	\$ 13
2016	13
2017	13
2018	13
2019	13
Total future minimum lease payments	\$ 65

North East King County Regional Public Safety Communications Agency (NORCOM)

Effective July 1, 2009, the city entered into a seven-year non-cancelable lease agreement with NORCOM to lease premises on the seventh floor of Bellevue City Hall during which time it will operate its public safety communications services. The lease will automatically renew on July 1, 2016 for fourteen years unless NORCOM gives thirty months' notice of cancellation. Total lease receipts for 2014 were \$452.

The premises leased by NORCOM have a cost of \$4,562 with accumulated depreciation of \$816 and a carrying value of \$3,746.

Minimum future rentals from NORCOM are summarized as follows, in thousands:

2015	\$ 463
2016	468
Total future minimum lease receipts	\$ 931

District Court

The city entered into an eleven year lease agreement for office space related to the District Court. The lease term began on July 1, 2014 and ends on June 30, 2025. There are 3 options for extensions in 5 year increments for a total of 15 additional years. Payments begin on July 1, 2015. The city made no payments during 2014.

Minimum future rental payments are summarized as follows, in thousands:

2015	\$ 310
2016	619
2017	619
2018	628
2019	647
2020	667
2021	687
2022	707
2023	729
2024	751
2025	 381

Total future minimum lease payments \$ 6,745

Washington State Auditor's Office

Page 85

Construction/Other Contractual Commitments

The city's other outstanding contractual commitments by fund type as of December 31, 2014, are summarized below, in thousands:

Governmental activities:	
General Fund	\$ 23,762
Development services	794
General CIP	50,808
Nonmajor governmental funds	 21,543
Total governmental activities	96,907
Business-type activities:	
Storm & surface water utility	3,281
Water utility	43,604
Sewer utility	6,726
Nonmajor business-type funds	117
Total business-type activities	53,728
Total outstanding contractual commitments	\$ 150,635

Note 12: Interfund Receivables, Payables and Transfers

Interfund balances as of December 31, 2014 were as follows, in thousands:

DUE TO/FROM OTHER FUNDS	Receivable		Payable	
Governmental funds:				
General Fund	\$	481	\$	-
Development Services		-		-
General Capital Investment Program		-		15,000
Nonmajor governmental funds		9		9
Proprietary funds:				
Storm Drainage Utility		-		55
Water Utility		-		259
Sewer Utility		15,000		167
Marina		-		-
Internal Service Funds		-		-
Total due other funds	\$	15,490	\$	15,490

Receivable and payable balances due to and due from funds consist of loans between city funds. The LID Guaranty Fund pays off loans related to special assessments for the LID Control Fund. The LID Control Fund repays the LID Guaranty Fund upon payment from the property owners. The loan between the General Capital Improvement Program Fund and the Sewer Utility Fund was to provide interim financing for city projects and is expected to be repaid in 2015. The remaining balance for receivables consists of payables from the Utility fund for Business and Occupation taxes.

The balance between the city and the component unit consists of transient occupancy taxes pledged to the Bellevue Convention Center Authority due at year-end.

Interfund transfers as of December 31, 2014 were as follows, in thousands:

INTERFUND TRANSFERS	In	Out
Governmental funds:		
General Fund	\$ 1,818	\$ 4,257
Development Services	3,638	288
General Capital Investment Program	-	14,111
Nonmajor governmental funds	15,565	1,585
Proprietary funds:		
Storm Drainage Utility	146	10
Water Utility	120	2
Sewer Utility	169	177
Marina	-	-
Internal Service Funds	 1,564	 2,591
Total Transfers	\$ 23,021	\$ 23,021

The city incurs transfers for subsidies, indirect overhead, capital improvements, capital purchases and debt service.

Note 13: Long-Term Liabilities

The various categories of long-term liabilities reflected on the city's financial statements are briefly described in the following paragraphs.

Long-Term Debt

General obligation bonds are backed by the city's full faith and credit. Proceeds are typically used for the acquisition or construction of major capital facilities, or to refund debt previously issued for those purposes. "Councilmanic Bonds" are general obligation bonds issued by the City Council without voter approval. Under state law, repayment of these bonds must be paid from general city revenues. General obligation bonds approved by the voters are typically repaid through an annual voted property tax levy authorized for this purpose. Predominantly, general obligation bonds of the city have been issued for general governmental activity purposes.

The 1998 limited general obligation bonds were issued for the purchase of the Marina and are reported under business activities in the statement of net position. The remaining general obligation bond issues are recorded under governmental activities in the statement of net position. These bonds are subject to federal arbitrage rules.

On April 30, 2013, the city issued \$70.4 million in limited tax general obligation bonds with an average interest rate of 4.336 percent to finance, reimburse or refinance a portion of the city's capital improvement program. Maturity dates range from 2013 through 2037. These bonds are subject to federal arbitrage rules.

Revenue bonds are payable from revenues generated by the city's various enterprise activities. Under the economic resources measurement focus used by the enterprise funds, debt for these bonds is recorded as a liability by the individual fund responsible for the related debt repayment.

The city has pledged 100 percent of future transient occupancy tax (hotel/motel tax) revenue to repay \$34.9 million in special obligation revenue bonds issued in 1991 and 1994 by the Bellevue Convention Center Authority and \$14.7 million in limited tax general obligation bonds issued in 1995 and 2010 (refunding 2002 bonds) by the city. Proceeds from the special obligation revenue bonds provided financing for constructing a convention center facility. Proceeds from the limited tax general obligation bonds issued in 1995 provided financing for capital improvements and related costs for the Convention Center. Proceeds from the 2002 issuance provided financing for acquiring a site for expansion and/or making improvements to the Convention Center. The bonds are payable solely from the

hotel/motel tax revenue through 2032 or when all debt payments have been made, whichever is earlier. Any remaining hotel/motel tax revenue after satisfying debt service payments are remitted to the Authority to fund operations. Annual principal and interest payments on the bonds are expected to require less than 78 percent of net revenues. The total principal and interest remaining to be paid on the bonds is \$112.5 million. Principal and interest paid for the current year and total pledged revenue were \$6.5 million and \$9.2 million, respectively.

The city has pledged 100 percent of future moorage revenues at Meydenbauer Bay Marina to repay \$3.3 million in limited tax general obligation bonds issued in 2010 (refunding 1998 bonds). Proceeds from the bonds provided financing to acquire the Meydenbauer Bay Marina. The bonds are payable from rates and charges for moorage at the Meydenbauer Bay Marina through 2018 or when all debt payments have been made, whichever is earlier. Annual principal and interest payments on the bonds are expected to require less than 88 percent of net revenues. The total principal and interest remaining to be paid on the bonds is \$2.3 million. Principal and interest paid for the current year and total pledged revenue were both \$0.4 million.

Advanced Refunding

On September 14, 2010, the city issued \$12.9 million in limited tax general obligation (LTGO) refunding bonds with an average interest rate of 3.62 percent to advance refund; (i) \$3.4 million of outstanding 1998 LTGO (Marina) bonds with an average interest rate of 4.57 percent and (ii) \$9.6 million of outstanding 2002 LTGO (Meydenbauer Center) bonds with an average interest rate of 5.21 percent.

The net proceeds of \$13.2 million were used to purchase U.S. government securities. The securities were deposited in an irrevocable trust with an escrow agent to provide for all future debt service payments on the 1998 and 2002 LTGO bonds. As a result, the 1998 and the 2002 LTGO bonds are considered to be defeased and the liability for those bonds has been removed from the government-wide statement of net position.

The city advance refunded the 1998 and the 2002 LTGO bonds to reduce its total combined debt service payments over the next 22 years by \$2.1 million and to obtain an economic gain (difference between the present values of the debt service payments on the old and new debt) of \$1.6 million. The advance refunding also resulted in a difference between the net reacquisition price (funds deposited in escrow to refund old bonds) and the net carrying amount of the old debt of \$.73 million. This amount is being charged to operations through the year 2032 using the effective interest method.

On April 17, 2012, the city issued \$55.9 million in limited tax general obligation (LTGO) refunding bonds with an average interest rate of 4.67 percent to advance refund a portion (\$55.3 million) of the total outstanding (\$100.2 million) LTGO Bonds, Series 2004 (New City Building) with an average interest rate of 5.35 percent.

The net proceeds of \$63.2 million (after payment of \$274,000 in underwriting fees and other debt issuance costs) were used to purchase U.S. government securities. The securities were deposited in an irrevocable trust with an escrow agent to provide for all future debt service payments on the advance refunded portion of the 2004 LTGO Bonds. As a result, the advance refunded portion of the 2004 LTGO Bonds are considered to be defeased and the liability for those bonds has been removed from the government-wide statement of net position.

The city advance refunded a portion (\$55.3 million) of the 2004 LTGO Bonds to reduce its total combined debt service payments over the next 28 years by \$5.9 million and to obtain an economic gain (difference between the present values of the debt service payments on the old and new debt) of \$3.8 million. The advance refunding also resulted in a difference between the net reacquisition price (funds deposited in escrow to refund old bonds) and the net carrying amount of the old debt of \$6.8 million. This amount, reported in the statement of net position as a deferred outflow of resources, is being charged to operations through the year 2039 using the effective interest method.

On July 18, 2012, the city again issued \$43.2 million in LTGO refunding bonds, (2012B) with an average interest rate of 4.33 percent to advance refund the remaining callable portion (\$40.8 million) of the total outstanding (\$44.9 million) LTGO Bonds, Series 2004 (New City Building) with an average interest rate of 5.08 percent.

The net proceeds of \$45.5 million (after payment of \$174,000 in underwriting fees and other debt issuance costs) were used to purchase U.S. government securities. The securities were deposited in an irrevocable trust with an escrow agent to provide for all future debt service payments on the remaining advance refunded portion of the 2004 LTGO Bonds. As a result, the remaining callable portion (\$40.8 million) of the 2004 LTGO Bonds are considered to be defeased and the liability for those bonds has been removed from the government-wide statement of net position.

The city advance refunded the remaining callable portion (\$40.8 million) of the 2004 LTGO bonds to reduce its total combined debt service payments over the next 32 years by \$3.7 million and to obtain an economic gain (difference

between the present values of the debt service payments on the old and new debt) of \$2.2 million. The advance refunding also resulted in a difference between the net reacquisition price (funds deposited in escrow to refund old bonds) and the net carrying amount of the old debt of \$4.5 million. This amount, reported in the statement of net position as a deferred outflow of resources, is being charged to operations through the year 2043 using the effective interest method.

Special Assessments

Special assessment bonds are issued to finance construction of local improvement district (LID) projects and are repaid through assessments collected from property owners benefiting from related improvements. Although the bonds are secured by liens against assessed properties, the city is required under state law to establish a guaranty fund to provide a means of paying LID bond debt service obligations in the event there are insufficient resources in the LID Control Fund. Due to the city's legal obligation to maintain this guaranty fund, special assessment bonds are considered a general government obligation and are, therefore, recorded in governmental activities on the statement of net position, even though the LID process is used for construction of utility service projects, as well as for construction of sidewalk and other transportation-related improvements.

Other Long-Term Liabilities

Other long-term debt incurred by the enterprise and governmental funds includes conditional sales contracts issued for the purchase of land and facilities, and State Department of Community Development Public Works Trust Fund loans, which have been made to finance designated capital project construction costs.

Estimated pollution remediation obligations are promises to address the current or potential detrimental effects of existing pollution by participating in pollution remediation activities such as site assessments and cleanups. The city's estimated pollution remediation is resultant from a landfill closed since 1964. The estimates were derived using the expected cash flows method as well as technical estimates from records of decisions, consent decrees and/or settlement agreements. Estimated costs are \$50,000 per year, adjusted for inflation for the maintenance and operation of a methane extraction system. The pollution remediation obligation is an estimate and subject to changes resulting from price increases or reductions, technology, or changes in applicable laws or regulations. Prospective recoveries from other responsible parties may reduce the city's obligations. No recoveries were recorded in 2014. The city recognized an estimated pollution remediation obligation of \$284 in 2014.

City of Bellevue, Washington

LONG- TERM DEBT GOVERNMENTAL ACTIVITYS For the Year Ended December 31, 2014 (in thousands)

	Interest	Fund	Ssue	Maturity	Original Debt	ō	Debt Outstanding	Debt	Debt	Ō	Debt Outstanding
Description	Rate	to Pay Debt	Date	Date	Issued	, , ,	1/1/14	_	Redeemed		12/31/14
General Obligation Bonds-Councilmanic:											
1995 Limited G.O.	5.15-5.80%	Hotel/	12/27/95	12/01/25	\$ 5,139	\$ 6	2,311	\$	\$ 262	-⟨>-	2,049
2003 Limited G.O. Refunding Series B	2.00-4.50%	Notel lax 2.00-4.50% General CIP	10/29/03	07/01/14	4,635	35	495	1	495		
2004 Limited G.O. (City Building)	5.00-5.50%	5.00-5.50% General CIP	07/01/04	12/01/14	102,710	10	1,445	•	1,445		,
2006 Limited G.O.	3.80-4.25%	3.80-4.25% General CIP	11/01/06	12/01/26	90'9	09	4,480	1	270	_	4,210
Add: Unamortized bond premium							15	•	1		14
2008 Limited G.O.	3.00-4.25%	3.00-4.25% General CIP	02/07/08	12/01/27	14,230	30	10,950	•	620	_	10,330
Add: Unamortized bond premium							12	•	1		11
2010 Limited Tax G.O.	2.00-5.00%	2.00-5.00% General CIP	10/14/10	12/01/30	11,825	25	10,535	•	465		10,070
Add: Unamortized bond premium							683	•	40	_	643
2010 Limited Tax G.O. Refunding Series 2002	2.00-4.00%	Hotel/	09/28/10	12/01/32	9,595	95	8,735	•	330	_	8,405
Add: Unamortized bond premium		Motel Tax					237	1	12		225
2012 Limited G.O. Refunding Series 2012	2.00-5.00%	General CIP	04/17/12	12/01/39	55,875	75	55,770	•	110	_	25,660
Add: Unamortized bond premium							6,234	•	240	_	5,994
2012 Limited G.O. Refunding Series 2012B	2.00-5.00%	2.00-5.00% General CIP 07/18/12	07/18/12	12/01/43	43,185	85	43,130	•	55		43,075
Add: Unamortized bond premium							2,245	•	75		2,170
2013 Limited Tax G.O. Sound Transit Portion	2.00-5.00%	2.00-5.00% General CIP	04/15/13	12/01/32	62,605	05	61,385	•	2,105		59,280
Add: Unamortized bond premium							10,352	•	545		6,807
2013 Limited G.O. Local Revitalization	2.00-5.00%	2.00-5.00% General CIP 04/15/13	04/15/13	12/01/37	7,800	00	7,490	1	180	_	7,310
Add: Unamortized bond premium							991	1	41		950
Other Long- Term Debt: Department of Community, Trade & Economic Development:	Development										1
Public Works Trust Fund Loan #06-962	0.50%	0.50% General CIP 02/01/07 02/01/28	02/01/07	02/01/28	7	750	518	•	40		478
Total					\$ 324,409	- 1	\$228,013	- ج	\$ 7,332	Ş	220,681

SPECIAL ASSESSMENT DEBT WITH GOVERNMENTAL COMMITMENT For the Year Ended December 31, 2014

(in thousands)

Debt	Debt Outstanding Redeemed 12/31/14	· ·	- \$
	Debt Redeemed	\$ 10	\$ 10
	Debt Issued	ı	
Debt	Outstanding 1/1/14	10 \$	10 \$
	Out 1	325 \$	25 \$
Original	Debt Issued		3
	Maturity Date	5.50-6.65% LID Control 06/01/94 07/01/16 \$	\$
	lssue Date	06/01/94	
Fund	Responsible to Pay Debt	LID Control	
	Interest Rate	6.50-6.65%	
	ocal Improvement District	NE 8th St & 156th Ave NE	Total

LONG-TERM DEBT – BUSINESS TYPE ACTIVITIES

For the Year Ended December 31, 2014

(in thousands)

		Fund			Original	nal	Debt					Debt
	Interest	Responsible	Issue	Maturity	Debt		Outstanding	-	Debt	Debt	Out	Outstanding
Description	Rate	to Pay Debt	Date	Date	Issued		1/1/14	ISS	Issued	Redeemed 12/31/14	12	/31/14
General Obligation Bonds-Councilmanic												
2010 Limited G.O. Refunding Series 1998	2.00-4.00%	Marina	09/28/10	12/1/18	\$ 3	,280	3,280 \$ 2,080	\$,	\$ 390	\$	1,690
Add: Unamortized bond premium							88			18		70

30 438

Other Long-Term Debt

30 2,198 260 3,840 1.00% Water Utility 06/23/94 07/01/14 Department of Community, Trade & Economic Development: Public Works Trust Fund Loan #94-002^[1] Total

 $^{(1)}$ A total loan of \$856,000 was approved in 1994.

At December 31, 2014, the city's annual debt service requirements for general obligation, revenue, special assessment bonds and other debt were:

ANNUAL DEBT SERVICE REQUIREMENT TO MATURITY (in thousands)

	General C	General Obligation	Special A	Special Assessment	Govern	Governmental	Business-T	Business-Type Activities		
	Bor	Bonds	B	Bonds	Other	Other Debt	Othe	Other Debt	Tot	Total Annual
Year	Principal	Principal Interest	Principal	Principal Interest	Principal	Principal Interest	Principal	Interest	Requ	Requirements
2015	\$ 6,391	\$ 9,274	- \$	- \$	\$ 40	\$ 2	- \$	- \$	ş	15,707
2016	6,582	9,074	•	1	40	2	1	1		15,698
2017	6,847	8,882	•	1	40	2	1	1		15,771
2018	7,080	8,618	•	1	40	2	ı	1		15,740
2019	6,903	8,323		1	40	2	1	1		15,268
2019-2023	39,199	36,906		1	199	5	1	1		76,309
2024-2028	44,912	24,881		1	80	1	1	1		69,874
2029-2033	36,150	14,545		1	ı	ı	ı	ı		20,695
2034-2038	24,650	7,925	,	ı	ı	•	ı	ı		32,575
2039-2043	23,365	2,386		1	1	-	1	-		25,751
Total	\$ 202,079	202,079 \$130,814	- \$	- \$	\$ 479	\$ 16	- \$	- \$	\$	333,388

Page 92 Washington State Auditor's Office

LONG-TERM DEBT – DISCRETELY PRESENTED COMPONENT UNIT **BELLEVUE CONVENTION CENTER AUTHORITY** For the Year Ended December 31, 2014

(in thousands)

				Original	Debt				Debt
	Interest	Issue	Maturity	Maturity Debt	Outstanding	Debt	Debt	Out	Outstanding
Description	Rate	Date	Date	Issued	1/1/14	Issued	Redeemed 12/31/14	d 12,	31/14
1991 Series B	5.9-7.20%	08/01/91	12/01/19	\$21,120	5.9-7.20% 08/01/91 12/01/19 \$21,120 \$ 3,809 \$	- \$	\$ 753 \$ 3,056	\$	3,056
1994 Refunding	6.25-7.50%	11/05/93	12/05/25	13,749	9,785	•	444	-	9,341
Sk				\$ 34,869	\$ 34,869 \$ 13,594 \$	- \$	\$ 1,197 \$ 12,397	\$ 2	12,397
Add:									
Unamortized gain on advance refunding									15
Total								⋄	\$ 12,412

The Bellevue Convention Center Authority's (Component Unit) revenue bonds are secured by hotel/motel tax and other revenues of the city available without a vote of the city's electors.

At December 31, 2014 Bellevue Convention Center Authority's debt service requirements for revenue bonds were:

ANNUAL DEBT SERVICE REQUIREMENT TO MATURITY

(in thousands)

quirements	5,025	009'9	7,045	6,525	7,975	41,190	10,000	84,360
Re	\$							\$
terest	4,020	5,354	5,817	5,321	6,795	35,741	8,915	71,963
_	\$,		Ş
incipal	1,005	1,246	1,228	1,204	1,180	5,449	1,085	\$ 12,397 \$71,963
Pr	\$							\$
Year	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020-2024	2025	Total
	Year Principal Interest Requirements							

CHANGES IN LONG-TERM LIBAILITIES

(in thousands)

		eginning Balance	A	dditions	Re	ductions	Ending Balance	 e Within ne Year
Governmental Activities:								
General obligation bonds	\$	206,726	\$	-	\$	(6,337)	200,389	\$ 6,011
Add: for issuance premium		20,770		-		(955)	19,815	-
Special assessment debt		10		-		(10)	-	-
Compensated absences		11,130		9,645		(9,812)	10,963	3,289
Estimated claims payable		4,503		17,076		(16,679)	4,900	2,923
Other post employment benefits		6,685		2,803		(1,789)	7,699	-
Estimated pollution remediation		388		-		(104)	284	-
Other long-term debt		518		-		(40)	478	40
Total	\$	250,730	\$	29,524	\$	(35,727)	\$ 244,527	\$ 12,262
Business Activities:								
General obligation bonds	\$	2,080	\$	-	\$	(390)	\$ 1,690	\$ 380
Add: for issuance premiums		88		-		(18)	70	-
Compensated absences		1,246		1,148		(1,244)	1,150	345
Other long-term debt		30		-		(30)	-	-
Total	\$	3,444	\$	1,148	\$	(1,682)	\$ 2,910	\$ 725
Bellevue Convention Center Authori	ty:							
Revenue bonds	\$	13,594	\$	-	\$	(1,197)	\$ 12,397	\$ 1,005
Compensated absences		81		12		-	93	37
Total	\$	13,675	\$	12	\$	(1,197)	\$ 12,490	\$ 1,042

The governmental funds which typically liquidate compensated absences include the General, Solid Waste Recycling, Development Services, and Parks Enterprise. The General Fund liquidates pollution remediation costs. Estimated claims expenses are liquidated in internal service funds. The LEOFF I reserve fund is used to liquidate other post-employment benefits.

Note 14: Related Party Transactions

The city acts as a conduit for hotel/motel taxes which are collected by the city and transmitted to the Bellevue Convention Center Authority, a discretely presented component unit of the city, for debt service. The total taxes remitted as of December 31, 2014 totaled \$7.5 million.

Note 15: Contingencies and Litigation

As of December 31, 2014, there were various claims for damages and lawsuits pending against the City. In the opinion of the City Attorney, however, neither the potential liability for any single claim or lawsuit, nor the aggregate potential liability arising from all actions currently pending, would materially affect the financial condition of the City, and therefore, no current or long-term liability has been recorded.

Note 16: Joint Ventures

EASTSIDE PUBLIC SAFETY COMMUNICATIONS AGENCY (EPSCA)

The city is a participant with the cities of Redmond, Kirkland, Mercer Island and Issaquah (Principal) in a joint venture to operate the System, a public safety radio communication system. The Eastside Public Safety Communications Agency (EPSCA), a not-for-profit corporation, was created to provide system management services. The EPSCA is governed by an Executive Board composed of one representative from each Principal.

Upon dissolution of the corporation, the net position of the EPSCA will be shared equitably by participating agencies at the time of dissolution. The Principals are each obligated by interlocal agreement to remit costs related to the System based upon the number of radios each participating agency has contracted for to supplement the EPSCA's operating revenues.

The city paid \$362 in services fees in 2014. The city's equity interest in ESCPA is reported in the city's Statement of Net Position. The city's equity interest in the EPSCA was \$159 at December 31, 2014.

Complete financial statements for the EPSCA can be obtained from EPSCA, MS PSEPS, c/o Jessie Morgan, PO Box 97010, Redmond, WA 98073-9710.

CASCADE WATER ALLIANCE

The city is a participant with the cities of Issaquah, Kirkland, and Redmond and Tukwila, Sammamish Plateau Water and Sewer District and Skyway Water and Sewer District (Members) in a joint venture to operate a water supply system. Cascade Water Alliance (Alliance), a joint municipal utility service corporation, was created to provide water supply to meet current and future needs in a cost-effective and environmentally responsible manner. The Alliance is governed by a Board of Directors consisting of one individual representative appointed by Resolution of the Member's legislative authority.

A Member may withdraw from the Alliance with a resolution of its legislative authority expressing such intent. The Board will then determine the withdrawing Member's obligations to the Alliance, as well as the withdrawing Member's allocable share of the Alliance's then-existing obligations. The Member's withdrawal shall be effective upon payment of obligations and shall have no right to, or interest in any Water Supply Assets owned by the Alliance.

Upon dissolution of the corporation, the net position of the Alliance will be shared equitably by current Members at the time of dissolution based on demand shares. The Members are each obligated by interlocal agreement to remit costs related to the Alliance based on the number of Cascade equivalent residential units (CERUs) served by its water system, regardless of water usage or capacity to defray part of the Alliance's administrative costs. In addition, to allocate growth costs to those Members that require capacity increases, each Member shall pay a Regional Capital Facilities Charge (RCFC) determined by the Board. The city paid \$1,239 in annual dues and \$2,399 in RCFCs in 2014.

Complete financial statements for the Alliance can be obtained from Cascade Water Alliance, c/o Scott Hardin, Director of Finance and Administration, 520 112th Ave NE Suite 400, Bellevue, WA 98004.

eCityGov ALLIANCE

The city is a participant with the cities of Bothell, Issaquah, Kenmore, Kirkland, Mercer Island, Sammamish and Snoqualmie (Partners) in a joint venture. eCityGov Alliance (eCityGov) was created to develop, own, operate and manage and maintain online public service programs and services. Prior to 2014, eCityGov was a joint operation under an interlocal agreement. As of March 1, 2014, eCityGov formed a legally separate not-for-profit corporation. It remains an Agency Fund of the city. eCityGov is governed by an Executive Board composed of the Chief Executive Officer, Chief Administrative Officer or designee of each Partner.

A Partner may withdraw by written notice to the Executive Board. Any portion of annual fee(s) for the current calendar year shall be forfeited upon such withdrawal. The withdrawing Partner from eCityGov also forfeits the Partner's proportionate interest, including, but not limited to: (1) ownership rights to hardware, software and intellectual property owned by eCityGov, and (2) any future revenues associated with eCityGov products and services.

Upon dissolution of the corporation, all property acquired shall be disposed of as follows: (1) property contributed without charge by any member shall revert to the contributor or in the event the contributor had previously resigned as a Partner, the Executive Board shall determine the disposition of the contributed asset(s); (2) all other real and personal property purchased after the effective date of the interlocal agreement shall be distributed to the Partners based upon each Partner's proportional ownership interest at the time of the sale of the property; (3) ownership of intellectual property, including but not limited to, copyrighted and trademarked materials, software code, web designs and templates, web content, data and interfaces shall be transferred fully and equally to each Partner; and (4) Partner-owned data shall be returned to the owner.

The city paid \$188 in annual fees in 2014. The city's equity interest in the eCityGov was \$213 at December 31, 2014.

All Principals, Subscribers and Basic Members remit annual fees. Expenditures in 2014 were\$1.2 million, revenues were \$1.5 million. The Partners will each have a percentage proportional ownership interest in all such property based upon the city's population as a percentage of total population of all Partner cities and will proportionally share in obligations and benefits, financial or otherwise, from such ownership interest. Partner fees and voting are based on relative population, equity balances are as follows, with dollars in thousands:

	Population	Percentage	Equity
Bellevue	132,100	26.11%	\$ 213
Kirkland	81,730	21.28%	132
Sammamish	48,060	12.51%	77
Bothell	34,460	8.97%	55
Issaquah	32,130	8.37%	52
Mercer Island	22,720	5.92%	37
Kenmore	21,170	5.51%	34
Snoqualmie	11,700	3.05%	19
Total	384,070	91.72%	\$ 619

Complete financial statements for eCityGov Alliance can be obtained from Mollie Purcell, City of Bellevue, Information Technology Department, P.O. Box 90012, Bellevue, WA 98009-9012.

NORTH EAST KING COUNTY REGIONAL PUBLIC SAFEY COMMUNICATIONS AGENCY (NORCOM)

The city is a participant with the cities of Bothell, Clyde Hill, Kirkland, Medina, Mercer Island and Snoqualmie, along with Eastside Fire and Rescue, King County Fire Protection Districts 27 and 45, King and Kittitas County Fire Protection District 51, Northshore Fire Department, Shoreline Fire Department and Woodinville Fire and Life Safety District (Principal agencies) in a joint venture to operate the NORCOM, a consolidated emergency service communications center. The North East King County Regional Public Safety Communications Agency (NORCOM), a nonprofit corporation, was created to provide highly efficient emergency service communications and all related incidental functions for communicating and dispatching services between the public and the Principals' directly served public safety departments in furtherance of improved public safety and emergency response. NORCOM is governed by an Executive Board composed of one representative from each Principal agency.

Upon dissolution of the corporation, the net position of NORCOM will be shared equitably by the Principals at the time of dissolution based on the average of the prior five years of user fees contributed. The Principal agencies are

each obligated by interlocal agreement to remit costs related to NORCOM based upon the number of service calls for fire and police operations to supplement NORCOM's operating revenues.

The city paid \$3,400 in user fees in 2014. The city's net investment in NORCOM is reported in the city's Statement of Net Position.

Complete financial statements for NORCOM can be obtained from NORCOM, c/o Gwen Pilo, PO Box 50911, Bellevue, WA 98015-0911.

COMMUNITY CONNECTIVITY CONSORTIUM

The city is a participant with the cities of Kirkland, Federal Way, Renton, Seattle, Algona, Auburn, Kent, Pacific, Puyallup and Tukwila, Lake Washington School District, University of Washington, Bellevue College, Bellevue School District, King County Public Hospital District No. 2 doing business as Evergreen Healthcare and Valley Communications Center (Members) in a joint venture to operate a regional communications network. The Community Connectivity Consortium, a public corporation, was created to provide low-cost, stable, robust and efficient connectivity services to Members and their communities. The Consortium is governed by the Consortium Board comprised of representatives appointed by Member agencies, initially made up of four Core and five Atlarge seats. The Consortium may change the composition and number of Board positions—including the number of Core and At-Large seats—as the needs of the Consortium may dictate.

Upon dissolution of the corporation, assets of the Consortium shall be distributed by the Consortium Board among Consortium Members after paying or making provisions for the payment of all debts, obligations, liabilities, costs and expenses of the Consortium. The distribution of the Consortium will be based on the following: (1) non-cash assets contributed without charge by a Consortium member shall revert to the contributor. If the contributor is no longer a member, the asset shall be treated as if it were acquired with Consortium funds. (2) The Consortium Board shall conduct a valuation of all remaining assets. Assets acquired with Consortium funds shall be sold by the Consortium Board, if appropriate, and the money or asset value distributed to those members still participating in the Consortium on the day prior to the termination date. The distribution shall be apportioned by taking the percentage that a Member has contributed to the total Consortium budget over the existence of the Agreement and applying that percentage to the remainder of the assets, resulting in the amount each Member shall receive upon distribution.

The city's net investment in the Consortium is reported in the city's Statement of Net Position. The city's equity interest in the Consortium was \$121 at December 31, 2014.

Compiled financial statements for the Consortium can be obtained from Chelo Picardal, City of Bellevue, Information Technology Department, P.O. Box 90012, Bellevue, WA 98009-9012.

Note 17: Governmental Fund Balances

Fund balances, with the implementation of GASB Statement 54, are segregated under the following categories and presented on the face of the financial statements in the aggregate.

Nonspendable: This fund balance category includes amounts not available to be spent because they are not in spendable form or are legally required to be maintained intact. The city has long-term receivables for low income home rehabilitation loans that are not in spendable form.

Restricted: Fund balance constrained externally, such as those resulting from federal and state legislation, grant awards, bond covenants, and inter-local service agreements.

Committed: Fund balance constrained by City code, ordinance or resolution as adopted by City Council, requires similar action to remove the constraint. The city currently has no committed fund balances.

Assigned: Special revenue funds are created by ordinance by City Council. Fund balance in special revenue funds that are intended to be used for specific purposes, but are neither restricted nor committed; include transfers from other funds, investment interest not constrained by contract or covenant, fees for services, and rents.

Unassigned: Unrestricted fund balance not committed or assigned in the General Fund is considered unassigned. Also negative fund balance in any other governmental fund is unassigned. The city only has unassigned fund balance in the general fund.

Fund Balance Policy

Annually, the city will target 15 percent of General Fund revenues as a General Fund ending fund balance. This balance is to protect the city's essential service programs during periods of economic downturn, which may temporarily reduce actual resources or cut the growth rate of city resources below that necessary to maintain pre-existing service levels. Additionally, the ending fund balance, commonly known as a reserve, can be used in the event of a natural catastrophe, counter cyclical basic revenue growth (property, sales, and B & O taxes combined) below 5 percent for the biennium, or because of unfunded federal or state mandates. Fund balance reserves in the Development Services Fund contains reserves for various purposes: prepaid building plan review and inspection services, prepaid land use review, core staffing needs to balance the normal cycles of development, customer service enhancements, and funds to support the ongoing maintenance of the Permit Center. Fund balance reserves in the LEOFF1 Medical Reserve Fund are maintained at an amount decided by City Council based on the most current actuarial study with reserves set aside to account for each contracting city's contribution separately. The Parks M&O Reserve Fund reserve balances, which consist of proceeds from the 1988 property tax lid lift, are restricted for payment of maintenance and operating costs of specified city park facilities, and may only be expended with Council authorization. All other governmental funds ending fund balances are determined by council and adopted with the budget ordinance.

The following schedule presents governmental fund balances in accordance with the purposes for which those balances are constrained for the year ending December 31, 2014, in thousands.

GOVERNMENTAL FUND BALANCES DECEMBER 31, 2014

(in thousands)

Fund balance	Ger	neral		lopment rvices	Gene CII		Gove	Other ernmental Funds		Total ernmental Funds
Nonspendable for: Prepaids	ć	201	Ļ		\$		\$		\$	201
Total Nonspendable fund balance	<u> </u>	391 391	<u> </u>	_	<u> </u>		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	391 391
Assigned for:		391								391
Housing & community services		_		_		_		4,130		4,130
LEOFF1 retiree medical		_		_		_		6,720		6,720
Maintenance & operations								0,720		0,720
of city property		980		-		-		-		980
Parks & open spaces		705		_		_				705
Permit review & inspection		-		6,160		_				6,160
Waste reduction & recycling		_		-		_		960		960
Council reserves		_		_		_		1,187		1,187
Performance management		_		_		_		69		69
Other		_		_		_		19		19
Total assigned fund balance		1,684		6,160		_		13,084		20,928
Restricted for:		1,001		0,100				13,001		20,320
Arts & culture		_		_		384		_		384
CIP funding		_		-		797		_		15,797
Debt service		_		-		169		2,310		2,479
Environmental stewardship		_		-		_		6		, 6
Fire & emergency aid service		_		-		_		397		397
Housing & community services		_		-		_		3,202		3,202
LEOFF1 retiree medical		-		-		-		653		653
Maintenance & operations										
of city property		-		-		-		209		209
Parks & open spaces		-		-	1,	555		7,165		8,720
Permit review & inspection		-		602		-		-		602
Police services		-		-		-		531		531
Transportation infrastructure		-		-	8,	403		-		8,403
Transportation preservation								244		244
& maintenance		-		-		-		211		211
Waste reduction & recycling		-		-		-		465		465
Water quality management		-		-		-		68		68
Convention center financing		-		-		-		154		154
Total restricted fund balance		-		602	26,	308		15,370		42,279
Unassigned	25	5,978		-		-		-		25,978
Total unassigned fund balance	25	5,978		-		-		-		25,978
Fund Balance	\$ 28	3,053	\$	6,762	\$ 26,	308	\$	28,454	\$	89,577

Note 18: Prior Period Adjustment

In 2014, the city's investment in the Cascade Water Alliance (Alliance) was recorded in the Water Utility Fund in the Statement of Net Position Proprietary Funds as an asset totaling \$25,715. The adjustment resulted in an increase in beginning Net Position of \$17,786. The cumulative unrealized gain on investment of \$11,567 was recorded in the Statement of Revenue, Expenses, and Changes in Net Position Proprietary Funds.

Note 19: Subsequent Events

On April 28, 2015, the City issued \$97.9 million in limited tax general obligation (LTGO) and refunding bonds with an average coupon interest rate of 4.08% and a true interest cost (TIC) of 2.84%. Interest on the bonds will be paid semiannually on each June 1st and December 1st commencing on June 1, 2016. The bonds will mature from December 1, 2016 through December 1, 2034. The proceeds from the sale of the Bonds will be used to (i) finance, reimburse, or refinance a portion of the cost of the city's Capital Improvement Program Plan, including street, sidewalk, and other capital improvements; (ii) pay for the acquisition of certain real property; (iii) pay for improvements to the Meydenbauer Convention Center; (iv) refund a portion of the city's outstanding limited tax general obligation bonds to obtain the benefit of debt service savings; and (v) pay costs of issuance for the Bonds.

On April 20, 2015, City Council approved an amended MOU with Sound Transit related to the EastLink light rail line. The major revisions include an estimated \$8.9 million in utilities relocation costs and represents the city's share of total project costs. Sound Transit will pay \$16.4 million in permit review fees and is expected to be received in 2015. Impact fees to the city from Sound Transit were reduced from \$14.5 million to \$8.6 million due to additional property transfers to the city. Impact fee payment is expected in approximately October 2015. The potential liability of the city of up to \$60 million was eliminated, which results in the elimination of the City Council CIP contingency.

General Fund Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances- Budget and Actual

For the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2014 (in thousands)

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Original Budget	Final Budget	Actuals on Budgetary Basis	Variance with Final Budget
Revenues/operating revenues:			4	4 (0.440)
Taxes and special assessments	\$ 123,409	\$ 123,409	\$ 129,825	\$ (6,416)
Licenses and permits	451	451	509	(59)
Intergovernmental	17,101	17,150	16,398	753
Service charges and fees	20,641	20,641	20,737	(96)
Fines and forfeitures	1,158	1,158	1,071	88
Interest and assessment interest	70	70	40	30
Net change in fair value of investments	-	-	31	(31)
Rent	1,345	1,345	1,581	(236)
Other	1,627	1,627	240_	1,386
Total revenues	165,802	165,852	170,433	(4,581)
Expenditures Current:				
General government	19,221	19,148	19,657	(509)
Public safety	84,063	84,084	83,911	173
Physical environment	1,006	1,006	1,029	(23)
Transportation	27,443	27,443	27,290	153
Economic environment	4,214	4,315	4,087	229
Health and human services	2,598	2,598	2,486	112
Culture and recreation	26,082	26,082	26,342	(260)
Debt service:				
Interest and fiscal charges	-	-	1	(1)
Capital outlay:				
Public safety	-	-	12	(12)
Transportation	1	1	4	(3)
Total expenditures	164,628	164,678	164,819	(141)
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over (under) expenditures	1,174	1,174	5,613	(4,440)
Other financing sources (uses)				
Transfers in	3,133	3,133	1,711	1,422
Transfers out	(4,056)	(4,056)	(4,257)	201
Total other financing sources(uses)	(923)	(923)	(2,546)	1,623
Net change in fund balance	252	252	3,067	(2,817)
Fund balance beginning of year	20,451	20,451	23,094	(2,643)
Fund balance end of year	\$ 20,702	\$ 20,702	\$ 26,161	\$ (5,460)

Human Services Fund Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances- Budget and Actual

For the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2014 (in thousands)

	riginal sudget	Final udget	Bud	uals on Igetary Basis	wit	iance h Final dget
Revenues/operating revenues:						
Taxes and special assessments	\$ 2,824	\$ 2,824	\$	2,948	\$	(125)
Intergovernmental	1,221	1,221		1,047		175
Service charges and fees	-	-		3		(3)
Interest and assessment interest	5	5		4		1
Net change in fair value of investments	-	-		3		(3)
Premiums/contributions	10	10		15		(5)
Total revenues	 4,061	 4,061		4,020		40
Expenditures						
Current:						
Health and human services	4,164	 4,164		4,249		(85)
Total expenditures	 4,164	4,164		4,249		(85)
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over (under)						
expenditures	(103)	(103)		(229)		125
Other financing sources(uses)						
Transfers in	132	132		-		132
Total other financing sources(uses)	132	132		-		132
Net change in fund balance	29	 29		(229)		257
Fund balance beginning of year	340	 340		369		(29)
Fund balance end of year	\$ 369	\$ 369	\$	140	\$	228

Land Purchase Revolving Fund Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances- Budget and Actual

For the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2014 (in thousands)

	Original Budget	Final Budget	Actuals on Budgetary Basis	Variance with Final Budget
Revenues/operating revenues:				
Service charges and fees	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2	\$ (2)
Interest and assessment interest	-	-	7	(7)
Net change in fair value of investments	-	-	1	(1)
Rent	1,604	1,604	1,852	(247)
Other			3	(3)
Total revenues	1,604	1,604	1,865	(260)
Expenditures				
Current:				
General government	905	905	828	78
Transportation	-	-	2	(2)
Culture and recreation	511	511	792	(281)
Total expenditures	1,417	1,417	1,622	(205)
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over (under)				
expenditures	188	188	242	(55)
Other financing sources (uses)				
Transfers in	-	-	37	(37)
Sale of capital assets			26	(26)
Total other financing sources(uses)			63	(63)
Net change in fund balance	188	188	305	(118)
Fund balance beginning of year	473	473	685_	(211)
Fund balance end of year	\$ 661	\$ 661	\$ 990	\$ (329)

Franchise Fund Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances- Budget and Actual

For the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2014 (in thousands)

	riginal udget	Final udget	Bud	uals on getary asis	wi	ariance th Final udget
Revenues/operating revenues:						
Taxes and special assessments	\$ 1,932	\$ 1,932	\$	430	\$	1,503
Interest and assessment interest	6	6		2		4
Net change in fair value of investments	-	-		6		(6)
Total revenues	 1,938	 1,938		437		1,501
Expenditures						
Current:						
General government	55	55		-		55
Transportation	53	53		84		(31)
Economic environment	 397	 397		354		43
Total expenditures	 505	 505		438		67
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over (under)						
expenditures	1,433	3,569		(2,175)		5,744
Other financing sources(uses)						
Transfers in	1	1		1		-
Transfers out	 (1,432)	 (1,432)				(1,432)
Total other financing sources(uses)	 (1,431)	 (1,431)		1_		(1,432)
Net change in fund balance	2	2		-		2
Fund balance beginning of year	1	1		(4)		5
Fund balance end of year	\$ 3	\$ 3	\$	(4)	\$	7

Parks Fees Fund Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances- Budget and Actual

For the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2014 (in thousands)

(III LIIC	Jusanusj						
		riginal Budget	B	Final Sudget	Bu	tuals on dgetary Basis	wit	riance th Final udget
Revenues/operating revenues:								
Service charges and fees	\$	4,212	\$	4,212	\$	3,662	\$	550
Interest and assessment interest		41		41		11		30
Net change in fair value of investments		-		-		4		(4)
Rent		1,824		1,824		1,785		39
Other		11		11		-		11
Total revenues		6,089		6,089		5,462		626
Current:								
Culture and recreation		5,625		5,625		5,656		(31)
Total expenditures		5,625		5,625		5,656		(31)
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over (under)								
expenditures		464		464		(194)		657
Other financing sources(uses)								
Transfers in		71		71		69		2
Transfers out		(1,180)		(1,180)		-		(1,180)
Total other financing sources(uses)		(1,109)		(1,109)		69		(1,178)
Net change in fund balance		(645)		(645)		(124)		(521)
Fund balance beginning of year		2,078		2,078		890		1,188
Fund balance end of year	\$	1,433	\$	1,433	\$	766	\$	667

Development Services Fund Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances- Budget and Actual

For the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2014 (in thousands)

	iginal udget	B	Final Budget	Bu	tuals on dgetary Basis	wi	riance th Final udget
Revenues/operating revenues:							
Taxes and special assessments	\$ -	\$	-	\$	28	\$	(28)
Licenses and permits	7,894		7,894		8,736		(843)
Service charges and fees	7,601		7,601		8,546		(945)
Fines and forfeitures	15		15		-		15
Interest and assessment interest	93		93		91		2
Net change in fair value of investments	-		-		19		(19)
Other	-		-	_	3		(3)
Total revenues	 15,603		15,603		17,423		(1,821)
Expenditures							
Current:							
General government	-		-		54		(54)
Economic environment	18,633		18,633		18,324		310
Capital outlay:							
Economic environment	 26		26		-		26
Total expenditures	 18,659		18,659		18,377		282
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over (under)							
expenditures	(3,057)		(3,057)		(954)		(2,103)
Other financing sources(uses)							
Transfers in	3,733		3,733		3,638		95
Transfers out	(170)		(170)	_	(288)		118
Total other financing sources(uses)	3,563		3,563		3,350		213
Net change in fund balance	507		507		2,396		(1,890)
Fund balance beginning of year	 4,512		4,512		4,366		146
Fund balance end of year	\$ 5,019	\$	5,019	\$	6,762	\$	(1,744)

Notes to the Required Supplementary Information

Budget and Actual Schedules

 $The \ City's \ budget \ is \ adopted \ on \ a \ GAAP \ basis. \ Expenditure \ appropriations \ include \ ending \ fund \ balance \ as \ reserves.$

The General Fund, for financial reporting purposes, includes the Human Services Fund, Franchise Fund, Land Purchase Revolving Fund, and Parks Fees Fund. These are separately adopted funds in the budget ordinance.

Schedule of Modified Approach for Reporting Infrastructure Assets For the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2014

ROADWAYS

The roadways in the city are made up of two systems: Arterial roadways and Residential roadways. The condition of these systems is assessed every two years. This assessment measures the condition of the pavement surface to classify the roads into two performance rating levels illustrated in the table below. During years when the roadways are not physically assessed, calculated updates are made by the Transportation Pavement Management System.

STANDARD PERFORMANCE RATING LEVELS

	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
Arterial:	50 - 100	0 - 49
Residential:	30 - 100	0 - 29

The city's minimum acceptable condition levels have been defined as having at least 60 percent of Arterial roadways, and 75 percent of Residential roadways at or above satisfactory condition.

CONDITION RATING OF THE CITY'S STREET SYSTEM

	2014	2013	2012
Arterial:			
Percent above satisfactory	89%	88%	86%
Overall performance rating:	79	78	76
Residential:			
Percent above satisfactory	99%	99%	99%
Overall performance rating:	87	87	87

The following disclosures compare roadway conditions for the last three years, and the related estimated and actual expenditures involved in maintaining arterial and residential roadways for the last five years:

COMPARISON OF NEEDED-TO-ACTUAL EXPENDITURES

(in thousands)

	2	014	2	013	 2012	 2011	 2010
Arterial:						 	
Needed:	\$ 4	1,143	\$ 4	1,492	\$ 4,772	\$ 3,896	\$ 2,314
Actual:	4	4,381	2	2,453	4,877	2,745	1,993
Residential:							
Needed:	\$	981	\$	901	\$ 69	\$ 750	\$ 3,610
Actual:		-		756	71	628	2,977

Following GASB Statement 34, the city is reporting major historic infrastructure acquired in fiscal years ending after June 30, 1980.

City of Bellevue, Washington

Schedule of Required Supplementary Information

Schedule of Changes in the City's Net Pensions Liability and Related Ratios Firefighter's Pension Fund

\$ 5,900 2008 134 (297) - 288 579 5,900 \$ 6,470 2009 58 - 222 2010 Ś (Dollar amounts in thousands) (1,351)6,470 (214)2011 ast Ten Fiscal Years (259)84 2012 5,127 (13)889 221 2013 4,192 (201)(11)143 16 4,192 63 67 \$ 4,150 2014 Net change in plan fiduciary net position Benefit payments, including refunds Service cost (Entry Age Normal Cost) Net change in total pension liability Fotal pension liability--beginning Total pension liability--ending (a) Differences between expected Changes of benefit terms Contributions - employer of member contributions Plan fiduciary net position Changes of assumptions Contributions - member Administrative expense Net investment income and actual experience $^{ extsf{-}}$ otal pension liability $^{ extsf{1}}$ Benefit payments

(331)

(110)

400

 ∞

5,693 \$ 5,509

5,509 5,900 274 (161)

388 (277)

309

2005

2006

2007

110.45% 114.95% 111.91% \$ 6,603 113.79% 6,713 103.92% 103.11% \$ 6,671 132.10% \$ (1,646) \$ 6,773 5,67 6,720 131.06% \$ (1,592 159.38% 6,682 \$ (2,490 162.51% 6,682 \$ 6,745 \$ (2,594) City's net pension liability (asset) as a percentage City's net pension liability (asset)-ending (a) - (b) Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the Plan fiduciary net position --beginning Plan fiduciary net position--ending (b) Covered-employee payroll total pension liability

of covered-employee payroll

Notes to Schedule:

-759.19% -1083.67% -854.99% -953.48% -278.63% -220.83% N/A N/A N/A

Prior to 2014, the change in total pension liability was not broken out to show the impact of "changes of benefit terms" and "changes of assumptions" in prior eports, and is left blank in the table above. Changes due to these factors included in differences between expected and actual experience.

SCHEDULES OF REQUIRED SUPPLMENTARY INFORMATION FIREFIGHTER'S PENSION FUND

SCHEDULE OF CONTRIBUTIONS

(Dollar amounts in thousands) Last Ten Fiscal Years

	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006	2002	
Actuarially determined contribution ¹ Contributions in relation to the actuarially	٠ •										
determined contribution	208			188	180	173	176	159	147		
Contribution deficiency (excess)	\$ 208	\$ 196	\$ 181	\$ 188	\$ 180	\$ 173	\$ 176	\$ 159	\$ 147	\$ 130	
Covered-employee payroll Contributions as a percentage of covered-	\$	\$	· •>	√.	\$ 91	\$ 91	\$ 82	\$ 82	\$ 76	\$ 76	
emplovee payroll	N/A	N/A	N/A	A/N	197.30%	189.66%	206.36%	193.30%	194.06%	171.21%	

Notes to Schedule:

Actuarially determined contribution rates are calculated as of January 1. Valuation date:

Methods and assumptions used to determined contribution rates:

Level amortization of net pension liability as level dollar amount over five year period 3.0%, including inflation Entry age normal cost Market value 5 years 3.5% Remaining amortization period Asset valuation method Actuarial cost method Amortization method Salary increases Inflation

Investment rate of return

The mortality rates are based on the RP-2000 Mortality Table (combined healthy) for Males, Projected to 2019 using All members who attain, or who have attained, age 65 in active service are assumed to retire immediately. 50% of Projection Scale AA, with ages set back one year. Retirement age Morality

Plesae note that prior to 2014, the "actuarially determined contribution" was calculated using a different method and is left blank in the table above.

City of Bellevue, Washington

SCHEDULES OF REQUIRED SUPPLMENTARY INFORMATION FIREFIGHTER'S PENSION FUND SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENT RETURNS

Last Ten Fiscal Years (Dollar amounts in thousands)

2005	2.44%
2006	4.51%
2007	6.19%
2008	3.52%
5009	2.02%
2010	0.71%
2011	1.93%
2012	0.36%
2013	0.01%
2014	1.01%
I	Annual money-weighted rate of return, net of investment expense

Page 110 Washington State Auditor's Office

SCHEDULES OF REQUIRED SUPPLMENTARY INFORMATION OTHER POST EMPLOYEMENT BENEFITS SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS

For the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2014 (Dollar amounts in thousands)

	Actuarial	Actuarial Accrued	Unfunded Actuarial			UAAL as a Percentage of
	Value of	Liabilities	Accrued	Funded	Covered	Covered
Valuation Date	Assets	Unit Credit	Liabilities (UAAL)	Ratio	Payroll	Payroll
December 31, 2009	\$ -	\$ 36,230	\$ 36,230	0.0%	\$ 1,654	2190.5%
December 31, 2010	-	50,836	50,836	0.0%	1,090	4663.9%
December 31, 2011	-	50,729	50,729	0.0%	1,031	4918.6%
December 31, 2012	-	50,593	50,593	0.0%	971	5212.1%
December 31, 2013	-	54,543	54,543	0.0%	974	5601.1%
December 31, 2014	-	49,675	49,675	0.0%	760	6540.0%

OTHER POST EMPLOYEMENT BENEFITS SCHEDULE OF CONTRIBUTIONS FROM THE EMPLOYER AND OTHER CONTRIBUTING ENTITIES

For the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2014 (Dollar amounts in thousands)

					Percentage of		
Year Ended	Anı	nual OPEB		Employer	OPEB Cost	NET	OPEB
December 31		Cost	(Contribution	Contributed	Oblig	gation
2009	\$	2,281	\$	1,574	69.00%	\$	2,297
2010		3,013		1,817	60.31%		3,493
2011		2,625		1,854	70.63%		4,265
2012		2,899		1,556	53.67%		5,608
2013		2,690		1,614	60.00%		6,685
2014		2,803		1,789	63.82%		7,699

CITY OF BELLEVUE, WASHINGTON SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS For Year Ended December 31, 2014

MCAG NO. 0374

Note 2 2 2 2 234,835 179,621 224,201 22,247 127,721 27,352 3,340,865 95,355 440,624 100,667 164,832 82,071 52,113 53,399 24,172 499 3,409 11,553 4,119 2,812 229,324 5,937 109,270 19,500 131,372 3,432 775,443 638.65 Total 234,835 179,621 224,201 638,657 638.657 From Direct Awards 775,443 499 3,409 11,553 4,119 2,812 229,324 5,937 109,270 30 5,941 95,355 440,624 100,667 164,832 52,113 6,468 19,500 53,399 24,172 82,071 3,432 131,372 From Pass-Through Awards E14-096 / EMW-2013-SS-00025-S01 E15-095 / EMW-2014-SS-00016-S01 CFDA 97.067 Total E12-299 / EMW-2012-App-00071 E14-086 / EMW-2013-EP-00050-S01 E12-214 / EMW-2011-SS-00030-S01 E13-150 / EMW-2012-SS-00115-S01 STPUL-1329(003), LA-8255 SRTS-1905(006), LA-8315 HSIP-0008(381) STPUL-2033(026), LA-8407 STPUL-2033(025), LA-7741 HSIP-2040(009), LA-8221 SHSP2011T&E/600470 FSHSP13/600555 B-12-MC-53-0003 B-13-MC-53-0003 Other I.D. Number 2013-DJ-BX-0715 CFDA 16.738 Total FSUAS13/600558 2009-SS-T9-0015 2008-GE-T8-0038 M14-31440-003 HSIP-1335(012) Program Income M13-31440-003 FAP-0075(025) F14-52117-008 N/A V/N CFDA 14.218 Total CFDA Number CFDA 20.205 7 CFDA 97.042 14.218 20.600 81.117 97.042 790.76 16.738 20.205 20.601 97.025 Alcohol Impaired Driving Countermeasures Incentive Grants I US Department of Housing and Urban Development Total lational Urban Search & Rescue (US&R) Response System ommunity Development Block Grants/Entitlement Grants Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy Information Dis Outreach, Training and Technical Analysis/Assistance Emergency Management Performance Grants (EMPG) Federal Program Name US Department of Homeland Security Total Department of Transportation Total State and Community Highway Safety lighway Planning and Construction Homeland Security Grant Program US Department of Justice Total US Department of Energy Total Federal Agency Name / Pass - Through Agency Name Department of Transportation - Federal Transit Administration (FTA) / Passethrough from WA Traffic Safety Commission Department of Transportation - Federal Transit Administration (FTA) / Pass-through from WA Traffic Safety Commission US Department of Justice / Pass-through from City of Seattle Police Department JS Department of Housing and Urban Development Pass-through from WA Dept. of Transportation US Department of Justice / Pass-through from WA Dept. of Commerce US Department of Homeland Security / Pass-through from Pierce County US Department of Homeland Security / WA Military Dept. US Department of Homeland Security / WA Military Dept. US Department of Transportation / **Total Federal Awards Expended** US Department of Energy / WA Dept. of Commerce

CITY OF BELLEVUE, WASHINGTON

NOTES TO THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS For the Year Ended December 31, 2014

NOTE 1 - BASIS OF ACCOUNTING

This schedule is prepared on the same basis of accounting as the City of Bellevue's financial statements. The City of Bellevue uses the modified accrual method for governmental funds, and the full accrual for internal service and enterprise funds.

NOTE 2 - PROGRAM COSTS

The amounts shown as current year expenditures represent only the federal grant portion of the program costs. Entire program costs, including the City of Bellevue's portion, are more than shown.

NOTE 3 - PROGRAM INCOME

The City of Bellevue has program income for low income home repair loans. Under this federal program, repayments to the City are considered program revenues (income) and loans of such funds to eligible recipients are considered expenditures. The amount of loan funds disbursed to program participants for the year was \$189,683 and is presented in this schedule. The amount of principal and interest received in loan repayments for the year was \$224,201.

CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN FOR FINDINGS REPORTED UNDER OMB CIRCULAR A-133

City of Bellevue King County January 1, 2014 through December 31, 2014

This schedule presents the corrective action planned by the auditee for findings reported in this report in accordance with OMB Circular A-133. The information in this schedule is the representation of the City of Bellevue.

Finding ref number:	Finding caption:
2014-001	The City's controls over financial statement preparation are
	inadequate to ensure accurate and complete financial reporting.
N7 11 14 1	

Name, address, and telephone of auditee contact person:

Diane McPherson 450 110 Avenue N.E. Bellevue, WA 98004 425-452-4060

Corrective action the auditee plans to take in response to the finding:

The finding relates to three audit areas: accounts receivable, capital assets, and restrictions on assets, liabilities, and net position. Below are the corrective actions currently planned or in process.

Accounts Receivable:

The reconciliation process was reviewed and revised to ensure separation of duties and review at an appropriate level. Monthly reconciliations for 2014 and 2015 were re-performed. Management is monitoring the reconciliations. Several redundant controls were set in place to identify when upload errors occur out of the billing system into the general ledger. Accounting and Billing staff are now setup to receive an email from the financial system each time an import error occurs. The email contains the data necessary to correct the error and re-process data. IT implemented a manual import process to facilitate timely correction of errors. An item was added to the monthly close checklist to ensure all billing is complete for the month and the books can be closed.

Capital Projects:

Classification misstatements were noted in the auditors' findings related to the capital asset footnote. The city is taking the following steps to address the findings:

The city's capital asset policy is under review and will be revised in 2015. After revisions are finalized, the policy will be distributed to fiscal staff and posted on the city's intranet for access by all city staff. Training on capital assets, including the revised policy and the city's capital asset processes, is planned for 2015 for staff in the accounting division and other fiscal staff.

Restricted Cash and Equity in Pooled Investments and Restricted Net Position, for Capital Projects:

Classification misstatements were noted in the auditors' findings related to the presentation of restricted cash and net position with respect to capital projects. The city is taking the following steps to address the findings:

Financial statement elements presented as restricted will be reviewed by financial statement preparers and management annually to determine the appropriateness of the classification as restricted. The city will document the basis for the restrictions and maintain the documentation for reference by financial statement preparers and management.

Anticipated date to complete the corrective action: December 31, 2015

ABOUT THE STATE AUDITOR'S OFFICE

The State Auditor's Office is established in the state's Constitution and is part of the executive branch of state government. The State Auditor is elected by the citizens of Washington and serves four-year terms.

We work with our audit clients and citizens to achieve our vision of government that works for citizens, by helping governments work better, cost less, deliver higher value, and earn greater public trust.

In fulfilling our mission to hold state and local governments accountable for the use of public resources, we also hold ourselves accountable by continually improving our audit quality and operational efficiency and developing highly engaged and committed employees.

As an elected agency, the State Auditor's Office has the independence necessary to objectively perform audits and investigations. Our audits are designed to comply with professional standards as well as to satisfy the requirements of federal, state, and local laws.

Our audits look at financial information and compliance with state, federal and local laws on the part of all local governments, including schools, and all state agencies, including institutions of higher education. In addition, we conduct performance audits of state agencies and local governments as well as <u>fraud</u>, state <u>whistleblower</u> and <u>citizen hotline</u> investigations.

The results of our work are widely distributed through a variety of reports, which are available on our <u>website</u> and through our free, electronic <u>subscription</u> service.

We take our role as partners in accountability seriously, and provide training and technical assistance to governments, and have an extensive quality assurance program.

Contact information for the State Auditor's Office						
Deputy Director for Communications	Thomas Shapley					
	Thomas.Shapley@sao.wa.gov					
	(360) 902-0367					
Public Records requests	(360) 725-5617					
Main telephone	(360) 902-0370					
Toll-free Citizen Hotline	(866) 902-3900					
Website	www.sao.wa.gov					