

### Washington State Auditor's Office

Government that works for citizens

**Financial Statements and Federal Single Audit Report** 

### **Mount Adams School District No. 209**

Yakima County

For the period September 1, 2014 through August 31, 2015

Published May 26, 2016 Report No. 1016705





### Washington State Auditor's Office

May 26, 2016

Board of Directors Mount Adams School District No. 209 White Swan, Washington

### **Report on Financial Statements and Federal Single Audit**

Please find attached our report on Mount Adams School District No. 209's financial statements and compliance with federal laws and regulations.

We are issuing this report in order to provide information on the District's financial condition.

Sincerely,

Twy X. Kelley

TROY KELLEY STATE AUDITOR OLYMPIA, WA

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

Schedule Of Findings And Questioned Costs	. 4
Schedule Of Federal Award Findings And Questioned Costs	. 6
Independent Auditor's Report On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting And On Compliance And Other Matters Based On An Audit Of Financial Statements Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards	12
Independent Auditor's Report On Compliance For Each Major Federal Program And Report On Internal Control Over Compliance In Accordance With OMB Circular A-133	14
Independent Auditor's Report On Financial Statements	18
Financial Section	21
Corrective Action Plan For Findings Reported Under OMB Circular A-133	46
About The State Auditor's Office	47

### SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

### Mount Adams School District No. 209 Yakima County September 1, 2014 through August 31, 2015

### **SECTION I – SUMMARY OF AUDITOR'S RESULTS**

The results of our audit of Mount Adams School District No. 209 are summarized below in accordance with U.S. Office of Management and Budget Circular A-133.

### **Financial Statements**

We issued an unmodified opinion on the fair presentation of the District's financial statements in accordance with its regulatory basis of accounting. Separately, we issued an unmodified opinion on the fair presentation of with regard to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP).

Internal Control over Financial Reporting:

- *Significant Deficiencies:* We reported no deficiencies in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be significant deficiencies.
- *Material Weaknesses:* We identified no deficiencies that we consider to be material weaknesses.

We noted no instances of noncompliance that were material to the financial statements of the District.

### **Federal Awards**

Internal Control over Major Programs:

- *Significant Deficiencies:* We reported no deficiencies in the design or operation of internal control over major federal programs that we consider to be significant deficiencies.
- *Material Weaknesses:* We identified deficiencies that we consider to be material weaknesses.

We issued an unmodified opinion on the District's compliance with requirements applicable to each of its major federal programs.

We reported findings that are required to be disclosed under section 510(a) of OMB Circular A-133.

### **Identification of Major Federal Programs:**

The following programs were selected as major programs in our audit of compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133.

<u>CFDA No.</u>	Program or Cluster Title
10.553	Nutrition Program Cluster – School Breakfast Program
10.555	Nutrition Program Cluster – National School Lunch Program
10.559	Nutrition Program Cluster – Summer Food Service Program for Children
84.010	Title I Grants to Local Educational Agencies
84.377	School Improvement Grants

The dollar threshold used to distinguish between Type A and Type B programs, as prescribed by OMB Circular A-133, was \$300,000.

The District qualified as a low-risk auditee under OMB Circular A-133.

### **SECTION II – FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS**

None reported.

### SECTION III – FEDERAL AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

See finding 2015-001.

### SCHEDULE OF FEDERAL AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

### Mount Adams School District No. 209 Yakima County September 1, 2014 through August 31, 2015

### 2015-001 The District did not have adequate internal controls to ensure compliance with federal procurement requirements.

CFDA Number and Title:	84.377 – School Improvement Program
Federal Grantor Name:	U.S. Department of Education
Federal Award/Contract Number:	NA
Pass-through Entity Name:	Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction
Pass-through Award/Contract Number:	225344
Questioned Cost Amount:	\$0

### Background

The District spent \$421,420 through the School Improvement Grant (SIG) program during the fiscal year 2015. The objective of this program is to help districts dramatically turn around the academic achievement of students in the Nation's persistently lowest-achieving schools through the successful implementation of one of the four school intervention models. Program funding provides staff ongoing professional development to ensure they are equipped to facilitate effective teaching and learning and have the capacity to successfully implement school reform strategies. Funding is also used to implement such strategies as financial incentives, increased opportunities for promotion and career growth, and more flexible work conditions that are designed to recruit, place, and retain staff with the skills necessary to meet the needs of the students.

### **Description of Condition**

Federal grant recipients must follow the more restrictive of federal, state or local requirements when purchasing goods or services with federal funds. The District is required to follow state law and formally bid for purchases that exceed \$75,000.

The District paid \$77,772 to a vendor for the purchase of laptops without formally advertising for bids.

We consider this deficiency in internal controls to be a material weakness.

### Cause of Condition

Staff responsible for procurement procedures during the audit period did not have a strong understanding of the applicable requirements.

### Effect of Condition and Questioned Costs

The District cannot be sure it received the best possible price for the products purchased. Since the products purchased were allowable under the federal program, we are not questioning costs.

### **Recommendation**

We recommend the District strengthen internal controls to ensure all purchases paid with federal grants are procured in accordance with the more restrictive of federal, state or local requirements and retain documentation to demonstrate compliance. Specifically, we recommend the District formally bid purchases that are expected to exceed \$75,000 and purchase items from the lowest responsible bidder.

### District's Response

The District concurs that it did not provide formal bids as per this finding. However based on the districts experience purchasing this specific model of laptop, the district selected a vendor with per laptop pricing less that the state bid schedule. Unfortunately, the district did not compare or document that the district reviewed the state bid schedule at the time of purchase. It is the District's understanding that purchases may be made from the state bid schedule without the requirement of a formal bid process.

By June 15, 2016 the District will provide all administrators and department supervisors a document outlining the bid requirements and the thresholds for purchases. It will include details of required documentation and information on the state bid schedule.

### Auditor's Remarks

We thank the District for its cooperation and assistance during the audit and look forward to reviewing the District's corrective action during our next audit.

### Applicable Laws and Regulations

U.S. Office of Management and Budget Circular A-133, Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations, Section 300 – Auditee Responsibilities, states in part:

The auditee shall:

(b) Maintain internal control over Federal programs that provides reasonable assurance that the auditee is managing Federal awards in compliance with laws, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a material effect on each of its Federal programs.

(c) Comply with laws, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements related to each of its Federal programs

Government Auditing Standards, December 2011 Revision, paragraph 4.23 states:

4.23 When performing GAGAS financial audits, auditors should communicate in the report on internal control over financial reporting and compliance, based upon the work performed, (1) significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in internal control; (2) instances of fraud and noncompliance with provisions of laws or regulations that have a material effect on the audit and any other instances that warrant the attention of those charged with governance; (3) noncompliance with provisions of contracts or grant agreements that has a material effect on the audit; and (4) abuse that has a material effect on the audit.

The American Institute of Certified Public Accountants defines significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in its *Codification of Statements on Auditing Standards*, section 935, as follows:

.11 For purposes of adapting GAAS to a compliance audit, the following terms have the meanings attributed as follows:

**Deficiency in internal control over compliance**. A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance on a timely basis. A deficiency in design exists when (a) a control necessary to meet the

control objective is missing, or (b) an existing control is not properly designed so that, even if the control operates as designed, the control objective would not be met. A deficiency in operation exists when a properly designed control does not operate as designed or the person performing the control does not possess the ne

Material weakness in internal control over compliance. A deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a compliance requirement will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. In this section, a reasonable possibility exists when the likelihood of the event is either reasonably possible or probable as defined as follows:

**Reasonably possible**. The chance of the future event or events occurring is more than remote but less than likely.

**Remote**. The chance of the future event or events occurring is slight.

**Probable**. The future event or events are likely to occur.

**Significant deficiency in internal control over compliance**. A deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

RCW 28A.335.190 Advertising for bids -- Competitive bid procedures, states in part:

(2) Every purchase of furniture, equipment or supplies, except books, the cost of which is estimated to be in excess of forty thousand dollars, shall be on a competitive basis. The board of directors shall establish a procedure for securing telephone and/or written quotations for such purchases. Whenever the estimated cost is from forty thousand dollars up to seventy-five thousand dollars, the procedure shall require quotations from at least three different sources to be obtained in writing or by telephone, and recorded for public perusal. Whenever the estimated cost is in excess of seventy-five thousand dollars, the public bidding process provided in subsection (1) of this section shall be followed.

(5) The contract for the work or purchase shall be awarded to the lowest responsible bidder as defined in RCW 43.19.1911 but the board may by resolution reject any and all bids and make further calls for bids in the same manner as the original call. On any work or purchase the board shall provide bidding information to any qualified bidder or the bidder's agent, requesting it in person.

Title34, Code of Federal Regulations, Section80.36 - Procurement, states in part:

b) Procurement standards.

(1) Grantees and subgrantees will use their own procurement procedures which reflect applicable State and local laws and regulations, provided that the procurements conform to applicable Federal law and the standards identified in this section . . .

(9) Grantees and subgrantees will maintain records sufficient to detail the significant history of a procurement. These records will include, but are not necessarily limited to the following: rationale for the method of procurement, selection of contract type, contractor selection or rejection, and the basis for the contract price.

(d) Methods of procurement to be followed.

(1) Procurement by small purchase procedures. Small purchase procedures are those relatively simple and informal procurement methods for securing services, supplies, or other property that do not cost more than the simplified acquisition threshold fixed at 41 U.S.C. 403(11) (currently set at \$100,000). If small purchase procedures are used, price or rate quotations shall be obtained from an adequate number of qualified sources ...

(2) Procurement by sealed bids (formal advertising). Bids are publicly solicited and a firm-fixed-price contract (lump sum or unit price) is awarded to the responsible bidder whose bid, conforming with all the material terms and conditions of the invitation for bids, is the lowest in price . . .

(3) Procurement by competitive proposals. The technique of competitive proposals is normally conducted with more than one source submitting an offer, and either a fixed price or cost reimbursement type contract is awarded. It is generally used when conditions are not appropriate for the use of sealed bids . . .

(4) Procurement by noncompetitive proposals is procurement through solicitation of a proposal from only one source, or after solicitation of a number of sources, competition is determined inadequate . . . .

### INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

### Mount Adams School District No. 209 Yakima County September 1, 2014 through August 31, 2015

Board of Directors Mount Adams School District No. 209 White Swan, Washington

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of Mount Adams School District No. 209, Yakima County, Washington, as of and for the year ended August 31, 2015, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the District's financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated May 18, 2016. As discussed in Note 1 to the financial statements, during the year ended August 31, 2015, the District implemented Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 68, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions – an amendment of GASB Statement No.* 27.

### INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the District's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the District's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency,

or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

### **COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the District's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of the District's compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

### **PURPOSE OF THIS REPORT**

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the District's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose. However, this report is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited. It also serves to disseminate information to the public as a reporting tool to help citizens assess government operations.

Twy X. Kelley

TROY KELLEY STATE AUDITOR OLYMPIA, WA

May 18, 2016

### INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM AND REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH OMB CIRCULAR A-133

### Mount Adams School District No. 209 Yakima County September 1, 2014 through August 31, 2015

Board of Directors Mount Adams School District No. 209 White Swan, Washington

### **REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM**

We have audited the compliance of Mount Adams School District No. 209, Washington, with the types of compliance requirements described in the U.S. *Office of Management and Budget* (*OMB*) *Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of the District's major federal programs for the year ended August 31, 2015. The District's major federal programs are identified in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs.

### Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of its federal awards applicable to its federal programs.

### Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of the District's major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a

major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the District's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. Our audit does not provide a legal determination on the District's compliance.

### **Opinion on Each Major Federal Program**

In our opinion, the District complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended August 31, 2015.

### **Other Matters**

The results of our auditing procedures disclosed an instance of noncompliance with those requirements, which is required to be reported in accordance with OMB Circular A-133 and which is described in the accompanying Schedule of Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs as Finding 2015-001. Our opinion on each major federal program is not modified with respect to these matters.

### **District's Response to Findings**

The District's response to the noncompliance findings identified in our audit is described in the accompanying Schedule of Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs. The District's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the response.

### **REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE**

Management of the District is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the District's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program in order to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal

control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control over compliance.

A *deficiency in internal control over compliance* exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A *material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency or a combination of deficiencies is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance control over compliance is a deficiency in *internal control over compliance* is a deficiency or a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. We identified certain deficiencies in internal control over compliance, as described in the accompanying Schedule of Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs as Finding 2015-001 to be a material weakness.

### **District's Response to Findings**

The District's response to the internal control over compliance findings identified in our audit is described in the accompanying Schedule of Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs. The District's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the response.

### **Purpose of this Report**

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of OMB Circular A-133. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose. However, this report is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited.

It also serves to disseminate information to the public as a reporting tool to help citizens assess government operations.

Twy X. Kelley

TROY KELLEY STATE AUDITOR OLYMPIA, WA

May 18, 2016

### INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

### Mount Adams School District No. 209 Yakima County September 1, 2014 through August 31, 2015

Board of Directors Mount Adams School District No. 209 White Swan, Washington

### **REPORT ON THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of Mount Adams School District No. 209, Yakima County, Washington, as of and for the year ended August 31, 2015, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the District's financial statements, as listed on page 21.

### Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with the financial reporting provisions of Washington State statutes and the *Accounting Manual for Public School Districts in the State of Washington* (Accounting Manual) described in Note 1. This includes determining that the basis of accounting is acceptable for the presentation of the financial statements in the circumstances. Management is also responsible for the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

### Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's

judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the District's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant account estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

### **Unmodified Opinion on Regulatory Basis of Accounting (Accounting Manual)**

As described in Note 1, the District has prepared these financial statements to meet the financial reporting requirements of Washington State statutes using accounting practices prescribed by the Accounting Manual. Those accounting practices differ from accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP). The difference in these accounting practices is also described in Note 1.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Mount Adams School District No. 209, as of August 31, 2015, and the changes in financial position thereof for the year then ended in accordance with the basis of accounting described in Note 1.

### Unmodified Opinions on the Governmental Funds Based on U.S. GAAP

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the General, ASB, Debt Service, Capital Projects and Transportation Vehicle funds as of August 31, 2015, and the changes in financial position thereof for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

### Matters of Emphasis

As discussed in Note 1 to the financial statements, the District adopted new accounting guidance, Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 68, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions – an amendment of GASB Statement No.* 27. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

### **Other Matters**

### Supplementary and Other Information

Our audit was performed for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements taken as a whole. The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by U.S. Office of Management and Budget Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. The accompanying Schedule of Long-Term Liabilities is also presented for purposes of additional analysis, as required by the prescribed Accounting Manual. These schedules are not a required part of the financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements, and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the financial statements taken as a whole.

### OTHER REPORTING REQUIRED BY GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated May 18, 2016 on our consideration of the District's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the District's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Twy X. Kelley

TROY KELLEY STATE AUDITOR OLYMPIA, WA

### FINANCIAL SECTION

### Mount Adams School District No. 209 Yakima County September 1, 2014 through August 31, 2015

### FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Balance Sheet – Governmental Funds – 2015
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance – Governmental Funds – 2015
Notes to Financial Statements – 2015

### SUPPLEMENTARY AND OTHER INFORMATION

Schedule of Long-Term Liabilities – 2015 Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards – 2015 Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards – 2015

209
No.
District
School
Adams
Mount

## Balance Sheet

# Governmental Funds

### August 31, 2015

	General Fund	ASB Fund	Debt Service Fund	Capital Projects Fund	Transportation Vehicle Fund	Permanent Fund	Total
ASSETS:							
Cash and Cash Equivalents	824,895.78	4,602.77	1.71	178.43	282.08	0.00	829,960.77
Minus Warrants Outstanding	-572,740.19	-388.03	00.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-573,128.22
Taxes Receivable	68,807.79		-0.28	0.00	0.00		68,807.51
Due From Other Funds	23,572.31	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	23,572.31
Due From Other Governmental Units	471,907.73	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	471,907.73
Accounts Receivable	0.00	1,256.40	00.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1,256.40
Interfund Loans Receivable	0.00			0.00			0.00
Accrued Interest Receivable	0.00	00.00	00.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Inventory	6,220.55	0.00		0.00			6,220.55
Prepaid Items	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00
Investments	2,320,358.00	59,209.00	50.00	133,384.00	222,692.00	00.00	2,735,693.00
Investments/Cash With Trustee	216,680.69		0.00	0.00	0.00	00.00	216,680.69
Investments-Deferred Compensation	0.00			0.00			0.00
Self-Insurance Security Deposit	0.00						0.00
TOTAL ASSETS	3,359,702.66	64,680.14	51.43	133,562.43	222,974.08	0.00	3,780,970.74
DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES:							
Deferred Outflows of Resources - Other	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
TOTAL DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES	00.00	00.0	00.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.00
TOTAL ASSETS AND DEFERRED OUTFLOW OF RESOURCES	3,359,702.66	64,680.14	51.43	133,562.43	222,974.08	0.00	3,780,970.74
LIABILITIES:							
Accounts Payable	611,482.28	7,007.79	00.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	618,490.07
Contracts Payable Current	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Accrued Interest Payable			0.00				0.00
Accrued Salaries	11,213.66	0.00		0.00			11,213.66
Anticipation Notes Payable	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
	The accompanying	notes are	an integral part of this	of this financial	al statement.		

209
No.
District
School
Adams
Mount

### Balance Sheet Governmental Funds

### **August 31, 2015**

	General Fund	ASB Fund	Debt Service Fund	Capital Projects Fund	Transportation Vehicle Fund	Permanent Fund	Total
LIABILITIES:							
Payroll Deductions and Taxes Payable	2,342.18	0.00		0.00			2,342.18
Due To Other Governmental Units	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Deferred Compensation Payable	0.00			0.00			0.00
Estimated Employee Benefits Payable	0.00						0.00
Due To Other Funds	0.00	23,572.31	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	23,572.31
Interfund Loans Payable	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Deposits	0.00	0.00		0.00			0.00
Unearned Revenue	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Matured Bonds Payable			0.00				0.00
Matured Bond Interest Payable			0.00				0.00
Arbitrage Rebate Payable	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
TOTAL LIABILITIES	625,038.12	30,580.10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	655,618.22
DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES:							
Unavailable Revenue	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Unavailable Revenue - Taxes Receivable	68,807.79		-0.28	0.00	00.00		68,807.51
TOTAL DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES	68,807.79	0.00	-0.28	0.00	0.00	0.00	68,807.51
FUND BALANCE:							
Nonspendable Fund Balance	6,220.55	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	6,220.55
Restricted Fund Balance	18,463.01	34,100.04	51.71	0.00	222,974.08	0.00	275,588.84
Committed Fund Balance	216,680.69	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	216,680.69
Assigned Fund Balance	0.00	0.00	0.00	133,562.43	0.00	0.00	133,562.43
Unassigned Fund Balance	2,424,492.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2,424,492.50
TOTAL FUND BALANCE	2,665,856.75	34,100.04	51.71	133,562.43	222,974.08	0.00	3,056,545.01
TOTAL LIABILITIES, DEFERRED INFLOW OF RESOURCES, AND FUND BALANCE	3,359,702.66	64,680.14	51.43	133,562.43	222,974.08	00.0	3,780,970.74

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this financial statement.

Mount Adams School District No. 209

# Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance

## Governmental Funds

# For the Year Ended August 31, 2015

	General Fund	ASB Fund	Debt Service Fund	Capital Projects Fund	Transportation Vehicle Fund	Permanent Fund	Total
REVENUES:							
Local	403,407.89	112,376.60	0.00	894.08	1,645.74		518,324.31
State	7,769,716.65		0.00	0.00	86,040.73		7,855,757.38
Federal	5,036,829.06		0.00	0.00	0.00		5,036,829.06
Federal Stimulus	0.00						0.00
Other	398,692.15			0.00	0.00	0.00	398,692.15
TOTAL REVENUES	13,608,645.75	112,376.60	0.00	894.08	87,686.47	0.00	13,809,602.90
EXPENDITURES: CURRENT:							
Regular Instruction	5,498,559.01						5,498,559.01
Federal Stimulus	0.00						0.00
Special Education	1,356,096.80						1,356,096.80
Vocational Education	444,958.29						444,958.29
Skill Center	0.00						0.00
Compensatory Programs	2,154,889.37						2,154,889.37
Other Instructional Programs	8,467.81						8,467.81
Community Services	0.00						0.00
Support Services	3,879,415.71						3,879,415.71
Student Activities/Other		122,090.82				0.00	122,090.82
CAPITAL OUTLAY:							
Sites				0.00			0.00
Building				0.00			0.00
Equipment				0.00			0.00
Instructional Technology				0.00			0.00
Energy				0.00			0.00
Transportation Equipment					142,447.02		142,447.02
Sales and Lease				0.00			0.00
Other	1,396.79						1,396.79
DEBT SERVICE:							
Principal	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Interest and Other Charges	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Bond/Levy Issuance				0.00	0.00		00.00
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	13, 343, 783. 78	122,090.82	0.00	0.00	142,447.02	0.00	13,608,321.62

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this financial statement.

Mount Adams School District No. 209

# Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance

# Governmental Funds

# For the Year Ended August 31, 2015

	General Fund	ASB Fund	Debt Service Fund	Capital Projects Fund	Transportation Vehicle Fund	Permanent Fund	Total
DEBT SERVICE:							
REVENUES OVER (UNDER) EXPENDITURES	264,861.97	-9,714.22	0.00	894.08	-54,760.55	0.00	201,281.28
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES):							
Bond Sales & Refunding Bond Sales	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Long-Term Financing	0.00			0.00	0.00		0.00
Transfers In	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Transfers Out (GL 536)	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Other Financing Uses (GL 535)	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Other	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
TOTAL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)	0.00		0.00	00.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
EXCESS OF REVENUES/OTHER FINANCING SOURCES OVER (UNDER) EXPENDITURES AND OTHER FINANCING USES	264,861.97	-9,714.22	0.00	894.08	-54,760.55	0.00	201,281.28
BEGINNING TOTAL FUND BALANCE	2,400,994.78	43,814.26	51.71	132,668.35	277,734.63	0.00	2,855,263.73
Prior Year(s) Corrections or Restatements	0.00	00.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
ENDING TOTAL FUND BALANCE	2,665,856.75	34,100.04	51.71	133,562.43	222,974.08	00.00	3,056,545.01

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this financial statement.

### MT ADAMS SCHOOL DISTRICT #209 Notes to Financial Statements September 1, 2014 through August 31, 2015

### Note 1: Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

### Description of the government-wide financial statements

The Mt. Adams School District is a municipal corporation organized pursuant to Title 28A *Revised Code of Washington* (RCW) for the purpose of providing public school services to students in grades K–12. Oversight responsibility for the district's operations is vested with the independently elected board of directors. Management of the district is appointed by and is accountable to the board of directors. Fiscal responsibility, including budget authority and the power to set fees, levy property taxes, and issue debt consistent with provisions of state statutes, also rests with the board of directors.

The District presents governmental fund financial statements and related notes on the modified accrual basis of accounting in accordance with the *Accounting Manual for Public School Districts in the State of Washington*, issued jointly by the State Auditor's Office and the Superintendent of Public Instruction by the authority of RCW 43.09.200, RCW 28A.505.140, RCW 28A.505.010(1) and RCW 28A.505.020. This manual prescribes a financial reporting framework that differs from generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) in the following manner:

- (1) Districtwide statements, as defined in GAAP, are not presented.
- (2) A Schedule of Long-Term Liabilities is presented as supplementary information.
- (3) Supplementary information required by GAAP is not presented.

### **Fund Accounting**

Financial transactions of the District are reported in individual funds Each fund uses a separate set of self-balancing accounts that comprise its assets, liabilities, fund equity, revenues, and expenditures (or expenses) as appropriate. All funds are considered major funds. The various funds in the report are grouped into governmental (and fiduciary) funds as follows:

### **GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS**

### General Fund

This fund is used to account for all expendable financial resources, except those required to be accounted for in another fund. In keeping with the principle of having as few funds as are necessary, activities such as food services, maintenance, data processing, printing, and student transportation are included in the General Fund

### Capital Projects Funds

These funds account for financial resources to be used for the construction or acquisition of major capital assets. There are two funds that are considered to be of the capital projects fund type: the Capital Projects Fund and the Transportation Vehicle Fund.

<u>Capital Projects Fund</u>—This fund is used to account for resources set aside for the acquisition and construction of major capital assets such as land and buildings.

<u>Transportation Vehicle Fund</u>—This fund is used to account for the purchase, major repair, rebuilding, and debt service expenditures that relate to pupil transportation equipment.

### Debt Service Fund

This fund is used to account for the accumulation of resources for and the payment of matured general long-term debt principal and interest.

### Special Revenue Funds

In Washington State, the only allowable special revenue fund for school districts is the Associated Student Body (ASB) Fund. This fund is accounted for in the District's financial statements as the financial resources legally belong to the District. As a special revenue fund, amounts within the ASB Fund may only be used for those purposes that relate to the operation of the Associated Student Body of the District.

### Permanent Funds

These funds are used to report resources that are legally restricted such that only earnings, and not principal, may be expended. Amounts in the Permanent Fund may only be spent in support of the District's programs and may not be used to the benefit of any individual.

### Measurement focus, basis of accounting and fund financial statement presentation

Governmental fund financial statements are reported using the current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized as soon as they are measurable and available. Revenues are considered "measurable" if the amount of the transaction can be readily determined. Revenues are considered "available" when they are collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to pay liabilities of the current period. For this purpose, the District considers revenues to be available if they are collected within 60 days after year-end. Property taxes receivable are measurable but not available and are, therefore, not accrued. Categorical program claims and inter district billings are measurable and available and are, therefore, accrued.

Expenditures are recognized under the modified accrual basis of accounting when the related fund liability is incurred, except for unmatured principal and interest on long-term debt which are recorded when due. Purchases of capital assets are expensed during the year of acquisition. For federal grants, the recognition of expenditures is dependent on the obligation date. (Obligation means a purchase order has been issued, contracts have been awarded, or goods and/or services have been received.)

### **Budgets**

Chapter 28A.505 RCW and Chapter 392-123 Washington Administrative Code (WAC) mandate school district budget policies and procedures. The board adopts annual appropriated budgets for all governmental funds. These budgets are appropriated at the fund level. The budget constitutes the legal authority for expenditures at that level. Appropriations lapse at the end of the fiscal period.

Budgets are adopted on the same modified accrual basis as used for financial reporting. Fund balance is budgeted as available resources and, under statute, may not be negative, unless the District enters into binding conditions with state oversight pursuant to RCW 28A.505.110.

### The government's policy regarding whether to first apply restricted or unrestricted resources when an expense is incurred for purposes for which both restricted and unrestricted net assets are available.

The District receives state funding for specific categorical education-related programs. Amounts that are received for these programs that are not used in the current fiscal year may be carried forward into the subsequent fiscal year, where they may be used only for the same purpose as they were originally received. When the District has such carryover, those funds are expended before any amounts received in the current year are expended.

Additionally, the District has other restrictions placed on its financial resources. When expenditures are recorded for purposes for which a restriction or commitment of fund balance is available, those funds that are restricted or committed to that purpose are considered first before any unrestricted or unassigned amounts are expended.

### The government's fund balance classifications policies and procedures.

The District classifies ending fund balance for its governmental funds into five categories.

<u>Nonspendable Fund Balance</u>. The amounts reported as Nonspendable are resources of the District that are not in spendable format. They are either non-liquid resources such as inventory or prepaid items, or the resources are legally or contractually required to be maintained intact.

<u>Restricted Fund Balance</u>. Amounts that are reported as Restricted are those resources of the District that have had a legal restriction placed on their use either from statute, WAC, or other legal requirements that are beyond the control of the board of directors. Restricted fund balance includes anticipated recovery of revenues that have been received but are restricted as to their usage.

<u>Committed Fund Balance</u>. Amounts that are reported as Committed are those resources of the District that have had a limitation placed upon their usage by formal action of the District's board of directors. Commitments are made either through a formal adopted board resolution or are related to a school board policy. Commitments may only be changed when the resources are used for the intended purpose or the limitation is removed by a subsequent formal action of the board of directors.

<u>Assigned Fund Balance</u>. In the General Fund, amounts that are reported as Assigned are those resources that the District has set aside for specific purposes. These accounts reflect tentative management plans for future financial resource use such as the replacement of equipment or the assignment of resources for contingencies. Assignments reduce the amount reported as Unassigned Fund Balance, but may not reduce that balance below zero.

In other governmental funds, Assigned fund balance represents a positive ending spendable fund balance once all restrictions and commitments are considered. These resources are only available for expenditure in that fund and may not be used in any other fund without formal action by the District's board of directors and as allowed by statute.

The Superintendent and the Board of Directors are the only persons who have the authority to create Assignments of fund balance.

<u>Unassigned Fund Balance</u>. In the General Fund, amounts that are reported as Unassigned are those net spendable resources of the District that are not otherwise Restricted, Committed, or Assigned, and may be used for any purpose within the General Fund.

In other governmental funds, Unassigned fund balance represents a deficit ending spendable fund balance once all restrictions and commitments are considered.

A negative Unassigned fund balance means that the legal restrictions and formal commitments of the District exceed its currently available resources.

### **Cash and Cash Equivalents**

All of the district's cash and cash equivalents are considered to be cash on hand, demand deposits, and short-term investments with original maturities of three months or less from the date of acquisition.

### Inventory

Inventory is valued at cost using the first-in, first-out (FIFO) method. The consumption method of inventory is used, which charges inventory as an expenditure when it is consumed. A portion of fund balance, representing inventory, is considered Nonspendable. (Such reserves for inventory indicate that a portion of net current assets is set aside to replace or increase the inventory.) USDA commodity inventory consists of food donated by the United States Department of Agriculture. It is valued at the prices paid by the USDA for the commodities.

### Accounting and Reporting Changes for 2014–15

Effective for the 2014–15 school year, the district implemented provisions of GASB Statement No. 68 Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions. As a result, the Schedule of Long-Term Liabilities now includes the district's proportionate share of the net pension liability for the cost-sharing, multipleemployer plans in which the district participates.

### Note 2: Deposits and Investments

The Yakima County Treasurer is the *ex officio* treasurer for the District and holds all accounts of the District. The District directs the County Treasurer to invest those financial resources of the District that the District has determined are not needed to meet the current financial obligations of the District.

All of the District's investments (except for investments of deferred compensation plans) during the year and at year-end were insured or registered and held by the District or its agent in the District's name.

Investments are presented at cost.

The District's investments as of August 31, 2015, are as follows:

		Investments held by (district) as	
Type of Investment	(District's) own investments	an agent for other organizations	Total
State Treasurer's			
Investment Pool			
County Treasurer's	\$2,735,693	\$0	\$2,735,693
Investment Pool			
U.S. Government			
Securities			
Other:	\$216,681	\$0	\$216,681
Total	\$2,952,374	\$0	\$2,952,374

### Note 4: Significant Effects of Subsequent Events

There were no events after the balance sheet date that would have a material impact on the next or future years.

### NOTE 5: PENSION PLANS

### **General Information**

The Washington State Department of Retirement Systems (DRS), a department within the primary government of the state of Washington, prepares a stand-alone comprehensive annual financial report (CAFR) that includes financial statements and required supplementary information for each pension plan. The pension plan's basic financial statement is accounted for using the accrual basis of accounting. The measurement date of the pension plans is June 30. Benefit payments (including refunds of employee contributions) are recognized when due and payable in accordance with the benefit terms. Investments are reported at fair value.

The school district is reporting the net pension liability in the notes and on the Schedule of Long-term Liabilities calculated as the district's proportionate allocation percentage multiplied by the total plan collective net pension liability.

Detailed information about the pension plans' fiduciary net position is available in the separately issued DRS CAFR. Copies of the report may be obtained by contacting the Washington State Department of Retirement Systems, P.O. Box 48380, Olympia, WA 98504-8380; or online at http://www.drs.wa.gov./administrations/annual-report.

### **Membership Participation**

Substantially all school district full-time and qualifying part-time employees participate in one of the following three contributory, multi-employer, cost-sharing statewide retirement systems managed by DRS: Teachers' Retirement System (TRS), Public Employees' Retirement System (PERS) and School Employees' Retirement System (SERS).

Plan	Active Members	Inactive Vested Members	Retired Members
PERS 1	4,782	1,178	51,070
SERS 2	22,950	5,357	5,796
SERS 3	30,832	6,963	4,825
TRS 1	1,824	323	35,639
TRS 2	13,632	2,357	3,894
TRS 3	51,837	7,655	6,094

Membership participation by retirement plan as of June 30, 2015, was as follows:

The latest actuarial valuation date for all plans was June 30, 2014. Source: Washington State Office of the State Actuary

### Membership & Plan Benefits

Certificated employees are members of TRS. Classified employees are members of PERS (if Plan 1) or SERS. Plan 1 under the TRS and PERS programs are defined benefit pension plans whose members joined the system on or before September 30, 1977. TRS 1 and PERS 1 are closed to new entrants.

TRS is a cost-sharing multiple-employer retirement system comprised of three separate plans for membership purposes: Plans 1 and 2 are defined benefit plans and Plan 3 is a defined benefit plan with a defined contribution component. TRS eligibility for membership requires service as a certificated public school employee working in an instructional, administrative or supervisory capacity.

TRS is comprised of three separate plans for accounting purposes: Plan 1, Plan 2/3, and Plan 3. Plan 1 accounts for the defined benefits of Plan 1 members. Plan 2/3 accounts for the defined benefits of Plan 2 members and the defined benefit portion of benefits for Plan 3 members. Plan 3 accounts for the defined contribution portion of benefits for Plan 3 members. Although members can only be a member of either Plan 2 or Plan 3, the defined benefit portions of Plan 2 and Plan 3 are accounted for in the same pension trust fund. All assets of this Plan 2/3 defined benefit plan may legally be used to pay the defined benefits of any of the Plan 2 or Plan 3 members or beneficiaries, as defined by the terms of the plan. Therefore, Plan 2/3 is considered to be a single plan for accounting purposes.

TRS Plan 1 provides retirement, disability and death benefits. TRS 1 members were vested after the completion of five years of eligible service. Retirement benefits are determined as two percent of the average final compensation (AFC), for each year of service credit, up to a maximum of 60 percent, divided by twelve. The AFC is the total earnable compensation for the two consecutive highest-paid fiscal years, divided by two. Members are eligible for retirement at any age after 30 years of service, or at the age of 60 with five years of service, or at the age of 55 with 25 years of service. Other benefits include temporary and permanent disability payments, an optional cost-of-living adjustment (COLA), and a one-time duty-related death benefit, if found eligible by the Department of Labor and Industries.

TRS Plan 2/3 provides retirement, disability and death benefits. Retirement benefits are determined as two percent of the average final compensation (AFC) per year of service for Plan 2 members and one percent of AFC for Plan 3 members. The AFC is the monthly average of the 60 consecutive highest-paid service credit months. There is no cap on years of service credit. Members are eligible for normal retirement at the age of 65 with at least five years of service credit. Retirement before age 65 is considered an early retirement. TRS Plan 2/3 members, who have at least 20 years of service credit and are 55 years of age or older, are eligible for early retirement with a reduced benefit.

The benefit is reduced by a factor that varies according to age, for each year before age 65. TRS Plan 2/3 members who have 30 or more years of service credit, were hired prior to May 1, 2013, and are at least 55 years old, can retire under one of two provisions: With a benefit that is reduced by three percent for each year before age 65; or with a benefit that has a smaller (or no) reduction (depending on age) that imposes stricter return-to-work rules.

TRS Plan 2/3 members hired on or after May 1, 2013 have the option to retire early by accepting a reduction of five percent for each year of retirement before age 65. This option is available only to those who are age 55 or older and have at least 30 years of service.

TRS Plan 2/3 retirement benefits are also actuarially reduced to reflect the choice of a survivor benefit.

Other benefits include duty and non-duty disability payments, a cost-of-living allowance (based on the Consumer Price Index), capped at three percent annually and a one-time duty-related death benefit, if found eligible by the Department of Labor and Industries.

PERS Plan 1 provides retirement, disability and death benefits. PERS 1 members were vested after the completion of five years of eligible service. Retirement benefits are determined as two percent of the member's average final compensation (AFC) times the member's years of service. The AFC is the average of the member's 24 highest consecutive service months. Members are eligible for retirement

from active status at any age with at least 30 years of service, at age 55 with at least 25 years of service, or at age 60 with at least five years of service.

Members retiring from inactive status prior to the age of 65 may receive actuarially reduced benefits. PERS Plan 1 retirement benefits are actuarially reduced to reflect the choice of a survivor benefit. Other benefits include duty and non-duty disability payments, an optional cost-of-living adjustment (COLA), and a one-time duty-related death benefit, if found eligible by the Department of Labor and Industries.

SERS is a cost-sharing multiple-employer retirement system comprised of two separate plans for membership purposes. SERS Plan 2 is a defined benefit plan and SERS Plan 3 is a defined benefit plan with a defined contribution component. SERS members include classified employees of school districts and educational service districts.

SERS is reported as two separate plans for accounting purposes: Plan 2/3 and Plan 3. Plan 2/3 accounts for the defined benefits of Plan 2 members and the defined benefit portion of benefits for Plan 3 members. Plan 3 accounts for the defined contribution portion of benefits for Plan 3 members.

Although members can only be a member of either Plan 2 or Plan 3, the defined benefit portions of Plan 2 and Plan 3 are accounted for in the same pension trust fund. All assets of this Plan 2/3 defined benefit plan may legally be used to pay the defined benefits of any of the Plan 2 or Plan 3 members or beneficiaries. Therefore, Plan 2/3 is considered to be a single plan for accounting purposes.

SERS provides retirement, disability and death benefits. Retirement benefits are determined as two percent of the member's average final compensation (AFC) times the member's years of service for Plan 2 and one percent of AFC for Plan 3. The AFC is the monthly average of the member's 60 highest-paid consecutive service months before retirement, termination or death. There is no cap on years of service credit. Members are eligible for retirement with a full benefit at 65 with at least five years of service credit. Retirement before age 65 is considered an early retirement. SERS members, who have at least 20 years of service credit and are 55 years of age or older, are eligible for early retirement with a reduced benefit.

The benefit is reduced by a factor that varies according to age, for each year before age 65. SERS members who have 30 or more years of service credit and are at least 55 years old can retire under one of two provisions, if hired prior to May 2, 2013: With a benefit that is reduced by three percent for each year before age 65; or with a benefit that has a smaller (or no) reduction (depending on age) that imposes stricter return-to-work rules.

SERS members hired on or after May 1, 2013, have the option to retire early by accepting a reduction of five percent for each year of retirement before age 65. This option is available only to those who are age 55 or older and have at least 30 years of service. SERS retirement benefits are also actuarially reduced to reflect the choice of a survivor benefit. Other benefits include duty and non-duty disability payments, a cost- of-living allowance (based on the Consumer Price Index), capped at three percent annually and a one-time duty-related death benefit, if found eligible by the Department of Labor and Industries.

### **Plan Contributions**

The employer contribution rates for PERS, TRS, and SERS (Plans 1, 2, and 3) and the TRS and SERS Plan 2 employee contribution rates are established by the Pension Funding Council based upon the rates set by the Legislature. The methods used to determine the contribution requirements are established under chapters 41.40, 41.32, and 41.35 RCW for PERS, TRS and SERS respectively. Employers do not contribute to the defined contribution portions of TRS Plan 3 or SERS Plan 3. Under current law the employer must contribute 100 percent of the employer-required contribution. The

employee contribution rate for Plan 1 in PERS and TRS is set by statute at six percent and does not vary from year to year.

The Employer and employee contribution rates for the PERS plan are effective as of July 1. SERS and TRS contribution rates are effective as of September 1. The pension plan contribution rates (expressed as a percentage of covered payroll) for 2015 were as follows:

Pens	ion Rates		
	7/1/15 Rate	7/1/14 Rate	
PERS 1	Γ	T	- r
Member Contribution Rate	6.00%	6.00%	
Employer Contribution Rate	11.18%	9.21%	
Pens	ion Rates		
	9/1/15 Rate	9/1/14 Rate	
TRS 1	1	1	
Member Contribution Rate	6.00%	6.00%	
Employer Contribution Rate	13.13%	10.39%	
TRS 2	•	·	
Member Contribution Rate	5.95%	4.96%	
Employer Contribution Rate	13.13%	10.39%	
TRS 3			
Member Contribution Rate	varies*	varies*	
Employer Contribution Rate	13.13%	10.39%	**
SERS 2		-	
Member Contribution Rate	5.63%	4.64%	
Employer Contribution Rate	11.58%	9.82%	
SERS 3			ł
Member Contribution Rate	varies*	varies*	
Employer Contribution Rate	11.58%	9.82%	**
Note: The DRS administrative rate of .0018 is in	ncluded in the employer	rate.	
* = Variable from 5% to 15% based on rate sele	cted by the member.		
** = Defined benefit portion only.			

### The Collective Net Pension Liability

The collective net pension liabilities for the pension plans districts participated in are reported in the following tables.

The Net Pension Liability as of June 30, 2	2015:			
Dollars in Thousands	PERS 1	SERS 2/3	TRS 1	TRS 2/3
Total Pension Liability	\$12,789,242	\$4,473,428	\$9,237,730	\$11,220,833
Plan fiduciary net position	(\$7,558,312)	(\$4,067,277)	(\$6,069,588)	(\$10,377,031)
Participating employers' net pension liability	\$5,230,930	\$406,151	\$3,168,142	\$843,802
Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension liability	59.10%	90.92%	65.70%	92.48%

### The School District's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability (NPL)

At June 30, 2015, the school district reported a total liability of **\$5,166,573** for its proportionate shares of the individual plans' collective net pension liability. Proportion of net pension liability is based on annual contributions for each of the employers participating in the DRS administered plans. At June 30, 2015, the district's proportionate share of each plan's net pension liability is reported below:

June 30, 2015	PERS 1	SERS 2/3	TRS 1	TRS 2/3
District's Annual Contributions	88,968	106,698	204,678	255,256
Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability	1,012,595	445,738	2,897,750	810,489

At June 30, 2015, the school district's percentage of the proportionate share of the collective net pension liability was as follows and the changed in the allocation percentage from the prior period is illustrated below.

Allocation percentages	PERS 1	SERS 2/3	TRS 1	TRS 2/3
Current year proportionate share of the Net Pension Liability	0.019358%	0.109747%	0.091465%	0.096052%
Prior year proportionate share of the Net Pension Liability	0.018574%	0.112610%	0.092986%	0.096778%
Net difference percentage	0.000784%	-0.002863%	-0.001521%	-0.000726%

### **Actuarial Assumptions**

Capital Market Assumptions (CMAs) and expected rates of return by asset class provided by the Washington State Investment Board. The Office of the State Actuary relied on the CMAs in the selection of the long-term expected rate of return for reporting purposes.

The total pension liabilities for TRS 1, TRS 2/3, PERS 1 and SERS 2/3 were determined by actuarial valuation as of June 30, 2014, with the results rolled forward to June 30, 2015, using the following actuarial assumptions, applied to all prior periods included in the measurement:

Inflation	3.0% total economic inflation, 3.75% salary inflation
Salary increases	In addition to the base 3.75% salary inflation assumption, salaries
	are also expected to grow by promotions and longevity.
Investment rate of return	7.50%

### **Mortality Rates**

Mortality rates used in the plans were based on the RP-2000 Combined Healthy Table and Combined Disabled Table published by the Society of Actuaries. The Office of the State Actuary applied offsets to the base table and recognized future improvements in mortality by projecting the mortality rates using 100 percent Scale BB. Mortality rates are applied on a generational basis, meaning members are assumed to receive additional mortality improvements in each future year, throughout their lifetime. The actuarial assumptions used in the June 30, 2014, valuation were based on the results of the 2007–2012 Experience Study. Additional assumptions for subsequent events and law changes are current as of the 2014 actuarial valuation report.

### Long-term Expected Rate of Return

The long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was determined using a buildingblock method in which a best-estimate of expected future rates of return (expected returns, net of pension plan investment expense, but including inflation) are developed for each major asset class by the Washington State Investment Board (WSIB). Those expected returns make up one component of WSIB's CMAs. The CMAs contain three pieces of information for each class of assets the WSIB currently invest in:

- Expected annual return
- Standard deviation of the annual return;
- Correlations between the annual returns of each asset class with every other asset class

WSIB uses the CMAs and their target asset allocation to simulate future investment returns over various time horizons.

The long-term expected rate of return of 7.50% percent approximately equals the median of the simulated investment returns over a fifty-year time horizon, increased slightly to remove WSIB's implicit and small short-term downward adjustment due to assumed mean reversion. WSIB's implicit short-term adjustment, while small and appropriate over a ten to fifteen-year period, becomes amplified over a fifty-year measurement period.

Best estimates of arithmetic real rates of return for each major asset class included in the pension plans' target asset allocation as of June 30, 2015, are summarized in the following table:

TRS1, TRS 2/3, PERS 1, and \$	SERS 2/3	
Asset Class	Target	Long-term Expected Real
	Allocation	Rate of Return
Fixed Income	20.00%	1.70%
Tangible Assets	5.00%	4.40%
Real Estate	15.00%	5.80%
Global Equity	37.00%	6.60%
Private Equity	23.00%	9.60%

The inflation component used to create the above table is 2.20 percent, and represents WSIB's most recent long-term estimate of broad economic inflation.

### **Discount Rate**

The discount rate used to measure the total pension liability was 7.50 percent. To determine the discount rate, an asset sufficiency test was completed to test whether the pension plan's fiduciary net position was sufficient to make all projected future benefit payments of current plan members. Consistent with current law, the completed asset sufficiency test included an assumed 7.70 percent long-term discount rate to determine funding liabilities for calculating future contributions rate requirements. Consistent with the long-term expected rate of return, a 7.50 percent future investment rate of return on invested assets was assumed for the test. Contributions from plan members and employers are assumed to continue to be made at contractually required rates. Based on those assumptions, the pension plan's fiduciary net position was projected to be available to make all projected future benefit payments of current plan members.

Therefore, the long-term expected rate of return of 7.50 percent on pension plan investments was applied to determine the total pension liability.

### Sensitivity of the Net Pension Liability to Changes in the Discount Rate

The following table presents the Mt Adams School District's proportionate share of the collective net pension liability (NPL) calculated using the discount rate of 7.50 percent, as well as what the net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is one percentage-point lower (6.50 percent) or one percentage-point higher (8.50 percent) than the current rate. Amounts are calculated using the school district's specific allocation percentage, by plan, to determine the proportionate share of the collective net pension liability.

	1% Decrease (6.50%)	Current Discount Rate (7.50%)	1% Increase (8.50%)
PERS1 NPL	\$6,368,671,000	\$5,230,930,000	\$4,252,577,000
Allocation Percentage	0.019358%	0.019358%	0.019358%
Proportionate Share of Collective NPL	\$ 1,232,837	\$1,012,595	\$ 823,207
SERS2/3 NPL	\$1,282,039,000	\$406,151,000	(\$273,474,000)
Allocation Percentage	0.109747%	0.109747%	0.109747%
Proportionate Share of Collective NPL	\$1,406,997	\$445,738	(\$ 300,129)
TRS1 NPL	\$3,982,571,000	\$3,168,142,000	\$2,467,801,000
Allocation Percentage	0.091465%	0.091465%	0.091465%
Proportionate Share of Collective NPL	\$3,642,670	\$2,897,750	\$2,257,181
TRS2/3 NPL	\$3,570,229,000	\$843,802,000	(\$1,183,066,000)
Allocation Percentage	0.096052%	0.096052%	0.096052%
Proportionate Share of Collective NPL	\$3,429,279	\$810,489	(\$1,136,359)

### Note 6: Annual Other Post-Employment Benefit Cost and Net OPEB Obligations

The State, through the Health Care Authority (HCA), administers an agent multi-employer Other Post-Employment Benefit plan. The Public Employees Benefits Board (PEBB), created within the HCA, is authorized to design benefits and determine the terms and conditions of employee and retired employee participation and coverage, including establishment of eligibility criteria for both active and retired employees. Programs include (medical, dental, life insurance and long-term disability insurance)<sup>(5).</sup>

Employers participating in the plan include the State of Washington (which includes general government agencies and higher education institutions), 60 of the state's K–12 school districts and educational service districts (ESDs), and 221 political subdivisions and tribal governments. Additionally, the PEBB

plan is available to the retirees of the remaining 237 K–12 school districts and ESDs. The District's retirees are eligible to participate in the PEBB plan under this arrangement.

According to state law, the Washington State Treasurer collects a fee from all school district entities which have employees that are not current active members of the state Health Care Authority but participate in the state retirement system. The purpose of this fee is to cover the impact of the subsidized rate of health care benefits for school retirees that elect to purchase their health care benefits through the state Health Care Authority. For the fiscal year 2014-15, the District was required to pay the HCA \$64.40 per month per full-time equivalent employee to support the program, for a total payment of \$104,908. This assessment to the District is set forth in the State's operating budget and is subject to change on an annual basis. This amount is not actuarially determined and is not placed in a trust to pay the obligations for post-employment health care benefits.

The District has no control over the benefits offered to retirees, the rates charged to retirees, nor the fee paid to the Health Care Authority. The District does not determine its Annual Required Contribution nor the Net Other Post-Employment Benefit obligation associated with this plan. Accordingly, these amounts are not shown on the financial statements.

### Note 7: Commitments Under Leases

### **Operating Lease**

In May 2015 the Mt Adams School District entered into an operating lease with Cannon Solutions America Inc. for a period of four years. Lease terms do not include a purchase option, renewal terms or escalation clauses. Also, the rents shown are the minimum rental, there are no contingent rents, and the equipment will not be subleased. The equipment rented consists of five copy machines, and the rents are \$15,354 annually. The lease expires April 2019.

Annual Lease Payments:

2015-16	\$15,354
2016-17	\$15,354
2017-18	\$15,354
2018-19	\$10,236

### Note 7: Other Significant Commitments

### Encumbrances

Encumbrance accounting is employed in governmental funds. Purchase orders, contracts, and other commitments for the expenditure of moneys are recorded in order to reserve a portion of the applicable appropriation. Encumbrances lapse at the end of the fiscal year and may be re-encumbered the following year. The following encumbrance amounts were re-encumbered by fund on September 1, 2015.

Fund	Amount
General	\$95,587
ASB Fund	\$0
Capital Projects Fund	\$0
Transportation Vehicle Fund	\$0

### Note 8: Capital Assets

The district's capital assets are insured in the amount of \$30,000,000 for fiscal year 2015. In the opinion of the district's insurance consultant, this amount is sufficient to adequately fund replacement of the district's assets.

### Note 9: Entity Risk Management Activities

The district is exposed to various risks of loss related to torts; theft of, damage to, and destruction of assets; errors and omissions; injuries to employees; and natural disasters.

In July 1984, the district joined together with other school districts in the state to form ESD 105 Worker's Compensation Trust, a public entity risk pool currently operating as a common risk management and insurance program for Labor and Industries. In July 1977, the district became a member of the ESD Unemployment Pool. The district pays an annual premium to the pool for its general insurance coverage. The agreement for formation of the United Schools Insurance Group provides that the pool will be self-sustaining through member premiums and will reinsure through commercial companies for claims in excess of \$100,000 for each insured event.

### Note 10: Property Taxes

Property tax revenues are collected as the result of special levies passed by the voters in the District. Taxes are levied on January 1. The taxpayer has the obligation of paying all taxes on April 30 or one-half then and one-half on October 31. Typically, slightly more than half of the collections are made on the April 30 date. The October 31 collection is not available in time to cover liabilities for the fiscal period ended August 31. Therefore, the fall portion of property taxes is not accrued as revenue. Instead, the property taxes due on October 31 are recorded as unavailable revenue.

### Note 11: Joint Ventures and Jointly Governed Organizations

The district is a member of the King County Directors' Association (KCDA). KCDA is a purchasing cooperative designed to pool the member districts' purchasing power. The District joining the association January 1,1980, and has remained in the joint venture ever since. The district's current equity of \$ 7,966.83 is the accumulation of the annual assignment of KCDA's operating surplus based upon the percentage derived from KCDA's total sales to the district compared to all other districts applied against paid administrative fees. The district may withdraw from the joint venture and will receive its equity in ten annual allocations of merchandise or 15 annual payments.

### Note 12: Fund Balance Classification Details

	General Fund	ASB Fund	Capital Projects Fund	Debt Service Fund	Transportation Vehicle Fund
Nonspendable Fund Balance					
Inventory and Prepaid Items	\$ 6,221				
Restricted Fund Balance					
Carryover of Restricted Revenues	\$18,463				
Debt Service					
Arbitrage Rebate					
Uninsured Risks					
Other Items					
Committed Fund Balance					
For Economic Stabilization	\$1,200,000				
Other Commitments	\$ 216,681				
Assigned Fund Balance					
Contingencies					
Other Capital Projects					
Other Purposes					
Fund Purposes	\$1,224,491	\$34,100	\$133,562	\$ 51.71	\$222,974

The District's financial statements include the following amounts presented in the aggregate.

The board of directors has established a minimum fund balance policy for the general fund to provide for financial stability and contingencies within the District. The policy is that the District shall maintain a \$1.2 million cash fund balance. Portions of fund balance that are set aside for the purpose of meeting this policy are recorded on the financial statements as a part of Unassigned fund balance.

### Note 13: Post-Employment Benefit Plans Other than Pension Plans

### 457 Plan – Deferred Compensation plan

District employees have the option of participating in a deferred compensation plan as defined in §457 of the Internal Revenue Code that is administered by the state deferred compensation plan.

### 403(b) Plan – Tax Sheltered Annuity (TSA)

The District offers a tax deferred annuity plan for its employees. The plan permits participants to defer a portion of their salary until future years under elective deferrals. The District does not provide any type of employer matching under this plan.

The District complies with IRS regulations that require school districts to have a written plan to include participating investment companies, types of investments, loans, transfers, and various requirements. The plan is administered by The Omni Group. The plan assets are assets of the District employees, not the school district, and are therefore not reflected on these financial statements.

### Note 14: Termination Benefits

### Compensated Absences

Employees earn sick leave at a rate of 12 days per year up to a maximum of one contract year.

Under the provisions of RCW 28A.400.210, sick leave accumulated by district employees is reimbursed at death or retirement at the rate of one day for each four days of accrued leave, limited to 180 accrued days. This chapter also provides for an annual buy out of an amount up to the maximum annual accumulation of 12 days. For buy out purposes employees may accumulate such leave to a maximum of 192 days, including the annual accumulation, as of December 31 of each year.

These expenditures are recorded when paid, except termination sick leave that is accrued upon death or retirement, or upon termination provided the employee is at least 55 years of age and has sufficient years of service. Vested sick leave was computed using the vesting method.

Vacation pay, including benefits, that is expected to be liquidated with expendable available financial resources is reported as expenditures and a fund liability of the governmental fund that will pay it.

No unrecorded liability exists for other employee benefits.

Description	Beginning Outstanding Debt September 1, 2014	Amount Issued / Increased	Amount Redeemed / Decreased	Ending Outstanding Debt August 31, 2015	Amount Due Within One Year
Non-Voted Debt and Liabilities					
Capital Leases	0.00	00.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Contracts Payable	0.00	00.00	0.00		0.00
Non-Cancellable Operating Leases	0.00	00.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Claims & Judgements	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Compensated Absences	118,410.83	203,296.95	136,499.43	185,208.35	0.00
Long-Term Notes	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Anticipation Notes Payable	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Lines of Credit	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Other Non-Voted Debt	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Other Liabilities					
Non-Voted Notes Not Recorded as Debt	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Net Pension Liabilities:					
Net Pension Liabilities TRS 1	0.00	2,897,750.00	0.00	2,897,750.00	
Net Pension Liabilities TRS 2/3	0.00	810,489.00	0.00	810,489.00	
Net Pension Liabilities SERS 2/3	0.00	445,738.00	0.00	445,738.00	
Net Pension Liabilities PERS 1	0.00	1,012,595.00	0.00	1,012,595.00	
Total Long-Term Liabilities	118,410.83	5,369,868.95	136,499.43	5,351,780.35	0.00

Schedule of Long-Term Liabilities: GENERAL FUND

For the Year Ended August 31, 2015

		Mt. Adams School District #209						
		#39209 Yakima County - EIN: - 91-0761272						
	Schedule of Ex	penditure of Federal Awards for Fiscal Year Ending	g August 31,	2015				
Federal	Pass		Federal		Direct	Pass	Total	Foot
Agency	Thru		Catalog	Contract	Fund	Thru	Funds	Note
Name	Agency	Federal Program Title	Number	Number	Amount	Amount	Expended	
Dept of Agriculture		Forestry Incentives Program	10.064		7		_xponaea	
Dept of Agriculture			-			24.400	24.400	(2)
	WA SPI	Commodity supplimental Food Program	10.565	na		34,180	34,180	
	WA SPI	School Breakfast Program (A)	10.553	na		80,349	80,349	
	WA SPI	Nat'l School Lunch Program (A)	10.555	na		324,187	324,187	
		Spec Milk Prg for Children	10.556				0	
		Child Care Food Program (A, H)	10.558				0	
	WA SPI	Summer Food Service Program for Children	10.559	na		21,106	21,106	
		State Admin - Child Nutrition	10.560				0	
		Nutrition Ed & Training Program	10.564				0	
		NOPSC - Pilot Project	10.664				0	
						0.007		
	WA STATE TREASURER	School & Roads Grants to State	10.665	na		9,907	9,907	
		ARRA NSLP Equip Assist Grant	10.579				0	
		Fish & Wildlife Habitat Incentive Prg	10.914				0	
		PNW Research Station	10.999				0	
		USDA - ECEAP Prg	10.999				0	
		Audit Recovery	10.999				0	
		Food Stamp Outreach	10.999	1			0	
							0	
							0	
			-	1			0	
							-	
		Dept of Agriculture Subtotal			0	469,729	469,729	
		Dept of Defense Subtotal			0	0	0	
		Dept of Housing/Urban Dev Subtotal			0	0	0	
					-			
		Dent of Interior Ordetetel						<u> </u>
		Dept of Interior Subtotal			0	0	0	
								<b></b>
		Dept of Justice Subtotal			0	0	0	
		Dept of Labor Subtotal			0	0	0	
		Fed Mediation/Constitution Crus Subtatal				0		
		Fed. Mediation/Conciliation Srvs. Subtotal			0	0	0	<u> </u>
								<u> </u>
								<u> </u>
		Nat'l Fnd Arts & Humanities Subtotal			0	0	0	
		Nat'l Science Foundation Subtotal			0	0	0	
		Dept of Veterans Affairs			0	0	0	
		Dept of Veterans Allalis			0	U	0	
								<u> </u>
								┝───
		Environmental Protection Subtotal			0	0	0	<b> </b>
								L
		Dept of Energy Subtotal			0	0	0	
				1				
								<u> </u>
		Fed Emergency Mamt Aay Subtated			0	0	0	
		Fed Emergency Mgmt Agy Subtotal			0	U	0	
								<b> </b>
Dept of Education	WA SPI	Title 1 Grants to Local Education Agencies	84.010A	201705		508,389	508,389	(4) (5)
		Migrant Ed - Basic State	84.011					

		Mt. Adams School District #209 #39209 Yakima County - EIN: - 91-0761272							
	Schedule of	f Expenditure of Federal Awards for Fiscal Year Ending	August 31,	2015					
			<b>g</b> ,						
Federal	Pass		Federal			Direct	Pass	Total	Fo
Agency	Thru		Catalog		Contract	Fund	Thru	Funds	No
Name	Agency	Federal Program Title	Number		Number	Amount	Amount	Expended	
		Neglected & Delinguent Child	84.013						
		Behavior Intervention	84.023						
		Srvs for Children / Deaf-Blind	84.025						
	WA SPI	Special Education Grants to States	84.027A		305148		217,520	217,520	(5)
		Spec Ed - Pers Dev & Prnt Trng	84.029				,	,	(-)
		Federal College Work Study	84.033						
		Impact Aid	84.041	na		3,101,864		3,101,864	
	WA SPI	Career and Technical Education Basic Grants to Sta	84.048		173,398		11,243	11,243	(5)
		Indian Education-Grants to Local Education Agencie	84.060	na		110,666	,	110,666	. ,
		WA Virtual Classroom	84.116	Πά		110,000		110,000	(5)
		Voc Rehab Grants to States	84.116						
		Secondary Educational Institutions	84.120						
		Immigrant Education	84.162						
		Magnet Schools Assistance	84.165						
		Magnet Schools Assistance Math/ESL Newcomer	84.165	-					
				-	200450	0	10 500	40 500	(E)
	WA SPI	Special Education Pre School Grants	84.173A		363150	0	10,509	10,509	(C)
	50D 405	Grants for Infants w/Disabilities	84.181						
	ESD 105	Drug/Violence Prevention - Middle School	84.184					0	
	EOD 405	Byrd Honors Scholarships	84.185					0	
	ESD 105	Safe & Drug Free Schools & Comm - St Gr	84.186	na				0	
		Bilingual Ed Support Services	84.194						
		Ed for Homeless Children / Youth	84.196						
		Star Schools	84.203						
		Javits Project	84.206						
		Even Start - SEAs	84.213						
	ECD 405	Even Start - Migrant Education	84.214				0	0	
	ESD 105	Fund for Improvement of Education	84.215	na			0	0	
		Capital Expenses State School Improvement Grants	84.216 84.218						
		Tech Prep Grants	84.243						
		Goals 2000-St/Local Ed System Improve	84.243						
		Eisenhower Professional Dev State Grant	84.270						
		21st Century Community Learning Center	84.287						
		Bilingual Ed Prg Dev & Impl Grants	84.288						
		Program Enhancement	84.289						
		Bilingual Ed Comp School Grants	84.290						
		Bilingual Ed-Sytemwide Improve Grants	84.291						
		Foreign Language Assistance	84.291	1					
		Innovative Ed Program Strategies	84.293	1					
		Technology Innovation Challenge	84.303	-					
		Nat'l Inst on Student Acheiv, Curr & Assess	84.305						
		Technology Literacy Challenge Funds	84.305 84.318X				0		
		Special Ed - Research and Innovation	84.324				0		
		Spec.Ed-Tech Asst to Improv Svs/Disabled	84.326						
		WAIS Education Beacons Project	84.327	-					
		Advanced Placement Incentive Prog.	84.330	1				0	
	WA SPI	Comprehensive School Reform Demo	84.332					0	
	U OF W	Gaining Early Awareness and Readiness for Underg	84.334	na			135,729	135,729	
		Teacher Quality Enhancement Grnts/States	84.336	ιa			100,129	155,729	(~)
		Class Size Reduction	84.336						
		Community-Based Technology Centers	84.341						
		Generation Yes	84.342	-	161105	0	21 400	24 400	(1) (5
	WA SPI	Rural Education	84.358B		461405	0	21,408	21,408	(4) (5
		Regional School to Work	84.999						
		Other Federal Assistance - DOE	84.999	-					
		School Improvement-Mini Grant	84.276A						
	WA SPI	School Improvement Assistance Program	84.348a					0	
		Grants for School Repair & Renovation	84.352B						(4) (5

		Mt. Adams School District #209						
		#39209 Yakima County - EIN: - 91-0761272						
	Schedule of Exp	enditure of Federal Awards for Fiscal Year Ending	August 31, 2	2015				
Federal	Pass		Federal		Direct	Pass	Total	Foot
Agency	Thru		Catalog	Contract	Fund	Thru	Funds	Note
Name	Agency	Federal Program Title	Number	Number	Amount	Amount	Expended	
	WA SPI	English Language Acquisition State Grants	84.365A	402037		45,964	45,964	. ,
	WA SPI	Title 1 Grants to LEAs	84.010	228408		28,917	28,917	
	WA SPI	Title 1 Grants to LEAs	84.010	228411		28,727	28,727	(4) (5)
	WA SPI	Title 1 Grants to LEAs	84.010	0		0	0	
	WA SPI	School Improvement Grants	84.377A	225344		421,420	421,420	(4) (5)
		Dept of Education Subtotal			3,212,530	1,527,155	4,739,685	
	- Dant	Orana Orana in Martal Hardik Oraniar	00.404					
lealth/Human Serv	's Dept	Comp. Community Mental Health Service	93.104					
		Maternal and Child Health	93.110					
		SKG	93.230					
		Abstinence Education	93.235	40.00007	50.000		50.000	(0)
		Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services-Proje		10sm60327a	50,608	0	50,608	. ,
	Substance Abuse and Mental F	Drug Free Communities Support Program Grants	93.276	10sp17226a	106,387	0	106,387	(2)
		Drug Abuse Research	93.279					
		Teen Against Tobacco Use	93.283					
		Cancer Control	93.399					
		Family Prevention & Support Service	93.556					
		Job Opportunities & Basic Skills Training	93.561					
		Washington Regional Action Project	93.575					
		Childcare Mandatory Matching Funds	93.596					
		Headstart Temporary Childcare & Crisis Nursing	93.600					
			93.656					
		Social Services Block Grant	93.667					
		Child Abuse & Neglect, Discreet Activities	93.670					
		Dependent Care Development Grants	93.673					
	DCUC	State Children's Insurance Program	93.767			0		
	DSHS ESD 105	Medicaid-Medical Assist Program	93.778			0	0	
		Coop Agmts Spt Schl Health AIDS	93.938			0	0	
		Agricultural Health & Safety Programs	93.956					
	505 (05	Blk Grnts Community Mental Health Svs	93.958			44.000	44.000	(0)
	ESD 105	Block Grants for Prevention and Treatment of Subst	93.959	na		44,000	44,000	(2)
		Maternal & Child Hith Block Grants	93.994					
		Youth Employment Program	93.999					
		Early Intervention	93.999					
		Maternity Case Management	93.999					
		Health/Human Services Dept Subtotal			156,995	44,000	200,995	
		nearannuman dervices Dept Subiotai			130,333	-++,000	200,995	
		Learn & Serve-School & Community	94.004					
		Learn & Serve-Higher Ed	94.004					
		Americorps	94.005					
		Volunteers in Service of America	94.000					
		Corp for National Service Subtotal	51015		0	0	0	
						U	0	
		Totals			3,369,525	2,040,884	5,410,409	(1)
		10(015			5,555,525	2,040,004	5,410,405	(1)

### Mt. Adams School District #209 Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards For The Year Ending August 31, 2015 Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

### NOTE 1 – BASIS OF ACCOUNTING

The Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is prepared on the same basis of accounting as the Mt Adams School District's financial statements. The District uses the modified accrual basis of accounting. Expenditures represent only the federally funded portions of the program. District records should be consulted to determine the amounts expended or matched from non-federal sources.

### NOTE 2 – PROGRAM COSTS/MATCHING CONTRIBUTIONS

The amounts shown as current year expenses represent only the federal grant portion of the program costs. The entire program costs, including the district's local matching share is more than shown.

### NOTE 3 – NON CASH AWARDS – FOOD COMMODITIES

The amount of food commodities reported on the schedule is the market value of the commodities used by the District during the current year. The value is determined by the USDA.

### NOTE 4 – SCHOOLWIDE PROGRAMS

The District operates a "school wide program" at all of its schools. Using federal funding, school wide programs are designed to upgrade an entire educational program within a school for all students, rather than limit services to certain targeted students. The District expended \$957,101 for the Title I Programs, and \$114,739 for the Title II programs.

### NOTE 5 – FEDERAL INDIRECT RATE

The Mt Adams School District claimed indirect costs using its federal restricted rate of 4.06% or less.

### CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN FOR FINDINGS REPORTED UNDER OMB CIRCULAR A-133

### Mount Adams School District No. 209 Yakima County September 1, 2014 through August 31, 2015

This schedule presents the corrective action planned by the auditee for findings reported in this report in accordance with OMB Circular A-133. The information in this schedule is the representation of the Mount Adams School District No. 209.

Finding ref number:	Finding caption:					
2015-001	The District did not have adequate internal controls to ensure					
	compliance with federal procurement requirements.					

Name, address, and telephone of auditee contact person:

Mike Wells, Business Manager (509) 874-2611 P.O. Box 578 White Swan, WA 98952

**Corrective action the auditee plans to take in response to the finding:** 

The District concurs that it did not provide formal bids as per this finding. However based on the districts experience purchasing this specific model of laptop, the district selected a vendor with per laptop pricing less that the state bid schedule. Unfortunately, the district did not compare or document that the district reviewed the state bid schedule at the time of purchase. It is the District's understanding that purchases may be made from the state bid schedule without the requirement of a formal bid process.

By June 15, 2016 the district will provide all administrators and department supervisors a document outlining the bid requirements and the thresholds for purchases. It will include details of required documentation and information on the state bid schedule.

Anticipated date to complete the corrective action: June 15, 2016

### **ABOUT THE STATE AUDITOR'S OFFICE**

The State Auditor's Office is established in the state's Constitution and is part of the executive branch of state government. The State Auditor is elected by the citizens of Washington and serves four-year terms.

We work with our audit clients and citizens to achieve our vision of government that works for citizens, by helping governments work better, cost less, deliver higher value, and earn greater public trust.

In fulfilling our mission to hold state and local governments accountable for the use of public resources, we also hold ourselves accountable by continually improving our audit quality and operational efficiency and developing highly engaged and committed employees.

As an elected agency, the State Auditor's Office has the independence necessary to objectively perform audits and investigations. Our audits are designed to comply with professional standards as well as to satisfy the requirements of federal, state, and local laws.

Our audits look at financial information and compliance with state, federal and local laws on the part of all local governments, including schools, and all state agencies, including institutions of higher education. In addition, we conduct performance audits of state agencies and local governments as well as <u>fraud</u>, state <u>whistleblower</u> and <u>citizen hotline</u> investigations.

The results of our work are widely distributed through a variety of reports, which are available on our <u>website</u> and through our free, electronic <u>subscription</u> service.

We take our role as partners in accountability seriously, and provide training and technical assistance to governments, and have an extensive quality assurance program.

Contact information for the State Auditor's Office						
Public Records requests	PublicRecords@sao.wa.gov					
Main telephone	(360) 902-0370					
Toll-free Citizen Hotline	(866) 902-3900					
Website	www.sao.wa.gov					