

Financial Statements and Federal Single Audit Report

Snohomish Health District

Snohomish County

For the period January 1, 2016 through December 31, 2016

Published September 18, 2017 Report No. 1019811





Office of the Washington State Auditor Pat McCarthy

September 18, 2017

Board of Health Snohomish Health District Everett, Washington

Report on Financial Statements and Federal Single Audit

Please find attached our report on the Snohomish Health District's financial statements and compliance with federal laws and regulations.

We are issuing this report in order to provide information on the District's financial condition.

Sincerely,

Tat Marchy

Pat McCarthy State Auditor Olympia, WA

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Schedule Of Findings And Questioned Costs	. 4
Schedule Of Federal Award Findings And Questioned Costs	. 6
Independent Auditor's Report On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting And On Compliance And Other Matters Based On An Audit Of Financial Statements Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards	10
Independent Auditor's Report On Compliance For Each Major Federal Program And Report On Internal Control Over Compliance In Accordance With The Uniform Guidance	12
Independent Auditor's Report On Financial Statements	16
Financial Section	19
Corrective Action Plan For Findings Reported Under Uniform Guidance	58
About The State Auditor's Office	59

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

Snohomish Health District Snohomish County January 1, 2016 through December 31, 2016

SECTION I – SUMMARY OF AUDITOR'S RESULTS

The results of our audit of the Snohomish Health District are summarized below in accordance with Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards (Uniform Guidance).

Financial Statements

We issued an unmodified opinion on the fair presentation of the financial statements of the governmental activities and each major federal fund in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP).

Internal Control over Financial Reporting:

- *Significant Deficiencies:* We reported no deficiencies in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be significant deficiencies.
- *Material Weaknesses:* We identified no deficiencies that we consider to be material weaknesses.

We noted no instances of noncompliance that were material to the financial statements of the District.

Federal Awards

Internal Control over Major Programs:

- *Significant Deficiencies:* We reported no deficiencies in the design or operation of internal control over major federal programs that we consider to be significant deficiencies.
- *Material Weaknesses:* We identified deficiencies that we consider to be material weaknesses.

We issued an unmodified opinion on the District's compliance with requirements applicable to each of its major federal programs, with the exception of the Medical Assistance Program on which we issued a qualified opinion.

We reported findings that are required to be disclosed in accordance with 2 CFR 200.516(a).

Identification of Major Federal Programs:

The following programs were selected as major programs in our audit of compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance.

CFDA No.	Program or Cluster Title
93.778	Medical Assistance Program
93.069	Public Health Emergency Preparedness

The dollar threshold used to distinguish between Type A and Type B programs, as prescribed by the Uniform Guidance, was \$750,000.

The District qualified as a low-risk auditee under the Uniform Guidance.

SECTION II – FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS

None reported.

SECTION III – FEDERAL AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

See finding 2016-001.

SCHEDULE OF FEDERAL AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

Snohomish Health District Snohomish County January 1, 2016 through December 31, 2016

2016-001 The District did not have adequate internal controls in place to ensure compliance with federal allowable costs and cost principle requirements.

CFDA Number and Title:	93.778 Medical Assistance Program
Federal Grantor Name:	Centers for Medicare and Medicaid
	Services/Department of Health and
	Human Services
Federal Award/Contract Number:	NA
Pass-through Entity Name:	Washington State Health Care
	Authority
Pass-through Award/Contract	
Number:	K1418
Questioned Cost Amount:	\$0

Background

In 2016, the District received \$370,414 in federal funds for its Medical Assistance Program, of which approximately 98 percent of the expenditures were related to payroll costs.

The Medicaid Administrative Claiming (MAC) program partially reimburses local health jurisdictions, such as Snohomish Health District, for the time staff spend performing administrative activities related to the program. To receive reimbursement, the District is required to allocate employee costs to pre-defined cost pools. Each cost pool has unique requirements and directly affects the amount for which the District may be reimbursed. Two particular cost pools require employees to substantiate the amount of time spent on MAC related activities through participation in a random moment time study (RMTS). Results of the RMTS are then used to determine the reimbursable portion of employee costs allocated to these cost pools. The District is responsible for ensuring that only allowable costs are charged to the grant.

Description of Condition

Our audit identified a control deficiency because there is no process in place to ensure that all employees whose payroll costs were allocated to the RMTS cost pools actually participated in the RMTS and should be included in the calculation for reimbursement through the MAC program.

We consider this deficiency in internal controls to be a material weakness, which led to material noncompliance. This issue was not reported as a finding in the prior audit.

Cause of Condition

The District experienced turnover of both management and staff in two key positions, and the MAC program has experienced significant staffing changes since 2012. New staff and management did not receive in-depth training to ensure compliance with program requirements

Effect of Condition and Questioned Costs

Inadequate internal controls allowed for costs to be improperly allocated to the MAC program.

We were unable to determine a precise amount of questioned costs because that would involve submitting revised claims to the Health Care Authority (HCA). The District concluded it would take extensive time and research, including reviewing records back to 2011, to prepare the revised claims resulting from the deficiencies noted above. However, based on the information obtained, discussions with District personnel and consultation with HCA, we estimate potential questioned costs to be about \$44,000.

Recommendations

We recommend the District improve its internal controls to ensure compliance with federal laws and regulations. Specifically, we recommend the District:

- Ensure employees responsible for the grant are adequately trained to ensure compliance with all requirements applicable to the program
- Ensure costs allocated to the program meet all applicable requirements
- Consult with the granting agency regarding correction of invoices and the repayment of funds, if any

District's Response

Snohomish Health District management and staff thank the Office of the Washington State Auditor for auditing this federal program. We appreciate the professionalism they displayed during the audit, and for the opportunity to provide this response.

We concur with the Auditor's finding regarding the inadequacy of internal controls, and have already begun work to train management and staff, and to develop the necessary controls and processes, to ensure the District's compliance with program requirements. Due to the complexities of this program, we appreciate the Auditor's note that the amount of unallowable costs in the report is only an estimate. We have initiated discussions with the Health Care Authority regarding this finding, and how to determine what amount, if any, is owed to either the Health Care Authority or to the District.

Auditor's Remarks

We appreciate the District's commitment to resolve this finding. We will review the corrective action taken during our next regular audit. We thank the District for its cooperation and assistance during the audit.

Applicable Laws and Regulations

The American Institute of Certified Public Accountants defines significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in its *Codification of Statements on Auditing Standards*, section 935, Compliance Audits, paragraph 11.

Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards (Uniform Guidance) establishes the following:

§200.84 Questioned cost. Defines meaning of questioned cost.

§200.303 Internal controls. Establishes requirement for auditees to establish and maintain internal controls.

§200.405 Allowable costs. Establishes requirements for allocating costs to federal awards.

§200.516 Audit findings. Establishes reporting requirements for audit findings.

Contract K1418 between the Washington State Health Care Authority and Snohomish Health District, Schedule A, section 3, establishes requirements for management of the Medicaid Administrative Claiming program.

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Snohomish Health District Snohomish County January 1, 2016 through December 31, 2016

Board of Health Snohomish Health District Everett, Washington

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities and each major fund of the Snohomish Health District, Snohomish County, Washington, as of and for the year ended December 31, 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated September 11, 2017.

INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the District's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the District's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the District's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of the District's compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

PURPOSE OF THIS REPORT

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the District's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose. However, this report is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited. It also serves to disseminate information to the public as a reporting tool to help citizens assess government operations.

Tat Marthy

Pat McCarthy State Auditor Olympia, WA

September 11, 2017

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM AND REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE

Snohomish Health District Snohomish County January 1, 2016 through December 31, 2016

Board of Health Snohomish Health District Everett, Washington

REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM

We have audited the compliance of the Snohomish Health District, Snohomish County, Washington, with the types of compliance requirements described in the U.S. *Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of the District's major federal programs for the year ended December 31, 2016. The District's major federal programs are identified in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of its federal awards applicable to its federal programs.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of the District's major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of Title 2 *U.S. Code of Federal Regulations* Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Those standards and the Uniform Guidance require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance

requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the District's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. Our audit does not provide a legal determination on the District's compliance.

Basis for Qualified Opinion on Medical Assistance Program

As described in Finding 2016-001 in the accompanying Schedule of Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs, the District did not comply with requirements regarding its Medical Assistance Program for Allowable Costs/Cost Principles. Compliance with such requirements is necessary, in our opinion, for the District to comply with the requirements applicable to the program.

Qualified Opinion on Medical Assistance Program

In our opinion, except for the noncompliance described in the Basis for Qualified Opinion paragraph, the District complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on its Medical Assistance Program for the year ended December 31, 2016.

Unmodified Opinion on Each of the Other Major Federal Programs

In our opinion, the District complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its other major federal programs identified in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs for the year ended December 31, 2016.

District's Response to Findings

The District's response to the noncompliance finding identified in our audit is described in the accompanying Schedule of Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs. The District's response was not subject to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the response.

REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE

Management of the District is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the District's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program in order to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control over compliance.

A *deficiency in internal control over compliance* exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A *material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency or compliance over compliance is a deficiency or a timely basis. A *significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance over compliance is a deficiency over compliance over cover compliance over compliance over complia

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. We identified certain deficiencies in internal control over compliance, as described in the accompanying Schedule of Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs as Finding 2016-001 to be a material weakness.

District's Response to Findings

The District's response to the internal control over compliance findings identified in our audit is described in the accompanying Schedule of Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs. The District's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the response.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose. However, this report is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited. It also serves to disseminate information to the public as a reporting tool to help citizens assess government operations.

Fat Marthy

Pat McCarthy State Auditor Olympia, WA

September 11, 2017

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Snohomish Health District Snohomish County January 1, 2016 through December 31, 2016

Board of Health Snohomish Health District Everett, Washington

REPORT ON THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities and each major fund of the Snohomish Health District, Snohomish County, Washington, as of and for the year ended December 31, 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements as listed on page 19.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor

considers internal control relevant to the District's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

Opinion

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the governmental activities and each major fund of the Snohomish Health District, as of December 31, 2016, and the respective changes in financial position thereof and the respective budgetary comparisons for the General and Public Health Emergency Preparedness and Response (PHEPR) funds, for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Other Matters

Required Supplementary Information

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the management's discussion and analysis on pages 20 through 23, information on postemployment benefits other than pensions on page 52 and pension plan information on page 53 be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information provide any assurance.

Supplementary and Other Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements that collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements. The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards (Uniform Guidance). This schedule is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

OTHER REPORTING REQUIRED BY GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated September 11, 2017 on our consideration of the District's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the District's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Tat Marthy

Pat McCarthy State Auditor Olympia, WA

September 11, 2017

FINANCIAL SECTION

Snohomish Health District Snohomish County January 1, 2016 through December 31, 2016

REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Management's Discussion and Analysis - 2016

BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Statement of Net Position – 2016
Statement of Activities – 2016
Balance Sheet – Governmental Funds – 2016
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance – Governmental Funds – 2016
Budgetary Comparison Statement – Governmental Funds - 2016
Notes to Financial Statements – 2016

REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Schedule of Funding Progress – Other Post-Employment Benefits (OPEB) – 2016
Schedule of Employer Contributions without Advanced Funding – Other Post-Employment Benefits – 2016
Schedule of Proportionate Share of Net Pension Liability – PERS 1 and PERS 2/3 – 2016
Schedule of Employer Contributions – PERS 1 and PERS 2/3 – 2016

SUPPLEMENTARY AND OTHER INFORMATION

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards – 2016 Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards – 2016

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Snohomish Health District's discussion and analysis offers readers of the District's financial statements a narrative overview and analysis of the District's financial activities for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2016. Readers are encouraged to consider the information presented here in combination with the information furnished in the basic financial statements and required supplemental information.

FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

- As reported on its Statement of Net Position, the District's total liabilities and deferred inflows exceeded its total assets and deferred outflows at December 31, 2016, resulting in a negative net position of \$520,023.
- The District's combined fund balances increased by \$1,251,872 in 2016. As of December 31, 2016 the District's governmental activities reported combined ending fund balance of \$8,084,390.

The District's general fund is the main operating fund of the District. This fund accounts for all financial resources except those accounted for in the special revenue fund.

The Public Health Emergency Preparation and Response special revenue fund accounts for activity relating to the District's role as the lead agency for the emergency preparedness and response activities in Washington State's Public Health Emergency Planning Region 1-North. This region includes Snohomish, Skagit, Whatcom, San Juan and Island Counties.

OVERVIEW OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

This discussion and analysis is intended to serve as an introduction to the District's basic financial statements. These financial statements have the following three components: 1) government-wide financial statements, 2) fund financial statements, and 3) notes to the financial statements.

Government-Wide Financial Statements

The government-wide financial statements are designed to provide readers with a broad overview of the District's finances in a manner similar to a private sector business. The governmental activities of Snohomish Health District include a full range of public health services.

Capital Asset Activity

A schedule summarizing capital asset activity and the District's capitalization policies are provided in Note 1 F(6). The District's total investment in capital assets is \$3,767,936, net of accumulated depreciation, at the end of 2016. The investment in capital assets includes land, buildings, improvements, equipment, furniture and vehicles. The decrease to capital assets, in the amount of \$105,417, is the net of additions, in the amount of \$146,402, less depreciation in the amount of \$251,819.

<u>The Rucker Building</u> – As detailed in Note 1 F(6), the District purchased the land and building at 3020 (Rucker Building), in Everett, Washington in 1990. This acquisition was

facilitated by means of an interlocal agreement involving 15 municipalities, Snohomish County, and the District; however, any County or other municipality ownership interest in the Rucker Building was not specified. During 2016, and extending into the first part of 2017, District staff made presentations to the County and municipalities regarding the financing of the Rucker Building, and requested that they formally relinquish, or not pursue, any claim of ownership interest that they might have in the Rucker Building. As of the date of publishing these financial statements, Snohomish County, and most other municipalities, have notified the District that they will not pursue a claim of interest in the Rucker Building. The District continues to account for the Rucker Building as a capital asset with no outstanding debt, or shared equity.

Statement of Net Position

The statement of net position presents information on all of the District's assets, deferred outflows, liabilities, and deferred inflows, with the net difference among these items reported as net position. This statement serves a purpose similar to that of the balance sheet of a private-sector business. Over time, increases or decreases in net position may serve as a useful indicator of changes in the District's financial position.

The District's liabilities and deferred inflows exceeded its assets and deferred outflows by the amount of \$520,023 at December 31, 2016. This represents a \$1,542,875 increase over the District's net position of negative \$2,062,898 at December 31, 2015.

The following presents the District's condensed comparative statement of net position as of December 31, 2016, and 2015:

	2016	2015
Current assets	\$ 10,048,647	\$ 8,902,983
Capital assets (net of depreciation)	3,767,936	3,873,353
Total assets	13,816,583	12,776,336
Deferred Outflows	1,555,744	921,264
Current liabilities	2,217,450	2,351,241
Long-term liabilities	13,064,991	11,894,294
Total liabilities	15,282,441	14,245,535
Deferred Inflows	609,909	1,514,963
Net Position:		
Invested in capital assets	3,767,936	3,873,353
Unrestricted	(4,287,959)	(5,936,251)
Total net position	\$ (520,023)	\$ (2,062,898)

Governmental Activities

Statement of Activities

The statement of activities details how the District's net position changed during 2016. All changes in net position are reported using the accrual basis of accounting, similar to the method used by most private-sector companies. The following presents the District's condensed comparative statement of activities for the years ending December 31, 2016 and 2015:

	2016	2015
Program Revenues Charges for Services Operating Grants & Contributions	\$ 7,744,722 4,799,855	\$ 6,412,045 4,520,018
General Revenues Grants & Contributions not		
restricted to specific programs	4,086,491	4,086,491
Interest and investment earnings	33,983	11,624
Miscellaneous	277,317	204,738
Total Revenues	 16,942,368	15,234,916
Program Expenses		
Public Health	15,399,493	15,322,640
Total Expenses	 15,399,493	15,322,640
Change in Net Position	1,542,875	(87,724)
Net Position Beginning	(2,062,898)	7,822,562
Change in Accounting Principal - pensions	-	(9,797,736)
Net Position Ending	\$ (520,023)	\$ (2,062,898)

The accrual basis of accounting requires that revenues be reported when they are earned and expenses are reported when the goods and services are received, regardless of the timing of the cash flow. Thus, revenues and expenses are reported in this statement for some items that will only result in cash flows in future fiscal periods, e.g., accounts receivable.

Fund Financial Statements

A fund is a grouping of related accounts that is used to maintain control over resources that have been segregated for specific activities or objectives. Snohomish Health District, like other state and local governments, uses fund accounting to ensure and demonstrate compliance with finance related legal activities. All of the funds of the District are classified as governmental funds.

Governmental Funds

The Governmental Fund Balance Sheet and the Governmental Fund Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances present columns of financial data for the General Fund and Public Health Emergency Preparedness and Response Fund (PHEPR). The General Fund is considered to be a major fund based on criteria established by GASB Statement No. 34. GASB Statement No. 34 defines a major fund as a fund who's assets, liabilities, revenues or expenditures comprise 1) at least 10 percent of the total dollar amount of the same category within either all government or all enterprise funds, as appropriate, and 2) at least 5 percent of the total dollar amount of all governmental and enterprise funds combined for the same category. The General Fund is always considered a major fund.

The District adopts an annual budget for its General Fund and Special Revenue Fund. A budgetary comparison of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balances is provided for the General Fund and the Special Revenue fund to demonstrate compliance with budget.

Budget Variances in the General Fund

The District receives grants and other funding from various governmental agencies, each having its own schedule of multi-year grants, associated renewals and variations in funding levels. Intergovernmental Revenues during 2016 were higher than budgeted primarily due to approximately \$1.1 million received in the latter half of 2016 for solid waste services performed by the District for Snohomish County in both 2015 and 2016.

During 2016, the District continued to focus on bringing the structural deficit under control. Every staff position vacated by retirement or resignation was carefully scrutinized before any decision to rehire. This postponement of hiring resulted in personnel costs of approximately \$1.3 million less than budgeted.

The District's budget allowed for building remodel projects, major upgrades to information and business systems, and the replacement of vehicles in 2016 of approximately \$4.1 million. Vehicles and some information system infrastructure were acquired – totaling \$146,402. However, the favorable variance of approximately \$4 million that was not expended in 2016 has been re-appropriated for these projects in the 2017 budget.

Notes to the Financial Statements

The notes provide additional information that is essential to a full understanding of the data provided in the government-wide and fund financial statements. The notes to the financial statements can be found immediately following the basic financial statements in this report.

Requests for Financial Information

This financial report is designed to provide a general overview of Snohomish Health District finances. Questions concerning any information provided in this report should be addressed to the Business Office 3020 Rucker Avenue, Everett, Washington, 98201.

SNOHOMISH HEALTH DISTRICT Statement of Net Position

December 31, 2016

		overnmental Activities
Assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	791.857
Investments	Ŷ	7,641,442
Receivables, net		1,518,266
Inventory		3,290
Prepayments		93,792
Capital assets:		
Land (non-depreciable)		600,000
Building, net of accumulated depreciation		2,576,965
Other, net of accumulated depreciation		590,971
Total Assets	\$	13,816,583
Deferred Outflows of Resources		
Pension items (see Note 4)	\$	1,555,744
Total Deferred Outflows of Resources	\$	1,555,744
Liabilities		
Accounts payable	\$	155,889
Other accrued liabilities		767,238
Revenue collected in advance		1,041,130
Compensated absences:		
Due within one year		253,193
Due after one year		1,686,661
Pension liability - due after one year		9,282,036
Net OPEB obligation - due after one year		2,096,294
Total Liabilities	\$	15,282,441
Deferred Inflows of Resources		
Pension items (see Note 4)	\$	609,909
Total Deferred Inflows of Resources	\$	609,909
Net Position		
Net investment in capital assets	\$	3,767,936
Unrestricted	,	(4,287,959)
Total Net Position	\$	(520,023)

SNOHOMISH HEALTH DISTRICT

Statement of Activities

For The Year Ended December 31, 2016

		Program		Re	Net (Expense) venue and Changes in Net Position
Function/Program	Expenses	Charges for Service	Operating Grants & ontributions		Total Governmental Activities
Primary Government:					
Public Health	\$ 15,399,493	\$ 7,744,722	\$ 4,799,855	\$	(2,854,916)
Total Governmental Activities	\$ 15,399,493	\$ 7,744,722	\$ 4,799,855	\$	(2,854,916)
General revenues:					
Grants & Contributions not restricted to s Interest and investment earnings Miscellaneous	pecific programs				4,086,491 33,983 277,317
Total General Revenues					4,397,791
Change in Net Position Net Position Beginning					1,542,875 (2,062,898)
Net Position Ending				\$	(520,023)

SNOHOMISH HEALTH DISTRICT Balance Sheet Governmental Funds December 31, 2016

Public Health Total All Emergency Preparedness Governmental **General Fund** and Response Funds Assets and Deferred Outflows of Resources Cash and cash equivalents \$ 791,857 \$ \$ 791.857 -Investments 7,641,442 7,641,442 1,391,824 Receivables, net 126,442 1,518,266 Interfund receivable 110,107 110,107 Inventorv 3,290 3.290 93,792 Prepayments 93,792 . **Total Assets** 10,032,312 126,442 10,158,754 Deferred Outflows of Resources **Total Assets and Deferred Outflows of Resources** 10,032,312 \$ 126,442 \$ 10,158,754 \$ Liabilities, Deferred Inflows of Resources, and Fund Balances Accounts payable \$ 151,658 \$ 4,231 \$ 155,889 110,107 Interfund payable 110,107 Other liabilities 755,134 12,104 767,238 1,041,130 Revenue collected in advance 1,041,130 **Total Liabilities** 1,947,922 126,442 2,074,364 Deferred Inflows of Resources Fund Balances 97,082 97,082 Non-spendable 7,987,308 7,987,308 Unassigned **Total Fund Balances** 8.084.390 8.084.390 **Total Liabilities, Deferred Inflows** of Resources, and Fund Balances 10,032,312 \$ 126,442 \$ 10,158,754 \$ **Reconciliation to the Statement of Net Position:** Total Fund Balances, per above \$ 8,084,390 Amounts reported in the above Balance Sheet differ from amounts reported in the Statement of Net Position by the following items: Capital assets, not reported in the funds 3,767,936 Deferred outflows pertaining to pension activity, not reported in the funds 1,555,744 Deferred inflows pertaining to pension activity, not reported in the funds (609, 909)Liabilities for compensated absences due and payable later than the end of the current period, not reported in the funds (1,939,854)Liabilities for net other post employee benefits (OPEB) due and payable later than the current period, not reported in the funds (2,096,294)Liabilities for pensions due and payable later than the end of the current period, not (9,282,036) reported in the funds Total Net Position, per Statement of Net Position (520,023) \$

SNOHOMISH HEALTH DISTRICT Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances Governmental Funds

For the Year Ended December 31, 2016

	Ger	neral Fund	Eme Prepare	c Health rgency dness and ponse	G	Total overnmental Funds		
Revenues								
Licenses and Permits	\$	3,534,222	\$	-	\$	3,534,222		
Intergovernmental	÷	10,129,590	Ŧ	792,255	Ŷ	10,921,845		
Charges for Services		2,175,001		-		2,175,001		
Miscellaneous		311,300		-		311,300		
Total Revenues		16,150,113		792,255		16,942,368		
Expenditures								
Personnel Services		12,266,307		613,794		12,880,101		
Supplies		471,402		7,559		478,961		
Other Services and Charges		1,999,972		185,060		2,185,032		
Capital Outlay		146,402		-		146,402		
Total Expenditures		14,884,083		806,413		15,690,496		
Excess (deficiency) of								
revenues over (under) expenditures		1,266,030		(14,158)		1,251,872		
Other Financing Sources (Uses)								
Transfers In		-		14,158		14,158		
Transfers Out		(14,158)		-		(14,158)		
Total other financing sources (uses)		(14,158)		14,158		-		
Net Change in Fund Balances		1,251,872		-		1,251,872		
Fund Balance as of January 1, 2016		6,832,518		-		6,832,518		
Fund Balance as of December 31, 2016	\$	8,084,390	\$	-	\$	8,084,390		
Reconciliation to the Statement of Activities:								
Net Change in Fund Balances, per above					\$	1,251,872		
Amounts reported in the above Statement of Rev Fund Balances - Government Funds differ from a Activities by the following items:								
Decrease (increase) to deferred inflows for per	nsion a	ctivities				905,054		
Increase (decrease) to deferred outflows for pe	ension a	activities-198				634,480		
Decrease (increase) to the liability for compension	sated a	bsences				(46,200)		
Governmental funds report capital outlays as e Statement of Activities reports the cost of capit capitalized and depreciated over their estimate Expenditures for capital assets	146,402		(105 417)					
Less current year depreciation Decrease (increase) to the liability for the net 0		bligation		(251,819)	•	(105,417) (228,252)		
Decrease (increase) to the liability for pensions		ongation				(868,662)		
Change in Net Position, per Statement of Acti					\$	1,542,875		
					<u> </u>	,,		

SNOHOMISH HEALTH DISTRICT Budgetary Comparison Statement Governmental Funds For theYear Ended December 31, 2016

		General Fund		Public Prepar	Public Health Emergency Preparation and Response	rgency sponse	Total Governmental Funds
	Original Budget	Final Budget	Actual	Original Budget	Final Budget	Actual	Actual
Revenues:							
Licenses and Permits	\$ 3,459,739	\$ 3,664,799	\$ 3,534,222		- 00 \$	- ' ''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''	\$ 3,534,222
Intergovernmental Charges for Services Miscellanaous	9,143,430 2,192,297 202 340	9,203,377 2,681,153 202 340	10,129,590 2,175,001 311 300	/ 94,104			2,175,001 2,175,001 311 300
Total Revenues	14,997,806	15,751,669	16,150,113	794,164	822,588	792,255	16,942,368
Expenditures: Health							
Personnel Services	13,406,621	13,533,857	12,266,307	576,216	599,304	613,794 7 550	12,880,101
Other Services and Charges	2.039.209	2.033.873	471,402	0,323 209.419	0,329 214.755	185.060	2.185.032
Capital Outlay	4,073,815	4,073,815	146,402				146,402
Total Expenditures	19,899,767	20,021,667	14,884,083	794,164	822,588	806,413	15,690,496
Excess (deficiency) of	(1 901 961)	1800 096 11	1 266 030		,	(11 158)	1 261 872
Other Financing Sources (Uses)	(100,100,1)	(1,200,000)	000,002,1		I		210(102(1
Transfers In		I	'		1	14,158	14,158
Transfers Out	I	I	(14,158)	'	I	1	(14,158)
Total other financing sources (uses)	'	•	(14,158)		-	14,158	
Net change in fund balances	(4,901,961)	(4,269,998)	1,251,872	•	•	•	1,251,872
Fund Balance as of January 1, 2016	6,832,518	6,832,518	6,832,518	'	1	I	6,832,518
Fund Balance as of December 31, 2016	\$ 1,930,557	\$ 2,562,520	\$ 8,084,390	•	- \$	۔ چ	\$ 8,084,390

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The financial statements of the Snohomish Health District (the District) were prepared in conformity with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) as applied to governmental units. The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) is the accepted standard setting body for establishing governmental accounting and financial reporting principals. The significant accounting policies are described below.

A. <u>Reporting Entity</u>

The District was incorporated in 1959, and operates under the laws of the State of Washington applicable to local governmental units. As required by GAAP, the financial statements present Snohomish Health District as the primary government.

B. <u>Government-Wide and Fund Financial Statements</u>

The government-wide financial statements (i.e., the Statement of Net Position and the Statement of Activities) report information on all non-fiduciary activities of the primary government. The effect of interfund activity has been substantially eliminated from these statements.

The Statement of Activities demonstrates the degree to which the direct expenses of a given function or segment, are offset by program revenues. Direct expenses are those that are clearly identifiable with a specific function or segment. Program revenues include 1) charges to customers or applicants who purchase, use, or directly benefit from goods, services, or privileges provided by a given function or segment; and 2) grants and contributions that are restricted to meeting the operational or capital requirements of a particular function or segment. Revenues not included among program revenues are reported as general revenues.

C. Measurement Focus, Basis of Accounting, and Financial Statement Presentation

The government-wide financial statements are reported using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred, regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Grants and similar items are recognized as revenue when all eligibility requirements imposed by the provider have been met.

Governmental fund financial statements are reported using the current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized when they are both measurable and available. Revenues are considered to be available when they are collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to pay liabilities of the current period. For this purpose, the district considers revenues to be available if they are

collected within 90 days of the end of the current fiscal period. Expenditures generally are recorded when a liability is incurred, as under accrual accounting. However, expenditures related to compensated absences and claims and judgments, are recorded only when payment is due.

Fees, licenses, and associated interest earned within the reporting period are recognized as revenues of the reporting period. All other revenues are considered to be measurable and available only when cash is received by the District.

The District reports the following governmental funds:

The General Fund is the main operating fund of the District. This fund accounts for all financial resources except those accounted for in the special revenue fund.

The Public Health Emergency Preparedness and Response Special Revenue Fund accounts for activity relating to the District's role as the lead agency for the emergency preparedness and response activities in Washington State's Public Health Emergency Planning Region 1 – North, including the counties of Snohomish, Skagit, Whatcom, San Juan, and Island.

D. Budgetary Information

(1) Scope of Budget

Annual budgets are adopted for all funds on the accrual basis of accounting.

The District's budget is adopted at the fund level and expenditures may not exceed appropriations at that level of detail.

Appropriations for general and special revenue funds lapse at year end.

Encumbrances accounting is employed in governmental funds. Encumbrances (e.g., purchase orders, contracts) outstanding at year end do not constitute expenditures or liabilities because the commitments will be reappropriated and honored during the subsequent year.

(2) Amending the Budget

The District's director is authorized to transfer budgeted amounts among object classes within programs; however, any revisions that alter the total expenditures of a fund, or that affect the number of authorized employee positions by more than 20% or 1 FTE whichever is greater, salary ranges, hours, or other conditions of employment must be approved by the Board of Health.

When the Board of Health determines that it is in the best interest of the District to increase or decrease the appropriation for a particular fund, it may do so by resolution approved by one more than the majority, after holding public hearings.

The budget amounts shown in the financial statements are the final authorized amounts as revised during the year.

The financial statements contain the original and final budget information. The original budget is the first complete appropriated budget. The final budget is the original budget adjusted by all reserves, transfers, allocations, supplemental appropriations, and other legally authorized changes applicable for the fiscal year.

E. <u>Assets, Liabilities and Equities</u>

(1) Cash and Cash Equivalents

At December 31, 2016 the District held \$791,857 in cash and cash equivalents, as reported on the Statement of Net Position and Balance Sheet. Cash equivalents are defined as residual cash and imprest funds that are not invested.

The District's cash and cash equivalents are invested in accordance with RCW 35.82.070 (6). The District invests in savings accounts, money market accounts and certificates of deposit at banks that are qualified depositories as designated by the Washington Public Deposit Protection Commission (WPDPC) pursuant to RCW 39.58. The WPDPC is a risk sharing pool whereby member banks that are designated as "qualified public depositories" mutually insure public deposits against loss. All deposits and bank balances are insured against loss by the FDIC or WPDPC. All of the District investments are classified under risk Category 1, as either insured, registered or held by the District, or its agent, in the District's name.

All cash and cash equivalents are recorded at market value.

(2) Investments

At December 31, 2016, the Snohomish County Treasurer held \$7,641,442 in short-term investments. This amount is reported on Statement of Net Position, and Balance Sheet, as Investments. These investments are valued at amortized cost, and the interest on investments is prorated between the funds.

As required by state law, investments of the District's funds are obligations of the U.S. Government, the State Treasurer's Investment Pool or deposits with Washington State Banks and savings and loan institutions.

All investments are held by Snohomish County in the District's name.

3) Inventories

The cost of expendable supplies held for consumption by the general and special revenue funds is recorded as expenditure at the time the individual items are purchased. Vaccine received in lieu of cash from the State of Washington consolidated contract, and which remains unused at year end, is reported on the Statement of Position and Balance Sheet as Inventory at year end. Inventories of vaccine are valued at cost. The value of the ending vaccine inventory is included in the "non-spendable" fund balance category to indicate that a portion of the fund balance is not available for future expenditures. A comparison to market value is not considered necessary.

(4) <u>Receivables</u>

Accounts receivable consists of amounts owed from other governments, private individuals, or organizations for goods and services provided by the District.

(5) <u>Amounts Due to and from Other Funds and Inter-fund Loans Receivable and</u> <u>Payable</u>

These accounts include all inter-fund receivables and payables.

(6) Capital Assets

Capital assets include items such as land, buildings, infrastructure, vehicles, and equipment, and are reported in Statement of Net Position. The District reports an item as a capital asset when its initial, individual cost exceeds \$5,000, and it has an estimated useful life in excess of one year. Capital assets are recorded at historical cost, or estimated historical cost, if purchased or constructed. Donated capital assets are recorded at estimated fair market value at the date of donation.

Major outlays for capital assets are capitalized as projects are constructed. Costs for additions or improvements to existing capital assets are capitalized when they materially increase the value, capacity, or life of the asset.

The cost of each capital asset, less estimated salvage value, if applicable, is depreciated, or amortized, using the straight line method, over its estimated useful life.

Capital Asset Category	Estimated useful life
Buildings	5 - 50 years
Equipment	7 – 20 years
Furniture	7 – 20 years
Improvements	10 years
Vehicles	6 years

A summary of changes in capital assets appears as follows:

		Beginning Balance 1/1/2016	Additions	Deletions	Ending Balance 12/31/2016
Capital Assets					
Land	\$	600,000	\$	\$	\$ 600,000
Buildings		7,544,782			7,544,782
Equipment		915,383			915,383
Vehicles		710,443	114,101		824,544
Improvements		656,245			656,245
Furniture		558,110			558,110
Capitalized Work In Process			32,301		32,301
Total capital assets	-	10,984,963	146,402	-	11,131,365
Less Accumulated Depreciation					
Buildings		(4,819,631)	(148,186)		(4,967,817)
Equipment		(691,221)	(33,466)		(724,687)
Vehicles		(679,572)	(25,191)		(704,763)
Improvements		(457,317)	(20,090)		(477,407)
Furniture		(463,869)	(24,886)		(488,755)
Total accumulated depreciation		(7,111,610)	(251,819)	-	(7,363,429)
Capital Assets, net	\$	3,873,353	\$ (105,417)	\$ 	\$ 3,767,936

Depreciation is expensed to functions and programs of the primary government as Public Health.

The District purchased the land and building at 3020 Rucker Avenue (the Rucker Building), in Everett, Washington in 1990. The financing of the purchase was accomplished by an inter-local agreement that required contributions from the District, Snohomish County (the County), and 15 municipalities (the Municipalities) within the County; a loan from the County; and revenue from the rental of space within the Rucker Building. This inter-local agreement was for financing purposes only. The documentation indicates that the District was the purchaser, that the County was the lender, and that the County would convey by deed all of its interest in the Rucker Building to the District once the loan to the County was paid in full.

In August 1995, the Board of Health added Article VIII (the Article) to the District's Charter, pertaining to interests in real property. The Article requires

that District member municipalities who desire to acquire real property interests and assets to conduct the affairs of the District are to enter into an inter-local agreement for that purpose, referred to in the Article as the "Purchase Agreement". The Purchase Agreement is to set forth not only the method of financing the acquisition including use of rental income but also a formula or other method to determine the proportionate ownership shares of the participating member municipalities. However, relative to the Rucker Building, the inter-local agreement or "Purchase Agreement" which was entered into by its participating member municipalities before the adoption of the Article did not specifically address a formula or other method to determine ownership interest within the body of that agreement.

The Article specifies provisions regarding repayment to the County and Municipalities in the event that 1) real property interest acquired pursuant to the Article is sold or otherwise ceases to be used for the delivery of any public health services, or 2) if the County assumes the sole responsibility for public health governance as provided by law. The Article provided that should either event occur, the parties to the purchase of the real estate pursuant to the Article are to each receive their proportionate share of the proceeds (if the real estate acquired to the Article is sold), or payments equal to the appraised value of the property acquired pursuant to the Article (if the County assumes responsibility).

In September 1995, the loan to Snohomish County was paid, and the deed to the Rucker Building was conveyed to the District. To date, the District has consistently reported the purchase price of the Rucker Building, together with additions, and improvements, net of depreciation, on its financial statements, and has not reported that any other party has an ownership interest in the Rucker Building.

On September 24, 2015, the Board of Health resolved to study the feasibility of transferring the District's operations to the County, and the question of the County's and Municipalities' ownership interest in the Rucker Building was subsequently raised.

At the time of publishing its financial statements for the year ending December 31, 2016, the County and most of the other Municipalities had confirmed to the District that they have no intent to pursue a claim of interest in the Rucker Building. The District continues to report the Rucker Building as an asset on its Statement of Net Position.

(7) <u>Compensated Absences</u>

Eligible employees may accumulate 12 to 30 days of vacation leave, depending upon the employee's length of service; however, they may not accumulate more than 320 hours of vacation without approval of the Health Officer. All outstanding vacation leave is payable upon resignation, retirement, or death of the employee. The liability for vacation leave at December 31, 2016 is \$887,024.

Sick leave accumulates at the rate of 1 day per month. A percentage of sick leave is payable upon resignation, retirement or death of the employee. The amount of accrued sick leave and percentage payable are dependent on the employee's collective bargaining agreement, hire date and length of service, as follows:

- All employees hired before January 1, 2015, and those hired on or after January 1, 2015 who are not covered by a collective bargaining agreement, with greater than six years of service are eligible to receive payment of 25% of their accrued sick leave without limit of hours accrued. Those employees with greater than fourteen years may receive 50% of their accrued sick leave without limit of hours accrued. The liability for vested sick leave at December 31, 2016 is \$1,052,626.
- Employees hired on or after January 1, 2015 who are covered by a collective bargaining agreement with more than six years of service are eligible to receive payment of 25% of their accrued sick leave, up to 1,000 hours.

Eligible employees may accrue compensatory time in lieu of overtime pay. The liability for compensatory time at December 31, 2016 is \$205.

Lump-sum payments are made to employees when they separate from employment with the District. Department of Retirement's statistical analysis of expected separations are applied to the District's employee demographics to estimate the portion of the liability that is payable within one year.

(8) Other Accrued Liabilities

These amounts consist of items such as use taxes payable to the State of Washington, and wages and benefits payable as of yearend.

(9) Fund Balance Classifications

The government-wide financial statements present fund balance as net position, which is reported in the three components, as applicable:

- a) Invested in capital assets, net of related debt. This amount consists of capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation, and reduced by the outstanding balances of any bonds, mortgages, notes, or other borrowings that are attributable to the acquisition, construction, or improvement of those assets.
- b) Restricted. This amount consists of net assets with constraints placed on the use either by (1) external groups such as creditors, grantors,

and contributors; or (2) legal restrictions resulting from constitutional provisions or enabling legislation.

c) Unrestricted. This amount consists of all other net assets that do not meet the definition of "restricted" or "invested in capital assets, net of related debt."

The governmental funds' financial statements present fund balance displayed in the following four components, as applicable:

- a) Non-spendable fund balance. This amount consists of assets that are not in spendable form, such as inventory and prepayments.
- b) Committed fund balance. This amount consists of that portion of fund balance that can be used only for the specific purposes determined by a formal action of the Snohomish Health District Board of Health.
- c) Assigned fund balance. This amount consists of that portion of fund balance that has been set aside for a special purpose. Balances in special revenue funds are considered assigned for the purposes of that fund.
- d) Unassigned fund balance. This amount is the remainder of fund balance that is not classified as non-spendable, committed or assigned. The Snohomish Health District Board of Health has, by resolution, set aside 45 days of the expenditure budget for working capital, plus a \$500,000 emergency fund. At December 31, 2016, these amounts totaled \$2,600,000, and are included in the unassigned fund balance of \$8,084,390.
- e)

F. Pensions

For purposes of measuring the net pension liability, deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions, and pension expense, information about the fiduciary net position of all state sponsored pension plans and additions to, and deductions from, those plans' fiduciary net position have been determined on the same basis as they are reported by the Washington State Department of Retirement Systems. For this purpose, benefit payments (including refunds of employee contributions) are recognized when due and payable in accordance with the benefit terms. Investments are reported at fair value.

NOTE 2 – RECONCILIATION OF GOVERNMENT-WIDE AND FUND FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

A. <u>Explanation of Certain Differences between the Governmental Funds</u> Balance Sheet and the Government-Wide Statement of Net Position

The governmental funds' Balance Sheet includes the reconciliation between Total Governmental Fund Balances, on the Balance Sheet, and Total Net Position, on the Statement of Net position.

B. <u>Explanation of Certain Differences between the Governmental Funds</u> <u>Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances and</u> <u>the Government-Wide Statement of Activities</u>

The governmental funds' Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances includes a reconciliation between Net changes in fund balance of total governmental funds, and Change in Net Position reported in the Statement of Activities.

NOTE 3 - STEWARDSHIP, COMPLIANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY

There have been no material violations of finance-related legal or contractual provisions.

NOTE 4 - PENSION PLANS

The following table represents the aggregate pension amounts for all plans subject to the requirements of the GASB Statement 68, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions* for the year 2016:

Aggregate Pension Amounts – All Plans		
Pension liabilities	\$ 9,282,036	
Pension assets	None	
Deferred outflows of		
resources	\$ 1,555.744	
Deferred inflows of		
resources	\$ 609,909	
Pension		
expense/expenditures	\$ 371,733	

State Sponsored Pension Plans

Substantially all of the District's full-time and qualifying part-time employees participate in one of the following statewide retirement systems administered by the Washington State Department of Retirement Systems, under cost-sharing, multiple-employer public employee defined benefit and defined contribution retirement plans. The state Legislature

establishes, and amends, laws pertaining to the creation and administration of all public retirement systems.

The Department of Retirement Systems (DRS), a department within the primary government of the State of Washington, issues a publicly available comprehensive annual financial report (CAFR) that includes financial statements and required supplementary information for each plan. The DRS CAFR may be obtained by writing to:

Department of Retirement Systems Communications Unit P.O. Box 48380 Olympia, WA 98540-8380

Or the DRS CAFR may be downloaded from the DRS website at www.drs.wa.gov.

Public Employees' Retirement System (PERS)

PERS members include elected officials; state employees; employees of the Supreme, Appeals and Superior Courts; employees of the legislature; employees of district and municipal courts; employees of local governments; and higher education employees not participating in higher education retirement programs. PERS is comprised of three separate pension plans for membership purposes. PERS plans 1 and 2 are defined benefit plans, and PERS plan 3 is a defined benefit plan with a defined contribution component.

PERS Plan 1 provides retirement, disability and death benefits. Retirement benefits are determined as two percent of the member's average final compensation (AFC) times the member's years of service. The AFC is the average of the member's 24 highest consecutive service months. Members are eligible for retirement from active status at any age with at least 30 years of service, at age 55 with at least 25 years of service, or at age 60 with at least five years of service. Members retiring from active status prior to the age of 65 may receive actuarially reduced benefits. Retirement benefits are actuarially reduced to reflect the choice of a survivor benefit. Other benefits include duty and non-duty disability payments, an optional cost-of-living adjustment (COLA), and a one-time duty-related death benefit, if found eligible by the Department of Labor and Industries. PERS 1 members were vested after the completion of five years of eligible service. The plan was closed to new entrants on September 30, 1977.

Contributions

The **PERS Plan 1** member contribution rate is established by State statute at 6 percent. The employer contribution rate is developed by the Office of the State Actuary and includes an administrative expense component that is currently set at 0.18 percent. Each biennium, the state Pension Funding Council adopts Plan 1 employer contribution rates. The PERS Plan 1 required contribution rates (expressed as a percentage of covered payroll) for 2016 were as follows:

PERS Plan 1		
Actual Contribution	Employer	Employee*
Rates:		
PERS Plan 1	6.23%	6.00%
PERS Plan 1 UAAL	4.77%	6.00%
Administrative Fee	0.18%	
Total	11.18%	6.00%

* For employees participating in JBM, the contribution rate was 12.26%.

PERS Plan 2/3 provides retirement, disability and death benefits. Retirement benefits are determined as two percent of the member's average final compensation (AFC) times the member's years of service for Plan 2 and 1 percent of AFC for Plan 3. The AFC is the average of the member's 60 highest-paid consecutive service months. There is no cap on years of service credit. Members are eligible for retirement with a full benefit at 65 with at least five years of service credit. Retirement before age 65 is considered an early retirement. PERS Plan 2/3 members who have at least 20 years of service credit and are 55 years of age or older, are eligible for early retirement with a benefit that is reduced by a factor that varies according to age for each year before age 65. PERS Plan 2/3 members who have 30 or more years of service credit and are at least 55 years old can retire under one of two provisions:

- With a benefit that is reduced by three percent for each year before age 65; or
- With a benefit that has a smaller (or no) reduction (depending on age) that imposes stricter return-to-work rules.

PERS Plan 2/3 members hired on or after May 1, 2013 have the option to retire early by accepting a reduction of five percent for each year of retirement before age 65. This option is available only to those who are age 55 or older and have at least 30 years of service credit. PERS Plan 2/3 retirement benefits are also actuarially reduced to reflect the choice of a survivor benefit. Other PERS Plan 2/3 benefits include duty and non-duty disability payments, a cost-of-living allowance (based on the CPI), capped at three percent annually and a one-time duty related death benefit, if found eligible by the Department of Labor and Industries. PERS 2 members are vested after completing five years of eligible service. Plan 3 members are vested in the defined benefit portion of their plan after ten years of service; or after five years of service if 12 months of that service are earned after age 44.

PERS Plan 3 defined contribution benefits are totally dependent on employee contributions and investment earnings on those contributions. PERS Plan 3 members choose their contribution rate upon joining membership and have a chance to change rates upon changing employers. As established by statute, Plan 3 required defined contribution rates are set at a minimum of 5 percent and escalate to 15 percent with a choice of six options. Employers do not contribute to the defined contribution benefits. PERS Plan 3 members are immediately vested in the defined contribution portion of their plan.

Contributions

The **PERS Plan 2/3** employer and employee contribution rates are developed by the Office of the State Actuary to fully fund Plan 2 and the defined benefit portion of Plan 3. The Plan 2/3 employer rates include a component to address the PERS Plan 1 UAAL and an administrative expense that is currently set at 0.18 percent. Each biennium, the state Pension Funding Council adopts Plan 2 employer and employee contribution rates and Plan 3 contribution rates. The PERS Plan 2/3 required contribution rates (expressed as a percentage of covered payrolls) for 2016 were as follows:

PERS Plan 2/3		
Actual Contribution	Employer 2/3	Employee 2*
Rates:		
PERS Plan 2/3	6.23%	6.12%
PERS Plan 1 UAAL	4.77%	
Administrative Fee	0.18%	
Employee PERS Plan 3		varies
Total	11.18%	6.12%

* For employees participating in JBM, the contribution rate was 15.30%.

The District's actual PERS plan contributions were \$19,486 to PERS Plan 1 and \$1,040,179 to PERS Plan 2/3 for the year ended December 31, 2016.

Actuarial Assumptions

The total pension liability (TPL) for each of the DRS plans was determined using the most recent actuarial valuation completed in 2016 with a valuation date of June 30, 2015. The actuarial assumptions used in the valuation were based on the results of the Office of the State Actuary's (OSA) *2007-2012 Experience Study*.

Additional assumptions for subsequent events and law changes are current as of the 2015 actuarial valuation report. The TPL was calculated as of the valuation date and rolled forward to the measurement date of June 30, 2016. Plan liabilities were rolled forward from June 30, 2015, to June 30, 2016, reflecting each plan's normal cost (using the entry-age cost method), assumed interest and actual benefit payments.

- Inflation: 3.0% total economic inflation; 3.75% salary inflation
- **Salary increases**: In addition to the base 3.75% salary inflation assumption, salaries are also expected to grow by promotions and longevity.
- Investment rate of return: 7.5%

Mortality rates were based on the RP-2000 report's Combined Healthy Table and Combined Disabled Table, published by the Society of Actuaries. The OSA applied offsets to the base table and recognized future improvements in mortality by projecting the mortality rates using 100 percent Scale BB. Mortality rates are applied on a generational basis; meaning, each member is assumed to receive additional mortality improvements in each future year throughout his or her lifetime.

There were minor changes in methods and assumptions since the last valuation.

- For all systems, except LEOFF Plan 2, the assumed valuation interest rate was lowered from 7.8% to 7.7%. Assumed administrative factors were updated.
- Valuation software was corrected on how the non-duty disability benefits for LEOFF Plan 2 active members, is calculated.
- New LEOFF Plan 2 benefit definitions were added within the OSA valuation software to model legislation signed into law during the 2015 legislative session.

Discount Rate

The discount rate used to measure the total pension liability for all DRS plans was 7.5 percent.

To determine that rate, an asset sufficiency test included an assumed 7.7 percent longterm discount rate to determine funding liabilities for calculating future contribution rate requirements. (All plans use 7.7 percent except LEOFF 2, which has assumed 7.5 percent). Consistent with the long-term expected rate of return, a 7.5 percent future investment rate of return on invested assets was assumed for the test. Contributions from plan members and employers are assumed to continue being made at contractually required rates (including PERS 2/3, PSERS 2, SERS 2/3, and TRS 2/3 employers, whose rates include a component for the PERS 1, and TRS 1 plan liabilities). Based on these assumptions, the pension plans' fiduciary net position was projected to be available to make all projected future benefit payments of current plan members. Therefore, the longterm expected rate of return of 7.5 percent was used to determine the total liability.

Long-Term Expected Rate of Return

The long-term expected rate of return on the DRS pension plan investments of 7.5 percent was determined using a building-block-method. The Washington State Investment Board (WSIB) used a best estimate of expected future rates of return (expected returns, net of pension plan investment expense, including inflation) to develop each major asset class. Those expected returns make up one component of WSIB's capital market assumptions. The WSIB uses the capital market assumptions and their target asset allocation to simulate future investment returns at various future times. The long-term expected rate of return of 7.5 percent approximately equals the median of the simulated investment returns over a 50-year time horizon.

Estimated Rates of Return by Asset Class

Best estimates of arithmetic real rates of return for each major asset class included in the pension plan's target asset allocation as of June 30, 2016, are summarized in the table below. The inflation component used to create the table is 2.2 percent and represents the WSIB's most recent long-term estimate of broad economic inflation.

Asset Class	Target Allocation	% Long-Term Expected Real Rate of Return Arithmetic
Fixed Income	20%	1.70%
Tangible Assets	5%	4.40%
Real Estate	15%	5.80%
Global Equity	37%	6.60%
Private Equity	23%	9.60%
	100%	

Sensitivity of the Net Pension Liability/ (Asset)

The table below presents the District's proportionate share* of the net pension liability calculated using the discount rate of 7.5 percent, as well as what the District's proportionate share of the net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1-percentage point lower (6.5 percent) or 1-percentage point higher (8.5 percent) than the current rate.

	1% Decrease (6.5%)	Current Discount Rate (7.5%)	1% Increase (8.5%)
PERS 1	\$5,225,296	\$4,333,111	\$ 3,565,331
PERS 2/3	\$9,111,860	\$4,948,925	\$(2,576,201)

Pension Plan Fiduciary Net Position

Detailed information about the State's pension plans' fiduciary net position is available in the separately issued DRS financial report.

Pension Liabilities (Assets), Pension Expense, and Deferred Outflows of Resources and Deferred Inflows of Resources Related to Pensions

At June 30, 2016, the District's reported a total pension liability of \$9,282,036 for its proportionate share of the net pension liabilities as follows:

	Liability (or Asset)
PERS 1	\$4,333,111
PERS 2/3	\$4,948,925

At June 30, the District proportionate share of the collective net pension liabilities was as follows:

	Proportionate Share 6/30/15	Proportionate Share 6/30/16	Change in Proportion
PERS 1	0.087758 %	0.080684 %	- 0.007074 %
PERS 2/3	0.106990 %	0.098292 %	- 0.008698 %

Employer contribution transmittals received and processed by the DRS for the fiscal year ended June 30 are used as the basis for determining each employer's proportionate share of the collective pension amounts reported by the DRS in the *Schedules of Employer and Non-Employer Allocations* for PERS 1 and PERS 2/3.

The collective net pension liability (asset) was measured as of June 30, 2016, and the actuarial valuation date on which the total pension liability (asset) is based was as of June 30, 2015, with update procedures used to roll forward the total pension liability to the measurement date.

Pension Expense

For the year ended December 31, 2016, the District's recognized pension expense as follows:

Plan Name	Pension Expense		
PERS 1	\$	(160,148)	
PERS 2/3		531,881	
Total	\$	371,733	

Deferred Outflows of Resources and Deferred Inflows of Resources

At December 31, 2016, the District's reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions from the following sources:

PERS Plan 1	Deferred Outflows of Resources	Deferred Inflows of Resources
Differences between expected and		
actual experience	-	-
Net difference between projected and		
actual investment earnings on pension		
plan investments	\$ 109,101	-
Changes of assumptions	-	-
Changes in proportion and differences		
between contributions and proportionate		
share of contributions	-	-
Contributions subsequent to the		
measurement date	233,682	-
TOTAL	\$ 342,783	\$0

PERS Plans 2 & 3	Deferred Outflows of Resources		Deferred Inflows of Resources
Differences between expected and			
actual experience	\$	263,527	\$ (163,372)
Net difference between projected and			
actual investment earnings on pension			
plan investments		605,606	-
Changes of assumptions		51,151	-
Changes in proportion and differences between contributions and proportionate			
share of contributions		-	(446,537)
Contributions subsequent to the			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
measurement date		292,677	-
TOTAL	\$ ^	1,212,961	\$ (609,909)

Combined Total for All Plans (PERS 1, 2 & 3)	Deferred Outflows of Resources	Deferred Inflows of Resources
Differences between expected and actual experience	\$ 263,527	\$ (163,372)
Net difference between projected and actual investment earnings on pension plan investments	714,707	-
Changes of assumptions	51,151	-
Changes in proportion and differences between contributions and proportionate share of contributions	-	(446,537)
Contributions subsequent to the measurement date	526,359	-
TOTAL	\$ 1,555,744	\$ (609,909)

Deferred outflows of resources related to pensions resulting from the District's contributions subsequent to the measurement date will be recognized as a reduction of the net pension liability in the year ended December 31, 2017. Other amounts reported as deferred outflows and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions will be recognized in pension expense as follows:

Year ended December 31:	PERS - 1	PERS - 2&3
2017	\$ (26,863)	\$ (153,557)
2018	(26,863)	(153,557)
2019	100,198	347,620
2020	62,629	269,868
2021	-	-
Thereafter	-	-
TOTAL	\$ 109,101	\$ 310,374

NOTE 5 - OTHER POST- EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS (OPEB)

Washington State (State), through the Health Care Authority (HCA), administers an agent multiple-employer other post-employment benefit (OPEB) plan. Per RCW 41.05.065, the Public Employees Benefits Board (PEBB), created within the HCA, is authorized to design benefits and determine the terms and conditions of employee and retired employee participation and coverage. PEBB establishes eligibility criteria for both active employees and retirees. Benefits purchased through PEBB include medical, dental, life and long-term disability.

The relationship between the PEBB OPEB plan and its member employers and their employees and retirees is not formalized in a contract or plan document. Rather, the benefits are provided in accordance with a "substantive plan". A substantive plan is one in which the plan terms are understood by the employers and plan members. This understanding is based on communications between the HCA, employers and plan members, and the historical pattern of practice with regard to the sharing of benefit costs.

Employers participating in PEBB OPEB plan include the State (which includes general government agencies and higher education institutions), 66 of the state's K-12 school and educational service districts (ESDs), and 229 political subdivisions. Additionally, the PEBB plan is available to the retirees of the remaining 238 K-12 schools and ESDs. The District's retirees are eligible to participate in the PEBB OPEB plan under this arrangement.

Plan Description

Eligibility

District members are eligible for retiree medical benefits after becoming eligible for retirement pension benefits (either reduced or full pension benefits) under Plan 1, 2 or 3 of PERS. Former members who are entitled to a deferred vested pension benefit are not eligible to receive medical and life insurance benefits after pension benefit commencement. Survivors of deceased covered members are eligible for medical benefits.

Summary of Benefits

The District participates as a local government employer in the program of benefits for state and local government employees provided through PEBB. The program is administered by the HCA. The District pays premiums only for its active employees, and does not directly make any payments on behalf of its retirees. However, under this program, HCA directs a portion of the active premiums received from all employers to a fund for retiree coverage.

The PEBB OPEB plan is available to District employees who elect to continue coverage and to pay the administratively established premiums at the time they retire under the provisions of the State retirement system and plan to which they belong (i.e., PERS Plans 1, 2 or 3). Retirees' access to the PEBB OPEB plan depends on the retirement eligibility of their respective system and plan.

Per RCW 41.05.022, retirees who are not yet eligible for Medicare benefits may continue to participate in the State's non-Medicare community-rated health insurance risk pool on a self-pay basis, and receive an implicit subsidy. The implicit subsidy exists because retired members pay a premium based on a claims experience for active employees and other non-Medicare retirees. The subsidy is valued using the difference between the age-based claims costs and the premium. The monthly average weighted implicit subsidy was valued at \$308 for 2015, and is projected to be \$304 for 2016.

Funding Policy

Administrative costs as well as implicit and explicit subsidies are funded by required contributions from participating employers, including the District. The subsidies provide monetary assistance for medical benefits. Contributions are set each biennium as part of the budget process. The benefits are funded on a pay-as-you-go basis

Annual OPEB Cost and Net OPEB Obligation

The District's annual OPEB cost is calculated based upon the annual required contribution (ARC), an amount actuarially determined in accordance with the parameters of GASB Statement-45. The ARC represents a level of funding that, if paid on an on-going basis, is projected to cover the normal cost each year and amortize any unfunded actuarial liabilities (or funding excess) over a period not to exceed thirty (30) years. The following table shows the components of the District's portion of the annual OPEB cost and Net OPEB Obligation for 2016. All contributions required by the funding method were paid.

Annual Required Contribution (ARC)

Annual Required Contribution	\$ 333,204
Interest on unfunded (overfunded) ARC	74,722
Adjustments to the ARC	 (64,466)
Annual OPEB Cost	343,460
Contribution Made	(115,208)
Change in NPO	 228,252
Net OPEB Obligation - Beginning of Year	1,868,042
Net OPEB Obligation - End of Year	\$ 2,096,294

Funded Status and Funding Progress

The District does not currently fund these benefits. Benefits have historically been paid on a pay-as-you-go basis. As of January 1, 2015, the most recent actuarial valuation date, the plan was zero percent funded. The Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability as of January 1, 2016 was approximately \$5.1 million.

The Schedule of Funding Progress is presented as required supplementary information following the notes to the financial statements.

Actuarial valuations of an ongoing plan involve estimates of the value of reported amounts and assumptions about the probability of occurrence of events far into the future. Examples include assumptions about future employment, mortality, and the health care cost trends.

Amounts determined regarding the funded status of the plan and the annual required contributions of the employer are subject to continual revision as actual results are compared with past expectations and new estimates are made about the future. The schedule of funding progress, presented as required supplementary information following the notes to the financial statements, presents multi-year trend information about whether

the actuarial value of plan assets is increasing or decreasing over time relative to the actuarial accrued liabilities for benefits.

Actuarial methods and Assumptions

In accordance with GASB 45, selection of all actuarial assumptions, including the health care cost trend rate in valuations of post-employment health care plans, should be guided by Actuarial Standard of Practice No. 6, Measuring Retiree Group Benefit Obligations, as revised from time to time by the Actuarial Standards Board. Accordingly, actuarial assumptions should be based on the actual experience of the covered group, to the extent that creditable experience data are available, but should emphasize expected long-term future trends rather than give undue weight to recent past experience. The reasonableness of each actuarial assumption should be considered independently based on its own merits, its consistency with each other assumption, and the combined impact of all assumptions. The actuarial assumptions used to value the post-retirement medical liabilities can be categorized into three groups: economic assumptions, health care assumptions, and demographic assumptions.

Economic Assumptions

The two economic assumptions used in the valuation are the discount rate and the health care cost trend rates. The economic assumptions are used to account for changes in the cost of benefits over time and to discount future benefit payments for the time value of money.

Discount Rate

The investment return assumption (discount rate) should be the estimated long-term investment yield on the investments that are expected to be used to finance the payments of benefits. The investments expected to be used to finance the payments of benefits would be plan assets for funded plans, assets of the employer for pay-as-you-go plans, or a proportionate combination of the two for plans that are being partially funded. The discount rate is assumed to be 4.0 percent.

Health Care Cost Trend Rates

The table below shows the health care cost trend rates that were used for the actuarial valuation of the Post-Retirement Medical Plan. The set of health care trend rates feature varying initial health care cost trend rates based on type of benefit, and trend rates for all benefits decline to an ultimate rate of 5 percent.

Health Care Cost Trend Rate Assumptions

Year	Health Care Trend Rate
2014	5.2
2015	5.7
2016	6.1
2020	6.0
2025	5.8
2030	6.0
2035	5.9
2040	5.3
2050	5.0
2060	4.9
2070	4.8
2084 & Ultimate	4.2

Medical Assumptions

The valuation projects the health care costs for employees who remain in the District with coverage after retirement. The data includes claim information for covered retirees. Based on the data provided, per capita costs for the retired group under 65 and the retired group age 65 and older were calculated. Retirees generally become eligible for Medicare at age 65.

Demographic Assumptions

The demographic assumptions include the rate of mortality, the rate of withdrawal, the rate of retirement, and the rate of disability. Ancillary demographic assumptions include the age of female spouses, and coverage rates, and participation rates.

For further information on the results the actuarial valuation of the employer provided subsidies associated with the state's PEBB plan, refer to: http://osa.leg.wa.gov/Actuarial services/OPEB/OPEB.htm.

NOTE 6 - LONG TERM DEBT

The district's Long Term Debt consists of the Pension Liability (see Note 4), the Net OPEB Obligation (see Note 5), and the long term portion of Compensated Absences (see Note 1F(7)), as follows:

	eginning Balance	Ad	dditions	Redu	uctions	Ending Balance	Due Within One Year
Pension Liability Net OPEB Obligation	\$ 8,413,374 1.868.042	\$	868,662 228,252	\$	-	\$ 9,282,036 2,096,294	\$ - -
Compensated Absences	1,893,654		46,200		-	1,939,854	253,193
Total Long Term Liabilities	\$ 12,175,070	\$1	1,143,114	\$	-	\$13,318,184	\$ 253,193

NOTE 7 - DEFERRED COMPENSATION PLAN

The District offers its employees two deferred compensation plans created in accordance with Internal Revenue Code Section 457 – one through Nationwide Investment Services Corporation and the other through the State of Washington Deferred Compensation Program. The plans are available to all eligible District employees, permitting them to defer a portion of their salary until future years. The deferred compensation is not available to employees until termination, retirement, death or unforeseeable emergency.

All amounts of compensation deferred under the plan, all property and rights purchased with those amounts, and all income attributable to those amounts, are held in trust until paid or made available to the employee or other beneficiary. Except as otherwise permitted or required by law, no assets or income of the plans shall be used for, or diverted to, purposes other than for the exclusive purpose of providing benefits for participants and their beneficiaries or defraying reasonable expenses of administration of the plan.

NOTE 8 - RISK MANAGEMENT

Snohomish Health District is a member of Enduris. Chapter 48.62 RCW provides the exclusive source of local government entity, authority to individually or jointly self-insure risks, jointly purchase insurance or reinsurance, and to contract for risk management, claims, and administrative services. Enduris was formed July 10, 1987 pursuant to the provisions of Chapter 48.62 RCW, Chapter 200-100 WAC, and Chapter 39.34 RCW. Two (2) counties and two (2) cities in the State of Washington joined together by signing an inter-local governmental agreement to fund their self-insured losses and jointly purchase insurance and administrative services. As of August 31, 2016, there are 524 Enduris members representing a broad array of special purpose districts throughout the state. Enduris provides property and liability coverage as well as risk management services and other related administrative services.

Members make an annual contribution to fund Enduris and share in the self-insured retention of the jointly purchased excess and/or reinsurance coverage. The self-insured retention is:

- \$1,000,000 deductible on liability loss the member is responsible for the first \$10,000 of the deductible amount of each claim, while Enduris is responsible for the remaining \$990,000 on a liability loss.
- \$250,000 deductible on property loss the member is responsible for the first \$10,000 of the deductible amount of each claim, while Enduris is responsible for the remaining \$240,000 on a property loss.

Enduris acquires reinsurance from unrelated insurance companies on a "per occurrence" basis to cover all losses over the deductibles as shown on the policy maximum limits. Liability coverage is for all lines of liability coverage including Public Official's Liability. The Property coverage is written on an "all risk", blanket basis using current Statement of Values. The Property coverage includes but is not limited to mobile equipment, boiler and machinery, electronic data processing equipment, business interruption, course of

construction and additions, property in transit, fine arts, cyber and automobile physical damage to insured vehicles. Liability coverage limit is \$20 million per occurrence and property coverage limit is \$1 billion per occurrence. Enduris offers crime coverage up to a limit of \$1 million per occurrence.

Since Enduris is a cooperative program, there is a joint liability among the participating members.

The contract requires members to continue membership for a period of no less than one (1) year and must give notice 60 days before terminating participation. The Master Agreement (Intergovernmental Contract) is automatically renewed after the initial one (1) full fiscal year commitment. Even after termination, a member is still responsible for contribution to Enduris for any unresolved, unreported and in-process claims for the period they were a signatory to the Master Agreement.

Enduris is fully funded by its member participants. Claims are filed by members with Enduris and are administered in-house.

A Board of Directors consisting of seven (7) board members governs Enduris. Its members elect the Board and the positions are filled on a rotating basis. The Board meets quarterly and is responsible for conducting the business affairs of Enduris.

NOTE 9 – RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

Snohomish County Council members serve as members of the Board of Health and have significant influence in the management of the District. In 2016, Snohomish County provided the following funding:

Total Funding	\$ 2,653,200
Public Health Per Capita Allotment	653,200
Chemical Dependency and Mental Health Support for First Steps Program	400,000
Communicable Dissease Control	\$ 1,600,000

REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Other Post-Employment Benefits (OPEB)

Schedule of Funding Progress of Other Post Employment Benefits:

				Unfunded			
				Actuarial			UAAL as
Fiscal Yea	r Actuarial	Actuarial	Actuarial	Accrued			Percentage
Ended	Valuation	Value of	Accrued	Liability	Funded	Covered	of Covered
31-Dec	Date	Assets	Liability	(UAAL)	Ratio	Payroll	Payroll
2008	1/1/2008	0	\$ 3,831,823	\$ 3,831,823	0.00%	\$13,543,194	28.29%
2009	1/1/2009	0	4,111,495	4,111,495	0.00%	14,084,921	29.19%
2010	1/1/2010	0	4,191,763	4,191,763	0.00%	11,925,562	35.15%
2011	1/1/2011	0	4,511,764	4,511,764	0.00%	11,073,200	40.74%
2012	1/1/2012	0	4,303,196	4,303,196	0.00%	13,151,121	32.72%
2013	1/1/2013	0	4,326,202	4,326,202	0.00%	11,191,589	38.66%
2014	1/1/2014	0	4,567,619	4,567,619	0.00%	11,611,274	39.34%
2015	1/1/2015	0	4,818,763	4,818,763	0.00%	12,046,696	40.00%
2016	1/1/2016	0	5,069,512	5,069,512	0.00%	12,498,447	40.56%

GASB 45 was implemented for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008. No information Prior to December 31, 2008 is available. The actuarial study is performed triennially. The actuarial method used in the Schedule of Funding Progress of Other Post Employment Benefits is disclosed in Notes to the Financial Statements Note 5.

Schedule of Employer Contributions without Advanced Funding:

Fiscal Year Ended 31-Dec	Annual OPEB Cost	c	Actual Contribution	Percentage Contribued	Net OPEB Obligation
2008	\$ 317,798	\$	84,890	26.71%	\$ 232,908
2009	328,279		84,890	25.90%	476,297
2010	336,178		57,686	17.16%	754,789
2011	359,297		74,536	20.70%	1,039,551
2012	288,226		97,389	33.80%	1,230,389
2013	295,775		116,398	39.50%	1,409,446
2014	310,998		81,476	26.20%	1,638,968
2015	327,106		98,032	29.97%	1,868,042
2016	343,460		115,208	33.54%	2,096,294

The District implemented GASB 45 the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008. No information Prior to December 31, 2008 is available.

The actuarial study is performed triennially. The actuarial method used in the Schedule of Funding Progress of Other Post Employment Benefits is disclosed in Notes to the Financial Statements Note 5.

Pension Benefits

	Fiscal Year Ended June 30	Employer's Proportion of the Net Pension Liability (Asset)	Pro Sh Ne	mployer's oportionate are of the t Pension bility (Asset)		mployer's Covered Employee Payroll	Employer's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability (Asset) as a Percentage of Covered Employee Payroll	Plan Fiduciary Net Position as a Percentage of the Total Pension Liability
PERS Plan 1	2015	0.087758%	\$	4,590,560	\$	250,695	1831.13%	59.10%
	2016	0.080684%	\$	4,333,111	\$	206,720	2096.13%	57.03%
PERS Plans 2 & 3	2015 2016	0.106990% 0.098292%	\$ \$	3,822,814 4,948,925	\$ \$	9,493,707 9,181,910	40.27% 53.90%	89.20% 85.82%

Schedule of Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability, as of June 30

The District implemented GASB 68 and 71 during 2015. Information for this schedule prior to 2015 is not available.

Schedule of Employer Contributions, as of December 31

	Calendar Year Ended December 31	Co F	atutorily or ntractually Required ntributions	in Sta Co	ntributions Relation to the atutorily or ontractually Required ontributions	bution iency :ess)	Er	covered nployee Payroll	Contributions as a Percentage of Covered Employee
PERS Plan 1	2015	\$	24,818	\$	24,818	\$ -	\$	246,140	10.08% *
	2016	\$	19,486	\$	19,486	\$ -	\$	174,293	11.18%
PERS Plans 2 & 3	2015	\$	939,904	\$	939,904	\$ -	\$ 9	9,308,551	10.10% *
	2016	\$	1,040,179	\$	1,040,179	\$ -	\$ 9	9,303,919	11.18%

The District implemented GASB 68 and 71 during 2015. Information for this schedule prior to 2015 is not available.

^{*}The employer contribution rate for Plans 1, 2, and 3 was 9.21% of covered employee payroll earned during the first half of 2015, and 11.18% of covered employee payroll earned during the second half of 2015. The 2015 average contribution rate of 10.20% of covered employee payroll is slightly higher than the percentages above because the percentages above are based on contributions remitted during 2015, and thus include one extra pay period at the 9.21% rate for amounts remitted to the Plans for covered employee compensation earned during the last pay period of 2014.

					Expenditures		
Federal Agency (Pass-Through Agency)	Federal Program	CFDA Number	Other Award Number	From Pass- Through Awards	From Direct Awards	Total	Note
Medicaid Cluster Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, Department of Health and Human Services (via Washington State Department of Social and Health Services)	Medical Assistance Program (Medicaid Administrative Match) Community Health Admin	93.778	K1418	370,414	1	370,414	Note 3
		Total Medi	Total Medicaid Cluster:	370,414		370,414	
Other Programs Food and Nutrition Service, Department of Agriculture (via Washington State Department of Health)	Speical Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women and Children	10.557	C17126	1,195,094		1,195,094	Note 3
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Department of Health and Human Services (via Washington State Department of Health)	Public Health Emergency Preparedness (LHF Funding)	93.069	C17126	647,766		647,766	Note 3
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Department of Health and Human Services (via Washington State Department of Health)	Project Grants and Cooperative Agreements for Tuberculosis Control Programs	93.116	C17126	95,968		95,968	Note 3
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Department of Health and Human Services (via Washington State Department of Health)	Immunization Cooperative Agreements	93.268	C17126	151,807		151,807	Note 3
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Department of Health and Human Services (via Washington State Department of Health)	Adult Viral Hepatitis Prevention and Control	93.270	C17126	4,599		4,599	Note 3

					Expenditures		
Federal Agency (Pass-Through Agency)	Federal Program	CFDA Number	Other Award Number	From Pass- Through Awards	From Direct Awards	Total	Note
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Department of Health and Human Services (via Washington State Department of Health)	National State Based Tobacco Control Program	93.305	C17126	36,430	1	36,430	Note 3
Administration for Children and Families, Department of Health and Human Services (via Skagit County Interlocal Grant)	Community Services Block Grant	93.569	C20160163	800		800	
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Department of Health and Human Services (via Washington State Department of Health)	Preventive Health and Health Services Block Grant funded solely with Prevention and Public Health Funds (PPHF)	93.758	C17126	49,803		49,803	Note 3
Office of the Secretary, Department of Health and Human Services (via Washington State Department of Health)	Hospital Preparedness Program (HPP) Ebola Preparedness and Response Activities	93.817	C17126	2,390		2,390	Note 3
Office of the Secretary, Department of Health and Human Services (via Washington State Department of Health)	National Bioterrorism Hospital Preparedness Program	93.889	C17126	141,850	ı	141,850	Note 3
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Department of Health and Human Services (via Washington State Department of Health)	HIV Prevention Activities - Health Department Based	93.940	C17126	207,775	ı	207,775	Note 3

	Note	Note 3		Note 3	
	Total	56,852	2,591,134	471,222	250
Expenditures	From Direct Awards	ı			
	From Pass- Through Awards	56,852	2,591,134	471,222	250
	Other Award Number	C17126	Total Other Programs:	C17126	D14-225
	CFDA Number	93.977	Total Othe	93.994	97.036
	Federal Program	Preventive Health Services - Sexually Transmitted Diseases Control Grants		Maternal & Child Health Services Block Grant to the States	Disaster Grants - Public Assistance (Presidentially Declared Disasters) FEMA
	Federal Agency (Pass-Through Agency)	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Department of Health and Human Services (via Washington State Department of Health)		Additional Programs Health Resources and Services Administration, Department of Health and Human Services (via Washington State Department of Health)	Department of Homeland Security (via Military Department Public Assistance Unit)

3,433,020	
'	
3,433,020	
Total Federal Awards Expended:	

SNOHOMISH HEALTH DISTRICT

NOTES TO THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS

For the Year Ended December 31, 2016

NOTE 1 – BASIS OF ACCOUNTING

The Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is prepared on the same basis of accounting as the District's financial statements. The District's financial statements were prepared in conformity with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP).

NOTE 2 – PROGRAM COSTS

The amounts shown as current year expenditures represent only the federal grant portion of the program costs. Entire program costs, including the District's portion, are more than shown. Such expenditures are recognized following, as applicable, either the cost principles in OMB Circular A-87, Cost Principles for State, Local, and Indian Tribal Governments, or the cost principles contained in Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards, wherein certain types of expenditures are not allowable or are limited as to reimbursement.

NOTE 3 – INDIRECT COST RATES

The amount expended includes an indirect cost recovery rate approved by the Washington State Department of Health. The applicable indirect cost recovery rates are as follows: 23.11% for Public Health Emergency Preparedness and Response programs, 28.19% for Communicable Disease programs, 36.62% for Community Health programs, and 50.43% for Environmental Health programs. The District has not elected to use the 10% de minimis indirect cost rate under Uniform Guidance.

CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN FOR FINDINGS REPORTED UNDER UNIFORM GUIDANCE

Snohomish Health District Snohomish County January 1, 2016 through December 31, 2016

This schedule presents the corrective action planned by the auditee for findings reported in this report in accordance with Title 2 *U.S. Code of Federal Regulations* (CFR) Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). The information in this schedule is the representation of the Snohomish Health District.

Finding ref number:	Finding caption:					
2016-001	The District did not have adequate internal controls in place to ensure compliance with federal allowable costs and cost principle requirements.					
Name, address, and telephone of auditee contact person:						
Dan LeFree						
Snohomish Health District						
3020 Rucker AVE, STE 308						
Everett, WA 98201-3900						
Corrective action the auditee plans to take in response to the finding:						
We have already begun work to train management and staff, and to develop the necessary controls and processes, to ensure the District's compliance with program requirements.						
We have initiated discussions with the Health Care Authority regarding this finding, and how to determine what amount, if any, is owed to either the Health Care Authority or to the District.						
Anticipated date to complete the corrective action:						
We anticipate completing the training and control development by September 30, 2017, and the determination of amounts, if any, owed either the Health Care Authority or the District by						

October 31, 2017

ABOUT THE STATE AUDITOR'S OFFICE

The State Auditor's Office is established in the state's Constitution and is part of the executive branch of state government. The State Auditor is elected by the citizens of Washington and serves four-year terms.

We work with our audit clients and citizens to achieve our vision of government that works for citizens, by helping governments work better, cost less, deliver higher value, and earn greater public trust.

In fulfilling our mission to hold state and local governments accountable for the use of public resources, we also hold ourselves accountable by continually improving our audit quality and operational efficiency and developing highly engaged and committed employees.

As an elected agency, the State Auditor's Office has the independence necessary to objectively perform audits and investigations. Our audits are designed to comply with professional standards as well as to satisfy the requirements of federal, state, and local laws.

Our audits look at financial information and compliance with state, federal and local laws on the part of all local governments, including schools, and all state agencies, including institutions of higher education. In addition, we conduct performance audits of state agencies and local governments as well as <u>fraud</u>, state <u>whistleblower</u> and <u>citizen hotline</u> investigations.

The results of our work are widely distributed through a variety of reports, which are available on our <u>website</u> and through our free, electronic <u>subscription</u> service.

We take our role as partners in accountability seriously, and provide training and technical assistance to governments, and have an extensive quality assurance program.

Contact information for the State Auditor's Office				
Public Records requests	PublicRecords@sao.wa.gov			
Main telephone	(360) 902-0370			
Toll-free Citizen Hotline	(866) 902-3900			
Website	www.sao.wa.gov			