

Financial Statements and Federal Single Audit Report

King County

For the period January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2017

Published September 24, 2018 Report No. 1022224





Office of the Washington State Auditor Pat McCarthy

September 24, 2018

Council and Executive King County Seattle, Washington

Report on Financial Statements and Federal Single Audit

Please find attached our report on King County's financial statements and compliance with federal laws and regulations.

We are issuing this report in order to provide information on the County's financial condition.

Sincerely,

Pat McCarthy

Tat Mexithy

State Auditor

Olympia, WA

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	4
Schedule of Audit Findings and Responses	7
Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings	. 10
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards	
Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program and Report on Internal Control Over Compliance in Accordance With the Uniform Guidance	
ndependent Auditor's Report on Financial Statements	. 20
Financial Section	. 24
Corrective Action Plan for Findings Reported Under Uniform Guidance	173
About the State Auditor's Office	174

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

King County January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2017

SECTION I – SUMMARY OF AUDITOR'S RESULTS

The results of our audit of King County are summarized below in accordance with Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards (Uniform Guidance).

Financial Statements

We issued an unmodified opinion on the fair presentation of the basic financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the aggregate discretely presented component units, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP).

Internal Control over Financial Reporting:

- *Significant Deficiencies:* We identified deficiencies in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be significant deficiencies.
- *Material Weaknesses:* We identified no deficiencies that we consider to be material weaknesses.

We noted no instances of noncompliance that were material to the financial statements of the County.

Federal Awards

Internal Control over Major Programs:

- *Significant Deficiencies:* We reported no deficiencies in the design or operation of internal control over major federal programs that we consider to be significant deficiencies.
- *Material Weaknesses:* We identified no deficiencies that we consider to be material weaknesses.

We issued an unmodified opinion on the County's compliance with requirements applicable to each of its major federal programs.

We reported no findings that are required to be disclosed in accordance with 2 CFR 200.516(a).

Identification of Major Federal Programs:

The following programs were selected as major programs in our audit of compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance.

CFDA No.	Program or Cluster Title
10.557	WIC Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children
14.218	CDBG – Entitlement Grants Cluster – Community Development Block Grants/Entitlement Grants
20.500	Federal Transit Cluster – Federal Transit Capital Investment Grants
20.507	Federal Transit Cluster – Federal Transit Formula Grants
20.525	Federal Transit Cluster – State of Good Repair Grants Program
20.526	Federal Transit Cluster – Bus and Bus Facilities Formula Program
66.458	Clean Water State Revolving Fund Cluster – Capitalization Grants for Clean Water State Revolving Funds
93.224	Health Center Program Cluster – Health Center Program (Community Health Centers, Migrant Health Centers, Health Care for the Homeless, and Public Housing Primary Care)
93.331	Partnerships to Improve Community Health
93.563	Child Support Enforcement
93.959	Block Grants for Prevention and Treatment of Substance Abuse
97.036	Disaster Grants – Public Assistance (Presidentially Declared Disasters)

The dollar threshold used to distinguish between Type A and Type B programs, as prescribed by the Uniform Guidance, was \$3,000,000.

The County did not qualify as a low-risk auditee under the Uniform Guidance.

SECTION II – FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS

See finding 2017-001.

SECTION III – FEDERAL AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

None reported.

SCHEDULE OF AUDIT FINDINGS AND RESPONSES

King County January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2017

2017-001 The County reported External Investment Pool assets in the Agency Funds that should have been reported only in the Investment Trust Funds in accordance with governmental accounting standards.

Background

County councilmembers, state and federal agencies, and the public rely on the information included in the financial statements and report to make decisions. County management is responsible for designing and following internal controls that provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting.

Our audit identified a significant deficiency in internal controls over financial reporting that affected the County's ability to produce accurate financial statements.

Description of Condition

The County is the ex-officio treasurer for special-purpose districts in King County and invests funds of special-purpose districts in the County's External Investment Pool Trust Fund. Investment Trust Funds are used by the County to report investment activity engaged in on behalf of legally separate entities.

Agency Funds are clearing accounts employed to account for assets held by the County in its capacity as custodian or agent and are offset by equal and related liabilities.

The County reported assets and liabilities for the Agency Funds on the Statement of Fiduciary Net Position in both the Investment Trust Funds and the Agency Funds. The investments of the special-purpose districts should have been reported only in the Investment Trust Funds of the Statement in accordance with Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 31 – Accounting and Financial Reporting for Certain Investments and for External Investment Pools.

Cause of Condition

When GASB Statement No. 31 took effect, the County implemented the requirements for reporting the external investment pool in the Investment Trust Funds of the Statement of Fiduciary Net Position. However, the County also decided to continue to show the Investment Trust Funds balances in the Agency Funds, believing this was appropriate and allowed greater transparency for the special-purpose districts.

Effect of Condition

The County reported Agency Funds' assets and liabilities of \$3,481,159,000 twice on the Statement of Fiduciary Net Position, once in the Agency Funds and also in the Investment Trust Funds. The County corrected this on the final version of the financial statements.

Recommendations

We recommend the County perform necessary research and use available resources to make sure it has considered all relevant information regarding implementation of complex new GASB standards.

County's Response

The County would like to thank the Washington State Auditor's Office for its conclusions, communications and collaboration during the audit. As acknowledged by the Auditor, the County has already corrected this technical issue that pertains to how special purpose districts' resources in the County's investment pool are presented in the CAFR.

For the past 16 years, the County has been presenting this technical information about special purpose districts in the same way based on our understanding of the GASB standard and our responsibility to disclose all special purpose districts' assets and liabilities. This way readers of the CAFR could easily see all special district resources in a single place. While the County supports the Auditor's recommendations, we are planning on submitting a proposal to GASB to clarify their standards in this area. Our goal is to find a way for counties to meet the objectives of clean financial accounting and comprehensive agency funds reporting.

The County offers the following additional information to explain the impact of this technical presentation issue. In governmental accounting, agency funds' assets and liabilities have been traditionally reported in the statement of fiduciary net position

in the CAFR. The state of Washington has a unique regulation that mandates counties to be the ex officio treasurers of special purpose districts located within the government's political boundaries. For effective cash management, the County pools resources from these special purpose districts for investment purposes.

Two GASB standards apply in this situation. The GASB 34 standard applies to how special districts must be accounted for by the County as custodial funds in its CAFR. The GASB 31 standard states that external investment pools should be reported as an investment trust fund, a separate fiduciary fund. The County satisfied the GASB 31 standard by reporting an external investment trust fund for the special districts' portion of the investment pool; and the GASB 34 standard by reporting all special district funds' assets (including pool investments) and liabilities in the statement of fiduciary net position. The County has done it this way since the 2001 CAFR. In this method, the assets of the special districts were reported in two separate columns of the fiduciary funds statements, but there were no totals or sub-totals presented anywhere in the CAFR for those two balances. Furthermore, there was sufficient disclosure to alert the reader that the investment trust fund consists of some of the same information presented in the agency fund statements.

Auditor's Remarks

We appreciate the County's response, including the background provided on the County's rationale for reporting special purpose district resources in past years and the action plan developed to address our recommendation. We appreciate the County's commitment to resolve this finding and thank the County for its cooperation and assistance during the audit. We will review the corrective action taken during our next regular audit.

Applicable Laws and Regulations

Government Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 31

Government Auditing Standards, December 2011 Revision, paragraph 4.23

The American Institute of Certified Public Accountants Codification of Statements on Auditing Standards, section 265

Washington State Auditor's Office



(206) 296-7670 Fax www.kingcounty.gov

Audit Period:

SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS

King County January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2017

Report Ref. No.:

Finding Ref. No.:

This schedule presents the status of federal findings reported in prior audit periods.

January 1, 2016	through December 3	31, 2016	1019962		2016-001						
Finding Caption	n:										
The County's internal controls over financial statement preparation were inadequate to ensure											
accurate and complete reporting.											
Background:											
Our audit identified several misstatements that were not detected by the County's controls over											
financial reporting. This hindered the County's ability to produce reliable financial statements.											
Status of Corre	ective Action: (check	c one)									
⊠ Fully	☐ Partially			☐ Finding is considered no							
Corrected	Corrected	□ Not C	orrected	longer valid							
Corrective Act	ion Taken:										
The Financial A	ccounting Unit of FB	OD-FMS	initiated steps in	ı 2017 to	a) stabilize the process						
for converting fi	und statements to gov	vernmenta	l activities state	ments by	clearly demonstrating						
the actual flow f	from fund-level to ent	ity-wide n	numbers; b) corr	ect the B	ARS coding of revenue						
accounts in EBS	s to ensure correct cla	assificatio	on of revenues in	the CAF	FR; and c) manually fix						
expenditure BA	RS codes for accura	acy in bo	th BARS and C	AFR rep	orting. Other internal						
controls directe	d activities are: a) in	ncreased	monitoring of fi	ınd finan	icials through use of a						
checklist; and b) requiring quarterly	touch bas	se meetings betw	een fund	l accountants and their						
agencies to im	agencies to improve communications and to pre-empt emerging issues. The countywide										

internal control policy is also at the stage of final high-level approvals and is expected to be

implemented this year.

Audit Period:	Report Ref. No.:	Finding Ref. No.:
January 1, 2016 through December 31, 2016	1019962	2016-002
Finding Caption:		
The County did not have adequate internal	controls in place to ens	sure accurate financial
reporting in the Public Transportation Enterpri	se Fund.	
Daglzground		

Background:

The CPA firm's report identified the following significant deficiency in internal controls over financial reporting:

Current accounting policies and practices related to determining the status of capital projects and identifying assets to be capitalized once in use rely significantly on frequent and detailed communication by project managers with Transit finance and accounting staff.

		<i>J</i> 1 <i>J</i>	0	U
Status of Con	rrective Action: (ch	neck one)		
⊠ Fully	☐ Partially	□ Not	Corrected	☐ Finding is considered no
Corrected	Corrected	□ Not	Corrected	longer valid

Corrective Action Taken:

The Department of Transportation initiated steps in 2017 to a) require the Vehicle Maintenance section to process a quarterly report to identify new coaches placed in service during the quarter; b) send capitalization forms to the Transit Budget and Finance office directly (instead of the Grants Section for input of grant information). The Budget and Finance office will then coordinate with the Grants section to ensure accurate grant information is processed; c) only submit one project on a capitalization form to avoid possible errors during processing; and d) begin implementation of a new Capital Management Reporting System (CMRS). A decision gate is currently defined for moving from implementation to closeout and will include close-out procedures including capitalization. This process is currently in practice.

Audit Period:	Report Ref. No.:	Finding Ref. No.:	CFDA Number(s):					
January 1, 2016 through	1019962	2016-003	66.458					
December 31, 2016								
Federal Program Name and	Granting Agency:	Pass-Through Agency Name:						
Capitalization Grants for Clear	n Water State	Washington State Department of						
Revolving Funds, Environment	ntal Protection	Ecology						
Agency								

Finding Caption:

The County's internal controls over financial reporting were inadequate to ensure accurate and timely federal grant reporting, resulting in material noncompliance with federal grant requirements.

Background:				
The County was	unable to prov	ride a complete and a	ccurate listing of fede	eral grant expenditures
to support the am	nount reported	on the Schedule of E	expenditures of Federa	al Awards. As a result,
we were unable to	to verify the a	ccuracy of the progra	am expenditures and	to audit this program.
Status of Corre	ctive Action:	(check one)		
☑ Fully	☐ Partially		☐ Findir	g is considered no
Corrected	Corrected	□ Not Correc	eted longer va	_
Corrective Action	on Taken:			
The County's Wa	astewater Trea	tment Division (WTI	D) has dedicated an ac	dditional staff member
to comprehensive	ely evaluate ai	nd improve complian	ce, billing, reimburse	ement, accounting and
reporting function	ons. This staf	f member implemen	ated control processe	es and procedures to
ensure timely and	d accurate fed	leral grant reporting.	Training opportunit	ies and any additional
resources have a	and will contin	ue to be provided. V	VTD recognizes that	there will always be a
timing issue with	h receiving the	e executed loan agre	ement and incurring	costs in the year and
has established	procedures to	account for the tin	ning differences. Eff	ective with the fourth
quarter 2017 bil	ling request, \	WTD's Grants Admi	nistrator trained and	will continue to train
additional accou	ınting personn	el for proper second	lary review of grant l	billings. For the FY17
				rurate list of federally-
funded grant e	expenditures t	to support the exp	enditures reported	on the Schedule of
Expenditures of	Federal Awar	ds.		
Audit Period:		Report Ref. No.:	Finding Ref. No.:	CFDA Number(s):
January 1, 2016	through	1019962	2016-004	93.224
December 31, 20)16			
Federal Program	m Name and	Granting Agency:	Pass-Through Age	ncy Name:
Consolidated He	alth Centers		NA	
Department of H	lealth and Hur	nan Services		
Finding Caption	n:			
The County did	l not have ac	dequate internal cor	ntrols to ensure con	pliance with federal
allowable costs a	and subrecipie	nt monitoring requir	ements.	
Background:				
The County did	not have a pr	ocess to ensure it po	erformed adequate fi	scal monitoring of its
subrecipients. A	s a result, we	questioned the cost	s passed through to	subrecipients totaling
\$609,092.				
Status of Corre	ctive Action:	(check one)		
⊠ Fully	☐ Partially		_	g is considered no
<i>-</i>	~ .	☐ Not Correct	cted ,	

Washington State Auditor's Office Page 12

Corrected

Corrected

longer valid

Corrective Action Taken:

By October 31, 2017, King County Department of Public Health (DPH) collected the majority of the supporting documentation from the subrecipients. By April 2018, DPH reviewed all supporting documentation for completeness and allowability. DPH compiled all subrecipient supporting documents as evidence of allowability for the questioned costs noted. In May 2018, DPH submitted this documentation to the Department of Health and Human Services' (DHHS) Office of Inspector General (OIG) for DHHS OIG's validation and acceptance.

By December 31, 2017, DPH completed site visits covering the 2016 and 2017 fiscal periods. These site visits focused on subrecipients' internal controls and compliance with subrecipient contract terms. DPH embarked on a Process Improvement LEAN event on Contract Monitoring in 2017 to bolster and shore up internal controls resulting in a streamlined workflow process. By October 2017, DPH developed a subrecipient invoice supporting documentation collection and review reference guide to ensure accuracy and completeness in the process. Other DPH process improvement efforts included the development and implementation of a change management communication plan and the training of staff and subrecipients on them and related supporting documentation requirements. DPH also developed a streamlined process workflow, job aides and checklists for subrecipient site visits which clarified roles and responsibilities, contract terms and requirements to be incorporated into subrecipient contracts.

Washington State Auditor's Office Page 13

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

King County January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2017

Council and Executive King County Seattle, Washington

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the aggregate discretely presented component units, each major fund and the aggregate remaining fund information of King County, Washington, as of and for the year ended December 31, 2017, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the County's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated June 28, 2018. As discussed in Note 1 to the financial statements, during the year ended December 31, 2017, the County implemented Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 80, *Blending Requirements for Certain Component Units – an amendment of GASB Statement No. 14*; and Statement No. 82, *Pension Issues – an amendment of GASB Statements No. 67, 68, and No. 73*.

Our report includes a reference to other auditors who audited the financial statements of the Water Quality Enterprise and Public Transportation funds, as described in our report on the County's financial statements. This report includes out consideration of the results of the other auditor's testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and other matters that are reported on separately by those other auditors. However, this report, insofar as it relates to the results of the other auditors, is based solely on the reports of the other auditors. The financial statements of the Water Quality Enterprise and Public Transportation funds were not audited in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* and accordingly this report does not include reporting on internal control over financial reporting or instances of reportable noncompliance associated with those funds.

Washington State Auditor's Office

INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the County's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of County's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. We did identify certain deficiencies in internal control, described in the accompanying Schedule of Audit Findings and Responses as Finding 2017-001 that we consider to be significant deficiencies.

COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the County's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of the County's compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*. However, we noted certain matters that we have reported to the management of the County in a separate special investigation letter dated May 21, 2018.

Washington State Auditor's Office

COUNTY'S RESPONSE TO FINDINGS

The County's response to the findings identified in our audit is described in the accompanying Schedule of Audit Findings and Responses. The County's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the response.

PURPOSE OF THIS REPORT

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the County's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose. However, this report is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited. It also serves to disseminate information to the public as a reporting tool to help citizens assess government operations.

Pat McCarthy

Tat Muchy

State Auditor

Olympia, WA

June 28, 2018

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM AND REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE

King County January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2017

Council and Executive King County Seattle, Washington

REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM

We have audited, except as described below, the compliance of King County, Washington, with the types of compliance requirements described in the U.S. *Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of the County's major federal programs for the year ended December 31, 2017. The County's major federal programs are identified in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs.

The County's basic financial statements include the operations of the Harborview Medical Center, which expended \$6,655,109 in federal awards, which is not included in the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards for the year ended December 31, 2017. Our audit, described below, did not include the operations of the Harborview Medical Center because it has arranged for a separate audit of its federal awards in accordance with the Uniform Guidance.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of its federal awards applicable to its federal programs.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of the County's major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government*

Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards (Uniform Guidance). Those standards and the Uniform Guidance require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the County's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. Our audit does not provide a legal determination on the County's compliance.

Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

In our opinion, the County complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended December 31, 2017.

REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE

Management of the County is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the County's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program in order to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a

combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Purpose of this Report

Tat Muchy

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose. However, this report is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited. It also serves to disseminate information to the public as a reporting tool to help citizens assess government operations.

Pat McCarthy

State Auditor

Olympia, WA

September 17, 2018

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

King County January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2017

Council and Executive King County Seattle, Washington

REPORT ON THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the aggregate discretely presented component units, each major fund and the aggregate remaining fund information of King County, Washington, as of and for the year ended December 31, 2017, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the County's basic financial statements as listed on page 24.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit. We did not audit the financial statements of the Water Quality Enterprise fund, or the Public Transportation fund, each major funds, which in aggregate represent 92 percent, 91 percent, and 90 percent, respectively, of the assets and deferred outflows, net position, and revenues of the business-type activities. Those statements were audited by other auditors whose reports thereon have been furnished to us, and our opinions, insofar as it relates to the amounts included for the Water Quality Enterprise and Public Transportation funds, are based solely on the reports of the other auditors.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement. The financial statements of the Water Quality Enterprise and Public Transportation funds were not audited in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the County's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

Opinion

In our opinion, based on our audit and the reports of the other auditors, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the aggregate discretely presented component units, each major fund and the aggregate remaining fund information of King County, as of December 31, 2017, and the respective changes in financial position and, where applicable, cash flows thereof for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Matters of Emphasis

As discussed in Note 1 to the financial statements, in 2017, the County adopted new accounting guidance, Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 80, *Blending Requirements for Certain Component Units* – an amendment of GASB Statement No. 14 and Statement No. 82, *Pension Issues- an amendment of GASB Statements No.* 67, No. 68, and No. 73. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

Other Matters

Required Supplementary Information

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the management's discussion and analysis and required supplementary information listed on page 24 be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic or historical context. We and the other auditors have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

Supplementary and Other Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the County's basic financial statements. The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by Title 2 *U.S. Code of Federal Regulations* (CFR) Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). This schedule is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

OTHER REPORTING REQUIRED BY GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued our report dated

June 28, 2018 on our consideration of the County's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the County's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Pat McCarthy

Tat Muchy

State Auditor

Olympia, WA

June 28, 2018

FINANCIAL SECTION

King County January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2017

REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Management's Discussion and Analysis – 2017

BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Statement of Net Position – 2017

Statement of Activities – 2017

Balance Sheet – Governmental Funds – 2017

Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance – Governmental Funds – 2017

Reconciliation of the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances of Governmental Funds to the Statement of Activities – 2017

Statement of Net Position – Proprietary Funds – 2017

Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Fund Net Position – Proprietary Funds – 2017

Statement of Cash Flows – Proprietary Funds – 2017

Statement of Fiduciary Net Position – Fiduciary Funds–2017

Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Position – Fiduciary Funds – 2017

Statement of Net Positon – Component Units – 2017

Statement of Activities – Component Units – 2017

Notes to Financial Statements – 2017

REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance – Budget and Actual – General Fund – 2017

Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance – Budget and Actual – Behavioral Health Fund – 2017

Schedules of the County's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability – Public Employees' Retirement System (PERS) Plan 1, PERS Plan 2/3, Public Safety Employees' Retirement System (PSERS) Plan 2, Law Enforcement Officers' and Fire

Fighters' Retirement System (LEOFF) Plan 1, LEOFF Plan 2, Seattle City Employees' Retirement System (SCERS) – 2017

Schedules of Contributions – PERS Plan 1, PERS Plan 2/3, PSERS Plan 2, LEOFF Plan 2, SCERS – 2017

Schedule of Funding Progress – Postemployment Health Care Plan – 2017

Condition Assessments and Preservation of Infrastructure Eligible for Modified Approach – 2017

SUPPLEMENTARY AND OTHER INFORMATION

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards – 2017 Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards – 2017

Washington State Auditor's Office

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (MD&A)

This section of King County's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) presents a narrative overview and analysis of the financial activities of the County for the year ended December 31, 2017. We encourage readers to consider this information in conjunction with that furnished in the letter of transmittal and with the County's financial statements and accompanying notes to the financial statements.

FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS - PRIMARY GOVERNMENT

- At December 31, 2017, the assets and deferred outflows of resources of the County exceeded its liabilities and deferred inflows of resources by \$6.1 billion (referred to as net position). Of this amount, \$701.8 million represents unrestricted net position, which may be used to meet the County's short-term obligations to its vendors, creditors, employees and customers.
- The County's total net position increased 11.1 percent or \$605.1 million over last year mainly because of capital
 assets acquisitions and construction that did not utilize borrowing. Public Transportation used federal grants to
 make several purchases of new fuel-efficient and high technology buses to add to its existing fleet. Two major
 construction projects, namely, the Child and Family Justice Center and the Puget Sound Emergency Radio Network,
 were in the early development phase where costs are being financed through current revenues until longer-term
 financing becomes available.
- The governmental activities component of net position grew by 12.9 percent or \$334.1 million over last year while the business-type activities component gained 9.5 percent or \$271.0 million.
- At yearend 2017, the County's governmental funds reported combined fund balances of \$967.1 million, an increase
 of \$121.4 million over the prior year. Approximately 8.3 percent or \$80.0 million of this amount is unassigned fund
 balance which is available for spending at the government's discretion.
- At yearend 2017, unrestricted fund balance (the total of the committed, assigned and unassigned components of fund balance) for the General Fund was \$142.8 million, or approximately 19.6 percent of total General Fund expenditures. Total fund balance for the General Fund increased 17.2 percent or \$21.3 million from the prior year.
- Total outstanding debt of the County decreased by 1.7 percent or \$84.3 million in 2017. Newly issued debt comprised general obligation bonds at \$324.3 million and revenue bonds at \$237.5 million while principal payments and refunding issues amounted to \$646.1 million.

OVERVIEW OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

This discussion and analysis is intended to serve as an introduction to the County's basic financial statements which include three components: (1) government-wide financial statements; (2) fund financial statements; and (3) notes to the financial statements. This report also includes supplementary information intended to furnish additional detail to support the basic financial statements themselves.

Government-wide Financial Statements

The **government-wide financial statements** are designed to provide readers with an overview of the County's finances, in a manner similar to a private sector business. The statements provide near-term and long-term information about the County's financial position, which assists in assessing the County's financial condition at the end of the fiscal year.

The **statement of net position** presents all of the County's assets, deferred outflows of resources, liabilities and deferred inflows of resources, with the difference reported as net position. Over time, increases or decreases in the County's net position may serve as a useful indicator of whether the financial position of the County is improving or deteriorating.

The **statement of activities** presents information showing how the County's net position changed during the most recent fiscal year. All changes in net position are reported as soon as the underlying event giving rise to the change occurs, *regardless of the timing of related cash flows*. Thus, revenues and expenses are reported for some items that

will not result in cash flows until future fiscal periods, such as revenues pertaining to uncollected taxes and expenses pertaining to earned but unused vacation leave.

Both government-wide financial statements have separate sections for three different types of County programs or activities:

Governmental activities

The activities in this section are principally supported by taxes and intergovernmental revenues. These include general government; law, safety and justice; physical environment; transportation; economic environment; health and human services; culture and recreation; debt service and capital outlay. Also included within governmental activities are the operations of the King County Flood Control District which, although legally separate, is reported as a blended component unit to comply with governmental accounting standards.

Business-type activities

These functions are intended to recover all or a significant portion of their costs through user fees and charges to external users. The business-type activities include the public transportation, wastewater treatment, solid waste disposal and recycling, airport property leasing, ferry, radio communications and public internet services.

Discretely presented component units

The County's government-wide financial statements include the financial data of other legally separate entities that are reported as discrete component units: Harborview Medical Center, Cultural Development Authority of King County and NJB Properties. While governmental accounting standards call for these entities to be reported as part of the overall financial reporting entity, they are not included within the primary government. Individual financial statements for these discrete component units can be found in the Basic Financial Statements section, immediately following the fiduciary funds financial statements.

Fund Financial Statements

The fund financial statements are designed to report financial information about the County's funds. A *fund* is a grouping of related accounts used to maintain control over resources that have been segregated for specific activities or objectives.

The County, like most state and local governments, uses fund accounting to ensure and demonstrate compliance with finance-related legal requirements. All of the funds of the County can be divided into three categories: governmental funds, proprietary funds and fiduciary funds.

Governmental funds

Most of the services provided by the County are accounted for in governmental funds. Governmental funds are used to account for essentially the same functions that are reported as governmental activities in the government-wide financial statements.

Unlike government-wide financial statements, however, governmental funds financial statements focus on near-term inflows and outflows of spendable resources, as well as on balances of spendable resources available at the end of the fiscal year. Such information may be useful in assessing near-term financing requirements.

Because the focus of governmental funds is narrower than that of the government-wide financial statements, it is useful to compare the information presented for governmental funds with similar information presented for governmental activities in the government-wide financial statements. By doing so, readers may better understand the long-term impact of the government's near-term financing decisions. Both the governmental funds balance sheet and the governmental funds statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balance provide a reconciliation to facilitate this comparison between governmental funds and governmental activities.

King County maintains a general fund and several other individual governmental funds organized according to their type (special revenue, debt service, and capital projects). The government reports two governmental **major funds**, namely, the General Fund and the Behavioral Health Fund. Each major fund is presented in a separate column in the

governmental funds balance sheet and the governmental funds statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balances. Data from the other governmental funds are combined into a single aggregated presentation. Individual fund data for each of these nonmajor funds is provided as supplementary information in the form of combining statements in the Governmental Funds section.

The County adopted biennial budgets for the General Fund and Behavioral Health Fund, appropriated at the department or division level. Budgetary comparison schedules are provided for each of the major governmental funds and may be found in the Required Supplementary Information section.

Proprietary funds

Proprietary funds are used to account for services for which the County charges customers a fee to recover all or a portion of the cost of providing the services. Proprietary funds provide the same type of information as shown in the government-wide financial statements but at a more detailed level, including the addition of cash flow statements. Like the government-wide financial statements, proprietary funds financial statements use the accrual basis of accounting. The basic proprietary funds financial statements can be found immediately following the governmental funds financial statements.

The County has two types of proprietary funds:

Enterprise funds are used to report the same functions presented as business-type activities in the government-wide financial statements. The proprietary funds financial statements provide separate information for the Water Quality Enterprise and the Public Transportation Enterprise, both considered to be major funds of the County for financial reporting purposes. All other enterprise funds are aggregated into a single column within the proprietary funds financial statements.

Internal service funds are used to report activities that provide centralized services to the County's other programs and activities on a cost reimbursement basis. The County uses this type of fund to account for services, such as, motor pool, information and technology, employee benefits, facilities management, risk management, financial and various other administrative services. Most of these funds support or benefit governmental rather than business-type functions and those funds have therefore been appropriately consolidated within governmental activities in the government-wide financial statements. One of the internal service funds, however, provides equipment and fleet maintenance services almost exclusively to the Water Quality Enterprise and is therefore consolidated within the business-type activities in the government-wide financial statements. At the fund level, because of their business-type nature, all the internal service funds are aggregated for reporting purposes under the proprietary fund group in the basic financial statements with individual fund statements provided as other supplementary information in the Internal Service Funds combining section.

Fiduciary funds

Fiduciary funds such as trust and agency funds are used to account for resources held for the benefit of parties outside the government. This fund group also includes the investment trust funds that are used to report investment pool activity on behalf of special districts and public authorities. Since the resources of these funds are not available to support the County's own programs, they are not reflected in the government-wide financial statements. The accounting for fiduciary funds is much like that used for proprietary funds, except fiduciary funds are not required to prepare a statement of activities. The basic fiduciary funds financial statements can be found immediately following the proprietary funds financial statements.

Notes to the Financial Statements

The notes provide additional information essential to a full understanding of the data provided in the government-wide and fund financial statements. The notes to the financial statements can be found immediately following the individual component unit financial statements in the Basic Financial Statements section.

Other Information

Required supplementary information

In addition to the basic financial statements and accompanying notes, this report also presents required supplementary information on 1) budget to actual comparisons for major governmental funds, 2) the current funding progress for pensions, 3) the current funding progress for other postemployment benefits, and 4) infrastructure assets reported using the modified approach. The required supplementary information immediately follows the notes to the financial statements.

Combining statements

The combining statements are presented in separate sections immediately after the required supplementary information.

GOVERNMENT-WIDE FINANCIAL ANALYSIS

As noted earlier, net position over time, may serve as a useful indicator of a government's financial position. In the case of King County, assets and deferred outflows of resources exceeded liabilities and deferred inflows of resources by \$6.1 billion, at the close of the most recent fiscal year, as shown below.

King County's Net Position (in thousands)

	Governmental				Busine	ss-ty	pe					
	Activ	/ities		Activities					Total			
	2017		2016	2017		2016		2017			2016	
Assets												
Current and other assets	\$ 1,524,913	\$	1,344,764	\$	2,180,420	\$	2,032,013	\$	3,705,333	\$	3,376,777	
Capital assets	3,160,561		3,062,261		6,046,506		5,968,158		9,207,067		9,030,419	
Total Assets	4,685,474		4,407,025		8,226,926		8,000,171		12,912,400		12,407,196	
Deferred Outflows of Resources	 88,119		136,468		280,051		319,215		368,170		455,683	
Liabilities												
Long-term liabilities	1,544,905		1,751,792		5,035,343		5,172,486		6,580,248		6,924,278	
Other liabilities	206,158		176,344		244,241		241,759		450,399		418,103	
Total Liabilities	1,751,063		1,928,136		5,279,584		5,414,245		7,030,647		7,342,381	
Deferred Inflows of Resources	 88,326		15,300		106,109		54,848		194,435		70,148	
Net Position												
Net investment in capital assets	2,404,324		2,233,273		1,903,034		1,788,355		4,307,358		4,021,628	
Restricted	767,889		701,966		278,438		244,689		1,046,327		946,655	
Unrestricted	(238,009)		(335,182)		939,812		817,249		701,803		482,067	
Total Net Position	\$ 2,934,204	\$	2,600,057	\$	3,121,284	\$	2,850,293	\$	6,055,488	\$	5,450,350	

By far, the largest portion of King County's net position, 71.1 percent, reflects its net investment in capital assets. The County employs these long-lived assets in providing a variety of public goods and services to its citizens. Accordingly, the net position associated with the capital assets do not represent amounts available for future spending. Although the County's investment in capital assets is reported net of related debt, it should be noted that the resources used to repay the capital-related borrowing must be provided from other more current or liquid assets.

An additional portion of the King County's net position, 17.3 percent or \$1.0 billion, represents resources that are subject to external restrictions on how they may be used. The remaining balance of \$701.8 million is unrestricted. A negative unrestricted net position represents more liabilities and deferred inflows of resources than assets and deferred outflows of resources.

King County's overall net position increased 11.1 percent or \$605.1 million from the prior fiscal year. The reasons for this overall increase are discussed in the following sections for governmental activities and business-type activities.

<u>Governmental Activities</u> During the current fiscal year, net position for governmental activities increased \$334.1 million, or 12.9 percent from the prior fiscal year for an ending balance of \$2.9 billion. Net position invested in capital

assets comprised 81.9 percent of total net position, or \$2.4 billion, an increase from the prior year of \$171.1 million. The increase was caused by the combined net additions to capital assets and net reductions in outstanding capital related debt during the year. Net position restricted for specific purposes amounted to \$767.9 million, including \$246.2 million for future capital spending, \$215.9 million dedicated to health and human services, and \$101.4 million for law, safety and justice services.

Governmental activities accounted for 55.2 percent of the total improvement in net position of the County. The total revenues for governmental activities were \$2.2 billion, an increase of 1.9 percent or \$40.9 million from the prior year. Increases in retail sales and use taxes accounted for the largest portion, \$27.8 million, followed by property taxes with \$23.8 million. The increase in retail sales and use taxes was the result of incremental tax rate increases in 2017 earmarked for the general fund, E-911 services and mental illness and drug dependency programs. The increase in property taxes were due to prior year voter-approved levies taking effect in 2017 for public safety and other programs in the general fund.

Expenses for governmental activities during the year decreased in the aggregate by a net of 0.8 percent or \$14.6 million. Culture and recreation expenses decreased by 36.2 percent due to the expiration of an agreement which required the County to transfer bond proceeds to the Cultural Development Authority through 2016 to fund public art. Interest and debt service costs decreased by 12.5 percent, the result of debt defeasances that took effect in 2017. Meanwhile, a 17.1 percent increase in spending occurred in transportation services as the County continued to catch up on deferred maintenance for the roads and bridges infrastructure.

Changes in Net Position (in thousands)

	Governn			ntal	Business-type							
		Activ	/itie	s		Activ	/iti	es		То	tal	
		2017		2016		2017		2016	2017			2016
Revenues											Т	
Program revenues												
Charges for services	\$	811,062	\$	794,180	\$	1,055,751	\$	1,110,882	\$	1,866,813	\$	1,905,062
Operating grants and contributions		234,877		218,760		36,387		58,374		271,264		277,134
Capital grants and contributions		17,169		29,709		46,741		89,336		63,910		119,045
General revenues												
Property taxes		833,200		809,365		29,000		28,118		862,200		837,483
Retail sales and use taxes		226,695		198,941		591,088		567,128		817,783		766,069
Other taxes		21,992		59,973		_		_		21,992		59,973
Unrestricted interest earnings		16,167		11,830		12,147		10,286		28,314		22,116
Miscellaneous revenue		2,463		_		_		_		2,463		_
Total revenues		2,163,625		2,122,758		1,771,114		1,864,124		3,934,739		3,986,882
Expenses ^(a)				_			Π					
General government ^{(b) (c)}		229,651		214,328		_		_		229,651		214,328
Law, safety and justice(b)(c)		574,938		572,925		_		_		574,938		572,925
Physical environment ^{(b) (c)}		20,784		21,046		_		_		20,784		21,046
Transportation ^(b)		103,509		88,394		_		_		103,509		88,394
Economic environment(b) (c)		187,712		226,291		_		_		187,712		226,291
Health and human services(c)		632,257		602,843		_		_		632,257		602,843
Culture and recreation(b) (c)		59,726		93,599		_		_		59,726		93,599
Interest and other debt service costs		25,987		29,714		_		_		25,987		29,714
Airport		_		_		23,830		26,304		23,830		26,304
Public transportation		_		_		883,804		848,622		883,804		848,622
Solid waste		_		_		105,597		132,386		105,597		132,386
Water quality		_		_		466,564		467,987		466,564		467,987
Other enterprise activities						15,029		14,773		15,029		14,773
Total expenses		1,834,564		1,849,140		1,494,824		1,490,072		3,329,388		3,339,212
Increase in net position before transfers and special items		329,061		273,618		276,290		374,052		605,351		647,670
Transfers		5,299		(4,265)		(5,299)		4,265		_		_
Special items		(213)		5,042		_		_		(213)		5,042
Increase in net position		334,147		274,395		270,991	Π	378,317		605,138		652,712
Net position, beginning of year ^(d)		2,600,057		2,325,662		2,850,293	_	2,471,976		5,450,350		4,797,638
Net position, end of year	\$	2,934,204	\$	2,600,057	\$	3,121,284	\$	2,850,293	\$	6,055,488	\$	5,450,350

⁽a) Expenses for all functions include the allocation of indirect expenses from general government. The amount of indirect general government expenses allocated to each function is shown in a separate column on the government-wide Statement of Activities next to the column of direct operating expenses incurred by each function. In the above statement, the \$229.7 million in general government expense consists of \$271.9 million in direct program expenses reduced by indirect charges of \$42.3 million that was charged to the other benefiting functions.

Business-type Activities King County's business-type activities reported a net position of \$3.1 billion, increasing by 9.5 percent or \$271.0 million from the prior year. Of the total net position for business-type activities, 61.0 percent or \$1.9 billion was invested in capital assets net of the related debt used to finance the acquisition or construction of these capital assets. Another 8.9 percent or \$278.4 million of the total net position of business-type activities is restricted for spending on capital projects, debt service, regulatory assets and environmental liabilities. The remaining 30.1 percent or \$939.8 million is unrestricted net position which is available to meet ongoing obligations to customers, vendors, other creditors and employees.

⁽b) 2016 expenses in these functions were adjusted for the corresponding effects of the restatements of beginning net position mentioned in the footnote (d) below.

⁽c) 2016 expenses in these functions were adjusted due to a redefinition of functional components to make the classification more meaningful to County stakeholders. Also the 2016 function labels "public safety" and "mental and physical health" were replaced in 2017 by "law, safety and justice" and "health and human services", respectively.

⁽d) Net position, beginning of year has been restated. See Note 18 - Components of Fund Balance, Restrictions, Restatements and Special Item.

Business-type activities' net position of \$3.1 billion comprised 51.5 percent of the total County net position at the end of 2017. This resulted from an increase during 2017 which accounted for 44.8 percent of the total increase in aggregate net position of the County. This growth in net position was due primarily to acquisitions of capital assets not funded through long-term debt, as in the case of new bus purchases by the Public Transportation Enterprise.

Total revenues of business-type activities decreased by 5.0 percent or \$93.0 million over the prior year. Grants and contributions declined sharply at 37.7 percent and 47.7 percent for operating and capital, respectively. Charges for services declined by 5.0 percent. The drop in grant revenues was attributable to a tapering off of grant eligible spending on public transportation buses after the activity crested in early 2017. Only retail sales and use tax revenues showed a slight growth of 4.2 percent driven by favorable business conditions.

Business-type activities expenses stayed at about the same level over last year at \$1.5 billion. Only public transportation had a notable increase in expenses at 4.1 percent. Expenses for solid waste and airport declined by 20.2 percent and 9.4 percent, respectively, while that for water quality dipped slightly by 0.3 percent over the prior year. The marked reduction in solid waste expenses in 2017 reflects a normalization in the trend coming off the 2016 period when there was a significant increase in expenses corresponding to an upward revision in the system-wide estimated closure and post-closure care liability. Another reason for the general decrease in expenses in 2017 was an across-the-board reduction in pension-related costs due to changes in actuarial assumptions in the main retirement plan.

FINANCIAL ANALYSIS OF THE COUNTY'S FUNDS

The County uses fund accounting to ensure and demonstrate compliance with finance-related legal requirements.

<u>Governmental Funds</u> The focus of the County's governmental funds is to provide information on near-term inflows, outflows, and balances of spendable resources. Such information is useful in assessing the County's financing requirements. In particular, unassigned fund balance may serve as a useful measure of a government's net resources available for discretionary use. It represents the portion of fund balance which has not yet been limited to use for a particular purpose by either an external party, the County itself or a group or individual that has been delegated authority to assign resources for use for particular purposes by the County Council.

At December 31, 2017, the County's governmental funds reported a combined fund balance of \$967.1 million, an increase of 14.4 percent or \$121.4 million in comparison with the prior year. Approximately 8.3 percent or \$80.0 million constitutes *unassigned fund balance*. The remainder of fund balance is either *nonspendable*, *restricted*, *committed* or *assigned* to indicate, respectively, that it is 1) not in spendable form or legally required to be maintained intact, \$11.4 million, 2) restricted for particular purposes, \$785.4 million, 3) committed for particular purposes, \$25.2 million, or assigned for particular purposes, \$65.1 million.

The **General Fund** is the chief operating fund of the County. At the end of the 2017 fiscal year, total fund balance for the General Fund was \$144.8 million. Unassigned fund balance totaled \$98.4 million, an increase of 48.6 percent or \$32.2 million over the prior year. As a measure of the General Fund's liquidity, it may be useful to compare both unassigned fund balance and total fund balance to total fund expenditures of \$729.7 million. The unassigned fund balance of \$98.4 million represents 13.5 percent of total General Fund expenditures, compared to 9.3 percent in 2016 while the total fund balance of \$144.8 million represents 19.8 percent of total expenditures in 2017, compared to 17.4 percent in 2016.

Fund balance of the General Fund increased by 17.2 percent or \$21.3 million during 2017. The increase in fund balance was attributed to an overall increase to nearly all revenue streams. The notable increases occurred in property taxes and sales taxes by \$10.4 million and \$5.6 million, respectively, due to new levies and continued growth in consumer spending. In addition, miscellaneous revenue and charges for services increased by \$7.4 million and \$4.1 million, respectively, due to recent rate increases and additional revenue streams from new service offerings.

The **Behavioral Health Fund** provides oversight and management of crisis services, mental health treatment, substance use disorder treatment and diversion and reentry services to low income clients, with an emphasis on prevention, intervention, treatment and recovery. At the end of 2017, it had a total fund balance of \$57.3 million, a decrease of 21.4 percent or \$15.6 million over the prior year.

The large decrease in fund balance in the current year was caused by an increase in expenditures owing to growth in service demand and an unanticipated revenue shortfall in grant funding. The increase of \$40.4 million in expenditures were most notable in mental health services and substance abuse services with increases of \$16.6 million and \$20.2

million, respectively. Although revenues increased from last year by \$17.6 million, many grants were not renewed or continued to help defray the increase in program costs.

<u>Proprietary Funds</u> The County's proprietary funds provide the same type of information found in the government-wide financial statements for business-type activities, but in more detail.

At December 31, 2017, the County's proprietary funds reported a combined net position of \$3.0 billion, an increase of 9.1 percent or \$250.6 million in compared to the prior year. The Public Transportation Enterprise net position increased 8.0 percent or \$151.7 million while the net position of the Water Quality Enterprise improved by 8.9 percent or \$57.2 million.

The **Public Transportation Enterprise** accounts for the operations, maintenance and capital improvements of the County's public transportation system. At the end of 2017, the Public Transportation Enterprise had total net position of \$2.0 billion of which 71.0 percent or \$1.5 billion was invested in capital assets net of associated debt; 2.1 percent or \$42.6 million was restricted for capital projects and debt service; while 26.9 percent or \$550.9 million was unrestricted. Unrestricted net position increased from the prior year by 18.8 percent or \$87.1 million. The large increase is due to continually keeping expenses under revenues. The key revenues that help continue to increase the Enterprise's net position are sales taxes at \$591.1 million, or 58.4 percent of total revenues; passenger fares at \$176.3 million, or 17.4 percent of total revenue; and service contracts at \$151.3 million or 15.0 percent of total revenues. Total operating expenses increased by \$46.5 million from the prior year, with personal services experiencing the largest increase at \$16.3 million and internal services increasing by \$12.9 million.

The **Water Quality Enterprise** accounts for the operations, maintenance, capital improvements and expansion of the County's water pollution control facilities. At the end of 2017, the Water Quality Enterprise reported total net position of \$696.6 million of which 25.9 percent or \$180.7 million was invested in capital assets net of the related debt; 33.9 percent or \$235.9 million was restricted for debt service and regulatory assets and environmental liabilities; and the remaining 40.2 percent or \$280.0 million was unrestricted. Changes in net position were largely due to increased sewage disposal, capacity charge and other operating revenues. The Enterprise also prevailed in a court judgment and agreed to a settlement which released it from a liability of \$15.4 million in attorney's fees.

General Fund Budgetary Highlights

King County budgets on a biennial basis with each budget period beginning in an odd-numbered year. This is the first year of the 2017-2018 biennium for County operating funds. The biennial budget is a true 24-month budget, not two separate budgets enacted at the same time.

Original Budget Compared to Final Budget
The General Fund's final budget differs from the original budget in that it reflects an increase of \$14.4 million in unexpected revenues and \$38.2 million in expenditures due to 2017 supplemental budget appropriations. The largest increases to estimated revenues occurred in intergovernmental revenues as a result of entering into additional contracts with other governments. Budget adjustments were made during the year to general government by \$4.0 million; law, safety and justice by \$17.8 million; health and human services by \$4.5 million; capital outlay by \$1.5 million; and transfers out by \$10.4 million. The majority of the significant increases to law, safety and justice were a result of increases in full-time equivalents for the adult and juvenile detention program and public defense services, and increases due to the collective bargaining agreement between the King County Police Officers' Guild and King County Sheriff's Office to include cost of living adjustments and contract ratification bonuses. The increase to general government appropriations were due to supplemental spending for various agencies, including Elections, Records and Licensing Services and Office of Performance, Strategy and Budget.

<u>Final Budget Compared to Actual Results</u> Property taxes are by far the largest revenue source, accounting for 41.9 percent. Charges for services, retail sales and use taxes and intergovernmental revenues are the other significant sources of revenues for the General Fund, with 18.4 percent, 16.5 percent and 14.6 percent of total actual revenues, respectively. The amount received for charges for services and intergovernmental revenues are dependent on corresponding services provided, thus, would fluctuate with the applicable programs and services offered. Retail sales and use taxes provide the most opportunity for growth, as it is dependent on increased spending, which increases with consumer confidence.

Sluggish revenue growth will be the prevalent pattern for the General Fund as it continues to face the challenges of state-imposed limitations on local property tax revenues. Capping property tax revenue growth so far below the rate of inflation and population growth (typically 3.0-3.5 percent per year) has led to continued reductions in General Fund

and other county services, despite significant efficiencies achieved in recent years. The improved local economy and consumer confidence has the potential to boost General Fund revenues in future years, as these resources have fewer limitations on the amount that may be earned and on how they are spent.

The actual budgetary basis expenditures were \$890.5 million less than the final appropriation. Public safety and general government appropriations comprise the majority of total actual expenditures at 68.2 percent and 17.1 percent respectively.

CAPITAL ASSETS, INFRASTRUCTURE AND DEBT ADMINISTRATION

Capital Assets

The King County primary government's capital assets for its governmental and business-type activities as of December 31, 2017, amounted to \$3.2 billion for governmental activities and \$6.0 billion for business-type activities totaling \$9.2 billion, net of depreciation.

Capital assets include land, rights-of-way, easements and development rights, buildings, improvements other than buildings, infrastructure, vehicles, machinery, computers, software and other equipment and construction in progress. The total increase in capital assets over the previous year was \$176.6 million, net of depreciation.

Major capital asset events during 2017 included the following:

- Construction is underway on the voter-approved, \$210.0 million, Children and Family Justice Center which
 replaces the existing Youth Services Center. The new justice center is scheduled to be completed in the fall
 of 2019, and the parking garage is scheduled to be completed by the spring of 2021.
- Public Transportation purchased and placed into service 108 new buses during the year at a cost of \$114.1 million. Water Quality brought new facilities into service during the year at a cost of \$61.1 million. This includes buildings at a cost of \$5.8 million and infrastructure at a cost of \$41.6 million.
- Solid Waste Enterprise fully completed construction of the new Factoria Recycling and Transfer Station at a
 cost of \$91.0 million in September 2017. The new facility replaced the 1960s-era Factoria Transfer Station
 with a larger, modern transfer station that meets current building and environmental standards, and that
 accommodates future growth in the region.
- · Significant land acquisitions for Parks, Open Spaces and Flood Control were also made in 2017.
- Puget Sound Emergency Radio Network (PSERN) is engaged in replacing the existing radio system that is
 over 20 years old. The new system as a whole will provide improved coverage, capacity, capability and
 connectivity in PSERN'S regional service area. Currently, King County has spent \$22.3 million on the project,
 and construction is anticipated to be completed in 2021.

A summary of the 2017 capital assets activity is shown below. More detailed information on the County's capital assets can be found in Note 7 - Capital Assets.

Capital Assets (in millions)

	Governmental					Busine	ss-t	ype						
		Activities				Activities					Total			
		2017		2016			2017	2016			2017		2016	
Land and land rights	\$	1,049.3	\$	1,016.9		\$	491.7	\$	485.8	\$	1,541.0	\$	1,502.7	
Buildings*		538.7		566.3			1,828.5		1,820.5		2,367.2		2,386.8	
Leasehold Improvements*		12.4		13.4			3.5		3.9		15.9		17.3	
Improvements other than buildings*		51.3		60.1			235.9		199.3		287.2		259.4	
Infrastructure - roads and bridges		1,106.2		1,101.4	**		_		_		1,106.2		1,101.4	
Infrastructure - other*		26.7		21.9			1,672.3		1,682.7		1,699.0		1,704.6	
Equipment, software and art collection*		99.6		108.5			1,281.2		1,255.6		1,380.8		1,364.1	
Construction in progress		276.5		173.9	**		533.4		520.4		809.9		694.3	
Total	\$	3,160.7	\$	3,062.4		\$	6,046.5	\$	5,968.2	\$	9,207.2	\$	9,030.6	

^{*} Net of depreciation/amortization

Infrastructure

The County has elected to use the modified approach in reporting roads and bridges. Under the modified approach, capital assets are not depreciated because the presumption is that they will be preserved indefinitely. The condition of the assets is disclosed to provide evidence that established condition levels are being met. The rating scales for pavements (roads) and bridges and the results of the most recent condition assessments are further explained in the required supplementary information which follows the notes to the basic financial statements.

Condition assessments for roads are undertaken every three years using a pavement condition index (PCI). This is a 100-point scale numerical index that represents the pavement's functional condition based on the quantity, severity and type of visual distress. It is the policy of the King County Road Services Division to maintain at least 50 percent of the road system at a PCI of 40 or better. In the most recent condition assessment, more than two-thirds of the arterial and local access roads met the established condition level.

The County currently maintains 182 bridges. Physical inspections to uncover deficiencies are carried out at least every two years. A prioritization scale is maintained to determine which bridges are due for replacement or rehabilitation. The most significant criterion is the sufficiency rating which utilizes a 100-point priority scale based on various factors of bridge condition. The policy of the King County Road Services Division is to maintain bridges in such a manner that no more than 6.5 percent will have a sufficiency rating of 20 or less. The most current complete assessment showed only six bridges at or below this threshold.

^{**} Restated

Debt Administration

At the end of 2017, King County had a total of \$5.2 billion in debt outstanding. Of this amount, \$1.9 billion comprises debt backed by the full faith and credit of the County. The other \$3.3 billion represents bonds secured by revenues generated by the debt-financed capital assets and state revolving loans. Below is a summary of the County's debt by type and activity.

Outstanding Debt (in millions)

	Govern Activ			Business-type Activities					Total				
	2017	2017 2016			2017		2016		2017	2016			
General obligation bonds	\$ 805.7	\$	849.4	\$	1,054.4	\$	1,077.0	\$	1,860.1	\$	1,926.4		
Lease revenue bonds	_		18.4		_		_		_		18.4		
GO capital leases (a)	8.8		_		_		_		8.8		_		
Revenue bonds	_		_		3,155.9		3,170.4		3,155.9		3,170.4		
State revolving loans	_		_		218.0		206.0		218.0		206.0		
Total	\$ 814.5	\$	867.8	\$	4,428.3	\$	4,453.4	\$	5,242.8	\$	5,321.2		

(a) Beginning in 2017, NJB Properties, Inc. as a component unit of King County went from being blended to being discretely presented for financial reporting. As a result, the NJB Properties lease revenue bonds liability was removed from the County and reported with the component unit. Because of the existing project lease agreement between the two parties, the County retroactively recognized a capital lease liability. Under the lease agreements, the County's obligation to pay rent to NJB Properties is a limited tax general obligation.

Total debt decreased over the previous year by 1.5 percent or \$78.4 million (a 6.1 percent or \$53.3 million decrease for governmental activities and a 0.6 percent or \$25.1 million decrease for business-type activities). Governmental activities' outstanding debt decreased primarily due to \$69.9 million debt service payments offset by an increase of \$33.3 million in new limited general obligation bond issuances.

Business-type activities' outstanding debt decreased primarily due to the issuance of \$485.4 million in both new limited tax general obligation bonds and refunding sewer revenue bonds, with related net premiums and discounts of \$51.4 million, offset by \$90.6 million debt service payments and \$440.4 million in defeased bonds. State revolving loans increased by \$12.0 million.

During 2017, the County refinanced some of its existing business-type activities debt taking advantage of favorable interest rates. The County refinanced business-type debt in the amount of \$159.7 million of sewer revenue bonds and \$175.6 million of limited tax general obligation bonds payable from sewer revenue that is expected to decrease future aggregate debt service payments by \$77.0 million over the life of the bonds.

The County maintained a rating of "Aaa" from Moody's, a rating of "AAA" from Standard & Poor's, and a rating of "AAA" from Fitch for its limited tax general obligation debt. For its unlimited tax general obligation debt the County has a rating of "Aaa" from Moody's, a rating of "AAA" from Standard & Poor's, and a rating of "AAA" from Fitch. The ratings for Water Quality Enterprise's revenue debt are "Aa1" from Moody's and "AA+" from Standard & Poor's.

State statutes limit the amount of general obligation debt that the County may issue to 2.5 percent of its total assessed valuation for general county purposes and 2.5 percent for metropolitan functions (Water Quality and Public Transportation funds). The current debt limitation of total general obligations for general county purposes is \$13.4 billion, significantly higher than the County's outstanding net general obligation long-term liabilities of \$719.5 million. For metropolitan functions the debt limitation is also \$13.4 billion and the County's outstanding net general obligation debt for metropolitan functions is \$880.1 million.

Additional information on King County's long-term debt can be found in Note 15 - "Debt."

ECONOMIC OUTLOOK AND NEXT YEAR'S BUDGET

A broad-based economic recovery continues in the United States. Retail sales increased 4.5 percent throughout 2017. Stock market values, relatively flat in 2015 and most of 2016, soared following the November 2016 election at least in part due to the prospect of a friendlier corporate earnings environment. This trend continued throughout 2017, punctuated in December by cuts to corporate and personal income taxes. Personal income is growing steadily, and

corporate profits are strong. The housing market is flourishing and real manufacturing output is at an all-time high. The Blue Chip consensus forecast is for a 2.8 percent growth in real GDP in 2018.

Items of note within King County:

- King County's unemployment rate is now at 4.2 percent (as of January 2018), lower than state and national unemployment rates, which are 4.7 percent and 4.1 percent, respectively. Amazon continues to hire thousands of new employees in King County each year and boasts the most job openings in the area.
- Boeing, while still the largest employer in Washington State, has seen steady declines in employment the last several years due to attrition and increased efficiency of assembly processes. Despite the reductions Boeing's outlook continues to be solid. It continues to build the 737 MAX in Renton, a plane they have received over 4.300 orders for as of March 2018.
- In the years since the Great Recession, County taxable retail sales have rebounded thanks to growing incomes, enhanced consumer confidence, strong employment, and a booming construction sector. Local retail sales tax collections grew 7.9 percent in 2014, 8.8 percent in 2015, 7.7 percent in 2016, and 5.2 percent in 2017.
- King County's first ever master labor agreement was reached in May 2018, indicating a strong partnership with employees.

King County continues to be saddled by fiscal challenges from an ongoing structural gap, caused by revenue growth that is slower than inflation. Without action by the federal and state governments, public safety, transportation and public health infrastructures will continue to be problematic along with the quality of life these services afford. In order for the County to continue providing critical services for its residents, it has to introduce reforms, develop efficiencies through reorganization, promote technology and involve the private sector through innovative partnerships.

REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION

This financial report is designed to provide an overview of the County's financial activities for all those with an interest in the government's finances. Questions concerning any of the information provided in this report, or requests for additional financial information, should be addressed to the Chief Accountant, 500 Fourth Avenue Room 653, Seattle, WA 98104.

STATEMENT OF NET POSITION DECEMBER 31, 2017

(IN THOUSANDS)

		Primary Governmer	nt	
	Governmental	Business-type		Component
	Activities	Activities	Total	Units
ASSETS				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,300,353	\$ 1,672,068	\$ 2,972,421	\$ 333,680
Investments	2,670	_	2,670	32,456
Receivables, net	228,650	266,530	495,180	173,217
Internal balances	(82,876)	82,876	_	_
Inventories	2,262	32,372	34,634	11,909
Prepayments and other assets	11,127	5,980	17,107	19,580
Net pension asset	49,475	_	49,475	_
Capital assets:				
Nondepreciable assets	2,442,405	1,022,534	3,464,939	16,446
Depreciable assets, net	718,156	5,023,972	5,742,128	273,731
Net investment in capital lease	_	· · · —	· · · —	8,843
Deposits with other governments	_	_	_	600
Regulatory assets - environmental remediation	_	116,750	116,750	_
Other assets	13,252	3,844	17,096	24,448
TOTAL ASSETS	4,685,474	8,226,926	12,912,400	894,910
	.,,,,,,,,,		.2,0 .2, .00	
DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES				
Deferred outflows on refunding	21,014	231,731	252,745	
Deferred outflows on pensions	67,105	48,320	115,425	303
TOTAL DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES	88,119	280,051	368,170	303
LIABILITIES				
Accounts payable and other current liabilities	139,161	142,397	281,558	83,626
Accrued liabilities	42,158	92,120	134,278	49,763
Unearned revenues	24,839	9,724	34,563	13,299
Noncurrent liabilities:				
Due within one year	131,875	151,470	283,345	2,338
Due in more than one year	1,413,030	4,883,873	6,296,903	27,296
TOTAL LIABILITIES	1,751,063	5,279,584	7,030,647	176,322
DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES	47		47	
Advanced grants	47		47	
Deferred inflows on pensions	88,279	59,859	148,138	226
Rate stabilization		46,250	46,250	
TOTAL DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES	88,326	106,109	194,435	226
NET POSITION				
Net investment in capital assets	2,404,324	1,903,034	4,307,358	290,062
Restricted for:				
Capital projects	246,181	30,336	276,517	_
Debt service	_	174,325	174,325	_
General government	30,614	_	30,614	_
Law, safety and justice	101,373	_	101,373	_
Physical environment	18,482	_	18,482	_
Transportation	64,639	_	64,639	_
Economic environment	66,552	_	66,552	_
Health and human services	215,910	_	215,910	_
Culture and recreation	21,518	_	21,518	_
Regulatory assets and environmental liabilities		73,777	73,777	_
Expendable	_			49,171
Nonexpendable	2,620	_	2,620	2,632
Unrestricted	(238,009)	939,812	701,803	376,800
TOTAL NET POSITION				\$ 718,665
IOTAL NET FOOTHOW	\$ 2,934,204	Ψ 0,121,204	Ψ 0,000,700	Ψ 110,000

King County, Washington

STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2017
(IN THOUSANDS)

				Program Revenues	les	Net (Expen	Net (Expense) Revenue and Changes in Net Position	hanges in Net	Position
						Pri	Primary Government		Component Units Total
		Indirect	Chargos	Operating	Capital	Governmental	Bueinose tva		
Functions/Programs	Expenses	Allocation	Services	Contributions	Contributions	Activities	Activities	Total	
Primary government:									
Governmental activities:									
General government	\$ 271,920	\$ (42,269)	\$ 140,081	\$ 21,260	\$ 7,758	\$ (60,552)	-	\$ (60,552)	
Law, safety and justice	573,433	1,505	158,375	32,210	2	(384,351)	I	(384,351)	I
Physical environment	20,254	530	37,316	5,582		22,114	I	22,114	I
Transportation	101,343	2,166	3,123	24,658	5,255	(70,473)	I	(70,473)	1
Economic environment	184,639	3,073	71,750	50,913		(63,341)	I	(63,341)	I
Health and human services	625,388	6,869	391,338	100,143	440	(140,336)	I	(140,336)	I
Culture and recreation	58,514	1,212	9,079	111	2,006	(48,530)	I	(48,530)	I
Interest and other debt service costs	25,987	1	1	1		(25,987)	I	(25,987)	I
Total governmental activities	1,861,478	(26,914)	811,062	234,877	17,169	(771,456)	1	(771,456)	
Business-type activities:									
Airport	23,428	402	20,965	_	1,368	1	(1,496)	(1,496)	I
Public Transportation	864,278	19,526	355,548	35,750	41,064	I	(451,442)	(451,442)	I
Solid Waste	102,895	2,702	143,524	181		1	38,108	38,108	I
Water Quality	462,554	4,010	524,439			1	57,875	57,875	I
Institutional Network	2,445	09	3,004	1	1	I	499	499	I
Marine	7,927	185	2,678	455	4,309	I	(029)	(029)	I
Radio Communications Services	4,383	29	5,593	l		I	1,181	1,181	I
Total business-type activities	1,467,910	26,914	1,055,751	36,387	46,741	1	(355,945)	(355,945)	
Total primary government	\$ 3,329,388	 \$	\$ 1,866,813	\$ 271,264	\$ 63,910	\$ (771,456)	\$ (355,945)	\$(1,127,401)	 \$
Component Units	\$ 1,009,117		\$ 992,694	\$ 16,624	\$ 892				\$ 1,093
	General revenues:	les:							
	Property taxes	S				\$ 833,200	\$ 29,000	\$ 862,200	
	Retail sales and use taxes	nd use taxes				226,695	591,088	817,783	I
	Business and other taxes	other taxes				21,992	I	21,992	I
	Interest earnings	ngs				16,167	12,147	28,314	(838)
	Miscellaneous revenues	s revenues				2,463	l	2,463	I
	Transfers					5,299	(5,299)	I	I
	Special item					(213)		(213)	
	Total general re	evenues, transfe	Total general revenues, transfers and special item	tem		1,105,603	626,936	1,732,539	(839)
		net position				334,147	270,991	605,138	254
		- January 1, 2017 (Restated)	(Restated)			2,600,057	2,850,293	5,450,350	718,411
	Net position - E	- December 31, 2017	117			\$ 2,934,204	\$ 3,121,284	\$ 6,055,488	\$ 718,665

BALANCE SHEET GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS DECEMBER 31, 2017 (IN THOUSANDS)

		GENERAL FUND	ı	BEHAVIORAL HEALTH FUND	GOVER	MAJOR NMENTAL INDS		TOTAL ERNMENTAL FUNDS
ASSETS			_					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	85,179	\$	66,743	\$	801,554	\$	953,476
Investments		· —		_		2,670		2,670
Taxes receivable-delinquent		8,086		55		8,542		16,683
Accounts receivable, net		17,237		1,900		29,833		48,970
Interest receivable		14,323		_		_		14,323
Due from other funds		1,489		78		3,832		5,399
Due from other governments, net		64,207		3,279		79,102		146,588
Inventory		_		_		834		834
Prepayments		_		_		7,914		7,914
Advances to other funds		_		_		4,000		4,000
Notes receivable		_		_		13,253		13,253
TOTAL ASSETS	\$	190,521	\$	72,055	\$	951,534	\$	1,214,110
LIABILITIES								
Accounts payable	\$	4,561	\$	12,610	\$	88,903	\$	106,074
Due to other funds	Ψ	4,944	Ψ	208	Ψ	6,063	Ψ	11,215
Interfund short-term loans payable		-,011		_		15,144		15,144
Due to other governments		2,025		_		8,279		10,304
Wages payable		19,720		605		11,005		31,330
Taxes payable		147		1		96		244
Unearned revenues				1,309		23,119		24,428
Custodial accounts		1,589				6,404		7,993
Advances from other funds		-,,,,,		_		17,679		17,679
TOTAL LIABILITIES		32.986	_	14.733		176,692		224,411
DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES			_	,		,		,
						47		47
Advanced grants		6,472		40		6,553		13,065
Unavailable revenue-property taxes Unavailable revenue-other receivables		6,293		40		3,234		9,527
TOTAL DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES		12,765	_	40		9,834		22,639
		12,703	_	40		3,004		22,000
FUND BALANCES						44.007		44.007
Nonspendable						11,367		11,367
Restricted		2,016		57,282		726,107		785,405
Committed		25,161		_		43		25,204
Assigned		19,181		_		45,905		65,086
Unassigned		98,412	_			(18,414)		79,998
TOTAL FUND BALANCES		144,770	_	57,282		765,008		967,060
TOTAL LIABILIITIES, DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES AND FUND BALANCES	\$	190,521	\$	72,055	\$	951,534	\$	1,214,110
						<u> </u>		
Amounts reported for governmental activities in the sta	tement	or net position a	re a	ifferent because:			•	007.000
Total fund balances - governmental funds							\$	967,060
Capital assets used in governmental activities are not				•				3,110,079
Other long-term assets are not available to pay for cu	rrent-pe	eriod expenditure	es a	nd are deferred in	the funds			128,253
Governmental activities internal service funds assets	and lial	oilities are includ	led i	n the governmenta	l activities	s in the		
statement of net position.								83,821
Long-term liabilities, including bonds payable, are not	due ar	nd payable in the	cur	rent period and the	erefore ar	e not		
reported in the funds.		-						(1,355,009)
Net position of governmental activities							\$	2,934,204
							<u> </u>	

STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2017

(IN THOUSANDS)

	GENERAL FUND		BEHAVIORAL HEALTH FUND	NONMAJOR GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS		GO\	TOTAL /ERNMENTAL FUNDS
REVENUES							
Taxes:							
Property taxes	\$ 344,847	\$	3,259	\$	485,319	\$	833,425
Retail sales and use taxes	134,140		_		92,555		226,695
Business and other taxes	4,295		14		17,684		21,993
Licenses and permits	7,783		_		20,470		28,253
Intergovernmental revenues	21,304		25,589		187,181		234,074
Charges for services	257,517		256,419		243,681		757,617
Fines and forfeits	25,754		_		615		26,369
Interest earnings	8,114		767		5,848		14,729
Miscellaneous revenues	18,191	_	919		29,087		48,197
TOTAL REVENUES	821,945		286,967		1,082,440		2,191,352
EXPENDITURES							
Current:	040.070				0.5.700		054.405
General government	218,379		_		35,786		254,165
Law, safety and justice	471,092		_		133,621		604,713
Physical environment	_		_		24,470		24,470
Transportation			_		107,082		107,082
Economic environment	503				188,886		189,389
Health and human services	38,560		305,591		302,688		646,839
Culture and recreation	_		_		57,508		57,508
Debt service:					00.700		00.700
Principal			_		63,702		63,702
Interest and other debt service costs	75		_		33,487		33,562
Capital outlay	 1,138	_			154,057		155,195
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	 729,747	_	305,591		1,101,287		2,136,625
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over (under) expenditures	92.198		(18,624)		(18,847)		54,727
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)	 32,130	_	(10,024)		(10,047)		54,727
Transfers in	13,255		5,477		349,885		368,617
Transfers out	(84,358)		(2,428)		(262,071)		(348,857)
	(04,330)		(2,420)		, ,		
General government debt issued	_		_		33,325		33,325
Premium on general government bonds issued	_		_		5,037		5,037
Sale of capital assets	168		_		4,841		5,009
Insurance recoveries		_			3,538		3,538
TOTAL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)	 (70,935)	_	3,049		134,555		66,669
Net change in fund balances	21,263		(15,575)		115,708		121,396
Fund balances - beginning	125,479		72,857		648,320		846,656
Prior period adjustment	 (1,972)	_			980		(992)
Fund balances - ending	\$ 144,770	\$	57,282	\$	765,008	\$	967,060

RECONCILIATION OF THE STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES OF GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS TO THE STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES

FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2017

(IN THOUSANDS)

Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of activities are different because:

Net change in fund balances - total governmental funds	\$ 121,396
Governmental funds report capital outlays as expenditures. However, in the statement of activities the cost of those assets is allocated over their estimated useful lives and reported as depreciation expense. This is the amount by which capital outlays exceeded depreciation in the current period.	109,269
The net effect of various miscellaneous transactions involving capital assets (e.g., sales, trade-ins, and donations) is to increase net position.	(9,175)
Revenues in the statement of activities that do not provide current financial resources are not reported as revenues in the governmental funds.	7,937
The issuance of long-term debt provides current financial resources to governmental funds, while the repayment of the principal of long-term debt consumes the current financial resources of governmental funds. Neither transaction has any effect on net position. Also, governmental funds report the effect of issuance costs, premiums, and similar items when debt is first issued, whereas these amounts are deferred and amortized in the statement of activities. This amount is the net effect of these differences in the treatment of long-term debt and related items.	25,339
Some expenses reported in the statement of activities do not require the use of current financial resources and therefore are not reported as expenditures in governmental funds.	52,790
The net revenues and expenses of certain activities of internal service funds are reported with governmental activities.	 26,591
Change in net position of governmental activities	\$ 334,147

STATEMENT OF NET POSITION PROPRIETARY FUNDS DECEMBER 31, 2017

(IN THOUSANDS) (PAGE 1 OF 2)

BUSINESS-TYPE ACTIVITIES

			/		
	PUBLIC TRANSPOR- TATION	WATER QUALITY	NONMAJOR ENTERPRISE FUNDS	TOTAL	INTERNAL SERVICE FUNDS
ASSETS					
Current assets					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 810,548	\$ 366,227	\$ 120,050	\$ 1,296,825	\$ 361,020
Restricted cash and cash equivalents	4,577	2,481	16,595	23,653	274
Accounts receivable, net	24,172	57,767	14,962	96,901	2,049
Due from other funds	2,719	2,087	882	5,688	4,378
Interfund short-term loans receivable	_	_	_	_	15,144
Property tax receivable-delinquent	408	_	75	483	_
Due from other governments	163,561	_	5,559	169,120	37
Inventory of supplies	20,960	9,531	1,876	32,367	1,433
Prepayments and other assets	254	579	196	1,029	3,215
Total current assets	1,027,199	438,672	160,195	1,626,066	387,550
Noncurrent assets					
Restricted assets:					
Cash and cash equivalents	42,532	242,406	52,238	337,176	_
Due from other governments	26	_	_	26	_
Total restricted assets	42,558	242,406	52,238	337,202	
Capital assets:					
Nondepreciable assets	280,768	649,011	92,755	1,022,534	17,442
Depreciable assets, net	1,249,310	3,428,774	336,094	5,014,178	42,836
Total capital assets	1,530,078	4,077,785	428,849	6,036,712	60,278
Other noncurrent assets:					
Prepayments	4,952	_	_	4,952	_
Notes receivable	356	_	_	356	_
Advances to other funds	_	_	_	_	13,679
Regulatory and other utility assets, net of amortization	_	116,750	_	116,750	_
Other assets:	_	3,488	_	3,488	_
Total other noncurrent assets	5,308	120,238		125,546	13,679
Total noncurrent assets	1,577,944	4,440,429	481,087	6,499,460	73,957
TOTAL ASSETS	2,605,143	4,879,101	641,282	8,125,526	461,507
DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES					
Deferred outflows on refunding	2,588	227,851	1,292	231,731	_
Deferred outflows on pensions	38,484	5,767	4,069	48,320	9,341
TOTAL DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES	41,072	233,618	5,361	280,051	9,341

STATEMENT OF NET POSITION PROPRIETARY FUNDS DECEMBER 31, 2017

(IN THOUSANDS) (PAGE 2 OF 2)

		BUSINESS-TY	PE ACTIVITIES		
	PUBLIC TRANSPOR- TATION	WATER QUALITY	NONMAJOR ENTERPRISE FUNDS	TOTAL	INTERNAL SERVICE FUNDS
LIABILITIES					
Current liabilities		0.4.007	40.000	405.400	40.040
Accounts payable	\$ 89,388	\$ 34,827	\$ 10,923	\$ 135,138	\$ 13,049
Retainage payable	673	2,481	3,361	6,515	19
Estimated claim settlements				4.005	52,638
Due to other funds	3,648	25 —	412	4,085 11	167
Due to other governments		66,590	11 620	67,484	42
Interest payable Wages payable	19,235	3,080	2,315	24,630	4,947
	9,843	669	639	11,151	4,94 <i>7</i> 894
Compensated absences payable	9,643	16	368	395	11
Taxes payable	7,251				413
Unearned revenues	,	2,325	148	9,724	413
Pollution remediation	40.050	6,627		6,627	
General obligation bonds payable	12,250	29,340	6,640	48,230	5,465
Revenue bonds payable		55,535	_	55,535	_
Capital leases payable	133	45.000	_	133	_
State revolving loan payable	_	15,690	40.007	15,690	_
Landfill closure and post-closure care	_	_	13,207	13,207	
Other liabilities			897	897	2,062
Total current liabilities	142,706	217,205	39,541	399,452	79,707
Noncurrent liabilities					
Compensated absences payable	41,572	10,596	5,495	57,663	16,002
Other postemployment benefits	11,262	1,631	1,359	14,252	2,645
Net pension liability	289,836	35,112	24,761	349,709	59,463
General obligation bonds payable	66,427	755,018	184,756	1,006,201	5,850
Revenue bonds payable	_	3,100,316	_	3,100,316	_
Capital leases payable	2,381	_	_	2,381	_
State revolving loans payable	_	202,354	_	202,354	_
Landfill closure and post-closure care	_	_	109,675	109,675	_
Estimated claim settlements	_	_	_	_	106,035
Pollution remediation	593	39,833	256	40,682	_
Other liabilities			640	640	
Total noncurrent liabilities	412,071	4,144,860	326,942	4,883,873	189,995
TOTAL LIABILITIES	554,777	4,362,065	366,483	5,283,325	269,702
DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES					
Deferred inflows on rate stabilization	_	46,250	_	46,250	_
Deferred inflows on pensions	46,512	7,825	5,522	59,859	12,184
TOTAL DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES	46,512	54,075	5,522	106,109	12,184
NET POSITION	4 454 470	400 707	004.007	4 000 040	40.000
Net investment in capital assets	1,451,476	180,727	261,037	1,893,240	48,963
Restricted for:	00.000			00.000	055
Capital projects	30,336	400.400	_	30,336	255
Debt service	12,222	162,103	_	174,325	_
Regulatory assets and environmental liabilities		73,777	40.001	73,777	400 744
Unrestricted	550,892	279,972	13,601	844,465	139,744
TOTAL NET POSITION	\$ 2,044,926	\$ 696,579	\$ 274,638	3,016,143	\$ 188,962
Adjustment to reflect the consolidation of internal serv	ice fund activities rel	ated to enterprise	funds	105,141	
Net position of business-type activities				\$ 3,121,284	

STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENSES AND CHANGES IN FUND NET POSITION PROPRIETARY FUNDS

FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2017

(IN THOUSANDS)

BUSINESS-TYPI	E ACTIVITIES

	PUBLIC TRANSPOR-	WATER	NONMAJOR ENTERPRISE		INTERNAL SERVICE
	TATION	QUALITY	FUNDS	TOTAL	FUNDS
OPERATING REVENUES	\$ —	C	¢ 2.002	¢ 2.002	Φ.
I-Net fees Airfield fees	Ф —	\$ —	\$ 3,003 4,100	\$ 3,003 4,100	\$ —
Hangar, building and site rentals and leases			16,486	16,486	_
Radio services	_	_	5,332	5,332	_
Solid waste disposal charges			134,291	134,291	_
Passenger fares	176,338	_	2,621	178,959	_
Service contracts	151,322	_		151,322	_
Sewage disposal fees		401,650	_	401,650	_
Other operating revenues	27,485	100,923	8,873	137,281	564,656
TOTAL OPERATING REVENUES	355,145	502,573	174,706	1,032,424	564,656
OPERATING EXPENSES					
Personal services	497,281	49,160	56,883	603,324	135,083
Materials and supplies	70,461	17,880	9,501	97,842	11,961
Contract services and other charges	44,767	19,075	23,893	87,735	313,567
Utilities	5,610	16,974	3,567	26,151	
Purchased transportation	62,007			62,007	_
Internal services	86,154	39,174	23,273	148,601	28,674
Environmental related amortization	-	4,242		4,242	
Depreciation and amortization	130,203	172,779	18,501	321,483	11,058
TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES	896,483	319,284	135,618	1,351,385	500,343
OPERATING INCOME (LOSS)	(541,338)	183,289	39,088	(318,961)	64,313
NONOPERATING REVENUES					
Sales tax	591,088	_	_	591,088	_
Property tax	23,257	_	5,743	29,000	_
Intergovernmental	35,750	_	-	35,750	_
Interest earnings	6,236	4,386	1,426	12,048	1,536
Other nonoperating revenues	403	21,780	1,695	23,878	3
TOTAL NONOPERATING REVENUES	656,734	26,166	8,864	691,764	1,539
NONOPERATING EXPENSES				-	
Interest	1,045	128,137	2,765	131,947	949
Loss (Gain) on disposal of capital assets	(571)	4,250	1,575	5,254	(439)
Landfill closure and post-closure care		· —	5,112	5,112	
Other nonoperating expenses	622	19,107	1,667	21,396	87
TOTAL NONOPERATING EXPENSES	1,096	151,494	11,119	163,709	597
Income before contributions, transfers and special item	114,300	57,961	36,833	209,094	65,255
Capital grants and contributions	41,064	_	5,698	46,762	2,852
Transfers in	17	_	471	488	1,514
Transfers out	(3,724)	(741)	(1,235)	(5,700)	(16,062)
Special item					(6,621)
CHANGE IN NET POSITION	151,657	57,220	41,767	250,644	46,938
NET POSITION - JANUARY 1, 2017 (RESTATED)	1,893,269	639,359	232,871		142,024
NET POSITION - DECEMBER 31, 2017	\$ 2,044,926	\$ 696,579	\$ 274,638		\$ 188,962
Adjustment to reflect the consolidation of internal service	e fund activities re	elated to enterprise	e funds	20,347	
Change in net position of business-type activities				\$ 270,991	

STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS PROPRIETARY FUNDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2017

(IN THOUSANDS) (PAGE 1 OF 2)

BUSINESS-TYPE ACTIVITIES

		BUSINESS-TYI	PE ACTIVITIES		
	PUBLIC		NONMAJOR		INTERNAL
	TRANSPOR-	WATER	ENTERPRISE		SERVICE
	TATION	QUALITY	FUNDS	TOTAL	FUNDS
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES					
Cash received from customers	\$ 367,545	\$ 492,400	\$ 171,571	\$ 1,031,516	\$ 566,226
Cash payments to suppliers	(270,749)	(90,653)	(58,564)	(419,966)	(374,785)
Cash payments for employee services	(514,563)	(54,953)	(58,734)	(628,250)	(139,344)
Other receipts	(014,000)	12,500	750	13,250	5,042
Other payments		(22,661)	(1,667)	(24,328)	3,042
NET CASH PROVIDED (USED) BY OPERATING ACTIVITIES	(417,767)	336,633	53,356	(27,778)	57,139
	(117,707)			(27,770)	
CASH FLOWS FROM NONCAPITAL FINANCING					
ACTIVITIES					
Operating grants and subsidies received	639,035	_	6,681	645,716	_
Interfund loan principal amounts loaned to other funds	_	_	_	_	(15,144)
Interfund loan principal repayments from other funds	_	_	_	_	38,567
Interfund advance principal loaned to other funds	_	_	_	_	(13,679)
Interfund advance principal repayments from other funds	_	_	_	_	13,880
Grants to others	(21)	(425)	_	(446)	_
Transfers in	17	_	471	488	1,514
Transfers out	(3,724)	(741)	(1,235)	(5,700)	(16,062)
NET CASH PROVIDED (USED) BY NONCAPITAL					
FINANCING ACTIVITIES	635,307	(1,166)	5,917	640,058	9,076
CASH FLOWS FROM CAPITAL AND RELATED FINANCING					
ACTIVITIES					
Acquisition of capital assets	(169,658)	(175,138)	(47,279)	(392,075)	(8,557)
Proceeds from capital debt	_	76,471	35,616	112,087	_
Principal paid on capital debt	(11,856)	(86,944)	(5,785)	(104,585)	(9,815)
Interest paid on capital debt	(3,532)	(157,869)	(7,424)	(168,825)	(880)
Cash payments for bond defeasance	_	(5,394)	_	(5,394)	_
Capital grants and contributions	83,640	_	2,505	86,145	_
Other capitalized payments	_	_	(2,292)	(2,292)	_
Proceeds from disposal of capital assets	1,217	62	498	1,777	758
Landfill closure and post-closure care	_	_	(8,507)	(8,507)	_
NET CASH USED BY CAPITAL AND RELATED					
FINANCING ACTIVITIES	(100,189)	(348,812)	(32,668)	(481,669)	(18,494)
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES					
Interest on investments	6,236	4,386	1,426	12,048	1,527
NET CASH PROVIDED BY INVESTING ACTIVITIES	6,236	4,386	1,426	12,048	1,527
NET CASITEROVIDED BY INVESTING ACTIVITIES	0,230	4,380	1,420	12,046	1,527
NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH					
EQUIVALENTS	123,587	(8,959)	28,031	142,659	49,248
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS - JANUARY 1, 2017	734,070	620,073	160,852	1,514,995	312,046
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS - DECEMBER 31, 2017	\$ 857,657	\$ 611,114	\$ 188,883	\$ 1,657,654	\$ 361,294

STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS PROPRIETARY FUNDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2017

(IN THOUSANDS) (PAGE 2 OF 2)

NONMA IOR	
BUSINESS-TYPE ACTIVITIES	

	PUBLIC ANSPOR-	WATER	NMAJOR TERPRISE			ITERNAL SERVICE
	TATION	QUALITY	FUNDS		TOTAL	FUNDS
RECONCILIATION OF OPERATING INCOME (LOSS) TO NET CASH PROVIDED (USED) BY OPERATING ACTIVITIES:						
Operating income (loss)	\$ (541,338)	\$ 183,289	\$ 39,088	\$	(318,961)	\$ 64,313
Adjustments to reconcile operating income (loss) to net cash provided (used) by operating activities:						
Depreciation and amortization	130,203	172,779	18,501		321,483	11,058
Other nonoperating revenues (expenses)	402	22,358	(917)		21,843	_
(Increases) decreases in assets:						
Accounts receivable, net	5,169	(13,011)	(3,003)		(10,845)	(590)
Due from other funds	250	(92)	83		241	(2,963)
Due from other governments, net	6,371	_	(376)		5,995	245
Inventory	(85)	(771)	226		(630)	195
Prepayments	280	(265)	(18)		(3)	678
Other assets	50	(6,963)	_		(6,913)	_
(Increases) decreases in deferred outflows of resources:						
Deferred outflows on pensions and refunding	21,739	4,082	2,824		28,645	5,727
Increases (decreases) in liabilities:						
Accounts payable	(5,595)	3,393	1,715		(487)	(359)
Retainage payable	_	82	(77)		5	10
Due to other funds	3,648	9	(76)		3,581	(850)
Wages payable	3,158	134	116		3,408	336
Taxes payable	7	2	(52)		(43)	7
Unearned revenues	158	(269)	38		(73)	_
Claims and judgments payable	_	_	_		_	(10,687)
Compensated absences	463	(208)	296		551	227
Other postemployment benefits	359	48	41		448	85
Net pension liability	(83,008)	(16,457)	(9,773)		(109,238)	(20,990)
Customer deposits and other liabilities	(6)	(18,115)	75		(18,046)	343
Increases (decreases) in deferred inflows of resources:						
Deferred inflows on pension	40,008	6,608	4,645		51,261	10,354
Total adjustments	123,571	 153,344	14,268		291,183	 (7,174)
NET CASH PROVIDED (USED) BY OPERATING ACTIVITIES	\$ (417,767)	\$ 336,633	\$ 53,356	\$	(27,778)	\$ 57,139
				=		

NONCASH INVESTING, CAPITAL AND FINANCING ACTIVITIES:

Public Transportation capital grants on account decreased by \$42.6 million in 2017.

Water Quality issued bonds in 2017 to refund debt issued from 2008 to 2011. The \$356.4 million of bond proceeds and \$6.5 million of cash payments by Water Quality were placed in escrow for the defeasance of \$335.3 million of outstanding bond principal and \$30.5 million of interest.

Nonmajor Enterprise Funds received \$21 thousand of capital assets from other funds.

Internal Service Funds received \$2,852 thousand of capital assets from other funds and transferred \$87 thousand of capital assets to other funds.

STATEMENT OF FIDUCIARY NET POSITION FIDUCIARY FUNDS DECEMBER 31, 2017

(IN THOUSANDS)

	INVESTMENT	AGENCY FUNDS*
ASSETS		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ _	\$ 190,998
Investments	3,477,614	9,083
Taxes receivable - delinquent	_	69,081
Accounts receivable	_	12,780
Interest receivable	3,545	_
Assessments receivable	_	3,340
Notes and contracts receivable	 	51
TOTALASSETS	\$ 3,481,159	\$ 285,333
LIABILITIES		
Warrants payable	\$ _	\$ 43,846
Accounts payable	_	2,711
Wages payable	_	17,267
Custodial accounts - County agencies	_	108,010
Due to special districts/other governments	 _	 113,499
TOTAL LIABILITIES		\$ 285,333
NET POSITION		
Held in trust for pool participants	\$ 3,481,159	

^{*}Special Districts' residual cash balances invested in the County-managed external investment pool are no longer reported in the Agency Funds statements as these resources are reported in the aggregate as investments in the Investment Trust Funds.

STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN FIDUCIARY NET POSITION

FIDUCIARY FUNDS

FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2017

(IN THOUSANDS)

	INVESTMENT		
	TRUST FUNDS		
ADDITIONS			
Contributions	\$	7,925,383	
Net investment earnings:			
Interest		37,011	
(Decrease) in the fair value of investments		(10,309)	
TOTAL ADDITIONS		7,952,085	
DEDUCTIONS			
Distributions		7,521,975	
TOTAL DEDUCTIONS		7,521,975	
Change in net position		430,110	
Net position - January 1, 2017		3,051,049	
Net position - December 31, 2017	\$	3,481,159	

STATEMENT OF NET POSITION COMPONENT UNITS DECEMBER 31, 2017

(IN THOUSANDS)

	Harborview Medical Center	Cultural Development Authority	NJB Properties	Total
ASSETS				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 305,944	\$ 27,697	\$ 39	\$ 333,680
Investments	_	32,456	_	32,456
Receivables, net	173,192	15	10	173,217
Inventories	11,909	_	_	11,909
Prepayments	19,260	313	7	19,580
Nondepreciable assets	16,446	_	_	16,446
Depreciable assets, net of depreciation	273,731	_		273,731
Net investment in capital lease	_	_	8,843	8,843
Deposits with other governments	600	_	_	600
Other assets	23,474	94	880	24,448
TOTAL ASSETS	824,556	60,575	9,779	894,910
DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES				
Deferred outflows on pensions		303		303
TOTAL DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES		303		303
LIABILITIES				
Accounts payable and other current liabilities	83,179	437	10	83,626
Accrued liabilities	49,462	_	301	49,763
Unearned revenues	_	13,299	_	13,299
Noncurrent liabilities:				
Due within one year	801	1,262	275	2,338
Due in more than one year	11,987	6,717	8,592	27,296
TOTAL LIABILITIES	145,429	21,715	9,178	176,322
DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES				
Deferred inflows on pensions		226		226
TOTAL DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES		226		226
NET POSITION				
Net investment in capital assets	290,062	_	_	290,062
Restricted for:				
Expendable	10,234	38,937	_	49,171
Nonexpendable	2,632	_	_	2,632
Unrestricted	376,199		601	376,800
TOTAL NET POSITION	\$ 679,127	\$ 38,937	\$ 601	\$ 718,665

King County, Washington

STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES
COMPONENT UNITS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2017
(IN THOUSANDS)

									Net (E	xpense	Net (Expense) Revenue			
			Δ.	Program Revenues	les				and Cha	nges in	and Changes in Net Position	lon		
				Operating	Capital	ital	Harb	Harborview	Cultural	_				
		Char	Charges for	Grants and	Grant	Grants and	Me	Medical	Development	nent	NJB			
Functions/Programs	Expenses	Ser	Services	Contributions	Contributions	outions	రి	Center	Authority	-f	Properties	se l	۲	Total
Component Units:														
Harborview Medical Center	\$ 992,514	⇔	992,041	\$ 6,389	↔	892	\$	6,808	\$	I	\$	I	€₽.	6,808
Cultural Development Authority	16,470		73	10,235		I		I	9)	(6,162)		I		(6, 162)
NJB Properties	133		280	I		I				I		447		447
Total Component Units	\$ 1,009,117	↔	992,694	\$ 16,624	&	892	&	6,808	9) \$	(6,162)	\$	447	(A	1,093
	General revenues:	 												
	Payments to Ki	ng Cour	nty for deb	Payments to King County for debt service interest			↔	1	↔	(932)	€	(203)	€₽.	(1,435)
	Interest earnings	S						1		584		12		969
	Net general revenues	Sanu								(348)		(491)		(839)
	Change in net position	osition						6,808	9)	(6,510)		(44 (44)		254
	Net position - January 1, 2017	uary 1,	2017					672,319	45	45,447		645		718,411
	Net position - December 31, 2017	cember	31, 2017				↔	679,127	\$ 38	38,937	\$	601	ťĐ	718,665
										İ		İ		

The notes to the financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

Note 1

Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Description of Government-wide Financial Statements

The government-wide financial statements (the Statement of Net Position and the Statement of Activities) report information on all of the non-fiduciary activities of the primary government and its component units. All fiduciary activities are reported only in the fund financial statements. Governmental activities, which normally are supported by taxes, intergovernmental revenues and other nonexchange transactions, are reported separately from business-type activities, which rely to a significant extent on fees and charges to external customers for support. Likewise, the primary government is reported separately from certain legally separate component units for which the primary government is financially accountable.

Reporting Entity

King County was founded in 1852 and operates under a Home Rule Charter that was adopted by a vote of County citizens in 1968 and which established an executive-council form of government. Citizens elect the County Executive to a four-year, full-time term and the nine-member council by district to staggered four-year terms. The accompanying financial statements present the government and its component units, entities for which the government is considered to be financially accountable. Blended component units are, in substance, part of the primary government's operations, even though they are legally separate entities. Thus, blended component units are appropriately presented as funds of the primary government. Discretely presented component units are reported in a separate column in the government-wide financial statements to emphasize that they are legally separate from the government.

Reporting Entity Change

A reevaluation of component units in the light of GASB Statement 61 *The Financial Reporting Entity: Omnibus* and the more recent GASB Statement 80 *Blending Requirements for Certain Component Units* has resulted in a change in the definition of the King County reporting entity. In 2016, the County reported the Building Development and Management Corporations as a blended internal service fund. This fund aggregated the reporting of CDP-King County III, Inc. and NJB Properties, two nonprofit corporations that have separate lease agreements with the County for buildings financed using 63-20 bonds (in accordance with Revenue Ruling 63-20 and Revenue Procedure 82-26). This year the two nonprofit corporations were evaluated for component unit status separately. It was reaffirmed that both nonprofit corporations are component units because they are fiscally dependent on the County and they create a financial burden to the County. Because CDP-King County III, Inc. provides services exclusively to King County, it will continue to be reported as a blended internal service fund. However, because NJB Properties provides service almost exclusively to Harborview Medical Center, a discrete component unit of the County, NJB Properties qualifies for discrete presentation. The change in reporting entity resulted in a prior period adjustment to net position in the financial statements and is disclosed in Note 18 - *Components of Fund Balance, Changes in Equity and Restrictions*.

Blended Component Units

King County Flood Control District (FCD)

King County Flood Control District was created under the authority of chapter 86.15 RCW to manage, plan and construct flood control facilities within district boundaries. By statute, the King County Council serves as the Board of Supervisors for FCD.

FCD is a component unit of the County for the following reasons: (1) it is a legally separate entity established as a quasi-municipal corporation and independent taxing authority; (2) King County appoints the voting majority of FCD board because the County Council members are the ex officio supervisors of the district; and (3) the County can impose its will on FCD. FCD financial presentation is as a blended component unit because the two governing boards are substantively the same and there is a financial benefit relationship between the County and FCD. FCD contracts with King County Department of Natural Resources and Parks for flood control projects and programs. During 2017, FCD reimbursed the County \$43.6 million for such projects and programs.

FCD issues its own financial statements, which are audited by the State Auditor's Office. Financial statements of FCD are included in Nonmajor Special Revenue Funds in the Governmental Funds section of this CAFR. Independently

audited statements for the FCD can be obtained from Francis & Company, PLLC, 701 Dexter Ave. N, Suite 404, Seattle, WA 98109.

CDP-King County III, Inc.

King County has a project lease agreement with CDP-King County III, Inc. a Washington State nonprofit corporation, which provided for the design and construction of the King Street Center Building in accordance with IRS Revenue Ruling 63-20 and Revenue Procedure 82-26. The building was financed through bonds issued by CDP-King County III, Inc. on behalf of the County. The building is leased to the County by the nonprofit corporation under guaranteed monthly rent payments over the term of the lease or until the bonds are fully retired. In June, 2017 King County refinanced the remaining bond liability of the nonprofit corporation and assumed full ownership of the building.

CDP-King County III, Inc. is recognized as a component unit of the County. Although it has an independently-appointed board, the nonprofit corporation is a single-purpose entity that is fiscally dependent on the County and who imposes a financial burden on the County because the monthly rent payments are considered limited general obligation debt. Because CDP-King County III, Inc. provides services exclusively to County departments, it is reported using the blending method. Separately issued and audited financial statements for CDP-King County III, Inc. may be obtained from the National Development Council, 1218 Third Avenue, Suite 1403, Seattle, WA 98101.

Component Units - Discretely Presented

Harborview Medical Center (HMC)

Harborview Medical Center (HMC), a 413 licensed-bed hospital with extensive ambulatory services, is located in Seattle, Washington and is managed by the University of Washington (UW).

The HMC Board of Trustees is appointed by the County Executive. The County's director of Finance and Business Operations Division is the Treasurer of HMC. The management contract between the HMC Board of Trustees and the UW Board of Regents recognizes the Trustees' desire to maintain HMC as a means of meeting King County's obligation to provide the community with a resource for health services, and UW's desire that HMC be maintained as a continuing resource for medical education, training, and research. The general conditions of the management contract specify that King County retains title to all real and personal property acquired for King County with HMC capital or operating funds.

The Trustees determine major institutional policies and retain control of programs and fiscal matters. The Trustees agree to secure UW's recommendations on any changes to the above. The Trustees are accountable to the public and King County for all financial aspects of HMC's operation and agree to maintain a fiscal policy that keeps the operating program and expenditures of HMC within the limits of operating income.

HMC is a component unit of the County for the following reasons: (1) it possesses de facto corporate powers evident from the UW management agreement; (2) the County Executive appoints HMC's Board of Trustees, who may be removed only for statutorily defined causes; and (3) HMC creates a financial burden on the County because the County is responsible for the issuance and repayment of all general obligation bonds for HMC capital improvements.

HMC is reported in the County's CAFR using the discrete presentation method because the County and HMC's governing boards are not substantively the same and the hospital does not provide services solely to King County.

The primary classification of HMC is that of a component unit, however, the County is the issuer of HMC's general obligation bonds. Note 15 - "Debt" reports on all the general obligation bonds issued by the County as of December 31, 2017, including bonds reported by HMC as of June 30, 2017.

HMC hires independent auditors and prepares its own financial statements with a fiscal year ending June 30. These statements may be obtained from the Finance Section of the Harborview Medical Center, Box 359750, 325 Ninth Ave., Seattle, Washington, 98104.

Cultural Development Authority of King County (CDA), doing business as 4Culture

Cultural Development Authority of King County (CDA) is a public authority organized pursuant to Revised Code of Washington (RCW) 35.21.730 through 35.21.759 and King County Ordinance 14482. CDA commenced operations on January 1, 2003 and began doing business as 4Culture on April 4, 2004. CDA operates as a corporation for public purposes and was created to support, advocate for, and preserve the cultural resources of the region in a manner that fosters excellence, vitality, and diversity.

CDA is located in Seattle, Washington, and is governed by a 15-member board of directors and five ex officio members. The directors are appointed by the County Executive and confirmed by the County Council. CDA receives funds from King County through the Public Art Program where one percent of certain County construction project budgets are allocated to CDA to be used in providing artwork in County public spaces. For 2013-2020, the CDA is authorized to spend an endowment that was set-aside in prior years from a portion of the King County lodging tax receipts.

CDA is a component unit of the County for the following reasons: (1) it is a separate legal entity; (2) CDA's board of directors is appointed by the County Executive (from a nonrestrictive pool of candidates) and confirmed by the County Council; and (3) the County is able to impose its will on CDA, for example, the County has the power to remove a director from the CDA board and the power to dissolve CDA. CDA's financial presentation is as a discrete component unit because the County and CDA's governing bodies are not substantively the same and CDA does not provide services solely to King County government.

CDA reports on a fiscal year-end consistent with the King County primary government. It issues its own financial statements, which are audited by the State Auditor's Office. These statements may be obtained from CDA at 4Culture, 101 Prefontaine Place South, Seattle, Washington 98104.

NJB Properties

King County has a project lease agreement with NJB Properties, a Washington State nonprofit corporation, which provided for the design and construction of the Ninth and Jefferson Building (NJB) for use by Harborview Medical Center, a discrete component unit of the County. The agreement is in accordance with IRS Revenue Ruling 63-20 and Revenue Procedure 82-26. The building was financed through bonds issued by NJB Properties on behalf of the County. The building is being leased to the County by the nonprofit corporation under guaranteed monthly rent payments over the term of the lease or until the bonds are fully retired. Harborview Medical Center makes monthly transfers to King County to satisfy the County's monthly rental payments to NJB Properties.

NJB Properties is recognized as a component unit of the County. Although it has an independently-appointed board, the nonprofit corporation is a single-purpose entity that is fiscally dependent on the County and who imposes a financial burden on the County because the monthly rent payments are considered limited general obligation debt. Because NJB Properties provides services almost exclusively to Harborview Medical Center and not to the County, it is reported using discrete presentation. Separately issued and audited financial statements for NJB Properties may be obtained from the National Development Council, 1218 Third Avenue, Suite 1403, Seattle, WA 98101.

Joint Venture

Seattle-King County Workforce Development Council (WDC) is a joint venture between King County and the City of Seattle. It was established as a nonprofit corporation in the State of Washington on July 1, 2000, as authorized under the Workforce Investment Act of 1998. It functions as the United States Department of Labor pass-through agency to receive the employment and training funds for the Seattle-King County area. The King County Executive and the Mayor of the City of Seattle, serving as the chief elected officials of the local area, have the joint power to appoint the members of the WDC board of directors and the joint responsibility for administrative oversight. An ongoing financial responsibility exists because of the potential for liability to grantors over disallowed costs. If expenditures of funds are disallowed by a grantor agency, WDC can recover the funds from (in order): (1) the agency creating the liability; (2) the insurance carrier; (3) future program years; and (4) as a final recourse, from King County and City of Seattle, each responsible for one-half of the disallowed amount. As of December 31, 2017, there are no outstanding program eligibility issues that might lead to a liability on the part of King County.

WDC contracts with King County to provide programs related to dislocated workers and workforce centers. For 2017, the WDC reimbursed King County approximately \$2.3 million for the Employment and Education Resource Program in eligible program costs. King County has a \$100 thousand equity interest in the WDC.

Separately issued and independently audited financial statements may be obtained from the Workforce Development Council, 2003 Western Avenue, Suite 250, Seattle, Washington 98121.

Jointly Governed Organization

The Washington State Convention Center Public Facilities District (WSCC) was created in July 2010 to acquire, own and operate the convention and trade center transferred from a public nonprofit corporation that owned the original WSCC. The District's board of directors consists of those nine directors who served at the time of the District's creation. Following the expiration of the terms of the initial board, three members will be nominated by the County Executive subject to confirmation by the County Council, three members will be nominated by the City of Seattle, and three members will be appointed by the Washington state governor. Because there is equal representation in the governance of the District among the two local governments and the State, and the participant governments do not retain any ongoing financial interest nor any ongoing financial responsibility, the WSCC is a jointly governed organization.

Related Organizations

There are four separate entities for which the County is accountable, but is not financially accountable. These related organizations are King County Library System (KCLS), Library Capital Facility District (LCFD), King County Housing Authority (KCHA) and Washington State Major League Baseball Public Facilities District (PFD). The County Council appoints a majority of the board of KCLS, KCHA and PFD; and, selected Councilmembers make up the three-member board of LCFD. There is no evidence that the County Council can influence the programs and activities of these four organizations or that they create a significant financial benefit or burden to the County.

The County serves as the treasurer for KCLS, LCFD and PFD providing services such as tax collection and warrant issuance. Due to this fiduciary relationship, these districts are reported as agency funds to distinguish them from County funds.

Basis of Presentation - Government-wide Financial Statements

While separate government-wide and fund financial statements are presented, they are interrelated. The governmental activities column incorporates data from governmental funds and internal service funds that benefit the governmental activities, while business-type activities incorporate data from the government's enterprise funds and internal service fund that benefit the business-type activities.

Separate financial statements are provided for governmental funds, proprietary funds, fiduciary funds (excluded from the government-wide financial statements), and component units. As discussed earlier, the government has three discretely presented component units, HMC, CDA and NJB. While none of the three is considered to be a major component unit, each is nevertheless shown in a separate column in the component unit financial statements.

As a general rule, the effect of interfund activity has been eliminated from the government-wide financial statements. Exceptions to this general rule include payments for services provided and other charges between the government's water and transit functions and various other functions of the government. Elimination of these charges would misstate the direct costs of the purchasing function and the program revenues of the selling function.

HMC has a June 30 fiscal year end, differing from the County's December 31 fiscal year end. The County reports HMC's financial results as of June 30 in the financial statements. There is no material effect on the balances, transactions and interfund activity reported for the period, as a result of the disparity in reporting period.

Basis of Presentation – Fund Financial Statements

The fund financial statements provide information about the government's funds, including its fiduciary funds and blended component units. Separate financial statements are provided for each fund category – governmental funds, proprietary funds and fiduciary funds. Governmental funds are reported by mission, which corresponds to the County's strategic plan. Proprietary funds are reported by individual funds. The emphasis of fund financial statements is on major governmental and enterprise funds, each displayed in a separate column. All remaining governmental and enterprise funds are aggregated and reported as nonmajor funds. Major individual governmental and enterprise funds are reported as separate columns in the fund financial statements.

Major Governmental Funds

The County reports the following major governmental funds:

The General Fund is the government's primary operating fund. It accounts for all financial resources of the general government except those required to be accounted for in another fund.

The Behavioral Health Fund is part of the King County Mental Health Regional Support Network and provides for the operations of the involuntary treatment program, the provision of mental health services for children and adults, community services for these individuals and criminal justice-related programs to reduce jail populations. Its main sources of funding are federal and state grants, charges for services and property taxes.

The Health major fund reported in 2016 is a roll-up of Behavioral Health, Public Health and Environmental Health into the "Health" mission. In 2017, the "Health" fund was broken down to its components for fund-based reporting. Only Behavioral Health was determined to be a major governmental fund. Public Health and Environmental Health are now reported with the aggregate nonmajor funds.

Major Enterprise Funds

The County reports the following major enterprise funds:

The Public Transportation Enterprise accounts for the operations, maintenance, capital improvements and expansion of public transportation facilities in King County under the King County Metro Transit Division. Primary revenue sources include sales tax and passenger service fees. Construction and fleet replacement are funded through sales taxes, bonds and federal grants.

The Water Quality Enterprise accounts for the operations, capital improvements, and maintenance of the County's water pollution control facilities. The enterprise has three large treatment plants, the recently constructed Brightwater Treatment Plant that came online in 2011-12, the West Point Treatment Plant in Seattle, and the South Treatment Plant in Renton, as well as two smaller facilities, namely the Carnation and Vashon Island Treatment Plants.

Nonmajor Governmental Funds

Special Revenue Funds are used to account for a variety of County programs including alcohol and substance abuse, the arts, an automated fingerprint identification system, community development, road maintenance, emergency medical services, the enhanced 911 emergency telephone system, local hazardous waste management, parks, surface water management and other services.

Debt Service Funds are used by the County to account for the accumulation of resources for, and the payment of, principal and interest on the County's general obligation bonds, and special assessment debt for certain special districts.

Capital Projects Funds are used to account for the acquisition, construction, and improvement of major capital assets and other capital-related activities such as infrastructure preservation, parks development and open space preservation, flood control, technology systems, and historic preservation.

Nonmajor Governmental Funds Level of Detail Change

In previous years the nonmajor special revenue and capital projects funds, except blended component units, were reported as higher level aggregations of individual funds based on the strategic planning missions of the County that the funds aligned with; namely, Justice and Safety, Human Potential, Economic Growth, Built Environment, Environmental Sustainability, Service Excellence, and Public Engagement. In 2017, the higher level presentation was eliminated and the combining special revenue and capital projects funds now show the balances and activity of the individual legal funds as adjusted for generally accepted accounting principles.

Nonmajor Proprietary Funds

Enterprise Funds are used to account for the County's business-type operations, including the King County International Airport, solid waste disposal facilities and other services.

Internal Service and Fiduciary Funds

Internal Service Funds are used to account for the provision of motor pool, data processing, risk management, construction and facilities management, financial, employee benefits program and other services provided by one department or agency to other departments or agencies of the County on a cost reimbursement basis. The Wastewater Equipment Rental Fund was established to exclusively serve the Water Quality Enterprise. It is consolidated for reporting purposes with business-type activities in the government-wide financial statements.

Investment Trust Funds are used to report investment activity conducted by King County on behalf of legally separate entities such as special districts and public authorities that are not part of the County's reporting entity.

King County reports two major classifications of Agency Funds: (1) those used with the operations of county government, and (2) those which account for cash received and disbursed in the County's capacity as *ex officio* treasurer or collection agent for special districts and other governments. Assets owned by special districts that are invested in the County-managed external investment pool, and therefore accounted for in Investment Trust Funds, are not reported in the Agency Funds statements.

During the course of operations the government has activity between funds for various purposes. Any residual balances outstanding at year end are reported as due from/due to other funds and advances to/from other funds. While these balances are reported in fund financial statements, certain eliminations are made in the preparation of the government-wide financial statements. Balances between the funds included in governmental activities (i.e., the governmental and internal service funds that benefit the governmental activities) are eliminated so that only the net amount is included as internal balances in the governmental activities column. Similarly, balances between the funds included in business-type activities (i.e., the enterprise funds) are eliminated so that only the net amount is included as internal balances in the business-type activities column.

Further, certain activity occurs during the year involving transfers of resources between funds. In fund financial statements, these amounts are reported at gross amounts as transfers in/out. While reported in fund financial statements, certain eliminations are made in the preparation of the government-wide financial statements. Transfers between the funds included in governmental activities are eliminated so that only the net amount is included as transfers in the governmental activities column. Similarly, balances between the funds included in business-type activities are eliminated so that only the net amount is included as transfers in the business-type activities column.

Measurement Focus and Basis of Accounting

The accounting and financial reporting treatment is determined by the applicable measurement focus and basis of accounting. Measurement focus indicates the type of resources being measured such as *current financial resources* or *economic resources*. The basis of accounting indicates the timing of transactions or events for recognition in the financial statements.

The government-wide financial statements are reported using the *economic resources measurement focus* and the *accrual basis of accounting*. Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred, regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Property taxes are recognized in the year for which they are levied. Grants and similar items are recognized as revenue as soon as all eligibility requirements have been met.

The governmental fund financial statements are reported using the *current financial resources measurement focus* and the *modified accrual basis of accounting*. Revenues are recognized when susceptible to accrual (i.e., when they become both measurable and available). "Measurable" means the amount of the transaction can be reasonably estimated. "Available" means collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to be used to pay liabilities of the current period. For this purpose, the government considers revenues from property taxes to be available if they are collected within 60 days of the end of the current fiscal period. All other accrued revenue sources are determined to be available if collected within 12 months of the end of the current fiscal period. Expenditures generally are recorded when a liability is incurred, as under accrual accounting. However, debt service expenditures, as well as expenditures related to compensated absences and claims and judgments, are recorded only when payment is due. General capital asset acquisitions are reported as expenditures in governmental funds. Issuance of long-term debt and acquisitions under capital leases are reported as other financing sources.

Property taxes, sales and use taxes, business and occupation taxes, federal grants-in-aid, and charges for services are all considered to be susceptible to accrual and so have been recognized as revenues of the current fiscal period. Taxes imposed on exchange transactions are accrued when the underlying exchange transaction occurs if collected

within one year. Revenues from licenses, permits, and fees are recognized when received in cash. Revenues related to expenditure-driven grant agreements are recognized when the qualifying expenditures are made, provided that the availability criteria are met. Expenditure-driven grant revenue is considered available if it can be collected at the same time cash is disbursed to cover the associated grant expenditure.

The proprietary and investment trust funds are reported using the *economic resources measurement focus* and the *accrual basis of accounting*. The agency funds have no measurement focus but utilize the *accrual basis of accounting* for reporting assets and liabilities.

New Accounting Standards

GASB Statement No. 73 - Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions and Related Assets That Are Not within the Scope of GASB Statement 68, and Amendments to Certain Provisions of GASB Statements 67 and 68. It establishes financial reporting requirements for defined benefit pensions that are provided to employees of state and local governmental employers and that are not administered through trusts or equivalent arrangements and therefore outside the scope of Statement No. 68. This new GASB statement was considered by the County in 2017 and determined it was not applicable.

GASB Statement No. 74 - Financial Reporting for Postemployment Benefit Plans Other Than Pension Plans. GASB Statement No. 74 establishes financial reporting standards for state and local governmental other postemployment benefit (OPEB) plans other than pension plans. It also establishes financial reporting standards for governments that hold assets accumulated for purposes of providing OPEB through defined benefit OPEB plans that are not administered through trusts or equivalent arrangements. This new GASB statement was considered by the County in 2017 and determined it was not applicable.

GASB Statement No. 80 - Blending Requirements for Certain Component Units-an amendment of GASB Statement No. 14. GASB Statement No. 80 amends the blending requirements for the financial statement presentation of component units incorporated as a not-for-profit corporation in which the primary government is the sole corporate member. This new GASB statement was adopted by the County in 2017.

GASB Statement No. 81 - Irrevocable Split-Interest Agreements establishes recognition and measurement requirements for irrevocable split-interest agreements. This new GASB statement was considered by the County in 2017 and determined it was not relevant and/or material to King County.

GASB Statement No. 82 - Pension Issues-an amendment of GASB Statements No. 67, No. 68, and No. 73. GASB Statement No. 82 amends the definition of covered payroll for pensions reported in required supplementary information. Instead of presenting covered-employee payroll, which is the payroll of employees that are provided with pensions through the pension plan, the required supplementary information will present covered payroll, defined as the payroll on which contributions to a pension plan are based. This new GASB statement was adopted by the County in 2017.

Terminology

Expenditure Functions

General Government - Provided by the administrative branches of the government entity for the benefit of the public or governmental body as a whole. This function includes the County Council, County Executive, Performance Strategy and Budget, Information and Technology, Records and Licensing Services, Elections and Assessments.

Law, Safety and Justice - Essential to the safety of the public, including expenditures for law enforcement, detention and/or correction, judicial operations, protective inspections, emergency services and juvenile services. This function includes the Sheriff's Office, Prosecuting Attorney, Superior Court, Public Defense, Judicial Administration, Adult and Juvenile Detention and Emergency Medical Services.

Physical Environment - Provided to achieve a satisfactory living environment for the community and the individual. This function includes Surface Water Management.

Transportation - Provided by the governmental entity for the safe and adequate flow of vehicles and pedestrians that includes expenditures for road and street construction, maintenance, transportation facilities and systems, and general administration. This function includes Road Services, Arterial Highway Development, Renton Maintenance Facilities and County Road Construction.

Economic Environment - Provided for the development and improvement of the welfare of the community and individual. This function includes expenditures for employment opportunity and development, child-care services, and services for the aging and disabled. This function includes Youth Employment Programs, Development and Environmental Services, Planning and Community Development, River Improvement, Animal Control, River and Flood Control Construction and Natural Resources.

Health and Human Services - Provided to promote healthy people and healthy communities by preventing and treating mental, physical, and environmentally induced illnesses. This function includes expenditures for community mental health, communicable diseases, environmental health, public health clinics and programs, alcoholism treatment, drug abuse prevention, programs for the mentally disabled and mentally ill, the medical examiner, hospitals and jail health services. This function also includes regional hazardous waste management.

Culture and Recreation - Provided to increase the individual's understanding and enjoyment that includes expenditures for education, libraries, community events, parks and cultural facilities. This function includes Parks, Historical Preservation, Arts and Cultural Development and Law Library.

Debt Service - Accounts for the redemption of general long-term debt principal and interest and other debt service costs in the General, Special Revenue, Debt Service and Capital Projects Funds and payments to escrow agents other than refunding bond proceeds.

Capital Outlay - Accounts for expenditures related to capital projects and expenditures for capital assets acquired by outright purchase and by capital lease financing agreements.

Certain Accounts are Grouped on the Statement of Net Position:

- The asset account *Receivables, net* combines Taxes receivable delinquent; Accounts receivable, net; Interest receivable; Notes receivable; and Due from other governments, net.
- The liability account Accounts payable and other current liabilities combines Accounts payable, Retainage payable, Due to other governments, Contracts payable, Custodial accounts and Other liabilities.
- The liability account Accrued liabilities combines Wages payable, Taxes payable and Interest payable.
- The liability account Noncurrent liabilities includes Claims and judgments payable, Estimated claim settlements, General obligation bonds, Revenue bonds payable, Capital leases, State revolving loans payable, Compensated absences, Pollution remediation, Other postemployment benefits, Net pension liability, Landfill closures and post-closure care and Other liabilities.

Assets, Liabilities, Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources and Net Position/Fund Balance

Cash and Cash Equivalents

The government's cash and cash equivalents are considered to be cash on hand, demand deposits and pooled equity invested in the King County Investment Pool.

All County funds and most component units and special districts participate in the King County Investment Pool ("Pool") maintained by the King County Treasury Operations Section (See Note 4 - "Deposits and Investments"). The Pool consists of internal and external portions. For Pool participants, the Pool functions essentially as a demand deposit account where participants receive an allocation of their proportionate share of pooled earnings. Each fund's equity share of the internal portion of the Pool's net position is reported on the balance sheet as Cash and cash equivalents and reflects the change in fair value of the corresponding investment securities.

Included in the internal portion of the Pool is the investment of short-term cash surpluses not otherwise invested by individual funds. The interest earnings related to investment of short-term cash surpluses that are not pool participants are allocated to the General Fund in accordance with legal requirements and are used in financing general County operations.

Receivables (See Note 5 - "Receivables")

Receivables include charges for services rendered by the County or intergovernmental grants that have not been received by the end of the fiscal year. All unbilled service receivables are recorded at year-end. The provisions for

estimated uncollectible receivables are reviewed and updated at year-end. These provisions are estimated based on an analysis of an aging of the year-end *Accounts receivable* balance or the historical rate of collectability.

Taxes receivable - delinquent - This account includes receivables for property taxes levied for the current year and the allowance for uncollectible amounts. Revenue is recognized when payment is received within 60 days of the end of the fiscal period.

Accounts receivable, net - This account includes receivables for customer accounts, employee travel advances, abatement revenues from the Department of Permitting and Environmental Review, civil penalties, district court revenues, assessments on local improvement districts and abatement revenues and an allowance for uncollectible amounts from violations reported by the Code Enforcement Section on property within the County. Abatement costs may be certified to the property tax parcel; as a result, these costs might not be paid until the property is sold, which may take years.

Interfund Activity

Due to/from other funds - These accounts include any outstanding balances between funds on the governmental funds. Any residual balances outstanding between the governmental activities and business-type activities are reported in the government-wide financial statements as "Internal balances."

Interfund short-term loan receivables/payables - These accounts include the short-term portion of lending or borrowing arrangements between funds that are outstanding at the end of the fiscal year.

Advances to/from other funds - Noncurrent portions of long-term interfund loans are reported as advances. In the governmental funds, Advances to other funds are included in nonspendable fund balance as they are long-term receivables and are not available for appropriation.

Interfund Reimbursements

Repayments from funds responsible for particular expenditures to the funds that initially paid for them are not recognized in the fund-level activity statements. Charge back transactions for shared services from certain departmental funds or cost centers to the fund of divisions under their administration are also treated as reimbursements.

Inventory

Inventories of governmental funds are recorded using the consumption method; expenditures are recognized when inventories are actually consumed. Proprietary funds expense inventories when used or sold. Facilities Management Department (FMD) and Public Health funds use the first-in, first-out (FIFO) valuation method. Radio Communications uses last-in, first-out (LIFO). The Motor Pool Equipment Rental, Public Works Equipment Rental, King County International Airport, Marine, Solid Waste Construction, Public Transportation and Water Quality Funds use the weighted average valuation method.

Prepayments

Payments made in advance to vendors for certain goods or services, such as building rent, that will benefit future periods are recorded as prepaid items in both the government-wide and fund financial statements. The expenditures are recognized in the period of consumption or occupancy.

Capital Assets (See Note 7 - "Capital Assets")

Capital assets include: Land (fee simple land, rights-of-way and easements and farmland development rights); Infrastructure (roads and bridges network); Buildings; Improvements other than buildings; Furniture, machinery and equipment; Software and Artwork. Work-in-progress is reported for all unfinished construction and development for most capital assets except for roads and bridges infrastructure.

General capital assets, including those in internal service funds that support governmental funds, are reported in the governmental activities column of the government-wide Statement of Net Position.

Capital assets of enterprise funds, including those in internal service funds that exclusively support enterprise funds, are reported in the business-type column of the government-wide Statement of Net Position. Enterprise and internal service funds capital assets are also reported in the individual proprietary fund Statement of Net Position.

The capitalization threshold in the King County primary government is \$5 thousand for machinery and equipment, \$500 thousand for internally developed and purchased software, and \$100 thousand for buildings and other improvements.

The County elects to use the modified approach for reporting infrastructure assets in lieu of the depreciation method because it is committed to maintaining the roads and bridges infrastructure indefinitely. The County is eligible to use the modified approach because it has an asset management system in place that allows for periodic monitoring of the infrastructure to ensure that assets are maintained and preserved at the predetermined condition level set by the Road Services Division. The asset management system tracks the mileage, condition and the actual and planned maintenance and preservation costs of individual infrastructure assets.

Certain equipment and facilities used in the Solid Waste Enterprise landfill closure and post-closure activities are not reported as capital assets. Instead, the liability for landfill post-closure care is reduced by these costs.

Interest incurred during the construction phase of capital assets in enterprise funds is included as part of the capitalized value of the assets constructed. This year total interest expenses incurred and capitalized were \$158.9 million and \$19.1 million, respectively.

Capital assets are valued at historical cost or estimated historical cost where actual historical cost is not available. Donated capital assets are recorded at their acquisition value at the date of donation. Expenditures for normal maintenance and repairs which are essentially amounts spent in relation to capital assets that do not increase the capacity or efficiency of the item, or extend its useful life beyond the original estimate, are expensed as incurred. Expenditures for repairs and upgrades that materially add to the value or life of an asset are capitalized. Costs incurred to extend the life of governmental infrastructure assets are considered preservation costs and are therefore not capitalized.

Capital assets other than land, roads and bridges infrastructure, and artwork are depreciated or amortized over their estimated useful lives using a standard straight-line allocation method. Capital assets and their components useful lives are as follows:

<u>Description</u>	Estimated Life (Years)
Buildings and other improvements	10-50
Buses and trolleys	12-18
Cars, vans and trucks	3-10
Downtown transit tunnel	50
Equipment - other	3-25
Software	3-10
Sewer plant	20-50

Regulatory Accounting

The King County Council has taken various regulatory actions resulting in differences between the recognition of revenues for rate-making purposes in the Water Quality Enterprise fund and their treatment under generally accepted accounting principles for nonregulated entities. Currently, the Water Quality Enterprise is authorized to apply the accounting treatment of costs under the GASB Statement No. 62 "Codification of Accounting and Financial Reporting Guidance Contained in Pre-November 30, 1989 FASB and AICPA Pronouncements" criteria because the rates for its services are regulated by the King County Council, and the regulated rates chargeable to its customers are designed to recover the enterprise's allowable costs of operations.

Rate stabilization - The County Council established a Rate Stabilization Reserve in the Water Quality Enterprise fund. This allows for certain operating revenues to be treated as deferred inflows of resources and recognized as revenue in subsequent years through amortization in order to maintain stable sewer rates.

Regulatory assets - GASB Statement No. 62 is used by the Water Quality Enterprise to treat pollution remediation obligations, program payments to Rainwise participants, and strategic planning costs as regulatory assets to allow for cost recovery through future rate increases. The portion of regulatory asset costs that have been accrued is being amortized over a recovery period of 7 to 30 years.

Accrued Liabilities

Accrued liabilities refer to Accounts payable, Due to other governments, Interest payable, Wages payable and Taxes payable. Expenditures incurred during the year but paid in the subsequent year are recorded based on the following materiality thresholds according to when invoices were received:

February 2 - 14 \$5,000 February 15 - March 9 \$50,000 March 10 - 20 \$100,000 March 21 - April 23 \$1,000,000

Individual assessments for specific funds would be made for amounts not meeting the stated materiality thresholds. Grant-related items to be reported on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards will be assessed without considering the materiality thresholds.

Unearned Revenues

Unearned revenues are obligations of the County to perform services or provide goods. This account offsets reported assets for revenues that have not met recognition requirements. Reported assets include grants received in advance, mitigation fees received in lieu of developers performing mitigation projects, prepayment for parks programs and rental facilities and rent prepaid by tenants in internal service funds.

Long-term Obligations (See Note 15 - "Debt")

Long-term debt and other long-term obligations are reported in the applicable accrual basis statements of net position. Bond premiums and discounts, refunding gains and losses, are deferred and amortized over the life of the bonds using outstanding principal balance method. Bonds payable are reported net of the applicable premium or discount. Refunding losses are reported as deferred outflows of resources while refunding gains are reported as deferred inflows of resources.

In the fund financial statements, governmental fund types recognize bond premiums, discounts and bond issuance costs in the current period. The face amount of the debt issued is reported as other financing sources. Premiums on debt issuances are reported as other financing sources, while discounts on debt issuances are reported as other financing uses. Issuance costs, whether or not withheld from the actual debt proceeds received, are reported as debt service costs.

Rebatable Arbitrage

The County's tax-exempt debt is subject to arbitrage restrictions as defined by the Internal Revenue Code. All of the County's bonded debts are tax-exempt except certain taxable debts as identified in Note 15 - "Debt." Arbitrage occurs when the funds borrowed at tax-exempt rates of interest are invested in higher yielding taxable securities. Because investment yields of the County's Investment Pool remained at low-levels during 2017, interest earned on bond proceeds was insignificant. The County had no arbitrage liability at December 31, 2017.

Net Pension Liability

For purposes of measuring the net pension liability, deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions and pension expense, information about the fiduciary net position of all state sponsored pension plans and additions to/deductions from those plans, fiduciary net position have been determined on the same basis as they are reported by the Washington State Department of Retirement Systems. For this purpose, benefit payments (including refunds of employee contributions) are recognized when due and payable in accordance with the benefit terms. Investments are reported at fair value.

Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources

In addition to assets, the statement of financial position will sometimes report a separate section for *deferred outflows* of resources. This separate financial statement element, *deferred outflows of resources*, represents a consumption of net position that applies to a future period(s) and so will *not* be recognized as an outflow of resources (expense/expenditures) until then. The government only has two items that qualifies for reporting in this category. They are the deferred charge on refunding and deferred outflow of resources for pensions reported in the government-wide

Statement of Net Position. A deferred charge on refunding results from the difference in the carrying value of refunded debt and its reacquisition price. This amount is deferred and amortized over the shorter of the life of the refunded or refunding debt. The deferred outflows of resources for pensions results from contributions subsequent to the measurement date, difference between projected and actual investment earnings, difference between expected and actual experience, changes in actuarial assumptions and changes in proportions.

In addition to liabilities, the statement of financial position will sometimes report a separate section for *deferred inflows* of resources. This separate financial statement element, *deferred inflows of resources*, represents an acquisition of net position that applies to a future period(s) and so will *not* be recognized as an inflow of resources (revenue) until that time. The government has three types of items that qualify for reporting in this category. The *deferred inflows of resources* reported in the business-type activities and proprietary funds represent sewer revenues that are reserved annually to normalize future sewer rates (see Rate Stabilization, p. 47). The deferred inflows of resources on pensions are reported in the government-wide Statement of Net Position.

The deferred inflows of resources on pensions results from contributions subsequent to the measurement date, difference between projected and actual investment earnings, difference between expected and actual experience, changes in actuarial assumptions and changes in proportions. The *deferred inflows of resources*-advanced grants is reported on the government-wide Statement of Net Position and the governmental funds Balance Sheet, representing grants received before meeting time requirements, but after all other eligibility requirements have been met. The *deferred inflows of resources*-unavailable revenue is reported only in the governmental funds balance sheet. The governmental funds report unavailable revenue from three sources: property taxes, district court receivables and abatement receivables. These amounts are deferred and recognized as an inflow of resources in the period that amounts become available.

Net Position Flow Assumption

Sometimes the government will fund outlays for a particular purpose from both restricted (e.g., restricted bond or grant proceeds) and unrestricted resources. In order to calculate the amounts to report as restricted - net position and unrestricted - net position in the government-wide and proprietary fund statements, a flow assumption must be made about the order in which the resources are considered to be applied. It is the County's policy to consider restricted - net position to have been depleted before unrestricted - net position is applied.

Fund Balance Flow Assumptions

Sometimes the government will fund outlays for a particular purpose from both restricted and unrestricted resources (the total of committed, assigned and unassigned fund balance). In order to calculate the amounts to report as restricted, committed, assigned and unassigned fund balance in the governmental fund statements, a flow assumption must be made about the order in which the resources are considered to be applied. It is the County's policy to consider restricted fund balance to have been depleted before using any of the components of unrestricted fund balance. Further, when the components of unrestricted fund balance can be used for the same purpose, committed fund balance is depleted first, followed by assigned fund balance. Unassigned fund balance is applied last.

Fund Balance Policies

Fund balance of governmental funds is reported in various categories based on the nature of any limitations requiring the use of resources for specific purposes. The government itself can establish limitations on the use of resources through either a commitment (committed fund balance) or an assignment (assigned fund balance).

The committed fund balance classification includes amounts that can be used only for the specific purposes determined by a formal action of the government's highest level of decision-making authority. The County Council is the highest level of decision-making authority for the government that can, by adoption of an ordinance or motion prior to the end of the fiscal year, commit fund balance. Once adopted, the limitation imposed by the ordinance remains in place until a similar action is taken (the adoption of another ordinance) to remove or revise the limitation.

Amounts in the assigned fund balance classification are intended to be used by the government for specific purposes but do not meet the criteria to be classified as committed. The Council has by ordinance authorized the executive to assign fund balance. The Council may also assign fund balance as it does when appropriating fund balance to cover a gap between estimated revenue and appropriations in the subsequent year's appropriated budget. Unlike commitments, assignments generally only exist temporarily. In other words, an additional action does not normally

have to be taken for the removal of an assignment. Conversely, as discussed above, an additional action is essential to either remove or revise a commitment.

Revenues and Expenditures/Expenses

Program Revenues

Amounts reported as *program revenues* include 1) charges to customers or applicants who purchase, use, or directly benefit from goods, services or privileges provided by a given function or segment and 2) grants and contributions that are restricted to meeting the operational or capital requirements of a particular function or segment. All taxes, including those dedicated for specific purposes, and other internally dedicated resources are reported as general revenues rather than as program revenues.

Allocating Indirect Expense to Functions

The Statement of Activities demonstrates the degree to which the direct expenses of a given function or segment is offset by program revenues. Direct expenses are those that are clearly identifiable with a specific function or segment. Indirect expenses that have been allocated from general government to various functional activities are reported in a separate column.

Compensated Absences

Eligible King County employees earn 12 days of sick leave and 12 to 30 days of vacation per year. An unlimited amount of sick leave and a maximum of 60 days of vacation may be carried over at year-end. An employee leaving employment at King County is entitled to be paid for unused vacation leave and, if leaving employment due to death or retirement, for 35 percent of the value of unused sick leave. For reporting purposes, a variety of factors are used to estimate the portion of the accumulated sick leave that is subject to accrual.

A liability is accrued for estimated excess compensation payable to the Washington State Department of Retirement Systems based on an employee's accrued vacation and sick leave. An excess compensation liability is incurred when an employee whose retirement benefits are based in part on excess compensation receives a termination or severance payment defined by the State as excess compensation. This includes, but is not limited to, a cash-out of unused annual leave in excess of 240 hours and a cash-out of any other form of leave. All vacation pay liability and a portion of sick leave liability are accrued in the government-wide and proprietary statements.

Proprietary Funds Operating and Nonoperating Revenues and Expenses

Proprietary funds distinguish *operating* revenues and expenses from *nonoperating* items. Operating revenues and expenses generally result from providing services in connection with a proprietary fund's principal ongoing operations. User fees (sewage fees, passenger fares, disposal charges, etc.) charged by the County's enterprise funds for the use of its business-type facilities and charges for services of internal service funds are classified as operating revenues. Rental income is operating revenue to the Airport enterprise, whose principal operation is leasing real property. The corresponding costs of service provision and delivery, including direct administration costs, depreciation or amortization of capital assets, and other allocations of future costs to current year operations (e.g., landfill post-closure, other postemployment benefits), comprise operating expenses. All other revenues and expenses not meeting this definition are reported as nonoperating.

Note 2

Reconciliation of Government-wide and Fund Financial Statements

Explanation of certain differences between the Governmental Funds Balance Sheet and the Government-wide Statement of Net Position (in thousands): The governmental funds balance sheet includes reconciliation between fund balance - total governmental funds and net position - governmental activities as reported in the government-wide statement of net position.

One element of that reconciliation explains, "Long-term liabilities, including bonds payable, are not due and payable in the current period and therefore are not reported in the funds."

Long-term liabilities reported for governmental activities:

Bonds payable	\$	(731,140)
Plus: Unamortized premiums on bonds sold		(63,247)
Accrued interest payable		(5,595)
Capital leases payable		(8,843)
Compensated absences		(89,759)
Net pension liability		(346,850)
Deferred inflows on pensions		(76,094)
Earned but unavailable court fines and penalties		8,391
Earned but unavailable taxes and assessments		14,199
Other postemployment benefits		(56,071)
Total adjustments related to long-term liabilities	\$ ((1,355,009)

Another element of that reconciliation states, "Capital assets used in governmental activities are not financial resources and are not reported in the funds."

Capital assets reported for governmental activities:

Nondepreciable assets	\$ 2,442,405
Depreciable assets	718,156
Less: Capital assets in governmental internal service funds (all internal service funds except Wastewater Equipment Replacement)	(50,482)
Total adjustments related capital assets	\$ 3,110,079

Another element of the reconciliation states, "Other long-term assets are not available to pay for current-period expenditures and therefore are deferred in the funds."

Other long-term assets reported for governmental activities:

Net pension asset	\$ 49,475
Deferred outflows on refunding (to be amortized as interest expense)	21,014
Deferred outflows on pensions	57,764
Total adjustments related to long-term assets	\$ 128,253

Another element of that reconciliation states, "Governmental activities internal service funds assets and liabilities are included in the governmental activities in the statement of net position."

Internal service funds reported for governmental activities:

Total adjustments related to internal service funds	\$ 83,821
Internal payable representing the amount overcharged to the enterprise funds by the governmental activities internal service funds - current year	(18,860)
Internal payable representing charges in excess of cost to the enterprise funds by the governmental activities internal service funds - prior years	(62,397)
Net position of the governmental activities internal service funds	\$ 165,078

Explanation of certain differences between the Governmental Funds Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances and the Government-wide Statement of Activities (in thousands): The governmental funds statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balances includes reconciliation between net changes in fund balances - total governmental funds and changes in net positions of governmental activities reported in the government-wide statement of activities.

One element of that reconciliation explains, "Governmental funds report capital outlays as expenditures. However, in the statement of activities the cost of those assets is allocated over their estimated useful lives and reported as depreciation expense."

Capital outlay reported for governmental activities:

Capital outlay	\$ 155,195
Depreciation expense	(45,926)
Total adjustments related to capital outlay	\$ 109,269

Another element of that reconciliation states, "The net effect of various miscellaneous transactions involving capital assets (e.g., sales, trade-ins and donations) increases net position."

Miscellaneous capital asset transactions reported for governmental activities:

The statement of activities report the gain on the sale of capital assets while gross proceeds increase financial resources in the governmental funds. The difference is the net book value of capital assets sold.

Donations of capital assets increase net position in the statement of activities, but do not appear in the governmental funds.

7,320

Total adjustments related to miscellaneous capital asset transactions

\$ (9,175)

Another element of that reconciliation states, "Revenues in the statement of activities that do not provide current financial resources are not reported as revenues in the governmental funds."

Revenues reported for the governmental activities:

3	
Unavailable revenue-property taxes	\$ (226)
Unavailable revenue-abatement fees	(48)
Unavailable revenue-noxious weeds	1
Unavailable revenue-charges for services	(514)
Unavailable revenue-fines and forfeits	(355)
Unavailable revenue-grants	1,892
Unavailable revenue-pet licenses	206
LEOFF special funding	573
Special item	6,408
Total adjustments related to revenues	\$ 7,937

Another element of that reconciliation states, "The issuance of long-term debt provides current financial resources to governmental funds, while the repayment of the principal of long-term debt consumes the current financial resources of governmental funds. Neither transaction has any effect on net position. Also, governmental funds report the effect of premiums and similar items when debt is first issued, whereas these amounts are deferred and amortized in the statement of activities."

Debt issuance or refundings reported for governmental activities:

Issuance of general government debt	\$ (33,325)
Premium on bonds sold	(5,038)
Principal repayments	63,702
Total adjustments related to debt issuance or refundings	\$ 25,339

Another element of that reconciliation states, "Some expenses reported in the statement of activities do not require the use of current financial resources and therefore are not reported as expenditures in governmental funds."

Expenses reported for governmental activities:	
Compensated absences	\$ (3,598)
Other postemployment benefits	(1,541)
Interest on long-term debt	8,526
Pension expense	51,229
Transfers out	(2,164)
Lease payments	338
Total adjustments related to expenses	\$ 52,790

Another element of that reconciliation states, "Net revenues and expenses of certain activities of internal service funds are reported with governmental activities."

Internal service funds reported for governmental activities:	
Investment interest earnings	\$ 1,437
Intergovernmental revenues	3
Revenues related to services provided to outside parties	4,105
Expenses related to services provided to outside parties	(3,637)
Gain on disposal of capital assets	377
Interest on long-term debt	(949)
Capital contributions	2,235
Transfers in	1,514
Transfers out	(15,961)
Internal service fund gains allocated to governmental activities	44,088
Special Item	(6,621)
Total adjustments related to internal service funds	\$ 26,591

Explanation of certain differences between the Proprietary Funds Statement of Net Position and the Government-wide Statement of Net Position (in thousands): The proprietary funds statement of net position includes reconciliation between net position - total enterprise funds and net position of business-type activities as reported in the government-wide statement of net position.

The description of the reconciliation is "Adjustment to reflect the consolidation of internal service fund activities related to enterprise funds." The assets and liabilities of one internal service fund, Wastewater Equipment Rental Fund, are included in the business-type activities in the statement of net position because the fund was established to serve the Water Quality Enterprise.

Consolidation of internal service fund activities related to enterprise funds:	
Net position of the business-type activities internal service fund	\$ 23,884
Internal receivable representing charges in excess of cost to the enterprise funds by the governmental activities internal service funds - prior years	62,397
Internal receivable representing the amount overcharged to the enterprise funds by the governmental activities internal service funds - current year	18,860
Total adjustments related to internal service fund activities related to enterprise funds	\$ 105,141

Explanation of certain differences between the Proprietary Funds Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Fund Net Position and the Government-wide Statement of Activities (in thousands): The proprietary funds statement of revenues, expenses and changes in fund net position includes a reconciliation between *change in net position - total enterprise funds* and *change in net position of business-type activities* as reported in the government-wide statement of activities.

The description of the reconciliation is "Adjustment to reflect the consolidation of internal service fund activities related to enterprise funds."

Consolidation of internal service fund activities related to enterprise funds:	
Investment interest earnings	\$ 99
Revenues related to services provided to outside parties	86
Expenses related to services provided to outside parties	(76)
Loss on disposal of capital assets	(25)
Capital contributions	617
Transfers out	(101)
Internal service fund gains allocated to business-type activities	19,747
Total adjustments related to internal service fund activities related to enterprise funds	\$ 20,347

Note 3

Stewardship, Compliance and Accountability

Budgetary Basis of Accounting

Biennial budgets are adopted on the modified accrual basis of accounting for the General Fund, Behavioral Health Fund, nonmajor special revenue funds and debt service funds. The capital projects funds, except the Roads Improvement Districts Construction Fund, are controlled by multi-year budgets. Budgets for the blended component units are approved under the authority of their respective governing bodies.

Revenues are estimated on the basis of when they become susceptible to accrual. Budgeted appropriations include both expenditures and other financing uses; they are budgeted based on liabilities expected to be paid in the given budget period for the acquisition of goods and services.

The King County Council enacts appropriations by ordinance, generally at the appropriation unit level. The Grants Fund is appropriated at the fund level. These are the legal levels of budgetary control. Unless otherwise provided by the appropriation ordinances, all unexpended and unencumbered operating appropriations lapse at the end of the biennium. The budgetary comparison schedules (budgetary basis) include variances at the appropriation level of expenditure level.

Excess of Expenditures over Appropriations

The <u>Housing Opportunity Loans</u> fund expenditures exceeded appropriations by \$47 thousand. This fund was originally set up to collect repayments of non-federal funds in the Housing Repair program, which could not be co-mingled with the federal funds for housing repair. There were no expenditures planned and thus, no appropriation authority set up. The Fund was later used to pay for emergency and immediate health and safety home repairs in the housing repair program, not covered by federal funds.

Expenditures exceeded appropriations in the <u>Byrne Justice Assistance Grants</u> appropriation unit by \$172 thousand. Appropriations were moved to the Grants Fund, but the expenditures were kept where they were because the project was half finished. Future activity will now be in the Grants Fund.

Medical Examiner's Office expenditures exceeded appropriations by \$6 thousand. The budget for Medical Examiner's Office was under Public Health in the past and moved to general fund for 2017. The \$6 thousand are remaining charges for paying differential corrections completed by payroll for time worked for 2015 and 2016 and temporary help invoices related to 2016. These charges should have been recorded to the general fund expenditures where the budget is for 2017.

Expenditures exceed appropriations in the OMB 2006 appropriation unit in the <u>Risk Abatements</u> fund by \$118 thousand due to interest charges on cash deficits. This will be addressed through administrative procedures before the end of the 2017-2018 biennium.

Deficit Fund Equity

Nonmajor Governmental Funds

The <u>Employment and Education Resources</u> fund reports a total fund balance deficit of \$35 thousand. This deficit is due to cost incurred pending transfer of resources from other funds. The transfers occurred in January 2018 to cover the deficit.

The <u>Long Term Leases</u> fund reports a total fund deficit of \$1.8 million. The Facilities Management Division has developed a plan to address the fund deficit in 2017. The plan was approved by the Executive Finance Committee during 2016 and 2017. The fund balance deficit will be resolved by the end of 2022 through streamlined rates.

The <u>Risk Abatement</u> fund reports a total fund balance deficit of nearly \$10.5 million. In December 2016 a judgment directed King County to pay Washington State Department of Retirement Systems (DRS) \$10.5 million in interest

payments. The Risk Abatement fund made the payment to DRS in 2016. The deficit will be paid down over five years by transfers from the General Fund.

Internal Service Funds

The County implemented GASB Statement Nos. 68 and 71 in 2015, which requires reporting its share of net pension liabilities. As a result, the following funds have deficit net positions at December 31, 2017 (in thousands):

Fund:	otal Net Position
Construction and Facilities Management	\$ (16,037)
Financial Management Services	(10,382)
King County Geographic Information Systems	(1,196)
King County Information Technology Services	(5,459)

Note 4

Deposits and Investments

Deposits

King County maintains deposit relationships with several local commercial banks in addition to its concentration bank. The deposits that are not covered by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) are collateralized by the Public Deposit Protection Commission of the State of Washington (PDPC) at no less than fifty percent. Effective July 1, 2016, resolution 2016-1 adopted by Commission on May 31, 2016, "allowed for well capitalized public depositaries to collateralize uninsured public deposits at no less than fifty percent. The PDPC is a statutory authority established under chapter 39.58 RCW that governs public depositaries and provides that "All public funds deposited in public depositaries, including investment deposits and accrued interest thereon, shall be protected against loss, as provided in the chapter." It constitutes a multiple financial institution collateral pool that can make *pro rata* assessments to all public depositaries within the state for their public deposits. PDPC protection is of the nature of collateral, not of insurance.

<u>Custodial credit risk - Deposits</u> The custodial credit risk for deposits is the risk that, in the event of a bank failure, the County's deposits may not be recovered. State statutes require that certificates of deposit be placed in qualified public depositaries in the State of Washington and total deposits cannot exceed the net worth of the financial institution. Those institutions not meeting the fifty percent collateralization or the minimum credit rating requirements may receive deposits up to the FDIC or federally guaranteed amounts. The County's diversification policy limits the maximum amount of investment in certificates of deposit to 25 percent of the total amount of the portfolio and five percent from a single issuer.

As of December 31, 2017, the County's total deposits, excluding the equity in the component units, were \$41.2 million in carrying amount and \$38.1 million in bank balance, of which \$18.0 million was exposed to custodial credit risk as uninsured and uncollateralized.

Investments

King County Investment Pool - The King County Investment Pool (KCIP), the main pool, consists of monies invested on behalf of the County and other special purpose districts within the County including school, fire, sewer, library, water and other districts. The KCIP operates in accordance with the King County Investment Policy which has been prepared in accordance with State law. This policy is reviewed annually and any modifications shall be approved by the King County Executive Finance Committee (EFC). The policy applies to all financial assets invested in the KCIP as defined in King County Code (KCC) 4.10.090.

This policy also covers King County non-pooled investments. Non-pooled King County investments, which do not meet the criteria of this policy, require approval by the EFC.

The King County Investment Pool is not registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) as an investment company. Oversight is provided by the EFC, which serves the role of the County Finance Committee as defined in RCW 36.48.070.

The Investment Pool Advisory Committee (IPAC) was created by Ordinance 16280 to provide input to the EFC on matters related to the King County Investment Pool. The IPAC has not been vested with decision-making authority for the KCIP; it makes recommendations to the EFC on agenda items related to the KCIP.

The King County Investment Policy is designed to help King County meet the objectives of the KCIP. The objectives of the County's investment policy are to invest public funds in a manner which will preserve the safety and liquidity of all investments within the KCIP while obtaining a reasonable return within established investment guidelines. The portfolio should be managed in a manner that is responsive to the public trust and consistent with state law. The King County Investment Pool is guided by the following principles:

- 1. The primary objective of King County's investment of public funds is to safeguard investment principal.
- 2. The secondary objective is to maintain sufficient liquidity to ensure that funds are available to meet daily cash flow requirements.
- 3. The third consideration is to achieve a reasonable yield consistent with these objectives.

Investment Instruments - Statutes authorize King County to invest in:

- Savings or time accounts in designated qualified public depositories; and certificates, notes or bonds of the United States.
- Other obligations of the United States, its agencies, or in any corporation wholly owned by the United States government and supranational institutions where the United States is its largest shareholders.
- Bankers' acceptances purchased on the secondary market, Federal Home Loan bank notes and bonds, Federal Land Bank bonds, Federal National Mortgage Association notes, debentures and guaranteed certificates of participation.
- Obligations of any other government-sponsored corporation whose obligation is or may become eligible
 as collateral for advances to member banks as determined by the board of governors of the Federal Reserve
 System.
- Commercial paper and corporate notes (within the policies established by the State Investment Board).
- Debt instruments of local and state general obligations.
- General obligation bonds issued by any states and revenue bonds issued by Washington state governments that are rated at least "A" by a nationally recognized rating agency.

King County voluntarily invests in the Washington State Treasurer's Local Government Investment Pool (LGIP). The LGIP values its investments at amortized cost, which approximates fair value. The LGIP portfolio will be managed to meet the portfolio maturity, quality, diversification and liquidity requirements set forth in GASB 79 for external investment pools who wish to measure, for financial reporting purposes, all of its investments at amortized cost. LGIP is overseen by the Office of the State Treasurer, the State Finance Committee, the Local Government Investment Pool Advisory Committee and the Washington State Auditor's Office.

LGIP participants may withdraw funds from the LGIP on any business day and must notify the LGIP of any withdrawal over \$1.0 million no later than 9 A.M. on the same day the withdrawal is made. The State Treasurer also may suspend redemptions if the New York Stock Exchange suspends trading or closes, if U.S. bond markets are closed, if the SEC declares and emergency or if it has determined irrevocably to liquidate the LGIP and suspend withdrawals and payments of withdrawal proceeds in order to facilitate the permanent termination of the LGIP in an orderly manner.

The County is authorized to enter into repurchase agreements to meet the investment needs of the King County Investment Pool. Such transactions are governed by a Master Repurchase Agreement. County investment policies require that securities' tri-party underlying repurchase agreements must have a market value equal to at least 102 percent of repurchase price, plus accrued interest. Repurchase agreements in excess of 60 days are not allowed. Currently, the County's tri-party custodial bank monitors compliance with some of these provisions.

Although the County is authorized to enter into reverse repurchase agreements, the County chose not to enter into this type of transaction since 2008. Also, the County did not buy, sell, or hold any derivative or similar instrument except for certain U.S. agency collateralized mortgage obligation securities during the year.

External Investment Pool - The King County Investment Pool administered by the King County Treasury Operations Section is an external investment pool. For investment purposes, the County pools the cash balances of County funds and participating component units, and allows for participation by other legally separate entities such as special districts, for which the County is the *ex officio* treasurer, and public authorities. The external portion of the KCIP (the portion that belongs to special districts and public authorities other than component units) is reported in an Investment Trust Fund. It is County policy to invest all County funds in the King County Investment Pool. All non-County participation in the KCIP is voluntary.

All securities are reported at fair value. Fair value reports are prepared monthly and are distributed to all Pool participants. Fair value pricing is provided by the County's investment accounting system. If a security is not priced by the County's accounting system vendor, prices are obtained from the County's safekeeping bank or from Bloomberg L.P., a provider of fixed income analytics, market monitors, and security pricing. The County has not provided or obtained any legally binding guarantees to support the value of the King County Investment Pool's shares.

The King County Investment Pool values participants' shares using an amortized cost basis. Monthly income is distributed to participants based on their relative participation during the period. Income is calculated based on: (1) realized investment gains and losses; (2) interest income based on stated rates (both paid and accrued); and (3) the amortization of discounts and premiums on a straight-line basis. Income is reduced by the contractually agreed upon fees. This method differs from the fair value method used to value investments in the financial statements because the amortized cost method is not designed to distribute to participants all unrealized gains and losses due to changes in the fair values. The net change in the fair values of the investments is reported as an increase or decrease in cash and cash equivalents in the statement of net position.

The King County Investment Pool's total fair value of investment including purchased interest was \$6.9 billion. Excluding \$311.1 million of equity in the component unit, the net total investment was \$6.6 billion. At year-end, the change in the fair value of the total investments for the reporting entity, after considering purchases, sales and maturities, resulted in a net markdown from cost of \$33.6 million. The following schedule shows the types of investments, the average interest rate, and the effective duration limits of the various components of the King County Investment Pool as of December 31, 2017 (dollars in thousands):

KING COUNTY INVESTMENT POOL

					Average		Effective
Investment Type	Fair V	'alue	P	rincipal	Interest Rate)	Duration (Yrs)
Repurchase Agreements	\$ 29	6,000	\$	296,000	1.39	%	0.011
Commercial Paper	38	6,989		387,500	1.31	%	0.092
U.S. Agency Discount Notes	47	8,950		479,900	0.63	3%	0.154
Corporate Notes	1,01	9,747		1,024,093	1.67	′%	1.262
U.S. Treasury Notes	2,48	6,956		2,504,000	1.18	3%	1.324
U.S. Agency Notes	1,41	8,257		1,426,234	0.66	3%	1.149
U.S. Agency Collateralized Mortgage Obligations		4,922		4,681	4.18	3%	3.447
Supranational Coupon Notes	37	7,600		382,044	1.01	%	1.835
State Treasurer's Investment Pool (LGIP)	41	5,634		415,634	1.28	3%	0.008
Total investments in Pool	\$ 6,88	5.055	\$	6,920,086	1.3	%	1.022

<u>Custodial credit risk - Investments</u> - Custodial credit risk is the risk that, in the event of the failure of the counterparty, the County will not be able to recover the value of its investments or collateral securities that are in the possession of an outside party. County policy mandates that all security transactions, including repurchase agreements, are settled "delivery versus payment (DVP)." This means that payment is made simultaneously with the receipt of the security. These securities are delivered to the County's safekeeping bank or its tri-party custodian banks. Exempt from the DVP policy are Certificates of Deposits (CDs) and funds placed with the Local Government Investment Pool.

<u>Concentration of credit risk - Investments</u> - Concentration of credit risk is the risk of loss attributed to the magnitude of a government's investment in a single issuer. At year-end, the King County Investment Pool had concentrations greater than 5 percent in the following issuers: Federal Farm Credit Bank, 9.7 percent, Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation, 8.6 percent, Wells Fargo Bank, 5.7 percent, and Federal National Mortgage Association, 5.6 percent.

Interest rate risk - Investments - Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. Through its investment policy, the County manages its exposure to fair value losses arising from increasing interest rates by setting maturity and effective duration limits for the King County Investment Pool. The policy limit for the KCIP's maximum effective duration is 1.5 years or less, and 40 percent of the KCIP's total value in securities must have a maturity of 12 months or less. Securities in the portfolio cannot have an average life greater than five years at purchase. As of December 31, 2017, the effective duration of the main Pool was 1.022 years.

<u>Credit risk - Debt Securities</u> - Credit risk is the risk that an issuer or other counterparty to an investment will not fulfill its obligations. At year-end, the King County Investment Pool was not rated by a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (NRSRO). In compliance with state statutes, the King County Investment Pool's policy authorizes investments in U.S. Treasury securities, U.S. federal agency securities and mortgage-backed securities, municipal securities and corporate notes (rated at least "A" by two NRSROs), commercial paper (rated at least the equivalent of "A-1" by two NRSROs), certificates of deposit issued by qualified public depositories, repurchase agreements and the LGIP.

Effortive

The credit quality distribution below is categorized to display the greatest degree of credit risk as rated by Standard and Poor's and Moody's. For example, a security rated "AAA" by one rating agency and "AA" by another would be listed as "AA." This table shows the credit quality for all securities in the King County Investment Pool not backed by the full faith and credit of the United States (in thousands):

Credit Quality Distribution

Investment Type	A	AA or A-1	AA	Α		A No		Total	
Repurchase Agreements	\$	296,000	\$ _	\$	_	\$		\$	296,000
Commercial Paper		386,989	_		_		_		386,989
U.S. Agency Discount Notes		478,950	_		_		_		478,950
Corporate Notes		118,828	350,070		550,849		_		1,019,747
U.S. Agency Notes		_	1,418,257		_		_		1,418,257
U.S. Agency Collateralized Mortgage Obligations		_	4,922		_		_		4,922
Supranational Coupon Notes		377,600	_		_		_		377,600
State Treasurer's Investment Pool		_	_		_		415,634		415,634
Total investments	\$	1,658,367	\$ 1,773,249	\$	550,849	\$	415,634	\$	4,398,099

The King County Investment Pool's policies limit the maximum amount that can be invested in various securities. The following table summarizes the King County Investment Pool's diversification policy:

OVERVIEW OF THE KING COUNTY INVESTMENT POOL'S POLICIES TO LIMIT INTEREST RATE & CREDIT RISK

Investment Type	Maximum <u>ype</u> Maturity		Single Issuer Limit	Minimum Credit Rating
U.S. Treasury	5 Years	100%	None	N/A
U.S. Federal Agency ⁽¹⁾	5 Years	100%	35%	N/A
U.S. Federal Agency MBS ⁽²⁾	5 Year WAL	25%	25%	N/A
Certificates of Deposit ⁽³⁾	1 Year	25%	5%	A-1 or P-1
Municipal Securities ⁽⁴⁾	5 Years	20%	5%	Α
Corporate Securities	5 Years	25%	2%	A ⁽⁵⁾
Commercial Paper	270 Days	25%	3%	A-1/P-1 ⁽⁶⁾
Repurchase Agreements ⁽⁷⁾	60 Days	100%	25%	A-1 or P-1
Bankers' Acceptances	180 Days	25%	5%	A-1/P-1 ⁽⁸⁾
State LGIP ⁽⁹⁾	N/A	25%	25%	N/A

N/A = Not applicable

- (1) Senior debt only and includes Supranational agencies where the U.S. is the largest shareholder.
- (2) MBS counts towards the total that can be invested in any one U.S. federal agency.
- (3) Institution must be a Washington state depository and participate in the PDPC 100 percent collateralization program.
- (4) County policy limits purchases to general obligation bonds rated A or better by Standard & Poor's or Moody's.
- (5) Must be rated A or better by both Standard and Poor's and Moody's for 2 percent issuer limit. But if rated AA or higher, 3 percent issuer limit applies.
- (6) Must be rated in top credit category by Standard & Poor's and Moody's. Maturities > 100 days must have a long-term rating of A or higher.
- (7) Tri-party repurchase agreements collateralized at 102 percent and for purposes of aggregating across sectors, overnight repos shall not be included. Ten percent of the portfolio can be in overnight repos rated A-2 or P-2.
- (8) Bankers' acceptances must be rated in top credit category by Standard & Poor's and Moody's.
- (9) The State LGIP is a money market-like fund managed by the State Treasurer's Office.

At year-end, the King County Investment Pool was in compliance. The KCIP's actual composition consisted of Repurchase Agreements, 4.3 percent, Commercial Paper, 5.6 percent, U.S. Agency Discount Notes, 7.0 percent, Corporate Notes, 14.8 percent, U.S. Treasury Notes, 36.1 percent, U.S. Agency Notes, 20.6 percent, U.S. Agency Collateralized Mortgage Obligations, 0.1 percent, Supranational Coupon Notes, 5.5 percent, and the State Treasurer's Investment Pool (LGIP), 6.0 percent.

Fair Value Hierarchy

The County categorizes its fair value measurements within the fair value hierarchy established by generally accepted accounting principles. The hierarchy is based on the valuation inputs used to measure fair value of the assets. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in an active market for identical assets; Level 2 inputs are significant other observable inputs; and Level 3 inputs are significant unobservable inputs.

The following is a summary of inputs in valuing the County's investments as of December 31, 2017 (in thousands):

				Fair Val	ue	Measurements L	Jsin	3
Investments by fair value level	Fair Value 12/31/2017		Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)		Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)		Un	observable Inputs (Level 3)
Commercial Paper	\$	386,989	\$		\$	386,989	\$	
U.S. Agency Discount Notes		478,950		_		478,950		_
Corporate Notes		1,019,747		_		1,019,747		_
U.S. Treasury Notes		2,486,956		2,486,956		_		_
U.S. Agency Notes		1,418,257		_		1,418,257		_
U.S. Agency Collateralized Mortgage Obligations		4,922		_		4,922		_
Supranational Coupon Notes		377,600		_		377,600		_
Subtotals		6,173,421	\$	2,486,956	\$	3,686,465	\$	
Investments measured at amortized cost (not subject to fair value hierarchy)								
Repurchase Agreements		296,000						
State Treasurer's Investment Pool		415,634						
Subtotal investments measured at cost		711,634						
Total investments in Investment Pool	\$	6,885,055						

U.S. Treasury Notes are valued using quoted prices in active markets and classified in Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy.

Commercial Paper, U.S. Agency Discount Notes, Corporate Notes, U.S. Agency Notes, U.S. Agency Collateralized Mortgage Obligations and Supranational Coupon Notes are valued using standard inputs including benchmark yields, reported trades, broker/dealer quotes, issuer spreads, two-sided markets, benchmark securities, bids, offers and reference data including market research publications and are classified in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

State Treasurer's Investment Pool and Repurchase Agreement are overnight securities and are not subject to GASB Statement No. 72

Impaired Investment Pool

The King County Executive Finance Committee approved the bifurcation of the investment pool as of September 1, 2008. This separated the impaired investments into their own pool distinct from the main pool of performing investments. The reasons for bifurcating the pool were to: (1) ensure the yield on the performing assets is not negatively impacted by the impaired investments; (2) enhance transparency about the value of the performing pool and the impaired pool; (3) ease the implementation of the restructuring processes for the impaired investments.

For the bifurcation, the County placed four impaired commercial paper investments into an impaired investment pool (Impaired Pool). The Impaired Pool holds one commercial paper asset (Victoria), in which the County accepted an exchange offer in 2009 and is receiving the cash flows from the investment's underlying securities. For the other three commercial paper investments (Cheyne, Mainsail and Rhinebridge), the County accepted a cash-out option in 2008, based on the results of three separate restructuring auctions conducted by the designated "receiver" of each commercial paper asset.

Depository Trust Company (DTC), a clearing house for settling trades, was responsible for distributing the cash proceeds from each restructuring auction based on directions provided by each applicable receiver. However, DTC insisted on being indemnified before it would consent to distribute proceeds from the restructuring process. The receivers agreed to set aside a "reserve" for potential legal claims that might arise and potentially impact the receiver and/or DTC. The

receivers also retained funds for possible legal actions and to protect other parties involved in the restructuring process. At year-end, the amount reserved for the County totaled \$592 thousand for the Cheyne and Rhinebridge restructurings. The "estimated fair value" of \$592 thousand was based on the value of the cash retained by the receivers as of December 31, 2017.

Between 2008 and 2010, the County initiated lawsuits seeking recovery for losses associated with all four of the impaired investments. In 2012, the County settled the litigation concerning Mainsail and Victoria, and executed a settlement with three of the defendants in the lawsuits concerning Rhinebridge. The net settlement payments have been distributed to each pool participant. In 2013, the County received final settlement payments for the litigation concerning Rhinebridge and Cheyne and has distributed the net settlement payments to each pool participant.

When accounting for all four impaired investments, the fair value of the Impaired Pool at December 31, 2017, was \$5.4 million and the book value was \$8.0 million. The majority of the amount remaining in the impaired investment pool is associated with VFNC Trust (Victoria). VFNC Trust continues to make monthly cash distributions. During 2017, VFNC Trust distributed a total of \$1.4 million to the County. Including all the receipts to date brings the cash recovery rate on the original Victoria investment to 87 percent. Monthly distributions will continue for as long as the underlying securities in the trust continue to pay. This monthly distribution is expected to continue for at least the next five years. Also, because of extremely low interest rates, the County chose not to discount these future cash flows.

The impaired investments in commercial paper recorded at fair value total \$5.4 million, are based on market price of the underlying securities that are held by VFNC Trust and the cash value retained by the receivers as of December 31, 2017 and are classified in Level 3 inputs. These prices are provided by the collateral agent.

King County Investment Pool and Impaired Investment Pool Condensed Statements

The King County Investment Pool (main Pool) and the Impaired Investment Pool's Condensed Statements of Net Position and Changes in Net Position as of December 31, 2017 (in thousands) are as follows:

Condensed Statement of Net Position

	Total		ng County stment Pool	paired Pool
Net position held in trust for pool participants	\$ 6,895,033	\$	6,889,659	\$ 5,374
Equity of internal pool participants Equity of external pool participants Total equity	\$ 3,413,874 3,481,159 \$ 6,895,033	\$	3,411,613 3,478,046 6,889,659	\$ 2,261 3,113 5,374
Condensed Statement o	f Changes in Ne	et Posi	tion	
Net Position - January 1, 2017 Net change in investments by pool participants Net Position - December 31, 2017	\$ 6,059,385 835,648 \$ 6,895,033	\$	6,053,547 836,112 6,889,659	\$ 5,838 (464) 5,374

Nonfinancial Assets

The County has some land that is being held for future sale. The investment is valued at \$2.7 million which is determined based on comparable sales in the area or average per acre value of similar size and layout in the vicinity at the end of 2017.

Component Units

Harborview Medical Center (HMC)

Harborview Medical Center (HMC) participates in the County's investment pool and follows the applicable criteria as described above for the King County Investment Pool deposits and investments.

<u>Custodial credit risk - Deposits</u> - The custodial credit risk for deposits is the risk that in the event of a bank failure, HMC's deposits may not be recovered. As of June 30, 2017, the deposits not covered by the FDIC are uninsured and

collateralized by the PDPC collateral pool at no less fifty percent. The HMC's custodial credit risk for its deposits as shown in the following table (in thousands):

Harborview Medical Center As of June 30, 2017

	Carrying Amount		Bank Balance	sured and llateralized
Cash in other banks	\$ 4,354	\$	3,992	\$ 3,317
Equity in Investment Pool	 301,590		304,189	
Total deposits	\$ 305,944	\$	308,181	\$ 3,317

Cultural Development Authority of King County (CDA)

<u>Custodial credit risk - Deposits</u> The Cultural Development Authority of King County (CDA) maintains a deposit relationship with a local commercial bank. The deposits with this qualified public depositary that are not insured by the FDIC are collateralized by the PDPC at no less than fifty percent. At yearend, the CDA's total deposits consisted of \$1.4 million in carrying amount, and \$1.8 million in bank balance of which \$800 thousand was exposed to custodial credit risk as uninsured and uncollateralized.

<u>Investments</u> - CDA has an investment policy to guide the management of its assets and help ensure that all investment activity is within regulations established by state law and county codes. The primary objective is the preservation of principal.

State laws authorize CDA to invest in certificates, notes and bonds of the United States, and other obligations of the United States or its agencies, or any corporation wholly owned by the government of the United States. Statutes also authorize CDA to invest in bankers' acceptances purchased on the secondary market, Federal Home Loan bank notes and bonds, Federal Land Bank bonds, Federal National Mortgage Association notes and debentures and guaranteed certificates of participation.

CDA is also authorized to invest in the LGIP, which is comparable to a Rule 2a-7 money market fund recognized by the Securities and Exchange Commission. The LGIP funds are limited to high quality obligations with limited maximum and average maturities, which has the effect of minimizing both market and credit risk.

<u>Fair Value Hierarchy</u> - CDA categorizes its fair value measurements within the fair value hierarchy established by generally accepted accounting principles. The hierarchy is based on the valuation inputs used to measure the fair value of the asset. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical assets; Level 2 inputs are significant other observable inputs; Level 3 inputs are significant unobservable inputs.

The following is a summary of inputs in valuing CDA's investments as of December 31, 2017 (in thousands):

			Fair Valu	e Mea	surements	Using		
Investments by fair value level	air Value :/31/2017	in Mar Identi	ted Prices Active kets for cal Assets evel 1)	Ob:	gnificant Other servable nputs evel 2)	In	servable outs vel 3)	
U.S. Treasury Notes	\$ 2,763	\$	2,763	\$		\$		
Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corp Debentures	2,365		_		2,365		_	
Federal National Mortgage Association Notes	7,488		_		7,488		_	
Federal Home Loan Bank Bonds	16,981		_		16,981		_	
Federal Farm Credit Bank Bonds	1,903		_		1,903		_	
Subtotal investments at fair value	31,500	\$	2,763	\$	28,737	\$	_	
Investments measured at amortized cost (not subject to fair value hierarchy)								
State Treasurer's Investment Pool (LGIP)	26,323							
Other/Money Market Fund	956							
Subtotal investments measured at cost	27,279							
Total CDA investments	\$ 58,779							

U.S. Treasury Notes are valued using quoted prices in active markets and classified in Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy.

U.S. Agency bonds are valued using issuer spreads scales by Interactive Data based on the new issue market, secondary trading, and dealer quotes and are classified in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

State Treasurer's Investment Pool and money market funds investments are recorded at amortized cost.

The following schedule shows the types of investments, the average interest rate, the effective duration limits and the concentration of all CDA investments as of December 31, 2017 (in thousands):

Cultural Development Authority Investments By Type

				Average	Effective		
Investment Type	Fai	Fair Value		incipal	Interest Rate	Duration (Yrs)	Concentration
U.S. Treasury Notes	\$	2,763	\$	2,728	3.26%	1.172	5%
Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corp Debentures		2,365		2,382	2.08%	1.057	4%
Federal National Mortgage Association Notes		7,488		7,622	1.38%	2.488	13%
Federal Home Loan Bank Bonds		16,981		17,108	2.44%	1.585	29%
Federal Farm Credit Bank Bonds		1,903		1,919	2.24%	1.014	3%
State Treasurer's Investment Pool		26,323		26,323	1.28%	0.003	45%
Other/Money Market Fund		956		956	0.92%	0.003	2%
Subtotal investments		58,779	\$	59,038	1.78%	0.906	100%
Less: State Treasurer's Investment Pool (Cash Equivalent)		(26,323)					
Total Investments per Statement of Net Position	\$	32,456					

<u>Interest rate risk</u> - Investments Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. Through its investment policy, CDA manages its exposure to interest rate risk by setting maturity and effective duration limits for its portfolio. As of December 31, 2017, the combined weighted average effective duration of the CDA's portfolio was 0.906 years.

<u>Credit risk</u> - Credit risk is the risk that an issuer will not fulfill its obligations. As of December 31, 2017, all issuers of investments in CDA's portfolio had a Standard & Poor's rating of "AA+." The Washington State Local Government Investment Pool is not rated.

Concentration of credit risk - Investments Concentration of credit risk is the risk of loss attributed to the magnitude of an investment in a single issuer. As of December 31, 2017, CDA had concentrations greater than 5 percent of its total portfolio, excluding U.S. Treasury obligations, in the following issuers: Federal Home Loan Banks, 28.9 percent, Federal National Mortgage Association, 12.7 percent.

NJB Properties

Concentration of credit risk The Organization maintains its cash and reserves in various financial institutions in which the accounts are insured up to \$250,000 per depositor under the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. The Organization has not experienced any losses in such accounts, and monitors the credit-worthiness of the financial institutions with which it conducts business. The Organization believes it is not exposed to any significant credit risk on its cash, reserves and other deposits.

<u>Deposits Held In Trust</u> In accordance with the Indenture of Trust, certain restricted deposits and funded reserves have been established in the form of escrows. The balance of each fund as of December 31 is as follows (in thousands):

	2	017
Non-bond Proceeds	\$	55
Revenue Fund		825
	\$	880

Note 5

Receivables

Receivables are reported net of estimated uncollectible amounts in the basic financial statements: Balance Sheet Governmental Funds and Statement of Net Position Proprietary Funds. The schedule below shows receivables at gross with the related estimated uncollectible amounts (in thousands):

Governmental	Gen	General Fund		Behavioral Health Fund		onmajor /ernmental Funds	Total Governmental Funds		
Accounts receivable: Accounts receivable Estimated uncollectible Accounts receivable, net	\$	52,180 (34,943) 17,237	\$	1,900 — 1,900	\$	35,056 (5,223) 29,833	\$	89,136 (40,166) 48,970	
Due from other governments: Due from other governments Estimated uncollectible Due from other governments, net	\$	64,301 (94) 64,207	\$	3,311 (32) 3,279	\$	79,271 (169) 79,102	\$	146,883 (295) 146,588	

Proprietary Current assets:	Public Transportation			Water Quality		Nonmajor Enterprise Funds		Total Enterprise Funds		nternal Service Funds
Accounts receivable:	•	04.040	•	50.057	•	45.000	•	00.400	•	0.000
Accounts receivable	\$	24,246	\$	58,657	\$	15,289	\$	98,192	\$	2,096
Estimated uncollectible		(74)		(890)	_	(327)		(1,291)		(47)
Accounts receivable, net	\$	24,172	\$	57,767	\$	14,962	\$	96,901	\$	2,049
Due from other governments: Due from other governents	\$	163,561	\$	_	\$	5,564	\$	169,125	\$	37
Estimated uncollectible		_		_		(5)		(5)		_
Due from other governments, net	\$	163,561	\$		\$	5,559	\$	169,120	\$	37
Noncurrent assets: Due from other governments	\$	26					\$	26		

Note 6

Tax Revenues

Taxing Powers

King County is authorized to levy both "regular" property taxes and "excess" property taxes.

Regular property taxes are imposed for general municipal purposes, including the payment of debt service on limited tax general obligation bonds. Regular property tax levies are subject to rate limitations and amount limitations, as described below, and to the uniformity requirement of Article VII, Section 1, of the State Constitution, which specifies that a taxing district must levy the same rate on similarly classified property throughout the taxing district. Aggregate property taxes vary within the County because of its different overlapping taxing districts.

Maximum Rate Limitations. The County may levy regular property taxes for general municipal purposes and for road district purposes. Each purpose is subject to a rate limitation. The general municipal purposes levy is limited to \$1.80 per \$1,000 of assessed value, and the County levied \$1.24349 per \$1,000 of assessed value for the 2017 tax year. The road district levy, which is levied in unincorporated areas of the county for road construction and maintenance and other County services provided in the unincorporated areas, is limited to \$2.25 per \$1,000 of assessed value, for which the County currently is at its maximum rate of \$2.25 per \$1,000 of assessed value for the 2017 tax year. Additional statutory provisions limit the increase in the aggregate amount of taxes levied.

The County is authorized to increase its general purposes levy to a maximum of \$2.475 per \$1,000 of assessed value if the total combined levies for both general and road district purposes do not exceed \$4.05 per \$1,000 and if no other taxing district has its levy reduced as a result of the increased County levy (RCW 84.52.043).

The \$1.80 per \$1,000 limitation on the general purposes levy is exclusive of the following regular property taxes:

- (1) A voted levy for emergency medical services, limited to \$0.50 per \$1,000 of assessed value (RCW 84.52.069),
- (2) A voted levy to finance affordable housing for very low income households, limited to \$0.50 per \$1,000 of assessed value (RCW 84.52.105), although, the County has not sought approval from voters for this levy,
- A non-voted levy for conservation futures, limited to \$0.0625 per \$1,000 of assessed value (RCW 84.34.230), and
- (4) A non-voted levy for transit-related purposes, limited to \$0.075 per \$1,000 of assessed value (RCW 84.52.140).

One Percent Aggregate Regular Property Tax Levy Limitation. Aggregate regular property tax levies by the State and all taxing districts except port districts and public utility districts are subject to a rate limitation of 1.0 percent of the true and fair value of property (or \$10.00 per \$1,000 assessed value) by Article VII, Section 2, of the State Constitution and RCW 84.52.050.

\$5.90/\$1,000 Aggregate Regular Property Tax Levy Limitation. Within the 1.0 percent limitation described above, aggregate regular property tax levies by all taxing districts except the State, port districts and public utility districts are subject to a rate limitation of \$5.90 per \$1,000 of assessed value by RCW 84.52.043(2). This limitation is exclusive of excess levies authorized by Article VII, Section 2, of the State Constitution; levies for acquiring conservation futures, for emergency medical services, affordable housing for very low income households, for ferry districts, for transit-related purposes, for regional transit authorities, for criminal justice purposes, a portion of certain levies by metropolitan park districts, fire protection districts and certain flood control zone districts.

If aggregate regular property tax levies exceed the 1.0 percent or \$5.90 per \$1,000 of assessed value limitations, then, in order to bring the aggregate levy into compliance, levies requested by "junior" taxing districts within the area affected are reduced or eliminated according to a detailed prioritized list (RCW 84.52.010). Junior taxing districts are defined by RCW 84.52.043 as all taxing districts other than the State, counties, cities, towns, road districts, port districts and public utility districts.

Regular Property Tax Increase Limitation. The regular property tax increase limitation (RCW 84.55) limits the total dollar amounts of regular property taxes levied by an individual taxing district to the amount of such taxes levied in the

highest of the three most recent years multiplied by a limit factor, plus an adjustment to account for taxes on new construction at the previous year's rate. The limit factor is defined as the lesser of 101 percent or 100 percent plus inflation, but if the inflation rate is less than 1.0 percent, the limit factor can be increased to 101.0 percent, if approved by a majority plus one vote of the governing body of the taxing district, upon a finding of substantial need. In addition, the limit factor may be increased, regardless of inflation, if such increase is authorized by the governing body of the taxing district upon a finding of substantial need and is also approved by the voters at a general or special election within the taxing district. Such election must be held less than 12 months before the date on which the proposed levy will be made, and any tax increase cannot be greater than described under "Maximum Rate Limitations." The approval of a majority of the voters would be required for the limit factor to be increased. The new limit factor will be effective for taxes collected in the following year only.

Since the regular property tax increase limitation applies to the total dollar amount levied, rather than to levy rates, increases in the assessed value of all property in the taxing district (excluding new construction) which exceed the growth in taxes allowed by the limit factor result in decreased regular tax levy rates, unless voters authorize a higher levy and vice versa for decreases in assessed value.

RCW 84.55.092 allows the property tax levy to be set at the amount that would be allowed if the tax levy for taxes due in each year since 1986 had been set at the full amount allowed under chapter 84.55 RCW. This is sometimes referred to as "banked" levy capacity. The County currently has no such banked levy capacity.

With a majority voter approval, a taxing district may levy, within the statutory rate limitations described above, more than what otherwise would be allowed by the tax increase limitations (RCW 84.55.050). This is known as a "levy lid lift," which has the effect of increasing the taxing district's levy "base" when calculating permitted levy increases in subsequent years. The new base can apply for a limited or unlimited period, except that if the levy lid lift was approved for the purpose of paying debt service on bonds, the new base can apply for no more than nine years. After the expiration of any limited purpose or limited duration specified in the levy lid lift, the levy is calculated as if the taxing district had levied only up to the limit factor in the interim period.

Excess Property Taxes. The County also may impose "excess" property taxes, which are not subject to limitation, when authorized by a 60.0 percent supermajority voter approval, as provided in Article VII, Section 2 of the State Constitution and RCW 84.52.052. To be valid, such popular vote must have a minimum voter turnout of 40.0 percent of the number who voted at the last County general election, except that one-year excess tax levies also are valid if the number of voters approving the excess levy is at least 60% of a number equal to 40% of the number who voted at the last County general election. Excess levies also may be imposed without voter approval when necessary to prevent the impairment of the obligation of contracts.

Component Units with Taxing Authority. In 2017, the county-wide flood control zone district levy rate was \$0.11740 per \$1,000 of assessed value. The boundaries of the District's coterminous with the boundaries of the County and the members of the County Council serve initially as the legislative body for the District, but under state law, it is a separate taxing district with independent taxing authority.

A county-wide transportation benefit district known as the King County Transportation District ("KCTD") was formed by the County Council in February 2014, as authorized by the State's transportation benefit district law. Shortly, thereafter, the KCTD adopted a resolution to put a funding measure up for a public vote on April 22, 2014. The KCTD proposal was to enact a \$60 annual vehicle fee and a one-tenth-of-a-cent increase in the sales tax; both would have expired after ten years. The measure failed by a margin of 46.0 percent to 54.0 percent, and at this time, the KCTD has no plans to propose any additional funding measures.

Allocation of Tax Levies

The table on the following page compares the allocation of the 2017 and 2016 Countywide, Conservation Futures, Unlimited Tax GO Bonds, Emergency Medical Services (EMS) and unincorporated County (Road District) levies. The original tax levy reflects the levy before any supplemental levies, tax cancellations or other adjustments. The 2017 countywide assessed valuation was \$471.4 billion, a \$45.1 billion increase from 2016; the assessed valuation for the unincorporated area levy was \$39.3 billion, an increase of \$2.5 million from 2016.

ALLOCATION OF 2017 AND 2016 TAX LEVIES

2017 original 2017 wind 2017 wind 2018 wind	ALLOCAI	ION OF	2017 AND 20	16 IA	V LEAIE2					
Countywide Levy Image: Countywide Levy		201	7 Original		2017	20	016 Original			
Countywide Levy Assessed Value: S471,456,288,019 10 S471,488,019		Tax	es Levied	- 1	Levy Rate	Ta	axes Levied			
Sample S		(in t	(in thousands)		er thousand)	(ir	thousands)	(per thousand)		
S471,456,288,019 01 Items Within Operating Levy: (0) Ceneral Fund	Countywide Levy									
Second Fund Second Fund Second Fund Second Fund Second Fund Second Fund Second Fund Second Fund Second Fund Second Fund Second Fund Second Fund Second Fund Fund Second Fund Fund Fund Fund Fund Fund Fund Fu	Assessed Value:									
General Fund \$ 346,708 \$ 0.73827 \$ 336,454 \$ 0.79208 Veterans' Relief 2,921 0.00622 2,837 0.00668 Human Services 6,556 0.01396 6,367 0.01499 Intercounty River Improvement 52 0.00011 50 0.00765 Automated Fingerprint Identification System 21,024 0.04477 20,240 0.04765 Parks Levy 70,579 0.15029 67,940 0.04219 Veterans and Human Services 18,614 0.03964 17,924 0.04219 Children and Family Justice Center 24,518 0.05221 23,825 0.05609 Best Starts for Kids 62,334 0.13295 59,456 0.14000 Radio Communications 30,602 0.06517 29,727 0.07000 Marine Operating 5,770 0.01229 1,186 0.00279 Total Operating Levy 589,728 1,25578 566,006 1.33255 Farmland and Park Debt Service 9,002 0.01917 8,741 0.02387	\$471,456,288,019 ^(a)									
Veterans' Relief 2.921 0.00622 2.837 0.00688 Human Services 6,556 0.01396 6,367 0.01499 Intercounty River Improvement 52 0.00011 50 0.00012 Automated Fingerprint Identification System 21,024 0.04477 20,240 0.04765 Parks Levy 70,579 0.15029 67,940 0.15995 Veterans and Human Services 18,614 0.03964 17,924 0.04219 Children and Family Justice Center 24,518 0.05221 23,825 0.05609 Best Starts for Kids 62,384 0.13285 59,456 0.14000 Radio Communications 30,602 0.06517 29,727 0.07000 Marine Operating 5,770 0.01229 1,186 0.00279 Total Operating Levy 589,728 1,25578 566,006 1,33255 Conservation Futures Levy ^(c) 10,445 0.0224 10,140 0.02058 Farmland and Park Debt Service 9,002 0.01917 8,741 0.0444	Items Within Operating Levy:(b)									
Human Services	General Fund	\$	346,708	\$	0.73827	\$	336,454	\$	0.79209	
Intercounty River Improvement 52 0.00011 50 0.00012 Automated Fingerprint Identification System 21,024 0.04477 20,240 0.04765 Parks Levy 70,579 0.15029 67,940 0.15995 Veterans and Human Services 18,614 0.03964 17,924 0.04219 Children and Family Justice Center 24,518 0.05221 23,825 0.05699 Best Starts for Kids 62,384 0.13285 59,456 0.14000 Radio Communications 30,602 0.06517 29,727 0.07000 Marine Operating 5,770 0.01229 1,186 0.00279 Total Operating Levy 589,728 1.25578 566,006 1.33255 Conservation Futures Levy 10,445 0.02224 10,140 0.02058 Familand and Park Debt Service 9,002 0.01917 8,741 0.02387 Total Conservation Futures Levy 19,447 0.04141 18,881 0.04445 Unlimited Tax GO Bonds (Voter-approved Excess Levy) 16,878 0.03609 16,818 0.03981 Transportation Levy 649,375 1.38294 628,661 1.48027 Emergency Medical Services Levy 74,664 0.26305 73,781 0.28235 Unincorporated County Levy 24,455,005(6) 25,405,501(6) Emergency Medical Services Levy 74,664 0.26305 73,781 0.28235 Unincorporated County Levy 24,455,005(6) 25,405,501(6) County Road Fund(6) 87,679 2.24557 82,424 2.25000 County Road Fund(6) 87,679 2.24557 82,424 2.25000	Veterans' Relief		2,921		0.00622		2,837		0.00668	
Automated Fingerprint Identification System Parks Levy 70,579 0.15029 67,940 0.15995 Veterans and Human Services 18,614 0.03964 17,924 0.04219 Children and Family Justice Center 24,518 0.05221 23,825 0.05609 Best Starts for Kids 62,384 0.13285 59,456 0.14000 Radio Communications 30,602 0.06517 29,727 0.07000 Marine Operating 5,770 0.01229 1,186 0.00279 Total Operating Levy 589,728 1,25578 566,006 1,33255 Conservation Futures Levy Conservation Futures Levy 10,445 0.02224 10,140 0.02088 Familand and Park Debt Service 9,002 0.01917 8,741 0.02387 Total Conservation Futures Levy 19,447 0.04141 18,881 0.04445 Unlimited Tax GO Bonds (Voter-approved Excess Levy) 16,878 0.03609 16,818 0.03981 Transportation Levy(**) 23,322 0.04966 26,956 0.06346 Total Countywide Levy 49,375 1,38294 628,661 1,48027 Emergency Medical Services Levy Assessed Value: \$471,354,435,905(**) Emergency Medical Services Levy(**) 1,4664 0.26305 73,781 0.28235 Unincorporated County Levy Assessed Value: \$39,295,405,501(**) County Road Fund(**) 87,679 87,679 87,679 87,679 82,424 \$ 2,25000	Human Services		6,556		0.01396		6,367		0.01499	
Parks Levy 70,579 0.15029 67,940 0.15995 Veterans and Human Services 18,614 0.03964 17,924 0.04219 Children and Family Justice Center 24,518 0.05221 23,825 0.05609 Best Starts for Kids 62,384 0.13285 59,456 0.14000 Radio Communications 30,602 0.06517 29,727 0.07000 Marine Operating 5,770 0.01229 1,186 0.00279 Total Operating Levy 589,728 1,25578 566,006 1,33255 Conservation Futures Levy Conservation Futures Levy 10,445 0.02224 10,140 0.02058 Farmland and Park Debt Service 9,002 0.01917 8,741 0.02387 Total Conservation Futures Levy 19,447 0.04141 18,881 0.0445 Unlimited Tax GO Bonds (Voter-approved Excess Levy) 16,878 0.03609 16,818 0.0394 Transportation Levy(ii) 23,322 0.04966 26,956 0.06346 Emergency Medi	Intercounty River Improvement		52		0.00011		50		0.00012	
Veterans and Human Services 18,614 0.03964 17,924 0.04219 Children and Family Justice Center 24,518 0.05221 23,825 0.05609 Best Starts for Kids 62,384 0.13285 59,456 0.14000 Radio Communications 30,602 0.06517 29,727 0.07000 Marine Operating 5,770 0.01229 1,186 0.00279 Total Operating Levy 589,728 1.25578 566,006 1.33255 Conservation Futures Levy Conservation Futures Levylc0 10,445 0.02224 10,140 0.02088 Familand and Park Debt Service 9,002 0.01917 8,741 0.02387 Total Conservation Futures Levy 19,447 0.04141 18,881 0.04445 Unlimited Tax GO Bonds (Voter-approved Excess Levy) 16,878 0.03609 16,818 0.03981 Transportation Levylc0 649,375 1.38294 628,661 1.48027 Emergency Medical Services Levylc0 74,664 0.26305 73,781 0.28235	Automated Fingerprint Identification System		21,024		0.04477		20,240		0.04765	
Children and Family Justice Center 24,518 0.05221 23,825 0.05609 Best Starts for Kids 62,384 0.13285 59,456 0.14000 Radio Communications 30,602 0.06517 29,727 0.07000 Marine Operating 5,770 0.01229 1,186 0.00279 Total Operating Levy 589,728 1,25578 566,006 1,33255 Conservation Futures Levy Conservation Futures Levylen 10,445 0.02224 10,140 0.02058 Farmland and Park Debt Service 9,002 0.01917 8,741 0.02387 Total Conservation Futures Levy 19,447 0.04141 18,881 0.04445 Unlimited Tax GO Bonds (Voter-approved Excess Levy) 16,878 0.03609 16,818 0.03981 Transportation Levylen 23,322 0.04966 26,956 0.06346 Total Countywide Levy 649,375 1,38294 628,661 1,48027 Emergency Medical Services Levylen 74,664 0.26305 73,781 0.28235 <td>Parks Levy</td> <td></td> <td>70,579</td> <td></td> <td>0.15029</td> <td></td> <td>67,940</td> <td></td> <td>0.15995</td>	Parks Levy		70,579		0.15029		67,940		0.15995	
Best Starts for Kids 62,384 0.13285 59,456 0.14000 Radio Communications 30,602 0.06517 29,727 0.07000 Marine Operating 5,770 0.01229 1,186 0.00279 Total Operating Levy 589,728 1.25578 566,006 1.33255 Conservation Futures Levy Conservation Futures Levy 10,445 0.02224 10,140 0.02058 Farmland and Park Debt Service 9,002 0.01917 8,741 0.02387 Total Conservation Futures Levy 19,447 0.04141 18,881 0.04445 Unlimited Tax GO Bonds (Voter-approved Excess Levy) 16,878 0.03609 16,818 0.03981 Transportation Levy ^(c) 23,322 0.04966 26,956 0.06346 Total Countywide Levy 649,375 1.38294 628,661 1.48027 Emergency Medical Services Levy \$471,354,435,905 ^(a) 74,664 0.26305 73,781 0.28235 Unincorporated County Levy Assessed Value: <	Veterans and Human Services		18,614		0.03964		17,924		0.04219	
Radio Communications 30,602 0.06517 29,727 0.07000 Marine Operating 5,770 0.01229 1,186 0.00279 Total Operating Levy 589,728 1,25578 566,006 1,33255 Conservation Futures Levy Conservation Futures Levy ^(c) 10,445 0.02224 10,140 0.02058 Farmland and Park Debt Service 9,002 0.01917 8,741 0.02387 Total Conservation Futures Levy 19,447 0.04141 18,881 0.03445 Unlimited Tax GO Bonds (Voter-approved Excess Levy) 16,878 0.03609 16,818 0.03981 Transportation Levy ^(c) 23,322 0.04966 26,956 0.06346 Total Countywide Levy 649,375 1.38294 628,661 1.48027 Emergency Medical Services Levy Assessed Value: 3471,354,435,905 ^(a) 73,781 0.28235 Unincorporated County Levy Assessed Value: 39,295,405,501 ^(a) 87,679 2,24557 82,424 2,25000	Children and Family Justice Center		24,518		0.05221		23,825		0.05609	
Marine Operating 5,770 0.01229 1,186 0.00279 Total Operating Levy 589,728 1.25578 566,006 1.33255 Conservation Futures Levy Conservation Futures Levy 10,445 0.02224 10,140 0.02387 Farmland and Park Debt Service 9,002 0.01917 8,741 0.02387 Total Conservation Futures Levy 19,447 0.04141 18,881 0.03445 Unlimited Tax GO Bonds (Voter-approved Excess Levy) 16,878 0.03609 16,818 0.03981 Transportation Levy ^(d) 23,322 0.04966 26,956 0.06346 Total Countywide Levy 649,375 1.38294 628,661 1.48027 Emergency Medical Services Levy Assessed Value: S471,354,435,905 ^(a) Emergency Medical Services Levy(a) 74,664 0.26305 73,781 0.28235 Unincorporated County Levy Assessed Value: \$39,295,405,501 ^(a) 2,2450 82,424	Best Starts for Kids		62,384		0.13285		59,456		0.14000	
Total Operating Levy 589,728 1.25578 566,006 1.33255 Conservation Futures Levy 10,445 0.02224 10,140 0.02058 Farmland and Park Debt Service 9,002 0.01917 8,741 0.02387 Total Conservation Futures Levy 19,447 0.04141 18,881 0.04445 Unlimited Tax GO Bonds (Voter-approved Excess Levy) 16,878 0.03609 16,818 0.03981 Transportation Levy(d) 23,322 0.04966 26,956 0.06346 Total Countywide Levy 649,375 1.38294 628,661 1.48027 Emergency Medical Services Levy 74,664 0.26305 73,781 0.28235 Unincorporated County Levy Assessed Value: \$39,295,405,501(a) \$2,24557 82,424 \$2,25000	Radio Communications		30,602		0.06517		29,727		0.07000	
Conservation Futures Levy Conservation Futures Levy	Marine Operating		5,770		0.01229		1,186		0.00279	
Conservation Futures Levy(c) 10,445 0.02224 10,140 0.02058 Farmland and Park Debt Service 9,002 0.01917 8,741 0.02387 Total Conservation Futures Levy 19,447 0.04141 18,881 0.04445 Unlimited Tax GO Bonds (Voter-approved Excess Levy) 16,878 0.03609 16,818 0.03981 Transportation Levy(d) 23,322 0.04966 26,956 0.06346 Total Countywide Levy 649,375 1.38294 628,661 1.48027 Emergency Medical Services Levy Assessed Value: 74,664 0.26305 73,781 0.28235 Unincorporated County Levy Assessed Value: \$39,295,405,501(a) 2.24557 82,424 \$ 2.25000	Total Operating Levy		589,728		1.25578		566,006		1.33255	
Farmland and Park Debt Service 9,002 0.01917 8,741 0.02387 Total Conservation Futures Levy 19,447 0.04141 18,881 0.04445 Unlimited Tax GO Bonds (Voter-approved Excess Levy) 16,878 0.03609 16,818 0.03981 Transportation Levy ^(d) 23,322 0.04966 26,956 0.06346 Total Countywide Levy 649,375 1.38294 628,661 1.48027 Emergency Medical Services Levy S471,354,435,905 ^(a) 5471,354,435,905 ^(a) 74,664 0.26305 73,781 0.28235 Unincorporated County Levy Assessed Value: \$39,295,405,501 ^(a) 87,679 2.24557 82,424 2.25000	Conservation Futures Levy									
Total Conservation Futures Levy 19,447 0.04141 18,881 0.04445 Unlimited Tax GO Bonds (Voter-approved Excess Levy) 16,878 0.03609 16,818 0.03981 Transportation Levy ^(d) 23,322 0.04966 26,956 0.06346 Total Countywide Levy 649,375 1.38294 628,661 1.48027 Emergency Medical Services Levy \$471,354,435,905 ^(a) 74,664 0.26305 73,781 0.28235 Unincorporated County Levy Assessed Value: \$39,295,405,501 ^(a) \$2,24557 82,424 \$2,25000 County Road Fund ^(f) 87,679 \$2,24557 82,424 \$2,25000	Conservation Futures Levy ^(c)		10,445		0.02224		10,140		0.02058	
Unlimited Tax GO Bonds (Voter-approved Excess Levy) 16,878 0.03609 16,818 0.03981 Transportation Levy ^(d) 23,322 0.04966 26,956 0.06346 Total Countywide Levy 649,375 1.38294 628,661 1.48027 Emergency Medical Services Levy Assessed Value: \$471,354,435,905 ^(a) 74,664 0.26305 73,781 0.28235 Unincorporated County Levy Assessed Value: \$39,295,405,501 ^(a) 2.24557 82,424 \$ 2.25000 County Road Fund ^(f) 87,679 \$ 2.24557 82,424 \$ 2.25000	Farmland and Park Debt Service		9,002		0.01917		8,741		0.02387	
Transportation Levy ^(d) 23,322 0.04966 26,956 0.06346 Total Countywide Levy 649,375 1.38294 628,661 1.48027 Emergency Medical Services Levy Assessed Value: \$471,354,435,905 ^(a) 74,664 0.26305 73,781 0.28235 Unincorporated County Levy Assessed Value: \$39,295,405,501 ^(a) 87,679 2.24557 82,424 2.25000	Total Conservation Futures Levy		19,447		0.04141		18,881		0.04445	
Total Countywide Levy 649,375 1.38294 628,661 1.48027 Emergency Medical Services Levy Assessed Value: \$471,354,435,905 ^(a) Emergency Medical Services Levy ^(e) 74,664 0.26305 73,781 0.28235 Unincorporated County Levy Assessed Value: \$39,295,405,501 ^(a) County Road Fund ^(f) 87,679 \$ 2.24557 82,424 \$ 2.25000	Unlimited Tax GO Bonds (Voter-approved Excess Levy)		16,878		0.03609		16,818		0.03981	
Emergency Medical Services Levy Assessed Value: \$471,354,435,905 ^(a) Emergency Medical Services Levy ^(e) 74,664 0.26305 73,781 0.28235 Unincorporated County Levy Assessed Value: \$39,295,405,501 ^(a) County Road Fund ^(f) 87,679 \$2.24557 82,424 \$2.25000	Transportation Levy ^(d)		23,322		0.04966		26,956		0.06346	
Assessed Value: \$471,354,435,905 ^(a) Emergency Medical Services Levy ^(e) 74,664 0.26305 73,781 0.28235 Unincorporated County Levy Assessed Value: \$39,295,405,501 ^(a) County Road Fund ^(f) 87,679 2.24557 82,424 \$2.25000	Total Countywide Levy		649,375		1.38294		628,661		1.48027	
\$471,354,435,905 ^(a) Emergency Medical Services Levy ^(e) 74,664 0.26305 73,781 0.28235 Unincorporated County Levy Assessed Value: \$39,295,405,501 ^(a) County Road Fund ^(f) 87,679 2.24557 82,424 \$2.25000	Emergency Medical Services Levy									
Emergency Medical Services Levy ^(e) 74,664 0.26305 73,781 0.28235 Unincorporated County Levy Assessed Value: \$39,295,405,501 ^(a) 2.24557 82,424 \$ 2.25000 County Road Fund ^(f) 87,679 2.24557 82,424 \$ 2.25000	Assessed Value:									
Unincorporated County Levy Assessed Value: \$39,295,405,501 ^(a) County Road Fund ^(f) 87,679 \$ 2.24557 82,424 \$ 2.25000	\$471,354,435,905 ^(a)									
Assessed Value: \$39,295,405,501 ^(a) County Road Fund ^(f) 87,679 2.24557 82,424 \$ 2.25000	Emergency Medical Services Levy ^(e)		74,664		0.26305		73,781		0.28235	
\$39,295,405,501 ^(a) County Road Fund ^(f) 87,679 2.24557 82,424 \$ 2.25000	Unincorporated County Levy									
County Road Fund ^(f) 87,679 \$ 2.24557 82,424 \$ 2.25000	Assessed Value:									
·	\$39,295,405,501 ^(a)									
Total County Tax Levies \$ 811,718 \$ 784,866	County Road Fund ^(f)		87,679	\$	2.24557		82,424	\$	2.25000	
	Total County Tax Levies	\$	811,718			\$	784,866			

⁽a) Assessed value for taxes payable in 2017.

- (b) The operating levy tax rate is statutorily limited to \$1.80 per \$1,000 of assessed value.
- (c) The Conservation Futures Levy is limited statutorily to \$0.0625 per \$1,000 of assessed value.
- (d) The Transportation Levy is limited statutorily to \$0.075 per \$1,000 of assessed value.
- (e) The Emergency Medical Services Levy is limited statutorily to \$0.335 over \$1,000 of assessed value. The assessed value for the County's Emergency Medical Services levy does not include the cities of Seattle or Milton.
- (f) The County Road Fund Levy is levied only in the unincorporated areas of the County and is limited statutorily to \$2.25 per \$1,000 of assessed value.

The Automated Fingerprint Identification System (AFIS) levy, a regular property tax levy (RCW 84.55.050), was renewed on November 6, 2012, for a six year term by a majority of voters in the County. The levy began in 2013 at a rate of no more than \$0.0592 per \$1,000 assessed value. In 2016 and 2017, the tax rate was \$0.04765 and \$0.04477 per \$1,000 of assessed value, respectively.

In August 2013, the Park lid lift levy was renewed by voters for six years, for a rate of \$0.1877 per \$1,000 of assessed value. The 2016 and 2017 tax year rate for the Parks levy lid lift is \$0.15995 and \$0.15029 per \$1,000 of assessed value, respectively.

The Veterans and Family Human Services Levy, approved by voters in 2011, is a regular property tax levy to be levied for six years beginning in 2012 at a rate of \$0.05 or less per \$1,000 of assessed value. The 2016 and 2017 tax rate is \$0.04219 and \$0.03964 per \$1,000 of assessed value, respectively.

The Children and Family Justice Center is a nine-year temporary levy lid lift approved by voters on August 7, 2012 at a rate of \$.070000 per \$1,000 of assessed value for the first year (2013). The rate for 2016 and 2017 is \$0.05609 and \$0.05221 per \$1,000 of assessed value.

A new nine-year regular property tax levy for the Puget Sound Emergency Radio Network (PSERN) replacement was approved by voters in April 2015 at a rate of \$0.07 per \$1,000 of assessed value for the first year (2016). The rate for 2017 is \$0.06517 per \$1,000 assessed value.

The Best Starts for Kids levy was approved by voters at the November 3, 2015, general election that will be used to invest in prevention and early intervention strategies for children and families. This is a six-year levy beginning in 2016 at a rate of \$0.14 per \$1,000 of assessed value. The rate for 2017 is \$0.13285 per \$1,000 of assessed value.

The County's levy rate for transit-related purposes is \$0.04966 per \$1,000 of assessed value, and its levy rate for conservation futures is \$0.04141 per \$1,000 of assessed value in 2017.

The County's EMS levy was approved at a special election on November 5, 2013, for an additional six years, at a rate of \$0.335 or less per \$1,000 of assessed value, with collections beginning in 2014. The rate for 2016 and 2017 is \$0.28235 and \$0.26305 per \$1,000 of assessed value.

Assessed Valuation Determination

The County Assessor (the "Assessor") determines the value of all real and personal property throughout the County that is subject to *ad valorem* taxation, with the exception of certain public service properties for which values are determined by the State Department of Revenue. The Assessor is an elected official whose duties and methods of determining value are prescribed and controlled by statute and by detailed regulations promulgated by the State Department of Revenue.

For tax purposes, the assessed value of property is 100.0 percent of its true and fair value. Since 1996, all property in the County has been subject to on-site appraisal and revaluation every six years, and is revalued each year based on annual market adjustments. Personal property is valued each year based on affidavits filed by the property owner. The property is listed by the Assessor on a roll at its current assessed value and the roll is filed in the Assessor's office. The Assessor's determinations are subject to revision by the County Board of Appeals and Equalization and, if appealed, subject to further revision by the State Board of Tax Appeals. At the end of the assessment year, in order to levy taxes payable the following year, the County Council receives the Assessor's final certificate of assessed value of property within the County.

Tax Collection Procedure

Property taxes are levied in specific amounts by the County Council and the rate for all taxes levied for all taxing districts in the County is determined, calculated and fixed by the Assessor based upon the assessed valuation of the property within the various taxing districts. The Assessor extends the tax levied within each taxing district upon a tax roll that contains the total amounts of taxes levied and to be collected and assigns a tax account number to each tax lot. The tax roll is delivered to the Treasury Operations Manager, who is responsible for the billing and collection of taxes due for each account. All taxes are due and payable on April 30 of each tax year, but if the amount due from a taxpayer exceeds \$50, one-half may be paid then and the balance no later than October 31 of that year (except that the half to be paid on April 30 may be paid at any time prior to October 31 if accompanied by penalties and interest accrued until the date of payment).

The methods for giving notice of payment of taxes due, collecting taxes, accounting for the taxes collected, dividing the collected taxes among the various taxing districts, and giving notice of delinquency are covered by detailed statutes. Personal property taxes levied by the County Council are secured by a lien on the personal property assessed. A

federal tax lien filed before the County Council levies the personal property taxes is senior to the County's personal property tax lien. In addition, a federal civil judgment lien is senior to a lien on real property taxes once the federal lien has been recorded. In all other respects, and subject to the possible "homestead exemption" described below, the lien of property taxes is senior to all other liens or encumbrances of any kind on real or personal property subject to taxation. By law, the County may commence foreclosure on a tax lien on real property after three years have passed since the first delinquency. The State's courts have not decided if the homestead law (chapter 6.13 RCW) gives the occupying homeowner a right to retain the first \$125 thousand in proceeds of the forced sale of a family residency or other "homestead" property for delinquent general property taxes. The United States Bankruptcy Court for the Western District of Washington has held that the homestead exemption applies to the lien for property taxes, while the State Attorney General has taken the position that it does not.

Property Tax Calendar

January 1 Taxes are levied and become an enforceable lien against properties

February 14 Tax bills are mailed

April 30 First of two equal installment payments due

May 31 Assessed value of property established for next year's levy at 100% of market value

October 31 Second installment due

Accounting for Property Taxes Receivable

In the governmental funds, property taxes levied for the current year are recorded on the balance sheet as taxes receivable and unavailable revenue - property taxes at the beginning of the year. Property taxes are recognized as revenue when collected in cash at which time the accounts taxes receivable and unavailable revenues - property taxes on the balance sheet are reduced by the amount of the collection. The amount of taxes receivable at year-end that would be collected soon enough to be used to pay liabilities of the current period is not material. At year-end, all uncollected property taxes are reported on the balance sheet as taxes receivable-delinquent and deferred inflow of resources. For the government-wide financial statements, the deferred inflow of resources related to the current period, net of the allowance for uncollectible property taxes, is reclassified as revenue.

Tax Abatements

As of December 31, 2017, the County provides tax abatements through three programs - the Current Use Programs, Historic Preservation Program and the Single-family Dwelling Improvement Program. All of these programs are property tax abatements. The property tax system in the state of Washington is budget-based, which means the taxing authority determines a budget or dollar amount and adjusts the rates for the taxpayers based on the assessed valuation of their property. The tax abatements did not result in a reduction or loss of revenue to the County because, pursuant to state law, these taxes were effectively reallocated to other property taxpayers.

Current Use Programs

The Current Use Programs provide property tax abatements to landowners to voluntarily preserve open space, farmland or forestland via four programs on their property pursuant to RCW 84.33.130 and RCW 84.34.010.

Public Benefit Rating System (PBRS) enrollment and associated tax savings are based on a point system. Points are awarded for each PBRS resource category a property qualifies for such as protecting buffers to streams and wetlands, ground water protection, preserving significant wildlife habitat, conserving farmland and native forestland and preserving historic landmarks.

Timber Land enrollment requires a property to have between five and 20 acres of manageable forestland, and be zoned RA, F or A. Land participating in this program must be devoted primarily to the growth, harvest and management of forest crops for commercial purposes and must be managed according to an approved forest stewardship plan.

Farm and Agricultural Land enrollment requires land to be used for the production of livestock or agricultural commodities for commercial purposes.

Forestland enrollment requires a property to have more than 20 acres of eligible forestland primarily devoted to the growth and harvest of timber.

An owner of land desiring current use classification must submit an application to the County Assessor. Once enrolled, a participating property is assessed at a "current use" value, which is lower than the "highest and best use" assessment value that would otherwise apply to the property.

When land no longer meets the requirements for the respective classifications, abated taxes and applicable penalties and interest are collected. Regarding the PBRS and Farm and Agricultural Land programs, when land no longer qualifies for current use, both the assessed valuation before and after the removal of classification is listed on tax rolls and taxes are allocated according to that part of the year to which each assessed valuation applies. Except as provided in the statute, an additional tax, applicable interest and penalty must be imposed which are due and payable 30 days after the owner is notified of the additional tax. The amount of additional tax, applicable interest and penalty is determined as follows: (a) the amount of additional tax is equal to the difference between the property tax paid as "open space land," "farm and agricultural land" or "timberland" and the amount of tax otherwise due and payable for the seven years last past had the land not been so classified; (b) the amount of applicable interest is equal to the interest upon the amounts of the additional tax paid at the same statutory rate charged on delinquent property taxes from the dates on which the additional tax could have been paid without penalty if the land had been assessed at a value; (c) the amount of the penalty is equal to 20 percent of the amount of the additional tax and applicable interest due. The penalty may not be imposed if the removal satisfies the conditions allowed in the chapter.

When land is removed from the special classification in the Timber Land and Forestland programs, a compensating tax is due equal to (a) the difference, if any, between the amount of tax last levied on the land as designated forestland and an amount equal to the new assessed valuation of the land when removed from classification multiplied by the dollar rate of the last levy extended against the land, multiplied by (b) a number equal to: (i) the number of years the land was designated under RCW 84.34, if the total number of years the land was designated under RCW 84.33 and classified under RCW 84.34 is less than 10; or (ii) 10 minus the number of years the land was classified under RCW 84.34, if the total number of years the land was designated under RCW 84.34 is at least 10.

Historic Preservation Program

The Historic Preservation Program provides property tax abatements through the legislature's encouragement to maintain, improve and preserve privately owned historic landmarks pursuant to Chapter 84.26 RCW. The property must meet four criteria to qualify for special valuation to receive a reduction in property taxes. The property must: (1) be a historic property; (2) fall within a class of historic property determined eligible for special valuation by the local legislative authority; (3) be rehabilitated at a cost which meets the definition set forth in RCW 84.26.020(2) within 24 months prior to the application for special valuation; and (4) be protected by an agreement between the owner and the local review board as described in RCW 84.26.050(2).

An owner of property desiring special valuation shall apply to the assessor of the County in which the property is located upon forms prescribed by the Department of Revenue (DOR) and supplied by the County Assessor. The application form shall include a statement that the applicant is aware of the potential tax liability involved when the property ceases to be eligible for special valuation. Applications shall be made no later than October 1 of the calendar year preceding the first assessment year for which classification is requested.

The County Assessor shall, for 10 consecutive assessment years following the calendar year in which application is made, place a special valuation on property classified as eligible historic property. The entitlement of property to the special valuation provisions of this section shall be determined as of January 1. If property becomes disqualified for the special valuation for any reason, the property shall receive the special valuation for that part of any year during which it remained qualified or the owner was acting in good faith belief that the property was qualified. At the conclusion of special valuation, the cost shall be considered new construction.

Whenever property classified and valued as eligible historic property under RCW 84.26.070 becomes disqualified for the valuation, there shall be added to the tax an additional tax equal to (a) the cost multiplied by the levy rate in each year the property was subject to special valuation; plus (b) interest on the amounts of the additional tax at the statutory rate charged on delinquent property taxes from the dates on which the additional tax could have been paid without penalty if the property had not been valued as historic property under this chapter; plus (c) a penalty equal to 12 percent of the amount determined in (a) and (b).

Single-family Dwelling Improvement Program

The Single-family Dwelling Improvement Program provides property tax abatements to encourage home improvements to single-family dwellings under RCW 84.36.400. Any physical improvement to single-family dwellings upon real property shall be exempt from taxation for the three assessment years subsequent to the completion of the improvement.

Abatements are obtained through application by the property owner, including proof that the improvements have been made and equal 100 percent of the additional property tax resulting from the increase in assessed value as a result of the improvements, not to exceed 30 percent of the pre-improvement value of the structure.

Below summarizes the tax abatement programs and the total amount of taxes abated (in thousands):

Tax Abatement Program	Amount of s Abated
Current Use	\$ 7,694
Single-family Dwelling Improvement	117
Historic Preservation	1

State of Washington Tax Abatements

The information provided by Washington State is based upon calendar 2016 as a proxy for fiscal year 2017. The State's fiscal year end is June 30, 2017. The state of Washington provides tax abatements through seven programs subject to the requirements of GASB Statement No. 77, seven of which are only available to businesses in the aerospace industry. Only tax abatement programs with greater than \$10.0 million in taxes abated during the calendar year ended December 31, 2016, are disclosed.

High Unemployment County Sales & Use Tax Deferral for Manufacturing Facilities

To encourage public and private investment in low-income areas with high rates of unemployment, sales and use tax arising from certain construction and equipment purchases for new and expanding manufacturers, persons conditioning vegetable seeds, research and development, and commercial testing for manufacturers in a Community Empowerment Zone (CEZ) may be permanently deferred if the project meets specific criteria per chapter 82.60 RCW. To qualify for deferral, the business must submit an application to DOR prior to completion of construction or the business takes possession of the machinery and equipment. Approved applicants will receive a sales and use tax deferral certificate, which allows vendors and contractors to sell to the approved applicant without charging sales tax.

Deferred taxes need not be repaid if the business fills at least one permanent full-time position for each \$750 thousand investment with a resident of the CEZ by the end of the second calendar year following the year in which the project is certified as operationally complete. Failure to meet the employment requirement causes all deferred taxes to become immediately due. Each recipient of a deferral of taxes must file a complete annual survey with DOR for eight years following the year in which the project is operationally complete. If DOR finds that the project does not qualify for the deferral, all deferred taxes become immediately due.

High-Technology Sales and Use Tax Deferral

Chapter 82.63 RCW provides a deferral and ultimate waiver of sales and use tax to encourage the creation of highwage, high-skilled jobs in Washington. The deferral applies to sales and use tax arising from the construction or expansion of a qualified research and development facility or a pilot scale manufacturing facility used in the fields of advanced computing, advanced materials, biotechnology, electronic device technology, or environmental technology.

Businesses must apply for a deferral certificate prior to being issued a building permit for the project(s) or before taking possession of machinery and equipment. Eligible projects will receive a sales and use tax deferral certificate issued by DOR, which allows vendors and contractors to sell to the approved business without charging sales tax. An annual survey must be filed by May 31, of the year in which the project is certified and for the following seven years. If the investment project is used for any other purpose at any time during the calendar year in which the investment is certified as operationally complete, or during the next seven calendar years, a portion of the deferred taxes must be repaid immediately. The portion due is determined by a sliding scale ranging from 100 percent recapture in the year the project is operationally complete to 12.5 percent recapture in year eight.

Aerospace Incentives

The state of Washington provides seven tax abatement and incentive programs to the aerospace industry to encourage the industry's continued presence in the state of Washington.

RCWs 82.04.260(11), 82.04.290(3), and 82.04.250(3) allow for a reduced business and occupation (B&O) tax for manufacturers and processors for hire of commercial airplanes, component parts of commercial airplanes, or tooling designed for use in manufacturing commercial airplanes or components; non-manufacturers engaged in the business

of aerospace product development; and certificated Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) repair stations making retail

The purchase of goods and services, including labor, for the construction of new buildings used to manufacture commercial airplanes or fuselages or wings of commercial airplanes are exempt from sales and use tax per RCWs 82.08.980 and 82.12.980. The exemption also applies to new buildings or parts of new buildings used for storing raw materials or finished products used to manufacture commercial airplanes and certain commercial airplane parts. Port districts, political subdivisions, or municipal corporations may also use the sales and use tax exemption when constructing new facilities to lease to these manufacturers. The eligible purchaser must present a Buyers' Retail Sales Tax Exemption Certificate to the seller at the time of purchase. The amount of sales and use tax abated from the exemption for the construction of new facilities used to manufacture commercial airplanes, or fuselages or wings of commercial airplanes cannot be disclosed because there are fewer than three taxpayers that received the exemption in the calendar year ended December 31, 2016, per RCW 82.32.330(2).

RCW 82.04.4461 allows a business and occupation tax credit equal to 1.5 percent of expenditures on aerospace product development performed within Washington. A business claiming the credit must file an annual report with DOR.

Per RCW 82.04.4463, manufacturers and processors for hire of commercial airplanes or their component parts and aerospace tooling manufacturers are eligible for a business and occupation tax credit equal to the property and leasehold taxes paid on certain buildings, land, and the increased value from certain building renovations or expansions, as well as a portion of property taxes paid on certain machinery and equipment. The credit for machinery and equipment is equal to the amount of property taxes paid on the machinery and equipment multiplied by a fraction. Eligibility for the credit requires the building, land, and/or machinery and equipment be used exclusively in manufacturing commercial airplanes or their components or in manufacturing tooling specifically designed for use in manufacturing commercial airplanes or their components. A business claiming the credit must file an annual report with DOR.

Non-manufacturers engaged in the business of aerospace product development and certificated FAR repair stations making retail sales are eligible for a business and occupation tax credit equal to property and leasehold taxes on certain buildings, land, and the increased value of renovated buildings, and qualifying computer equipment and peripherals under RCW 82.04.4463. Eligibility for the credit requires the building, land, and/or computer equipment and peripherals be used exclusively in aerospace product development or in providing aerospace services. A business claiming the credit must file an annual report with DOR.

The purchase and use of computer hardware, software, or peripherals, including installation charges is exempt from sales and use tax per RCWs 82.08.975 and 82.12.975 if the buyer uses the purchased item primarily in developing, designing, and engineering aerospace products. The purchaser must present a Buyers' Retail Sales Tax Exemption Certificate to the seller at the time of purchase.

Leasehold interests in port district facilities used by a manufacturer of super-efficient airplanes are exempt from leasehold excise tax per RCW 82.29A.137. In addition, all buildings, machinery, equipment, and other personal property of a lessee of a port district used exclusively in manufacturing super-efficient airplanes is exempt from property taxation per RCW 84.36.655. No taxpayers were eligible for either of these exemptions during the calendar year ended December 31, 2016.

The following table shows the amount of taxes abated by the state of Washington during the calendar year ended December 31, 2016 (in thousands):

Tax Abatement Program	Taxes Abated
High Unemployment County Sales and Use Tax Deferral for Manufacturing Facilities	D*
High-Technology Sales and Use Tax Deferral	\$1,330
Multi-Unit Urban Housing Exemption	\$6,137
Aerospace incentives: Computer Hardware, Software and Peripherals sales and use tax exemption	D

^{*}Washington State cannot disclose the amounts abated.

Total Amount of

Note 7 Capital Assets

Primary Government

A summary of changes in capital assets for the King County Primary Government (in thousands):

, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		Balance 1/1/2017	,	Additions	ı	Retirements	Transfers / Reclassifications		Balance 12/31/2017
Governmental Activities:								_	
Capital assets not being depreciated:									
Land	\$	570,339	\$	24,743	\$	(6,342)	\$ 4,040	\$	592,780
Rights-of-way and easements		446,576		7,840		(347)	2,415		456,484
Infrastructure - road and bridges		1,101,395		7,470		(2,697)	_		1,106,168
Art collections		10,468		27		_	_		10,495
Work in progress		173,899		122,269		_	(19,690)		276,478
Total capital assets not being depreciated		2,302,677		162,349		(9,386)	(13,235)		2,442,405
Capital assets being depreciated:					_			_	
Buildings		1,038,419		2,570		(18,502)	(6)		1,022,481
Leasehold improvements		19,076		_		_	_		19,076
Improvements other than buildings		81,362		(13,058)		_	7,403		75,707
Infrastructure – levees		23,930		_		_	5,444		29,374
Furniture, machinery and equipment		161,419		12,566		(4,176)	401		170,210
Software		113,276		_		(1,656)	_		111,620
Total capital assets being depreciated		1,437,482		2,078	_	(24,334)	13,242	_	1,428,468
Less accumulated depreciation for:		.,,		_,-,-		(= :,== :)			1,120,100
Buildings		(472,130)		(28,571)		16,858	1		(483,842)
Leasehold improvements		(5,723)		(954)		_	_		(6,677)
Improvements other than buildings		(21,342)		(3,048)		_	_		(24,390)
Infrastructure – levees		(2,011)		(700)		_	_		(2,711)
Furniture, machinery and equipment		(114,983)		(12,496)		3,953	(28)		(123,554)
Software		(61,709)		(9,085)		1,656	(20)		(69,138)
Total accumulated depreciation		(677,898)		(54,854)	_	22,467	(27)	_	(710,312)
Total capital assets being depreciated, net		759.584	_	(52,776)	_	(1,867)	13.215	_	718,156
Governmental activities capital assets, net	\$	3,062,261	\$	109,573	\$	(11,253)	\$ (20)	\$	3,160,561
Business-type Activities: Capital assets not being depreciated:									
Land	\$	448,018	\$	2,470	\$	(41)	\$ 3,556	\$	454,003
Rights-of-way and easements		31,278		_		`	93		31,371
Art collections		3,747		_		_	_		3,747
Work in progress		520,363		389,545		_	(376,495)		533,413
Total capital assets not being depreciated		1,003,406	_	392,015	_	(41)	(372,846)	_	1,022,534
Capital assets being depreciated:		,,,,,,,,		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	_		(= ,= =)	_	, , , , , , ,
Buildings		3,361,878		2,144		(4,882)	99,119		3,458,259
Leasehold improvements		7,307		´ —		_	_		7,307
Improvements other than buildings		356,020		7,750		(1,414)	43,934		406,290
Rights-of-way - temporary easement		7,635		_		(.,,	_		7,635
Infrastructure – water quality		2,260,787		_		(14,485)	49,036		2,295,338
Furniture, machinery and equipment		2,658,368		10,065		(96,025)	179,148		2,751,556
Software		150,273		10,000		(5,252)	1,603		146,624
Total capital assets being depreciated		8,802,268		19,959		(122,058)	372,840		9,073,009
Less accumulated depreciation for:		0,002,200		10,000		(122,030)	372,040		3,073,003
Buildings		(1,541,393)		(91,886)		3,523	(2)		(1,629,759)
Leasehold improvements				(377)		3,323	(3)		(3,783)
•		(3,406)				1,176	_		
Improvements other than buildings		(156,706)		(14,874)		1,170	_		(170,404)
Rights-of-way - temporary easement		(1,145)		(218)		2.040	_		(1,363)
Infrastructure – water quality		(578,134)		(48,101)		3,243	_		(622,992)
Furniture, machinery and equipment		(1,458,653)		(148,784)		91,442	29		(1,515,966)
Software		(98,079)		(11,943)	_	5,252		_	(104,770)
Total accumulated depreciation		(3,837,516)		(316,183)	_	104,636	26	_	(4,049,037)
Total capital assets being depreciated, net	_	4,964,752	_	(296,224)	-	(17,422)	372,866	-	5,023,972
Business-type activities capital assets, net	\$	5,968,158	\$	95,791	\$	(17,463)	\$ 20	\$	6,046,506

Governmental activities include capital assets of governmental internal service funds except for the Wastewater Equipment Rental Fund, which is reported under business-type activities because it provides services exclusively to the Water Quality Enterprise.

Beginning Balance Adjustment

Net beginning balance adjustment for Work In Progress of \$23.7 million is mainly due to prior period capital costs not capitalized to the Work in Progress. Beginning balance adjustment for Infrastructure - roads and bridges decreased by \$7.5 million due to over-reporting in prior years.

Depreciation Expense

Depreciation expense charged to functions of the Primary Government (in thousands):

		2017
Governmental Activities		
General government	\$	29,113
Law, safety and justice		9,971
Physical environment		924
Transportation		261
Economic environment		9
Health and human services		939
Culture and recreation		4,708
Capital assets held by the County's governmental internal service funds are charged to governmental activities based on their usage of the assets		8,929
Total depreciation - governmental activities	\$	54,854
Dispinant time Activities		
Business-type Activities	•	470 770
Water Quality	\$	172,779
Public Transportation		130,203
Solid Waste		10,666
King County International Airport		5,757
Institutional Network		313
Radio Communications		706
Marine Fund		1,059
Capital assets held by the Wastewater Equipment Rental internal service fund are charged to business-type activities based on its usage of the assets		2,129
Total depreciation and amortization expense - business-type activities	\$	323,612
Less amortization - Water Quality other assets		(7,429)
Total depreciation - business-type activities	\$	316,183

Infrastructure

Roads and Bridges Infrastructure Valuation

The roads and bridges infrastructure network acquired or constructed prior to 2002 is valued at estimated historical cost. Base year estimates of 2001 replacement costs for all existing roads and 1988 replacement costs for all bridges were obtained using standard costing methods with the resultant values being deflated to the acquisition year (or estimated acquisition year, where the actual year was unknown), using the Engineering News Record Construction Cost Index. Retroactive reporting of traffic control elements is based on replacement cost.

Rights-of-Way

Historical costs for infrastructure-related rights-of-way were obtained by estimating replacement costs at 2001 using land assessed valuation data and then deflating the resultant values to the acquisition year (or estimated acquisition year, where the actual year is unknown), using assessed land value indices from the King County Assessor's Office.

Construction Commitments

Project commitments are defined as authorized and planned expenditures for the next fiscal year.

Enterprise Funds

Public Transportation Enterprise - \$186.8 million is committed to the maintenance of existing infrastructure, service delivery and partnership efforts.

Water Quality Enterprise - \$197.7 million is committed to ensuring the continued operation, reliability and compliance with regulatory standards of existing wastewater treatment facilities.

Other Enterprises - \$22.2 million is committed to improving the County's solid waste regional landfill and maintenance of existing facilities, \$0.8 million for Airport facility improvements within the County, and \$23.1 million for the construction of a new permanent passenger-only facility in downtown Seattle for Marine Enterprise.

Capital Projects Funds

\$264.1 million is committed to various capital projects, including: (1) open space and conservation easement acquisitions; (2) development and improvement of trails, playgrounds and ballfields and other cultural facilities; (3) technology initiatives to improve business efficiency, emergency preparedness and network security; (4) flood control projects to protect the ecosystem and public property; (5) preservation of roads construction of bridges; and (6) improvements and major repairs to office buildings and other facilities.

Discretely Presented Component Units

Harborview Medical Center (HMC)

Capital assets activity for HMC during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2017 (in thousands):

	Balance 7/1/2016	Additions	Retirements	Transfers	Balance 6/30/2017
Capital assets not being depreciated:					
Land	\$ 2,432	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 2,432
Work in progress	12,584	13,462		(6,777)	19,269
Total capital assets not being depreciated	15,016	13,462		(6,777)	21,701
Capital assets being depreciated:					
Buildings	419,700	_	(2,034)	4,202	421,868
Improvements other than buildings	16,098	_	(101)	392	16,389
Equipment	438,266	8,997	(88,172)	2,183	361,274
Total capital assets being depreciated	874,064	8,997	(90,307)	6,777	799,531
Less accumulated depreciation for:					
Buildings	(201,977)	(13,755)	2,034	_	(213,698)
Improvements other than buildings	(7,701)	(1,047)	101	_	(8,647)
Equipment	(379,038)	(17,580)	87,908		(308,710)
Total accumulated depreciation	(588,716)	(32,382)	90,043		(531,055)
HMC capital assets, net	\$ 300,364	\$ (9,923)	\$ (264)	<u> </u>	\$ 290,177

HMC owns other properties (net book value of \$19.9 million) which are held for future use and are reported under "Other assets" in the component unit's statement of net position.

Note 8

Restricted Assets

Within the Statement of Net Position are amounts that are restricted as to their use. The restricted assets for these funds are summarized below (in thousands):

Proprietary Funds

<u>Public Transportation</u> - restricted for future construction projects, debt service and obligations.	\$ 47,135
Water Quality - restricted for future construction projects, debt service, and reserves and obligations.	244,887
King County International Airport - restricted for construction projects and obligations.	737
Radio Communications Services - restricted for construction projects and obligations.	6
Solid Waste - restricted for construction projects, landfill closure and post-closure care costs.	68,090
Construction & Facilities Management - restricted for construction projects and obligations.	19
King County Information Technology Services - restricted for construction projects.	255
Total Proprietary Funds restricted assets	\$ 361,129
Component Unit - Harborview Medical Center (HMC)	
<u>HMC Construction Fund</u> - restricted for construction projects, seismic, public safety and other improvements and furnishings of HMC buildings.	\$ 4,573
<u>HMC Special Purpose Fund</u> - restricted donations, gifts and bequests from various sources for specific uses.	 8,395
Total HMC restricted assets	\$ 12,968
Component Unit - Cultural Development Authority of King County (CDA)	
1% for Art Fund - restricted for the one percent for public art programs operated to benefit King County. Building for Culture Program - restricted for a regional King County cultural capital investment partnership program.	\$ 6,995 6,304
	*
Cultural Special Account & Other Funds - restricted for arts and heritage cultural programs.	 47,276
Total CDA restricted assets	\$ 60,575
Component Unit - NJB Properties	
Non-bond Proceeds Fund - restricted for costs of the NJB Project	\$ 55
Revenue Fund - restricted for transfers to the Bond Fund and authorized administrative fees	 825
Total NJB Properties restricted assets	\$ 880

Note 9

Pension Plans

The following table represents the aggregate pension amounts for all plans subject to the requirements of GASB Statement 68, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions* for the year 2017:

Aggregate Pension Amounts - All Plans (in thousands)				
Pension liabilities	\$	756,022		
Pension assets		49,475		
Deferred outflows of resources		115,425		
Deferred inflows of resources		148,138		
Pension expense/expenditures		49,237		

Substantially all of the County's full-time and qualifying part-time employees participate in one of the following retirement plans: Public Employees' Retirement System (PERS) Plan 1, 2 and 3; Law Enforcement Officers' and Fire Fighters' Retirement System (LEOFF) Plan 1 and 2; Public Safety Employees' Retirement System (PSERS) Plan 2; and Seattle City Employees' Retirement System (SCERS).

PERS, LEOFF and PSERS are administered by the Washington State Department of Retirement Systems under costsharing, multiple-employer public employee defined benefit and defined contribution retirement plans. The state Legislature establishes and amends laws pertaining to the creation and administration of all statewide public retirement systems.

The Department of Retirement Systems (DRS), a department within the primary government of the State of Washington, issues a publicly available comprehensive annual financial report (CAFR) that includes financial statements and required supplementary information for each plan. The DRS CAFR may be obtained by writing to:

Department of Retirement Systems Communications Unit P.O. Box 48380 Olympia, WA 98504-8380

Or the DRS CAFR may be downloaded from the DRS website at www.drs.wa.gov.

SCERS is administered by the City of Seattle's Employees' Retirement System under cost-sharing, multiple-employer public employee defined benefit retirement plans. The seven-member Board of Administration establishes and amends laws pertaining to the administration of SCERS.

The Employees' Retirement System issues an independent financial report. A copy of the report is available from the Seattle City Employees' Retirement System at 720 Third Avenue, Suite 900, Seattle, WA 98104; by telephone at 206-386-1293; or by accessing the website http://www.seattle.gov/retirement.

Public Employees' Retirement System (PERS)

PERS members include elected officials; state employees; employees of the Supreme, Appeals and Superior Courts; employees of the legislature; employees of district and municipal courts; employees of local governments; and higher education employees not participating in higher education retirement programs. PERS is comprised of three separate pension plans for membership purposes. PERS Plan 1 and Plan 2 are defined benefit plans, and PERS Plan 3 is a defined benefit plan with a defined contribution component.

PERS Plan 1

Benefits Provided: PERS Plan 1 provides retirement, disability and death benefits. Retirement benefits are determined as two percent of the member's average final compensation (AFC) times the member's years of service. The AFC is the average of the member's 24 highest consecutive service months. Members are eligible for retirement from active status at any age with at least 30 years of service, at age 55 with at least 25 years of service, or at age 60 with at least five years of service. Members retiring from active status prior to the age of 65 may receive actuarially reduced benefits.

Retirement benefits are actuarially reduced to reflect the choice of a survivor benefit. Other benefits include duty and non-duty disability payments, an optional cost-of-living adjustment (COLA), and a one-time duty-related death benefit, if found eligible by the Department of Labor and Industries. PERS 1 members were vested after the completion of five years of eligible service. The plan was closed to new entrants on September 30, 1977.

Contributions: The PERS Plan 1 member contribution rate is established by State statute at 6 percent. The employer contribution rate is developed by the Office of the State Actuary (OSA) and includes an administrative expense component that is currently set at 0.18 percent. Each biennium, the state Pension Funding Council adopts Plan 1 employer contribution rates. The PERS Plan 1 required contribution rates (expressed as a percentage of covered payroll) for 2017 were as follows:

PERS Plan 1				
Actual Contribution Rates:	Employer	Employee*		
January through June 2017	11.18%	6.00%		
July through December 2017	12.70%	6.00%		

^{*} For employees participating in the Judicial Benefit Multiplier Program (JBM), the contribution rate was 12.26%.

The County's actual contributions to the plan were \$1.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2017.

PERS Plans 2 and 3

Benefits Provided: PERS Plan 2/3 provides retirement, disability and death benefits. Retirement benefits are determined as two percent of the member's AFC times the member's years of service for Plan 2 and 1 percent of AFC for Plan 3. The AFC is the average of the member's 60 highest paid consecutive service months. There is no cap on years of service credit. Members are eligible for retirement with a full benefit at age 65 with at least five years of service credit. Retirement before age 65 is considered an early retirement. PERS Plan 2/3 members who have at least 20 years of service credit and are 55 years of age or older, are eligible for early retirement with a benefit that is reduced by a factor that varies according to age for each year before age 65. PERS Plan 2/3 members who have 30 or more years of service credit and are at least 55 years old can retire under one of two provisions:

- With a benefit that is reduced by three percent for each year before age 65; or
- With a benefit that has a smaller (or no) reduction (depending on age) that imposes stricter return-towork rules.

PERS Plan 2/3 members hired on or after May 1, 2013 have the option to retire early by accepting a reduction of five percent for each year of retirement before age 65. This option is available only to those who are age 55 or older and have at least 30 years of service credit. PERS Plan 2/3 retirement benefits are also actuarially reduced to reflect the choice of a survivor benefit. Other PERS Plan 2/3 benefits include duty and non-duty disability payments, a cost-of-living allowance (based on the CPI), capped at three percent annually and a one-time duty related death benefit, if found eligible by the Department of Labor and Industries. PERS Plan 2 members are vested after completing five years of eligible service. PERS Plan 3 members are vested in the defined benefit portion of their plan after ten years of service; or after five years of service if 12 months of that service are earned after age 44.

PERS Plan 3 defined contribution benefits are totally dependent on employee contributions and investment earnings on those contributions. PERS Plan 3 members choose their contribution rate upon joining membership and have a chance to change rates upon changing employers. As established by statute, PERS Plan 3 required defined contribution rates are set at a minimum of 5 percent and escalate to 15 percent with a choice of six options. Employers do not contribute to the defined contribution benefits. PERS Plan 3 members are immediately vested in the defined contribution portion of their plan.

Contributions: PERS Plan 2/3 employer and employee contribution rates are developed by the OSA to fully fund Plan 2 and the defined benefit portion of Plan 3. PERS Plan 2/3 employer rates include a component to address the PERS Plan 1 unfunded actuarial accrued liability and an administrative expense that is currently set at 0.18 percent. Each biennium, the state Pension Funding Council adopts Plan 2 employer and employee contribution rates and Plan 3 employer contribution rates.

The PERS Plan 2/3 required contribution rates (expressed as a percentage of covered payroll) for 2017 were as follows:

PERS Plan 2/3					
Actual Contribution Rates:	Employer 2/3	Employee 2*			
January through June 2017	11.18%	6.12%			
July through December 2017	12.70%	7.38%			
Employee PERS Plan 3	N/A	varies			

^{*} For employees participating in JBM, the contribution rate was 15.30% for January - June 2017 and 18.45% for July - December 2017.

The County's actual contributions to the plan were \$123.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2017.

Public Safety Employees' Retirement System (PSERS)

PSERS Plan 2 was created by the 2004 Legislature and became effective July 1, 2006. To be eligible for membership, an employee must work on a full time basis and:

- Have completed a certified criminal-justice training course with authority to arrest, conduct criminal investigations, enforce the criminal laws of Washington, and carry a firearm as part of the job; or
- Have primary responsibility to ensure the custody and security of incarcerated or probationary individuals;
 or
- Function as a limited authority Washington peace officer, as defined in RCW 10.93.020; or
- Have primary responsibility to supervise eligible members who meet the above criteria.

PSERS membership includes:

- PERS 2 or 3 employees hired by a covered employer before July 1, 2006, who met at least one of the PSERS eligibility criteria and elected membership during the period of July 1, 2006 to September 30 2006;
- Employees hired on or after July 1, 2006 by a covered employer, that meet at least one of the PSERS eliqibility criteria.

PSERS covered employers include:

- Certain State of Washington agencies (Department of Corrections, Department of Natural Resources, Gambling Commission, Liquor and Cannabis Board, Parks and Recreation Commission, and Washington State Patrol).
- Washington State Counties,
- · Washington State Cities (except for Seattle, Spokane, and Tacoma),
- Correctional entities formed by PSERS employers under the Interlocal Cooperation Act.

Benefits Provided: PSERS Plan 2 provides retirement, disability and death benefits. Retirement benefits are determined as two percent of the AFC for each year of service. The AFC is based on the member's 60 consecutive highest creditable months of service. Benefits are actuarially reduced for each year that the member's age is less than 60 (with ten or more service credit years in PSERS), or less than 65 (with fewer than ten service credit years). There is no cap on years of service credit. Members are eligible for retirement at the age of 65 with five years of service; or at the age of 60 with at least ten years of PSERS service credit; or at age 53 with 20 years of service. Retirement before age 60 is considered an early retirement. PSERS members who retire prior to the age of 60 receive reduced benefits. If retirement is at age 53 or older with at least 20 years of service, a three percent per year reduction for each year between the age at retirement and age 60 applies. PSERS Plan 2 retirement benefits are actuarially reduced to reflect the choice of a survivor benefit. Other benefits include duty and non-duty disability payments, an optional cost-of living adjustment, and a one-time duty-related death benefit, if found eligible by the Department of Labor and Industries. PSERS Plan 2 members are vested after completing five years of eligible service.

Contributions: PSERS Plan 2 employer and employee contribution rates are developed by the OSA to fully fund Plan 2. The Plan 2 employer rate includes components to address the PERS Plan 1 unfunded actuarial accrued liability and administrative expense currently set at 0.18 percent. Each biennium, the state Pension Funding Council adopts

Plan 2 employer and employee contribution rates. In addition to the regular change in contribution rates on July 1, 2017, PSERS contribution rates changed again September 1, 2017 due to HB 1709, which allows PERS members meeting specific criteria to transfer service credit into PSERS as long as they and their employer pay the difference between the PERS and PSERS contribution rates.

The PSERS Plan 2 required contribution rates (expressed as a percentage of covered payroll) for 2017 were as follows:

PSERS Plan 2				
Actual Contribution Rates:	Employer	Employee		
January through June 2017	11.54%	6.59%		
July through August 2017	11.94%	6.73%		
September through December 2017	11.95%	6.74%		

The County's actual contributions to the plan were \$4.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2017.

Law Enforcement Officers' and Fire Fighters' Retirement System (LEOFF)

LEOFF membership includes all full-time, fully compensated, local law enforcement commissioned officers, firefighters, and as of July 24, 2005, emergency medical technicians. LEOFF is comprised of two separate defined benefit plans.

LEOFF Plan 1

Benefits Provided: LEOFF Plan 1 provides retirement, disability and death benefits. Retirement benefits are determined per year of service calculated as a percent of final average salary (FAS) as follows:

20+ years of service
 10 - 19 years of service
 5 - 9 years of service
 1.0% of FAS
 1.0% of FAS

The FAS is the basic monthly salary received at the time of retirement, provided a member has held the same position or rank for 12 months preceding the date of retirement. Otherwise, it is the average of the highest consecutive 24 months' salary within the last ten years of service. Members are eligible for retirement with five years of service at the age of 50. Other benefits include duty and non-duty disability payments, a cost-of-living adjustment, and a one-time duty-related death benefit, if found eligible by the Department of Labor and Industries. LEOFF Plan 1 members were vested after the completion of five years of eligible service. The plan was closed to new entrants on September 30, 1977.

Contributions: Starting on July 1, 2000, LEOFF Plan 1 employers and employees contribute zero percent, as long as the plan remains fully funded. The LEOFF Plan 1 had no required employer or employee contributions for fiscal year 2017. Employers paid only the administrative expense of 0.18 percent of covered payroll.

LEOFF Plan 2

Benefits Provided: LEOFF Plan 2 provides retirement, disability and death benefits. Retirement benefits are determined as two percent of the FAS per year of service (the FAS is based on the highest consecutive 60 months). Members are eligible for retirement with a full benefit at 53 with at least five years of service credit. Members who retire prior to the age of 53 receive reduced benefits. If the member has at least 20 years of service and is age 50, the reduction is three percent for each year prior to age 53. Otherwise, the benefits are actuarially reduced for each year prior to age 53. LEOFF Plan 2 retirement benefits are also actuarially reduced to reflect the choice of a survivor benefit. Other benefits include duty and non-duty disability payments, a cost-of-living allowance (based on the CPI), capped at three percent annually and a one-time duty-related death benefit, if found eligible by the Department of Labor and Industries. LEOFF Plan 2 members are vested after the completion of five years of eligible service.

Contributions: LEOFF Plan 2 employer and employee contribution rates are developed by the OSA to fully fund Plan 2. The employer rate includes an administrative expense component set at 0.18 percent. Plan 2 employers and employees are required to pay at the level adopted by the LEOFF Plan 2 Retirement Board.

The LEOFF Plan 2 required contribution rates (expressed as a percentage of covered payroll) for 2017 were as follows:

LEOFF 2				
Actual Contribution Rates:	Employer	Employee		
January through June 2017	5.23%	8.41%		
July through December 2017	5.43%	8.75%		

The County's actual contributions to the plan were \$5.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2017.

The Legislature, by means of a special funding arrangement, appropriates money from the state General Fund to supplement the current service liability and fund the prior service costs of Plan 2 in accordance with the recommendations of the Pension Funding Council and the LEOFF Plan 2 Retirement Board. This special funding situation is not mandated by the state constitution and could be changed by statute. For the state fiscal year ending June 30, 2017, the State contributed \$62.2 million to LEOFF Plan 2. The amount recognized by the County as its proportionate share of this amount is \$26.2 million.

Seattle City Employees' Retirement System (SCERS)

SCERS is a cost-sharing, multiple-employer retirement plan administered in accordance with Chapter 4.36 of the Seattle Municipal Code. County employees of the Department of Public Health who have established membership in SCERS remain covered by the City Retirement System. Employees of Public Transportation who are former employees of Seattle Transit are also covered by the system.

Benefits Provided: SCERS provides retirement, disability and death benefits. Employees covered by this plan may retire after 30 years of service regardless of age; after age 52 with 20 years or more of service; after age 57 with 10 or more years of service; and after age 62 with five or more years of service. Disability retirement is available after 10 years of service. The unmodified monthly retirement allowance is based on a percentage of average salary for every year of service to a maximum of 60 percent. The average salary for this plan is defined as the highest consecutive 24-months' average rate of pay. The percentage for each year of service used to compute the retirement benefit depends on the age at retirement and the years of service. It ranges from 1.2 percent at age 52 with 20 years of service to a maximum of 2 percent for each year of service. The maximum allowance a member can receive is the unmodified plan, which has no provision for a beneficiary and, at the member's death, stops all payments. Several optional retirement benefit formulas exist which provide for beneficiaries with reduced monthly allowances.

Contributions: The SCERS member contribution rate is 10.03 percent of compensation except for members qualifying for lower rates prior to June 1972. The County is required to contribute at an actuarially determined rate. The current rate is 15.29 percent of annual covered payroll. The contribution requirements of plan members and the County are established and may be amended by the Board of Administration. The SCERS Plan required contribution rates (expressed as a percentage of covered payroll) for 2017 were as follows:

SCERS		
Actual Contribution Rates:	Employer	Employee
January through December 2017	15.29%	10.03%

The County's actual contributions to the plan were \$0.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2017.

Actuarial Assumptions

The total pension liability (TPL) for each of the DRS plans was determined using the most recent actuarial valuation completed in 2017 with a valuation date of June 30, 2016. The actuarial assumptions used in the valuation were based on the results of the Office of the State Actuary's 2007-2012 Experience Study and the 2015 Economic Experience Study.

Additional assumptions for subsequent events and law changes are current as of the 2016 actuarial valuation report. The TPL was calculated as of the valuation date and rolled forward to the measurement date of June 30, 2017.

Plan liabilities were rolled forward from June 30, 2016, to June 30, 2017, reflecting each plan's normal cost (using the entry-age cost method), assumed interest and actual benefit payments.

- Inflation: 3.0% total economic inflation; 3.75% salary inflation
- Salary increases: In addition to the base 3.75% salary inflation assumption, salaries are also expected to grow by promotions and longevity.
- Investment rate of return: 7.5%

Mortality rates for DRS pension plans were based on the RP-2000 report's Combined Healthy Table and Combined Disabled Table published by the Society of Actuaries. The OSA applied offsets to the base table and recognized future improvements in mortality by projecting the mortality rates using 100 percent Scale BB. Mortality rates are applied on a generational basis; meaning, each member is assumed to receive additional mortality improvements in each future year throughout his or her lifetime.

There were minor changes in methods and assumptions since the last valuation.

- For all plans except LEOFF Plan 1, how terminated and vested member benefits are valued was corrected.
- How the basic minimum COLA in PERS Plan 1 is valued for legal order pavees was improved.
- For all plans, the average expected remaining service lives calculation was revised.

The TPL for SCERS pension plan was determined by an actuarial valuation as of December 31, 2016. The actuarial assumptions used in the valuation were based on an actuarial experience study for the period January 1, 2010 through December 31,2013. The following actuarial assumptions were applied to all periods including the measurement period.

- Inflation: 3.25%
- Salary increases: In addition to the 4.0% salary increase assumption, salaries are also expected to grow by promotions and longevity.
- · Investment rate of return: 7.5% compounded annually, net of expenses

Mortality rates for SCERS plan were based on the RP-2000 report's Employee Table, Combined Healthy Table and Combined Disabled Table. All mortality tables are generational using Projection Scale AA to reflect expected future mortality improvement.

Discount Rate

The discount rate used to measure the total pension liability for all DRS plans was 7.5 percent. To determine that rate, an asset sufficiency test included an assumed 7.7 percent long-term discount rate to determine funding liabilities for calculating future contribution rate requirements. (All plans use 7.7 percent except LEOFF Plan 2, which has assumed 7.5 percent). Consistent with the long-term expected rate of return, a 7.5 percent future investment rate of return on invested assets was assumed for the test. Contributions from plan members and employers are assumed to continue being made at contractually required rates (including PERS Plans 2 and 3 and PSERS Plan 2, whose rates include a component for the PERS Plan 1 liability). Based on these assumptions, the pension plans' fiduciary net position was projected to be available to make all projected future benefit payments of current plan members. Therefore, the long-term expected rate of return of 7.5 percent was used to determine the total liability.

The discount rate used to measure the total pension liability for SCERS pension plan was 7.5 percent. The projection of cash flows used to determine the discount rate assumed that plan member contributions will be made at the current contribution rate and the participating governmental entity contributions will be made at rates equal to the difference between actuarially determined contribution rates and the member rate. Based on those assumptions, the pension plan's fiduciary net position was projected to be available to make all projected future benefit payments of current plan members. Therefore, the long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was applied to all periods on projected benefit payment to determine the total pension liability.

Long-Term Expected Rate of Return

The long-term expected rate of return on the DRS and SCERS pension plan investments of 7.5 percent was determined using a building-block-method. In selecting this assumption, the OSA reviewed the historical experience data, considered the historical conditions that produced past annual investment returns, and considered capital market assumptions and simulated expected investment returns provided by the Washington State Investment Board (WSIB).

The WSIB uses the capital market assumptions and their target asset allocation to simulate future investment returns over various time horizons.

Estimated Rates of Return by Asset Class

Best estimates of arithmetic real rates of return for each major asset class included in the DRS pension plan's target asset allocation as of June 30, 2017, are summarized in the table below. The inflation component used to create the table is 2.2 percent and represents the WSIB's most recent long-term estimate of broad economic inflation.

Asset Class	Target Allocation	% Long-term Expected Real Rate of Return Arithmetic
Fixed Income	20%	1.7%
Tangible Assets	5%	4.9%
Real Estate	15%	5.8%
Global Equity	37%	6.3%
Private Equity	23%	9.3%
	100%	

Best estimates of geometric real rates of return for each major asset class included in the SCERS pension plan's target asset allocation as of December 31, 2016 are summarized in the chart that follows:

Asset Class	% Long-term Expected Real Rate of Return Geometric
Equity: Public	5.0%
Equity: Private	6.3%
Fixed Income: Broad	0.6%
Fixed Income: Credit	3.8%
Real Assets: Real Estate	3.3%
Real Assets: Infrastructure	2.8%
Diversifying Strategies	3.3%

Sensitivity of Net Pension Liability (Asset)

The table below presents the County's proportionate share of the net pension liability calculated using the discount rate of 7.5 percent, as well as what the County's proportionate share of the net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is one percentage point lower (6.5 percent) or one percentage point higher (8.5 percent) than the current rate.

Sensitivity of Net Pension Liability (Asset) (in thousands)				
Plans	1% Decrease (6.5%)	1% Increase (8.5%)		
PERS 1	\$ 488,255	\$ 400,803	\$ 325,051	
PERS 2/3	949,298	352,361	(136,740)	
PSERS 2	13,055	1,944	(6,767)	
LEOFF 1	(6,710)	(9,046)	(11,052)	
LEOFF 2	8,749	(40,429)	(80,496)	
SCERS	1,236	914	642	

Pension Plan Fiduciary Net Position

Detailed information about the State's pension plans' and SCERS plan's fiduciary net position are available in the separately issued DRS and City of Seattle financial reports.

Pension Liabilities (Assets), Pension Expense and Deferred Outflows of Resources and Deferred Inflows of Resources Related to Pensions

At December 31, 2017, the County reported a total pension liability of \$756.0 million and total pension asset of \$49.5 million for its proportionate share of the net pension liabilities (assets) as follows:

Total Pension Liability (Asset) (in thousands)		
PERS 1	\$	400,803
PERS 2/3		352,361
PSERS 2		1,944
LEOFF 1		(9,046)
LEOFF 2		(40,429)
SCERS		914

The amount of the asset reported above for LEOFF Plan 2 reflects a reduction for State pension support provided to the County. The amount recognized by the County as its proportionate share of the net pension asset, the related State support and the total portion of the net pension asset that was associated with the County were as follows:

Net Pension Liability/(Asset) (in thousands)		
LEOFF 2 - County's proportionate share	\$	(40,429)
LEOFF 2 - State's proportionate share of the net pension liability/(asset) associated with King County		(26,225)
TOTAL	\$	(66,654)

The County proportionate share of the collective net pension liabilities was as follows:

Collective Net Pension Liabilities				
Proportionate Proportionate Change in Share 6/30/16 Share 6/30/17 Proportion				
PERS 1	8.9%	8.45%	-0.45%	
PERS 2/3	10.52%	10.14%	-0.38%	
PSERS 2	11.33%	9.92%	-1.41%	
LEOFF 1	0.6%	0.6%	0%	
LEOFF 2	3.02%	2.91%	-0.1%	

Collective Net Pension Liabilities			
	Proportionate Share 12/31/15	Proportionate Share 12/31/16	Change in Proportion
SCERS	0.09%	0.07%	-0.02%

Employer contribution transmittals received and processed by the DRS for the fiscal year ended June 30 are used as the basis for determining each employer's proportionate share of the collective pension amounts reported by the DRS in the *Schedules of Employer and Nonemployer Allocations* for all plans except LEOFF 1.

LEOFF Plan 1 allocation percentages are based on the total historical employer contributions to LEOFF 1 from 1971 through 2000 and the retirement benefit payments in fiscal year 2017. Historical data was obtained from a 2011 study by the Office of the State Actuary. In fiscal year 2017, the state of Washington contributed 87.12 percent of LEOFF 1 employer contributions and all other employers contributed the remaining 12.88 percent of employer contributions. LEOFF 1 is fully funded and no further employer contributions have been required since June 2000. If the plan becomes underfunded, funding of the remaining liability will require new legislation. The allocation method the plan chose reflects the projected long-term contribution effort based on historical data.

In fiscal year 2017, the state of Washington contributed 39.35 percent of LEOFF 2 employer contributions pursuant to RCW 41.26.725 and all other employers contributed the remaining 60.65 percent of employer contributions.

The collective net pension liability (asset) for all DRS pension plans was measured as of June 30, 2017, and the actuarial valuation date on which the total pension liability (asset) is based was as of June 30, 2016, with update procedures used to roll forward the total pension liability to the measurement date.

The collective net pension liability for SCERS was measured as of December 31, 2016, and the actuarial valuation date on which the total pension liability is based was as of January 1, 2016, with update procedures used to roll forward the total pension liability to the measurement date taking into account any significant changes between the valuation date and the fiscal year end.

Pension Expense

For the year ended December 31, 2017, the County recognized pension expense as follows:

Pension Expense (in thousands)		
PERS 1	\$ 757	
PERS 2/3	47,675	
PSERS 2	2,745	
LEOFF 1	(1,397)	
LEOFF 2	(832)	
SCERS	289	
TOTAL	\$ 49,237	

Deferred Outflows of Resources and Deferred Inflows of Resources

At December 31, 2017, the County reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions from the following sources:

PERS 1	Deferred Outflows of Resources (in thousands)	Deferred Inflows of Resources (in thousands)
Differences between expected and actual experience	\$	\$
Net difference between projected and actual investment earnings on pension plan investments	_	14,957
Changes of assumptions	_	_
Changes in proportion and differences between contributions and proportionate share of contributions	_	_
Contributions subsequent to the measurement date	28,207	_
TOTAL	\$ 28,207	\$ 14,957

PERS 2/3	Deferred Outflows of Resources (in thousands)	Deferred Inflows of Resources (in thousands)
Differences between expected and actual experience	\$ 35,702	\$ 11,589
Net difference between projected and actual investment earnings on pension plan investments	_	93,931
Changes of assumptions	3,743	_
Changes in proportion and differences between contributions and proportionate share of contributions	375	14,071
Contributions subsequent to the measurement date	38,826	_
TOTAL	\$ 78,646	\$ 119,591

PSERS 2	Deferred Outflows of Resources (in thousands)	Deferred Inflows of Resources (in thousands)
Differences between expected and actual experience	\$ 1,150	\$ 138
Net difference between projected and actual investment earnings on pension plan investments	_	1,364
Changes of assumptions	17	_
Changes in proportion and differences between contributions and proportionate share of contributions	1	248
Contributions subsequent to the measurement date	1,265	_
TOTAL	\$ 2,433	\$ 1,750

LEOFF 1	Deferred Outflows of Resources (in thousands)	Deferred Inflows of Resources (in thousands)
Differences between expected and actual experience	\$ —	\$
Net difference between projected and actual investment earnings on pension plan investments	_	841
Changes of assumptions	_	_
Changes in proportion and differences between contributions and proportionate share of contributions	_	_
Contributions subsequent to the measurement date	_	_
TOTAL	\$	\$ 841

LEOFF 2	Deferred Outflows of Resources (in thousands)	Deferred Inflows of Resources (in thousands)		
Differences between expected and actual experience	\$ 1,777	\$ 1,533		
Net difference between projected and actual investment earnings on pension plan investments	_	9,077		
Changes of assumptions	49	_		
Changes in proportion and differences between contributions and proportionate share of contributions	812	135		
Contributions subsequent to the measurement date	2,282	_		
TOTAL	\$ 4,920	\$ 10,745		

SCERS	of Res	d Outflows sources ousands)	Deferred Inflows of Resources (in thousands)		
Differences between expected and actual experience	\$	1	\$	4	
Net difference between projected and actual investment earnings on pension plan investments		_		(73)	
Changes of assumptions		_		_	
Changes in proportion and differences between contributions and proportionate share of contributions		847		323	
Contributions subsequent to the measurement date		371		_	
TOTAL	\$	1,219	\$	254	

Deferred outflows of resources related to pensions resulting from the County contributions subsequent to the measurement date will be recognized as a reduction of the net pension liability in the year ended December 31, 2017. Other amounts reported as deferred outflows and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions will be recognized in pension expense as follows:

Year ended December 31:	PERS 1 (in thousands)
2018	\$ (10,110)
2019	3,192
2020	(741)
2021	(7,298)
2022	_
Thereafter	_

Year ended December 31:	PERS 2/3 (in thousands)			
2018	\$ (39,471)			
2019	7,921			
2020	(10,372)			
2021	(40,013)			
2022	941			
Thereafter	1,223			

Year ended December 31:	PSERS 2 (in thousands)
2018	\$ (309)
2019	\$ 227
2020	\$ 49
2021	\$ (303)
2022	\$ (29)
Thereafter	\$ (217)

Year ended December 31:	LEOFF 1 (in thousands)
2018	\$ (528)
2019	\$ 142
2020	\$ (57)
2021	\$ (398)
2022	\$ —
Thereafter	\$ —

Year ended December 31:	LEOFF 2 (in thousands)			
2018	\$ (4,144)			
2019	\$ 970			
2020	\$ (597)			
2021	\$ (3,915)			
2022	\$ (75)			
Thereafter	\$ (346)			

Year ended December 31:	SCERS (in thousands)			
2018	\$ 192			
2019	\$ 192			
2020	\$ 149			
2021	\$ 47			
2022	\$ 14			
Thereafter	\$ —			

Component Unit - Harborview Medical Center (HMC)

HMC personnel are University of Washington (UW) employees. HMC faculty and professional staff participate in the University of Washington Retirement Plan (UWRP), an IRC Section 403(b) defined contribution retirement plan, authorized by the Board of Regents. HMC staff participate in a plan authorized by the State of Washington Department of Retirement Systems (DRS). Plan participation is defined by position, with the majority of HMC employees enrolled in one of the three Public Employees' Retirement Systems (PERS) plans.

All plans include contributions by both employee and employer. Employee contributions are tax-deferred. Employer contributions are paid semi-monthly by the UW in accordance with rates specified by the retirement systems.

Component Unit - Cultural Development Authority of King County (CDA)

All eligible CDA personnel participate in PERS, a statewide local government retirement system administered by the DRS under cost-sharing, multiple-employer defined benefit plans. CDA's net pension liability, deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions at December 31, 2017 were \$ 1.4 million, \$300 thousand and \$200 thousand, respectively.

Note 10

Postemployment Health Care Plan

The County is required to accrue other postemployment benefits (OPEB) expense related to its postretirement health care plan based on a computed annual required contribution (ARC) that includes the current period's service cost and an amount to amortize unfunded actuarial accrued liabilities. Instead of recording expense on a "pay-as-you-go" basis, the County has recorded a liability of \$73.0 million for the difference between the actuarially calculated ARC and the estimated contributions made.

The effect of GASB No. 45 for the current fiscal year was to decrease the County's excess of revenue over expenses before capital contributions and the County's increase in net position for the year ended December 31, 2017 by approximately \$2.1 million.

<u>Plan Description</u> The King County Health Plan (the Health Plan) is a single-employer defined-benefit health care plan administered by the County. The Health Plan provides medical, prescription drug, vision, and other unreimbursed medical benefits to eligible retirees. The Health Plan does not issue a separate stand-alone financial report.

<u>Funding Policy</u> LEOFF 1 retirees are not required to contribute to the Health Plan. All other retirees are required to pay the COBRA rate associated with the elected plan.

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017, the County contributed an estimated \$5.9 million to the Health Plan. The County's contribution was entirely to fund "pay-as-you-go" costs under the Health Plan and not to pre-fund benefits.

Annual OPEB Cost and Net OPEB Obligation The basis for the County's annual OPEB cost (expense) is the annual required contribution (ARC). The ARC represents a level of funding that, if paid on an ongoing basis, the actuary projects will cover normal cost each year and amortize any unfunded actuarial liabilities (or funding excess) over a period not to exceed thirty years.

The components of the County's annual OPEB cost, the estimated amount contributed to the Health Plan, and changes in the County's net OPEB obligation to the Health Plan for the year ended December 31, 2017 (in thousands):

	2017
Normal cost - Unit Credit Method	\$ 1,934
Amortization of unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL)	8,524
Annual Required Contribution (ARC)	10,458
Interest on net OPEB obligation	2,481
Adjustment to annual required contribution	(4,988)
Annual OPEB cost (expense)	7,951
Contributions made	(5,878)
Increase in net OPEB obligation	2,073
Net OPEB obligation - beginning of year	70,895
Net OPEB obligation - end of year	\$ 72,968

The County's annual OPEB cost, the percentage of annual OPEB cost contributed to the Health Plan, and the net OPEB obligation (in thousands):

Fiscal Year Ended	Annual ear Ended OPEB Cost		Percentage of Annual OPEB Cost Contributed	Net OPEB Obligation		
12/31/2015	\$ 11,543		51.1%	\$	65,251	
12/31/2016		11,542	51.1%		70,895	
12/31/2017		7.951	73.9%		72.968	

Funded Status and Funding Progress

The funded status of the Health Plan as of December 31, 2017 (in thousands) is as follows:

Actuarial accrued liability (AAL) – Unit Credit	\$	121,079
Actuarial value of plan assets		_
Unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL)	\$	121,079
Funded ratio (actuarial value of plan assets ÷ AAL)		0.00%
Covered payroll	\$ 1	1,178,142
UAAL as a percentage of covered payroll		10.3%

Actuarial valuations of an ongoing plan involve estimates of the value of reported amounts and assumptions about the probability of occurrence of events far into the future. Examples include assumptions about future employment, mortality, and health care cost trends. Actuarially determined amounts are subject to continual revision as actual results are compared with past expectations and new estimates are made about the future. GASB No. 45 requires that the schedule of funding progress, presented as required additional information following the notes to the financial statements, presents multi-year trend information that shows whether the actuarial value of Health Plan assets is increasing or decreasing over time relative to the actuarial accrued liabilities for benefits.

Actuarial Methods and Assumptions The basis of projections of benefits for financial reporting purposes is the substantive plan (the Health Plan as understood by the County and members of the Health Plan) and includes the types of benefits provided at the time of each valuation and the historical pattern of sharing of benefit costs between the County and Members of the Health Plan members to that point. The actuarial methods and assumptions used include techniques that are designed to reduce the effects of short-term volatility in actuarial accrued liabilities and the actuarial value of assets, consistent with the long-term perspective of the calculations.

The December 31, 2017 valuation used the unit credit actuarial cost method. The actuarial assumptions included a 3.50 percent investment rate of return (net of administrative expenses) and an initial annual health care cost trend rate of 7.5 percent for KingCare medical and miscellaneous LEOFF 1 expenses, 9.0 percent for KingCare pharmacy, and 7.5 percent for HMO medical/pharmacy, each reduced by decrements to an ultimate rate of 3.8 percent after 57 years and 7 years for medical and pharmacy, respectively. The Medicare Premium trend rate is 6.0 percent, for all years. All trend rates include a 3.0 percent inflation assumption. The UAAL is recalculated each year and amortized as a level dollar amount on an open basis over 20 years.

Component Unit - Harborview Medical Center (HMC)

All University employees, including medical center employees, are eligible for participation in healthcare and life insurance programs administered by the WSHCA (Washington State Health Care Authority). HMC retirees may elect coverage through state health and life insurance plans, for which they pay less than the full cost of the benefits based on their age and other demographic factors.

The Office of the State Actuary determines total OPEB obligations at the State level using individual state employee data, including age, retirement eligibility, and length of service. Information to support actuarial calculation at the division, department, or component unit level is not available. The State is ultimately responsible for the obligation; therefore, the annual required contribution (ARC) is not recorded at the University or its departments, divisions, agencies or component units.

Note 11

Risk Management

The County uses three internal service funds to account for and finance property/casualty, workers' compensation and employee medical, pharmacy, dental, and vision benefits self-insurance programs. The County contracts with a plan administrator to process medical, pharmacy, vision and dental claims. County fund/claims managers, together with the Civil Division of the Prosecuting Attorney's Office, are responsible for processing all tort and workers' compensation claims.

Claims settlements and loss expenses are accrued in the three internal service funds for the estimated settlement value of both reported and unreported claims. These funds are responsible for collecting interfund premiums from insured funds and departments for paying claim settlements and for purchasing certain policies. Interfund premiums are assessed on the basis of claims experience and are reported as revenues and expenses or expenditures.

Insurance Fund

The Insurance Fund, an internal service fund, accounts for the County's property/casualty program. The fund accounts for the County's exposures to loss due to the tortious conduct of the County, including those exposures commonly covered by general liability, automobile liability, police professional, public officials, errors and omissions and professional malpractice insurance policies. With the assistance of an actuary, the Insurance Fund's claims liability is estimated based upon historical claims experience and other actuarial techniques. The estimated liability for probable self-insurance losses (reported and unreported) recorded in the fund as of December 31, 2017, is \$75.0 million.

Changes in the Insurance Fund's estimated claims liability in 2016 and 2017 (in thousands):

	(eginning of Year .iability	Claims and Changes in Estimates		Claim Payments		End of Year Liability	
2016	\$	88,565	\$	20,731	\$	(33,741)	\$	75,555
2017		75,555		9,229		(9,863)		74,921

In 2017, there were no losses paid in excess of the County's self-insured retention. In 2016, there were two settlements that exceeded the SIR. In 2015, there were no settlements that exceeded insurance coverage.

The County purchases excess liability coverage that currently provides \$92.5 million in limits above a \$7.5 million per occurrence self-insured retention (SIR) for Transit and \$6.5 million SIR for all other County agencies.

Effective July 1, 2017, the County renewed the property insurance policy. This policy has a blanket limit of \$500.0 million above a \$250 thousand per occurrence deductible and provides an overall earthquake sublimit of \$100.0 million and a flood sublimit of \$250.0 million.

During 2017 the County (excluding KCIA) property insurance limits increased from \$500 million to \$750 million. In addition, the County placed a separate Property Terrorism insurance policy with limits of \$500 million. Effective April 1, 2017, the county does not purchase Accidental Death and Dismemberment insurance for the Superior Court's Community Service programs.

In addition to its excess liability policy and property insurance policies, the County has specific insurance policies to cover some of its other exposures. These are listed in the table which follows on the next page.

COVERAGE	COVERAGE AMOUNT	DEDUCTIBLE
Excess General Liability	\$92.5 million	\$6.5 million per occurrence /
		\$7.5 million Transit bus losses
Property & Mobile Equipment	\$750 million	\$250 thousand per occurrence
	\$100 million EQ	5% of location value / \$500 thousand minimum
	\$250 million Flood	\$250 thousand / \$500 thousand
Terrorism Property	\$500 million	\$100 thousand
Excess Workers' Compensation	Statutory (unlimited)	\$2 million per occurrence
Aircraft Liability & Physical Damage	\$50 million per occurrence	None
	and scheduled value	
King County International Airport General Liability	\$300 million	None
King County International Airport Property Damage	\$186 million	\$100 thousand per occurrence
Marine Policies (includes King County Ferry District)	\$150 million	Varies based on vessel and coverage type
Foreign Liability in General and Automobile	\$1 million	\$1 thousand
Fiduciary Liability for Employees' Benefit	\$20 million	None
Parks Swimming Pools General Liability	\$7.5 million	\$5 thousand
Crime and Fidelity	\$2.5 million	\$50 thousand
Flood Insurance	Scheduled Value (property)	\$1 thousand
Cyber Liability	\$30 million	\$1 million per claim
Cedar Hills Pollution	\$50 million	\$250 thousand
PSERN Inland Marine	\$20.5 million	\$2.5 thousand per occurrence
	\$20.5 million EQ	\$10 thousand per location
	\$20.5 million Flood	\$10 thousand per location
NFIP Flood Insurance	\$250 - \$500 thousand	\$1-2 thousand

Safety and Workers' Compensation Fund

The Safety and Workers' Compensation Fund, an internal service fund, accounts for the County's self-insurance for workers' compensation as certified under Title 51 Revised Code of Washington (RCW), Industrial Insurance Act. Interfund charges are derived from actuarial projections of their future claims and administrative costs. The estimated liability for probable self-insurance losses (reported and unreported) recorded in the financial statements is not discounted due to low rate of return on investment. As of December 31, 2017, the total claim liability is \$65.7 million.

The County purchases an excess workers' compensation policy that provides statutory limits coverage. The amount of loss retained by King County (the self-insured retention) under this policy, effective April 1, 2017, was \$2.0 million. In the prior three years, there has been no settlement in excess of the insurance coverage.

The Fund's claims liability is estimated by an independent actuary. The claim liability represents the estimated ultimate amount to be paid for reported and incurred but not reported claims based on past experience and other actuarial techniques.

Changes in the Safety and Workers' Compensation Fund's claims liability in 2016 and 2017 (in thousands) are shown below:

	Beginning of Year Liability		Changes in Estimates		Claim Payments		End of Year Liability	
2016	\$	73,110	\$	13,463	\$	(16,389)	\$	70,184
2017		70,184		11,814		(16,331)		65,667

Employee Benefits Program Fund

The Employee Benefits Program Fund, an internal service fund, accounts for employee medical, dental, vision, life, accidental death and dismemberment (AD&D) and long-term disability (LTD) benefit programs. Two medical plans, and the pharmacy, dental and vision plans are self-insured. The life, AD&D, and LTD benefit programs are fully insured. Interfund premiums are determined on a per employee, per month basis.

The estimated liability for probable self-insurance losses (reported and unreported) recorded in the fund as of December 31, 2017, is \$18.1 million.

The Fund's claims liability is based on historical experience. Changes in the Employee Benefits Program Fund's claims liability in 2016 and 2017 (in thousands) are shown below:

	Be	ginning	CI	aims and				
	(of Year	Cr	nanges in		Claim	En	d of Year
	L	iability	E	stimates	Payments		Liability	
2016	\$	21,443	\$	171,225	\$	(169,047)	\$	23,621
2017		23,621		205,180		(210,716)		18,085

Component Unit - Harborview Medical Center (HMC)

Harborview Medical Center (HMC) is exposed to risk of loss related to professional and general liability, property loss and injuries to employees. HMC participates in risk pools managed by the University to mitigate risk of loss related to these exposures.

Professional and General Liability:

The University's professional liability program currently includes self-insured and commercial reinsurance coverage components. HMC's annual funding to the professional liability program is determined by the University administration using information from an annual actuary study. Various participants in the program contribute to the self-insurance fund and share in the expenses of the program. HMC's contribution to the professional liability program was \$3.7 million in 2017 and \$3.5 million in 2016, recorded in supplies and other expense on the Statements of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Position.

Employee Benefits Program:

HMC personnel are employees of the University. Benefit costs are pooled centrally for all University employees. Annually the University reviews total employee benefit costs and prepares standard benefit load rates by employment classification. These benefit costs cover employee healthcare costs, workers' compensation, employment taxes and retirement plans.

Component Unit - Cultural Development Authority of King County

Insurance Fund

Cultural Development Authority of King County (CDA) carries comprehensive general liability, auto liability and employee benefit liability coverage with a limit of \$20.0 million per occurrence and no aggregate limit. Commercial property losses are covered up to the replacement cost on file with Enduris Washington. CDA also carries Public Official Errors and Omissions Liability coverage with a limit of \$20.0 million per occurrence and an aggregate limit of \$20.0 million.

Employee Benefits Program

The CDA provides its eligible employees with a comprehensive health benefits package through the Public Employees Benefits Board (PEBB), which includes medical, dental, basic life and long-term disability coverage. In addition, the PEBB offers the following optional products: long-term care, auto and home insurance. WageWorks, Inc. is the administrating authority. CDA also offers insurance with American Family Life Assurance Company (AFLAC) and MetLife. With the AFLAC and MetLife coverage, CDA employees can pick from a selection of insurance policies at their own expense.

Note 12

Leases

Capital Leases

King County has entered into agreements to purchase buildings, machinery and equipment through capital lease and installment purchase agreements. Assets acquired and liabilities incurred through such agreements for governmental funds are accounted for under Governmental Activities. Such assets and liabilities related to proprietary funds are accounted for under Business-type Activities.

Capital assets and outstanding liabilities relating to capital lease agreement contracts as of December 31, 2017 (in thousands) is as follows:

		Capital	s	Capital Leases Payable				
	Governmental Activities		Business-type Activities		Governmental Activities		Business-type Activities	
Buildings	\$	194,935	\$	_	\$	8,843	\$	
Leasehold improvements		_		4,881		_		2,514
Less depreciation		(37,698)		(2,573)		_		_
Totals	\$	157,237	\$	2,308	\$	8,843	\$	2,514

Future minimum lease payments under capital lease agreements and the present value of the net minimum lease payments are shown below as of December 31, 2017 (in thousands):

	rnmental tivities	Business-type Activities		
2018	\$ 764	\$	255	
2019	764		255	
2020	768		255	
2021	765		255	
2022	767		255	
2023-2027	3,818		1,275	
2028-2032	3,824		914	
2033-2036	 3,060			
Total minimum lease payments	14,530		3,464	
Less: Amount representing interest	(5,687)		(950)	
Present value of net minimum lease payments	\$ 8,843	\$	2,514	

Operating Leases

The County has numerous operating lease commitments for office space, equipment, radio towers and railroad tracks. The Information and Telecommunications Services Fund leases computer hardware; these leases include maintenance agreements. Expenditures for the year ended December 31, 2017 for long-term operating expenses for office space, equipment and other operating leases amount to \$11.0 million. The patterns of future lease payment requirements are systematic and rational. Future minimum lease payments for these leases are shown in the table below (in thousands):

		Office				
Year	Space		Other	Total		
2018	\$	8,323	\$ 6,270	\$	14,593	
2019		7,853	5,586		13,439	
2020		7,169	4,807		11,976	
2021		6,749	4,112		10,861	
2022		5,652	3,615		9,267	
2023-2027		21,109	16,390		37,499	
2028-2032		621	7,060		7,681	
2033-2037		_	3,937		3,937	
2038-2042		_	3,679		3,679	
2043-2047		_	3,679		3,679	

The County currently leases some of its property to various tenants under long-term, renewable and noncancelable contracts. Under business-type activities, the King County International Airport Enterprise leases out most of the buildings and grounds in the King County International Airport/Boeing Field complex to companies and government agencies in the aviation industry. The County's investment in property under long-term, noncancelable operating leases as of December 31, 2017 (in thousands):

Gover	nmental	Business-type Activities						
Act	ivities		Airport	Other				
\$	183	\$	14,212	\$	438			
	317		24,691		424			
	(317)		(13,459)		(124)			
\$	183	\$ 25,444		44 \$				
	Acti	317 (317)	Activities	Activities Airport \$ 183 \$ 14,212 317 24,691 (317) (13,459)	Activities Airport C \$ 183 \$ 14,212 \$ 317 \$ (317) \$ (13,459) \$ (13,459)			

Minimum future lease receipts on noncancelable operating leases based on contract amounts and terms as of December 31, 2017 (in thousands):

	Governmental	Business-ty		
Year	Activities	Airport	Other	Total
2018	\$ 2,272	\$ 12,292	\$ 203	\$ 14,767
2019	2,168	11,963	160	14,291
2020	1,898	11,335	99	13,332
2021	1,063	10,999	67	12,129
2022	806	10,996	17	11,819
2023-2027	3,780	53,813	84	57,677
2028-2032	2,593	39,850	27	42,470
2033-2037	18	18,476	24	18,518
2038-2042	18	18,476	17	18,511
2043-2047	18	15,890	_	15,908

Component Unit - NJB Properties

Capital Lease

NJB Properties' Project Lease Agreement with the County qualified as a capital lease under ASC 840 - Accounting for Leases. The composition of the net investment in capital lease as of December 31, 2017 is shown below, as well as the minimum lease rental payments expected to be received for the next five years and in the aggregate.

	Mi	nimum	Net Investment in Capital Lease	
Year	Lease Payment			2017
2018	\$ 764		Minimum lease payments receivable	\$ 14,530
2019		764	Uncollected income	(5,687)
2020	767		Net investment in capital lease	\$ 8,843
2021		765		
2022		768		
thereafter		10,702		
	\$	14,530		

Landfill Closure and Post-Closure Care

King County is legally responsible for closure and post-closure care costs associated with the County's solid waste landfills. Estimated costs of closure and post-closure care are recognized as the remaining estimated capacity is filled. These amounts are based on what it would cost to perform all closure and post-closure care in current dollars. Actual cost may be different due to inflation or deflation, changes in technology and changes in laws or regulations.

State and federal laws and regulations require King County to complete closure activities at its Cedar Hills Landfill site when the County stops accepting waste at this location. Certain maintenance and monitoring functions are also required at the sites for 30 years following closure. Enumclaw, Hobart, Vashon and Cedar Falls landfills have been closed. Duvall, Puyallup, Houghton, Bow Lake and First Northeast are custodial landfills which were closed 30 or more years ago and are subject to less prescriptive laws and regulations.

Although closure and post-closure care costs will be paid only near or after the date that the landfills stop accepting waste, the County reports a portion of these costs as an operating expense in each period. The expense is based on landfill capacity used as of each year-end.

The \$122.9 million reported as landfill closure and post-closure care liability as of December 31, 2017, represents the cumulative percentage reported based on the amount that each of the landfills has been filled to date as follows (dollars in thousands):

Landfill	Percent Filled	stimated iability	Re	stimated emaining Liability	Estimated Year of Closure
Cedar Hills	78.9%	\$ 99,408	\$	97,100	2027
Closed	100%	15,284		_	Closed
Custodial	100%	8,190		_	Closed

The County is required by state and federal laws and regulations to make annual contributions to a reserve fund to finance closure and post-closure care. The County is in compliance with these requirements. As of December 31, 2017, cash and cash equivalents of \$38.8 million were held in the Landfill Reserve Fund and \$4.3 million were held in the Landfill Post-closure Maintenance Fund.

The County expects that future cost increases resulting from inflation will be covered by the interest income earned on these annual contributions. However, if interest earnings are inadequate, or additional post-closure care requirements are determined due to changes in technology or regulations, the County may need to increase future user fees or tax revenues.

Pollution Remediation

Pollution remediation liabilities reported at the end of 2017 do not include potential costs of cleanup that may arise out of the legal issues described in Note 19 - "Legal Matters, Contingent Liabilities and Other Commitments." The likelihood of negative outcomes in these matters, the amount of liabilities that may arise and the resultant allocation among potentially responsible parties (PRP), cannot be reasonably estimated at this time.

The major sites where the County is conducting remediation activities are:

Elliott Bay and the Lower Duwamish Waterway - These ongoing projects include the sediment management of aquatic habitats along Elliott Bay and the cleanup of certain sites along the Lower Duwamish Waterway. The Sediment Management Project has been approved by the Metropolitan King County Council as a self-obligated pollution remediation program. The Lower Duwamish Waterway project became an obligation when King County entered into an Administrative Order on Consent (AOC) with the Washington State Department of Ecology (DOE) and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). This AOC also idenditied the Boeing Company, the City of Seattle and the Port of Seattle as parties to conduct the studies on which to base the cleanup decision. Each party has agreed in principle to pay one-fourth of the cleanup costs.

Both projects can result in additional cleanup efforts if future regulatory orders are declared. These potential cleanup liabilities, however, cannot be reasonably estimated at this time. Ongoing regulatory action may identify other PRPs for the Lower Duwamish Waterway cleanup.

There are no estimated recoveries at this time that will reduce the amount of these obligations. The total pollution remediation liability at December 31, 2017 stands at \$46.5 million although the actual amount will vary due to changes resulting from price increases or reductions, changes in technology, or changes in applicable laws or regulations.

The methods for estimating liabilities continues to be based on internal engineering analysis, program experience, and cost projections for the remediation activities scheduled in future years as programmed under Water Quality's Regional Wastewater Services Plan. Certain costs were developed by consulting engineers. Costs were estimated using the expected cash flow method. For the Lower Duwamish Waterway Project a weighted-average method is used to calculate the liability. The Sediment Management Plan does not employ a weighted-average cost estimate because the remaining work is well-defined which negates the utility of multiple estimates. The cost estimates continue to be re-measured as succeeding benchmarks are reached or when cost assumptions are modified. All pollution remediation obligations under the Water Quality enterprise are being deferred as assets as permitted by regulatory accounting standards.

Lake Union Tank and Dearborn Groundwater Monitoring - The Public Transportation Enterprise reported a pollution remediation liability of \$593 thousand at December 31, 2017. The pollution obligation is primarily related to monitoring soil and ground water contamination at the Lake Union Tank and Dearborn sites (under consent decrees from the DOE, dating back to the mid-1990's) and groundwater monitoring at two bus operation bases on a voluntary basis. The liability was measured at the estimated amounts compiled by Public Transportation staff with knowledge of pollution issues at the sites, using the expected cash flow technique. Cost estimates are subject to changes when additional information becomes available regarding the level of contamination at specific sites, when existing agreements or remediation methods are modified, or when new applicable regulations emerge.

Gasworks Park - In 2005, the City of Seattle and Puget Sound Energy (PSE) entered an agreed order with the DOE for investigating and identifying cleanup options for Lake Union sediments surrounding Gasworks Park. The City and PSE named Metro Transit and Chevron Corporation as additional potentially liable parties (PLP) related to the Gasworks sediment site. Subsequently, the DOE notified Metro Transit and Chevron Corporation that they might be PLPs under the Model Toxics Control Act. The DOE has not issued a final decision regarding Metro Transit's status as a PLP. No liability was recorded because outlays for the site cleanup were not reasonably estimable at December 31, 2017.

Maury Island Gravel Mine Site - King County acquired approximately 250 acres of property on Vashon Island back in 2010. The property is within the footprint of the former ASARCO smelter plume, and contains elevated levels of lead and arsenic, a condition that was known at the time of acquisition. In February 2011, King County was named a "potentially liable party" for cleanup of the site by the DOE. An Agreed Order between DOE and King County was

finalized in January 2013 requiring the County to conduct a remediation investigation, a feasibility study and a cleanup action plan. Because the remediation was a prerequisite to the purchase agreement and is a necessary activity to prepare a portion of the land for its intended use, the County intends to capitalize the cost of pollution as part of the land. The remediation will be completed in phases over a period of about five to 10 years. As of December 31, 2016, the County completed the first phase of Interim Action Cleanup Plan with approval from the DOE, costing approximately \$600 thousand. The cleanup included removing invasive vegetation and surface soil on 3 acres immediately south of SW 260th St, adding a compost cap, and replanting the area with native trees and shrubs. The cleanup costs that incurred in 2016 were capitalized. DOE issued a draft a Draft Cleanup Action Plan that for Public Comment in April 2018. If approved King County will begin implementation of the remaining cleanup activities in 2019.

Washington Air National Guard Site Investigation - The Washington Air National Guard (WANG) site is located at 6736 Ellis Avenue South in Seattle, Washington. The WANG site occupies approximately 7.5 acres, adjacent to the northwest boundary of the North Boeing Field Georgetown Steam Plant (NBF/GTSP). The WANG site was developed during World War II by the War Department, and served as an aircraft factory school between 1943 and 1948. During environmental investigations at the site, a dissolved Trichloroethene (TCE) plume was identified in shallow groundwater in the southern portion of the site. In May 2016, the Washington State Department of Ecology (DOE) recommended that King County conduct an investigation to determine if the source of recent increases in TCE concentrations in groundwater monitoring wells at the NBF/GTSP site is from the WANG site. The site investigation work will be conducted in three phases: soil and groundwater data collection, soil and groundwater sampling, and quarterly groundwater monitoring. The Airport reported a pollution remediation liability of \$256 thousand at December 31, 2017.

Debt

Short-term Debt Instruments and Liquidity

At December 31, 2017, King County has no short-term debt outstanding.

Long-term Debt

King County has long-term debt reported for both governmental activities and business-type activities.

For governmental activities, long-term debt consists of general obligation bonds and lease revenue bonds. The County issues general obligation bonds to provide funds for the acquisition and construction of major capital facilities. These bonds consist of limited and unlimited general obligation bonds and lease revenue bonds. The general obligation bonds are direct obligations and pledge the full faith and credit of the County. These bonds generally are issued as serial bonds with principal maturing each year and maturities that ranges from three to 30 years.

For business-type activities, long-term debt consists of limited tax general obligation bonds accounted for in the King County International Airport, Solid Waste, Public Transportation and Water Quality Enterprise Funds. Capital leases are accounted for in the Public Transportation Enterprise Fund. Sewer Revenue Bonds and state of Washington revolving loans are accounted for in the Water Quality Enterprise Fund.

Sewer revenue bonds are accounted for in the Water Quality Enterprise Fund. These bonds are secured by the pledge of and lien on revenues of the sewer system subject to the payment of all operating and maintenance expenses of the sewer system. Payments from revenues of Water Quality are required to be made to the sewer revenue bond fund in the annual amounts sufficient to retire serial or term bonds on or before maturity. The amount required in the cash reserved and surety policies are based on the highest year of debt services over the life of all outstanding revenue bonds. The sewer revenue bonds are special limited obligations of the County, and neither its full faith and credit nor any taxing power is pledged to the payment of the sewer revenue bonds. These sewer revenue bonds have maturities that range from 20 to 35 years.

The following tables summarize long-term debt issuances and amounts outstanding:

SCHEDULE OF LONG-TERM DEBT (IN THOUSANDS)

(PAGE 1 OF 2)

	Issue Date	Final Maturity	Interest Rates	Original Issue Amount	Outstanding at 12/31/17
I. GOVERNMENTAL ACTIVITIES - LONG-TERM DEBT					
IA. Limited Tax General Obligation Bonds (LTGO)					
2006 LTGO HUD Section 108 Bonds – Greenbridge Project	9/14/2006	8/1/2024	4.96-5.70%	\$ 6,783	\$ 1,635
2007C LTGO (Payoff BAN2006A) Bonds	11/1/2007	1/1/2028	4.00-4.50%	10,695	1,030
2007D LTGO (Payoff BAN2006B) Bonds	11/1/2007	1/1/2028	4.00-5.00%	34,630	1,630
2009B2 LTGO Capital Facilities Project Bonds	5/12/2009	6/1/2029	2.00-5.13%	34,810	22,460
2009C LTGO Refunding1993B Bonds	12/10/2009	1/1/2024	4.50%	17,150	16,975
2010A LTGO Refunding 2001 and 2002 Bonds (Partial)	10/28/2010	6/1/2021	2.00-5.00%	21,445	3,470
2010B LTGO (BABs) (Taxable) Bonds	12/1/2010	12/1/2030	2.85-6.05%	17,355	9,215
2010C LTGO (RZEDBs) (Taxable) Bonds	12/1/2010	12/1/2030	4.58-6.05%	23,165	23,165
2010D LTGO (QECBs) (Taxable) Bonds	12/1/2010	12/1/2025	5.43%	2,825	2,825
2011 LTGO Refunding 2002, 2003A, and 2003B Bonds	8/1/2011	6/1/2023	2.00-5.00%	25,700	14,425
2011B LTGO Flood Planning/Payoff BAN2010B Bonds	12/1/2011	12/1/2019	2.00-4.00%	5,725	4,935
2011D LTGO (Maury Island/Open Space Acquisition) Bonds	12/21/2011	12/1/2031	2.00-3.50%	21,895	13,905
2012A LTGO (ABT Project) Bonds	3/29/2012	7/1/2022	3.00-5.00%	65,935	41,540
2012B LTGO (S. Park Bridge) Bonds	5/8/2012	9/1/2032	3.00-5.00%	28,065	23,225
2012C LTGO Refunding 2004B and 2005 Bonds	8/28/2012	1/1/2025	5.00%	54,260	44,180
2012D LTGO Refunding 2002BOP Lease (HMC) Bonds	11/29/2012	12/1/2031	2.00-5.00%	41,810	33,875
2012E LTGO SE District Court Relocation Bonds (Partial)	12/19/2012	12/1/2027	2.00-5.00%	3,000	2,165
2012F LTGO (QECBS) (Taxable) KCCF HVAC Project (Partial)	12/19/2012	12/1/2022	2.20%	3,010	3,010
2013 Multi-Modal LTGO Variable Rate Refunding 2009A Bonds	8/6/2013	6/1/2029	Variable (a)	41,460	33,020
2013B LTGO Refunding 2005 GHP Lease Bonds	12/19/2013	12/1/2026	3.00-5.00%	42,820	34,190
2014A LTGO Refunding 2005 GHP Lease Bonds	2/26/2014	12/1/2032	5.00%	34,815	34,420
2014B LTGO (Tall Chief Acquisition/SWM) Bonds	6/24/2014	6/1/2034	2.00-5.00%	15,395	14,620
2015B LTGO (FED TAX-EXEMPT) Bonds	10/13/2015	12/1/2030	2.50-5.00%	27,355	22,795
2015C LTGO Refunding 2007C and 2007D Bonds	10/13/2015	1/1/2028	3.00-5.00%	25,970	25,695
2015E LTGO Refunding 2006A NJB and 2007 KSC Lease Bonds	12/17/2015	12/1/2036	4.00-5.00%	172,320	167,950
2016A LTGO Bond 4Culture Building	3/10/2016	12/1/2030	1.50-5.00%	22,450	22,450
2016B LTGO Bond (taxable) 4Culture building	3/10/2016	12/1/2019	0.50-1.30%	2,575	1,220
2017B LTGO Bond Various Purpose (Partial)	8/10/2017	6/1/2037	3.00-5.00%	33,325	33,325
Total Payable From Limited Tax GO Redemption Fund				836,743	653,350
Payable From Internal Service Funds					
2010B LTGO (BABs) (Taxable) Bonds	12/1/2010	12/1/2030	4.58-6.05%	7,125	3,075
2012E LTGO (IT Business Empowerment) Bonds (Partial)	12/19/2012	12/1/2027	2.00-5.00%	22,405	8,240
Total Payable From Internal Service Funds				29,530	11,315
Total Limited Tax General Obligation Debt				866,273	664,665
IB. Limited Tax GO Capital Leases ^(a)					
2006 Project lease agreement - NJB Properties	12/5/2006	12/1/2036	5.00-5.51%	189,720	8,843
Total Limited Tax GO Capital leases	. 2, 0, 2000	12/1/2000	0.00 0.0170	189,720	8,843
·					
IC. Unlimited Tax General Obligation Bonds (UTGO) Payable From Unlimited Tax GO Redemption Fund					
2009A UTGO Refunding 2001(HMC) Bonds	12/10/2009	12/1/2020	4.30-5.00%	19,570	5,460
2012 UTGO Refunding 2004 (HMC) and 2004B (HMC) Bonds	8/14/2012	12/1/2023	2.00-5.00%	94,610	69,480
2013 UTGO Refunding 2003 Bonds	7/2/2013	6/1/2019	3.00-5.00%	8,660	2,850
Total Payable From Unlimited Tax GO Bond Redemption Fund				122,840	77,790
TOTAL GOVERNMENTAL ACTIVITIES - LONG-TERM DEBT				1,178,833	751,298

SCHEDULE OF LONG-TERM DEBT

(IN THOUSANDS) (PAGE 2 OF 2)

	Issue Date	Final Maturity	Interest Rates	Original Issue Amount	Outstanding at 12/31/17
II. BUSINESS-TYPE ACTIVITIES - LONG-TERM DEBT					
IIA. Limited Tax General Obligation Bonds (LTGO)					
Payable From Enterprise Funds					
2008 LTGO (WQ) Refunding 1998B Bonds	2/12/2008	1/1/2034	3.25-5.25%	\$ 236,950	\$ 29,615
2009 LTGO (Transit) Refunding 1998A Bonds	2/18/2009	12/1/2019	2.00-4.00%	48,535	10,085
2009B LTGO (WQ) Capital Improvement Projects Bonds	4/8/2009	7/1/2039	5.00-5.25%	300,000	14,380
2010A LTGO Refunding 2001 (Airport) Bonds (Partial)	10/28/2010	6/1/2021	2.00-5.00%	5,110	2,190
2010B LTGO (BABs) (Transit) Taxable Bonds	12/1/2010	12/1/2030	2.85-6.05%	20,555	17,250
2010D LTGO (QECBs) (Transit) Taxable Bonds	12/1/2010	12/1/2020	4.33%	3,000	3,000
2012A LTGO (WQ) Refunding 2005A Bonds	4/18/2012	1/1/2025	2.00-5.00%	68,395	61,640
2012B LTGO (WQ) Refunding 2005A Bonds 2012C LTGO (WQ) Refunding 2005A Bonds	8/2/2012	1/1/2029	5.00%	41,725	41,725
2012C LTGO (WQ) Refunding 2005A Bonds 2012D LTGO (Transit) Refunding 2002 and 2004 Bonds	9/19/2012 10/16/2012	1/1/2034 6/1/2034	5.00% 2.00-5.00%	53,405 71,670	53,405 45,745
2012F LTGO (WQ) (South Plant Pump) Bonds	12/19/2012	12/1/2022	2.20%	3,010	3,010
2013 LTGO (Solid Waste) Bonds	2/27/2013	12/1/2022	3.10-5.00%	77,100	68,410
2014C LTGO & Refunding 2007E (Solid Waste) Bonds	7/30/2014	12/1/2034	2.00-5.00%	25,515	23,500
2015A LTGO (WQ) Refunding 2009B2 Bonds	2/18/2015	7/1/2038	2.00-5.00%	247,825	247,620
2015B LTGO (FED Tax-Exempt) (Solid Waste) Bonds	10/13/2015	12/1/2025	5.00%	60	50
2015D LTGO & Ref2007E (Solid Waste) Bonds	11/5/2015	12/1/2040	3.00-5.00%	50,595	49,045
2017A LTGO (WQ) Refunding 2008 Bonds	10/25/2017	7/1/2033	4.00-5.00%	154,560	154,560
2017A Multi-Modal LTGO (WQ) Refunding 2010A Bonds	10/26/2017	1/1/2040	Variable (b)	50,000	50,000
2017B Multi-Modal LTGO (WQ) Refunding 2010A Bonds	10/26/2017	1/1/2040	Variable (b)	50,000	50,000
2017A LTGO (Solid Waste) Bonds	6/8/2017	6/1/2040	3.25-5.00%	31,230	31,230
2017B LTGO (Solid Waste) Bond Various Purpose	8/10/2017	6/1/2027	4.00-5.00%	135	135
Total Limited Tax GO Bonds Payable From Enterprise Funds				1,539,375	956,595
IIB. Revenue Bonds, Capital Leases and Loans					
Payable From Enterprise Funds					
2001A WQ Revenue Junior Lien Variable Rate Demand Bonds	8/6/2001	1/1/2032	Variable (c)	50,000	50,000
2001B WQ Revenue Junior Lien Variable Rate Demand Bonds	8/6/2001	1/1/2032	Variable (c)	50,000	50,000
2010 WQ Revenue & Refunding 2001 Bonds	7/19/2010	1/1/2050	2.00-5.00%	334,365	55,755
2011 WQ Revenue (Capital Improvement Projects) Bonds	1/25/2011	1/1/2041	5.00-5.125%	175,000	15,765
2011B WQ Revenue Refunding 2001, 2002A, 2002B, and 04A Bonds	10/5/2011	1/1/2041	1.00-5.00%	494,270	191,785
2011C WQ Revenue Refunding 2001, 2002A, and 2004A Bonds	11/1/2011	1/1/2035	3.00-5.00%	32,445	7,885
2011 WQ Revenue Junior Lien Variable Rate Demand Bonds	10/26/2011	1/1/2042	Variable (c)	100,000	100,000
2012 WQ Revenue and Refunding 2004A Bonds	4/18/2012	1/1/2052	5.00%	104,445	104,445
2012B WQ Revenue and Refunding 2004A Bonds	8/2/2012	1/1/2035	4.00-5.00%	64,260	64,260
2012C WQ Revenue and Refunding 2004A and 2006 Bonds	9/19/2012	1/1/2033	2.50-5.00%	65,415	65,415
2012 WQ Revenue Junior Lien Variable Rate Demand Bonds	12/27/2012	1/1/2043 1/1/2035	Variable (c) 2.00-5.00%	100,000	100,000
2013A WQ Revenue Refg 2003, 2006, and 2005 WQ-LTGO Bonds 2013B WQ Revenue and Refunding 2004B Bonds	4/9/2013 10/29/2013	1/1/2035	2.00-5.00%	122,895 74,930	111,020 61,020
2014A WQ Revenue Refunding 2007 Bonds	7/8/2014	1/1/2044	5.00%	74,930 75,000	75,000
2014B WQ Revenue Refg 2004B, 2006, 2006B, 2007 and 2008 Bonds	8/12/2014	7/1/2035	1.00-5.00%	192,460	190,790
2015A WQ Revenue Refunding 2007, 2008, and 2009 Bonds	2/18/2015	7/1/2033	3.00-5.00%	474,025	472,325
2015B WQ Revenue & Refunding 2006 Bonds	11/17/2015	1/1/2046	4.00-5.00%	93,345	85,220
2015AB WQ Revenue Junior Lien Variable Rate Demand Bonds	11/24/2015	1/1/2046	Variable (c)	100,000	100,000
2016A WQ Revenue & Refunding 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010 Bonds	2/17/2016	7/1/2041	4.00-5.00%	281,535	278,975
2016B WQ Revenue & Refg 2006-2, 2010, 2011A, 2011B, 2011C Bonds	10/12/2016	7/1/2049	4.00-5.00%	499,655	496,165
2017A WQ Revenue Refg 2009LTGO, 2010, 2011A, 2011B, 2011C Bonds	12/19/2017	1/1/2050	5.00%	149,485	149,485
2017A WQ Revenue Junior Lien Variable Rate Demand Bonds	12/19/2017	1/1/2048	Variable (c)	50,000	50,000
2000-2017 State of Washington Revolving Loans	Various	Various	0.50-3.10%	235,633	218,043
2000 Public Transportation Park and Ride Capital Leases	3/30/2000	12/31/2031	5.00%	4,722	2,514
Total Revenue Bonds, Capital Leases and Loans Payable From Enterprise Funds				3,923,885	3,095,867
TOTAL BUSINESS-TYPE ACTIVITIES – LONG-TERM DEBT				5,463,260	4,052,462
TOTAL LONG-TERM DEBT				\$ 6,642,093	\$ 4,803,760

⁽a) Beginning in 2017, NJB Properties, Inc. a component unit of King County went from being blended to being discretely presented for financial reporting. As a result, the NJB Properties lease revenue bonds liability was removed from the County and reported with the component unit. Because of the existing project lease agreement between the two parties, the County retroactively recognized a capital lease liability. Under the lease agreements, the County's obligation to pay rent to NJB Properties is a limited tax general obligation.

⁽b) The Multi-Modal bonds initially issued in the Weekly Mode bear interest at Weekly Rates. The bonds in the Weekly Mode may be converted to Daily Mode, Flexible Mode, Term Rate Mode or Fixed Rate Mode.

⁽c) The junior lien variable rate demand bonds initially issued in the Weekly Mode will bear interest at Weekly Rates. The Weekly Rate for each Interest Period is determined by the Remarketing Agents. The bonds in the Weekly Mode may be changed to or from the Weekly Mode to or from a Daily Mode, a Commercial Paper Mode, or a Long-term Mode, or to a Fixed Mode, upon satisfaction of the "Change in Modes" conditions.

The following tables display the scheduled debt service payments for the next five years and in five-year increments thereafter:

DEBT SERVICE REQUIREMENTS TO MATURITY

(IN THOUSANDS)

GOVERNMENTAL ACTIVITIES

					General Obligation											
	Ge	eneral Obli	gatio	n Bonds		Capital	Capital Leases T					otal				
Year	Р	rincipal	Interest		Principal			Interest	Р	rincipal	Interest					
2018	\$	69,133	\$	32,381	\$	329	\$	435	\$	69,462	\$	32,816				
2019		71,795		28,900		344		419		72,139		29,319				
2020		66,007		25,741		364		403		66,371		26,144				
2021		67,541		22,714		380		386		67,921		23,100				
2022		72,095	19,648			400		368		72,495		20,016				
2023-2027		217,844		59,586		2,271		1,547		220,115		61,133				
2028-2032		134,300		23,702		2,505		1,320		136,805		25,022				
2033-2037		43,740		4,317		2,250		2,250		2,250		809	45,990			5,126
TOTAL	\$	742,455	\$ 216,989		\$ 216,989		\$ 216,989		\$	8,843	\$	5,687	\$	751,298	\$	222,676

DEBT SERVICE REQUIREMENTS TO MATURITY

BUSINESS-TYPE ACTIVITIES

							_										
	G	eneral Obli	gatio	on Bonds			Bonds, Capital and Loans		Total				To			otal	
Year	P	rincipal		Interest		Principal		Interest	\Box	Principal		Interest		Principal		Interest	
2018	\$	48,230	\$	42,232	\$	71,358	\$	127,901	\$	119,588	\$	170,133	\$	189,050	\$	202,949	
2019		41,510		42,290		79,435		128,485		120,945		170,775		193,084		200,094	
2020		36,045		40,618		78,758		125,105		114,803		165,723		181,174		191,867	
2021		26,470		38,877		75,084		121,875		101,554		160,752		169,475		183,852	
2022		40,355		37,396		77,102		118,755		117,457		156,151		189,952		176,167	
2023-2027		218,230		156,508		405,565		541,365		623,795		697,873		843,910		759,006	
2028-2032		239,310		102,900		585,354		443,733		824,664		546,633		961,469		571,655	
2033-2037		162,555		53,398		525,087		304,680		687,642		358,078		733,632		363,204	
2038-2042		143,890		13,243		574,350		189,439		718,240		202,682		718,240		202,682	
2043-2047		_		_		547,630		72,417		547,630		72,417		547,630		72,417	
2048-2052						76,144		8,191		76,144		8,191		76,144		8,191	
TOTAL	\$	956,595	\$	527,462	\$	3,095,867	\$	2,181,946	\$	4,052,462	\$	2,709,408	\$	4,803,760	\$	2,932,084	
					_												

Long-term liability activity is reported by King County within governmental activities and business-type activities. The summary of changes in long-term liabilities for the year ended December 31, 2017 is as follows (in thousands):

	Balance 1/1/2017 Additions Redu		Reductions	Balance 12/31/2017		_	ue Within One Year		
Governmental activities:									
General obligation bonds payable:									
General obligation (GO) bonds	\$ 779,012	\$	33,325	\$	(69,882)	\$	742,455	\$	69,133
Lease revenue bonds	3,635		_		(3,635)		_		_
Unamortized bonds premium and discount	70,255		5,038		(12,046)		63,247		_
Total bonds payable	852,902		38,363		(85,563)		805,702		69,133
Other liabilities:									
General Obligation capital leases ^(a)	14,815		_		(5,972)		8,843		329
Compensated absences liability	102,858		83,975		(80,175)		106,658		9,775
Net pension liability	554,767		270,904		(419,358)		406,313		_
Other postemployment benefits	57,090		1,723		(97)		58,716		_
Estimated claims settlements and other liabilities	169,360		234,831		(245,518)		158,673		52,638
Total other liabilities	898,890		591,433		(751,120)		739,203		62,742
Total Governmental activities long-term liabilities	\$ 1,751,792	\$	629,796	\$	(836,683)	\$	1,544,905	\$	131,875
Business-type activities:									
Bonds payable:									
GO bonds	\$ 992,665	\$	285,925	\$	(321,995)	\$	956,595	\$	48,230
Revenue bonds	2,884,890		199,485		(209,065)		2,875,310		55,535
Unamortized bonds premium and discount	369,807		38,026		(29,456)		378,377		
Total bonds payable	4,247,362		523,436		(560,516)		4,210,282		103,765
Other liabilities:									
Capital leases	2,640		_		(126)		2,514		133
State revolving loans	205,989		26,471		(14,416)		218,044		15,690
Compensated absences liability	68,263		86,670		(86,119)		68,814		11,151
Net pension liability	458,946		212,274		(321,511)		349,709		_
Other postemployment benefits	13,804		856		(408)		14,252		_
Landfill closure and post-closure care liability	126,277		5,112		(8,507)		122,882		13,207
Pollution remediation	47,791		8,742		(9,224)		47,309		6,627
Customer deposits	1,414		1,090		(967)		1,537		897
Total other liabilities	925,124		341,215		(441,278)		825,061		47,705
Total Business-type activities long-term liabilities	\$ 5,172,486	\$	864,651	\$	(1,001,794)	\$	5,035,343	\$	151,470

Governmental activities estimated claims settlements of \$158.7 million are liquidated by internal service funds. Governmental activities compensated absences, net pension liability and other postemployment benefits are liquidated by the governmental fund in which an employee receiving the payment is budgeted, including most notably, General Fund, Public Health Fund and County Road Fund.

(a) Beginning in 2017, NJB Properties, Inc. a component unit of King County went from being blended to being discretely presented for financial reporting. As a result, the NJB Properties lease revenue bonds liability was removed from the County and reported with the component unit. Because of the existing project lease agreement between the two parties, the County retroactively recognized a capital lease liability. Under the lease agreements, the County's obligation to pay rent to NJB Properties is a limited tax general obligation.

Computation of Legal Debt Margin

Under Washington state law (RCW 39.36.020), a county may incur general obligation debt for general county purposes in an amount not to exceed 2.5 percent of the assessed value of all taxable property within the county. State law requires all property to be assessed at 100 percent of its true and fair value. Unlimited tax general obligation debt requires an approving vote of the people; any election to validate such general obligation debt must have a voter turnout of at least 40 percent of those who voted in the last state general election and, of those voting, 60 percent must be in the affirmative. The County Council may by resolution authorize the issuance of limited tax general obligation debt in an amount up to 1.5 percent of assessed value of property within the County for general county purposes and 0.75 percent for metropolitan functions (Wastewater Treatment and Public Transportation), but the total of limited tax general obligation debt for general county purposes and metropolitan functions should not exceed 1.5 percent of assessed value. No combination of limited and unlimited tax debt, for general county purposes, and no combination

of limited and unlimited tax debt, for metropolitan functions, may exceed 2.5 percent of the valuation. The debt service on unlimited tax debt is secured by excess property tax levies, whereas the debt service on limited tax debt is secured by property taxes collected within the \$1.80 per \$1,000 of assessed value operating levy.

The legal debt margin computation for the year ended December 31, 2017 (in thousands):

2017 ASSESSED VALUE (2018 TAX YEAR)	\$ 53	34,662,435	
Debt limit of limited tax general obligations for metropolitan functions			
0.75 % of assessed value			\$ 4,009,968
Less: Net limited tax general obligation indebtedness for metropolitan functions			(880,080)
LIMITED TAX GENERAL OBLIGATION DEBT MARGIN FOR METROPOLITAN FUNCTIONS			\$ 3,129,888
Debt limit of limited tax general obligations for general county purposes and metropolitan functions			
1.5 % of assessed value			\$ 8,019,937
Less: Net limited tax general obligation indebtedness for general county purposes	\$	(643,773)	
Net limited tax general obligation indebtedness for metropolitan functions		(880,080)	
Total net limited tax general obligation indebtedness for general county purposes and metropolitan functions			(1,523,853)
LIMITED TAX GENERAL OBLIGATION DEBT MARGIN FOR GENERAL COUNTY PURPOSES AND METROPOLITAN FUNCTIONS		:	\$ 6,496,084
Debt limit of total general obligations for metropolitan functions			
2.5% of assessed value			\$ 13,366,561
Less: Net total general obligation indebtedness for metropolitan functions			(880,080)
TOTAL GENERAL OBLIGATION DEBT MARGIN FOR METROPOLITAN FUNCTIONS			\$ 12,486,481
		:	
Debt limit of total general obligations for general county purposes			
2.5 % of assessed value			\$ 13,366,561
Less: Net unlimited tax general obligation indebtedness for general county purposes	\$	(75,683)	
Net limited tax general obligation indebtedness for general county purposes		(643,773)	
Total net general obligation indebtedness for general county purposes			(719,456)
TOTAL GENERAL OBLIGATION DEBT MARGIN FOR GENERAL COUNTY PURPOSES			\$ 12,647,105

Refunding and Defeasing Sewer Revenue Bond and Limited Tax General Obligations (GO) (Payable from Sewer Revenues) Bonds Issues - 2017

<u>Defeasance of of Sewer Revenues Bonds, 2017</u> - On February 22, 2017, the County defeased \$5.1 million of Sewer Revenue Bonds, 2008 and 2009 bonds using excessing funding source from King County Sewer Revenue fund.

<u>Limited Tax General Obligation Refunding Bonds (Payable from Sewer Revenues) Bonds, 2017</u> - On October 25, 2017, the County issued \$154.6 million in limited tax GO refunding bonds (Payable from Sewer Revenues) series, 2017A with an effective interest cost of 2.5 percent to advance refund \$175.6 million of outstanding limited tax GO bond (payable from sewer revenues) series, 2008 bonds, with an effective interest rate of 4.8 percent.

The net proceeds were used to purchase U.S. government securities that were deposited with an escrow agent to provide for all future debt service payments on the refunded bonds. As a result, the series 2008 bonds are considered defeased and the liability for those bonds has been removed from the business-type activities column of the statement of net position.

The reacquisition price exceeded the net carrying amount of the old debt by \$6.6 million. This amount, reported in the statement of net position, is being charged to operations through fiscal year 2034, using the outstanding principal balance method. This advance refunding was undertaken to reduce total debt service payments by \$41.2 million over the life of the bonds, resulting in an economic gain (difference between the present values of the old and new debt service payments) of \$33.5 million.

Multi-Modal Limited Tax General Obligation Refunding Bonds (Payable from Sewer Revenues) Bonds, 2017A and Series 2017A. - On October 26, 2017, the County issued \$100.0 million in multi-modal limited tax GO refunding bonds (Payable from Sewer Revenues) series, 2017A and 2017B with a variable interest rates to current refund \$100.0 million of outstanding multi-modal limited tax GO bonds (Payable from Sewer Revenues) series, 2010A and 2010B bonds, with variable interest rates. As a result, the series 2010A and 2010B bonds are considered defeased and the liability for those bonds has been removed from the business-type activities column of the statement of net position. This current refunding was undertaken to take advantage of a favorable interest rate restriction and reduce total debt service payments.

<u>Sewer Revenue Refunding Bonds, 2017A</u>- On December 19, 2017, the County issued \$149.5 million in Sewer Revenue Refunding Bonds, 2017A with an effective interest cost of 3.6 percent to advance refund \$159.7 million of outstanding Sewer Revenue Bonds, series 2009, 2010, 2011A, 2011B and 2011C, with an effective interest rate of 5.0 percent.

The net proceeds were used to purchase U.S. government securities that were deposited with an escrow agent to provide for all future debt service payments on the refunded bonds. As a result, the series 2009, 2010, 2011A, 2011B and 2011C sewer revenue bonds are considered defeased and the liability for those bonds has been removed from the business-type activities column of the statement of net position.

The reacquisition price exceeded the net carrying amount of the old debt by \$11.2 million. This amount, reported in the statement of net position, is being charged to operations through fiscal year 2050, using the outstanding principal balance method. This advance refunding was undertaken to reduce total debt service payments by \$35.8 million over the life of the bonds, resulting in an economic gain (difference between the present values of the old and new debt service payments) of \$19.9 million.

Prior Year Refunded and Defeasance of Debt

As of December 31, 2017, King County has 12 refunded and defeased bond issues outstanding, consisting of six limited tax general obligation bonds total \$471.0 million and six sewer revenue bonds total \$1.2 billion. In prior years, the County defeased these bonds by placing the proceeds of the new bonds in an irrevocable trust accounts to provide for all future debt service payments on the old bonds. Accordingly, the payments of principal and interest on these old bond issues are the responsibility of the escrow agent, U.S. Bank National Association, and the liability for the defeased bonds has been removed from the County's financial statement.

Component Unit - NJB Properties

The following tables summarize the scheduled maturity dates of bond principal over the next five years and in the aggregate of the discretely presented component unit NJB Properties as reported in its separately issued financial statements:

	(IN TH	OUSANDS)								
								Year	Pri	ncipal
				(Original			2018	\$	275
	Issue	Final	Interest		Issue	Out	standing	2019		290
	Date	Maturity	Rates		Amount	at '	12/31/17	2020		310
								2021		325
Lease Revenue Bonds, 2006 Series A	12/5/2006	12/1/2036	5.00-6.00%	\$	179,285	\$	5	2022		345
Lease Revenue Bonds, 2006 Series B	12/5/2006	12/1/2036	5.00-6.00%		10,435		8,865	Thereafter		7,325
Total Bonds Payable				\$	189,720	\$	8,870	TOTAL	\$	8.870

Interfund Balances and Transfers

Interfund Balances

Due from/to other funds and interfund short-term loans receivable and payable (in thousands):

Fund types with account balances of less than \$500 thousand are aggregated into "All Others."

Receivable Fund	Payable Fund	Ar	mount
General Fund	General Fund	\$	340
	Nonmajor Governmental Funds		848
	All Others		301
Behavioral Health Fund	All Others		78
Nonmajor Governmental Funds	General Fund		2,649
	Nonmajor Governmental Funds		920
	All Others		263
Public Transportation Enterprise	General Fund		906
	Nonmajor Governmental Funds		1,802
	All Others		11
Water Quality Enterprise	General Fund		622
	Nonmajor Governmental Funds		1,465
Nonmajor Enterprise Funds	All Others		882
Internal Service Funds	Nonmajor Governmental Funds		15,675
	Public Transportation Enterprise		3,567
	All Others		280
Total interfund balances		\$	30,609

The interfund balances resulted from the time lag between the dates: (1) when interfund goods and services were provided or reimbursable expenditures incurred, and when interfund payments were made; and (2) when interfund short-term loans were made and when the loans were repaid.

Advances from/to other funds (in thousands)

Receivable Fund	Payable Fund	Δ	mount
Nonmajor Governmental Funds	Nonmajor Governmental Funds	\$	4,000
Internal Service Funds	Nonmajor Governmental Funds		13,679
Total advances from/to other funds		\$	17,679

All of these advances consisted of loans made for the purpose of cash flow. None of the advances are scheduled to be repaid in 2018.

Interfund Transfers (in thousands)

Fund types with account balances of less than \$500 thousand are aggregated into "All Others."

Transfers Out	Transfers In	Amount
General Fund	General Fund	\$ 4,500
	Behavioral Health Fund	3,071
	Nonmajor Governmental Funds	76,430
	All Others	357
Behavioral Health Fund	Nonmajor Governmental Funds	2,428
Nonmajor Governmental Funds	General Fund	8,755
	Behavioral Health Fund	2,406
	Nonmajor Governmental Funds	249,339
	Internal Service Funds	1,101
	All Others	471
Public Transportation Enterprise	Nonmajor Governmental Funds	3,724
Water Quality Enterprise	Nonmajor Governmental Funds	741
Nonmajor Enterprise Funds	Nonmajor Governmental Funds	1,235
Internal Service Funds	Nonmajor Governmental Funds	15,989
	All Others	73
Total interfund transfers		\$ 370,620

Transfers are used to move resources from a fund collecting them to the fund using them, as required by statute or budget, and to account for ongoing operating subsidies between funds in accordance with budget authorizations.

Related Party Transactions

Harborview Medical Center (HMC), a discretely presented component unit of King County, makes monthly occupancy fee and rental payments to the County for use of the Patricia Steel Memorial Building and the Ninth and Jefferson Building (NJB). The County became the legal owner of the Patricia Steel Memorial Building in December 2012 when it refinanced the original developer issued bonds. HMC will continue to use the building. Rent is also paid by HMC to the County for use of NJB, owned by a nonprofit corporation that is a blended component unit of the County. The County is contractually obligated for the debt service on the lease revenue bonds issued by the nonprofit which funded construction of NJB. In both situations, HMC has agreed to include the annual rental payments in its operating budget for as long as it uses the buildings. In 2017, the primary government received \$14.0 million in building lease and occupancy revenues from HMC. In addition, HMC made \$5.0 million in payments to King County Department of Health for mission-related purposes.

Cultural Development Authority (CDA), a discretely presented component unit of King County, annually receives funding from various County funds under the One Percent for Art program. Revenues are used to support activities related to the development and maintenance of County public art. In 2017, the King County primary government transferred \$4.0 million to the CDA. CDA spent \$1.3 million on art projects for which the County recorded a corresponding decrease in receivables from CDA and an increase in artwork work-in-progress.

The Public Transportation Enterprise (Transit) entered into a ground lease agreement as lessor with King County Housing Authority (KCHA) for the development of affordable housing units and a parking garage in the City of Redmond. The land under the lease has a cost of \$1.3 million. KCHA is a related organization of King County. The lease provides for a minimum set-aside of 150 parking stalls for park-and-ride commuters. The lease calls for an annual lease payment with a 3.0 percent increase each year, commencing with the year ended December 31, 2003. The lease payment is due within 90 days following the end of each calendar year. A portion of the annual lease payment is restricted for use on future Federal Transit Administration projects. The term of the lease is 50 years with one option to extend for 25 years. Transit reported lease revenue of \$45 thousand in 2017.

Components of Fund Balance, Restatements, Restrictions and Special Item

Net Position

The government-wide and proprietary fund financial statements utilize a net position presentation. Net position is classified into three components:

<u>Net investment in capital assets</u> - Consists of capital assets net of accumulated depreciation and reduced by outstanding balances of bonds, notes and other debt attributed to the acquisition, construction, or improvement of those assets.

<u>Restricted net position</u> - Results when constraints are placed on net position use either by external parties or by law through constitutional provision or enabling legislation.

<u>Unrestricted net position</u> - Consists of net position that does not meet the definition of the two preceding categories.

Components of Fund Balance

King County's governmental fund balances are classified according to the relative constraints that control how amounts can be spent. Classifications include:

- Nonspendable. Balances that either are not in a spendable form or are legally or contractually required to remain intact.
- Restricted. Balances restricted for specific purposes by the constitution, enabling legislation or external resource providers such as creditors, grantors, or laws or regulations of other governments.
- Committed. Balances that can only be used for specific purposes pursuant to constraints imposed by formal
 action of the King County Council. A Council ordinance or motion is required to establish, modify or rescind a
 commitment of fund balance.
- Assigned. Balances that are constrained by management to be used for specific purposes, but are neither restricted nor committed. Assignments are authorized by chief officers of executive departments and administrative offices.
- Unassigned. Residual balances that are not contained in the other classifications. The General Fund is the
 only fund that reports a positive unassigned fund balance amount. In other governmental funds, it is not
 appropriate to report a positive unassigned fund balance amount. However, in governmental funds other than
 the General Fund, if expenditures incurred for specific purposes exceed the amounts that are restricted,
 committed or assigned to those purposes, it may be necessary to report a negative unassigned fund balance
 in that fund.

Rainy Day Reserve Ordinance 15961 created the Rainy Day Reserve for the purpose of accumulating revenues to be available for emergencies. The fund is fully invested for its own benefit.

The ordinance states that the Rainy Day Reserve shall be used in the event of an emergency, as declared by a vote of the County Council, for the following purposes:

- Maintenance of essential county services in the event that current expense fund revenue collections in a given fiscal year are less than 97 percent of adopted estimates;
- Payment of current expense fund legal settlements or judgments in excess of the County's ability to pay from other sources;
- Catastrophic losses in excess of the County's other insurances against such losses; and
- Other emergencies as determined by the County Council.

The Rainy Day Reserve is reported as part of the General Fund. As of December 31, 2017, it had a committed fund balance of \$25.1 million.

A summary of governmental fund balances at December 31, 2017, is as follows (in thousands):

	General Fund	Behavioral Health Fund	Nonmajor Governmental Funds	Total
Nonspendable:				
Inventory	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 834	\$ 834
Prepayments	_	_	7,914	7,914
Youth Sports Facilities Grant Endowment	_	_	2,619	2,619
Total Nonspendable Fund Balance			11,367	11,367
Restricted for:				
Animal Services	_	_	2,036	2,036
Arts and Culture Development	_	_	413	413
Automated Fingerprint ID System	_	_	27,542	27,542
Behavioral Health	_	57,282	· —	57,282
Best Starts For Kids Levy	_	· —	71,821	71,821
Building Repair and Replacement	_	_	11,499	11,499
Conservation Futures	_	_	23,952	23,952
Community Services Operating	_	_	753	753
County Road Construction	_	_	18,374	18,374
County Roads Operating	_	_	25,220	25,220
Crime Victim Compensation Program	101	_		101
DCHS Administration	_	_	587	587
Developmental Disabilities	_	_	7,785	7,785
Dispute Resolution	33	_		33
Drug Enforcement	1,125	_	_	1,125
Emergency Medical Services	1,120		44,205	44,205
Enhanced 911 Emergency Telephone System			26,928	26,928
Environmental Health			14,321	14,321
	_	_	1,519	1,519
Farmland and Open Space Acquisitions	_	_		
Facilities Management Division-Parks	_	_	1,703 9	1,703 9
Facilities Management Division-Parks Facility Rehabilitation Flood Control District	_	_	71,491	
Grants	_	_		71,491
	_	_	2,596 138	2,596
Historical Preservation	_	_		138
Housing and Community Development	_	_	60,043	60,043
Human Services	_	_	458	458
Information and Telecommunication Capital	_	_	24,272	24,272
Intercounty River Improvement	_	_	15	15
King County Flood Control Operating	_	_	47	47
Law Library	_	_	299	299
Local Hazardous Waste	_	_	16,235	16,235
Major Maintenance	_	_	2,251	2,251
Mental Illness and Drug Dependency	_	_	19,678	19,678
Noxious Weed Control	_	_	1,145	1,145
Open Space King County Bond Funded Subfund	_	_	443	443
Parks Capital	_	_	47,909	47,909
Parks Operating Levy	_	_	17,456	17,456
Parks Trust and Contribution	_	_	5	5
Planning and Permitting	_	_	970	970
Public Health	_	_	25,690	25,690
Puget Sound Emergency Radio Network	_	_	30,907	30,907
Real Property Title Assurance	25	_	_	25
Real Estate Excise Tax Capital	_	_	27,672	27,672
Recorder's Operations and Maintenance	_	_	2,022	2,022
Renton Maintenance Facility	_	_	21,036	21,036
Road Improvement Districts Construction	_	_	2	2
Road Improvement Districts Maintenance	_	_	7	7
Surface Water Capital	_	_	10,572	10,572
				. 0,0. 2

A summary of governmental fund balances at December 31, 2017, continues (in thousands) (page 2 of 2):

	(General Fund	Behavioral Health Fund	Nonn Govern Fur	mental	Total
Restricted for - continued:						
Surface Water Management	\$	_	\$ —	\$	9,262	\$ 9,262
Treasurer's Operations and Maintenance		_	_		164	164
Urban Restore Habitat Restoration		_	_		350	350
Veterans and Human Services		_	_		1,669	1,669
Veterans' Relief		_	_		275	275
Wheelchair Access		732	_		_	732
Youth Service Facility Construction					52,361	52,361
Total Restricted Fund Balance		2,016	57,282		726,107	785,405
Committed for:						
Antiprofiteering Program		69	_		_	69
Rainy Day Reserve		25,092	_		_	25,092
Urban Restoration and Habitat			_		43	43
Total Committed Fund Balance		25,161			43	 25,204
Assigned for						
Assigned for: Capital Projects		5,637				5,637
Debt Service		5,037	_		14,817	14,817
General Government		402	_		14,017	402
Information and Telecommunication Capital		402	_		3,669	3,669
Inmate Welfare		4,634	_		3,009	4,634
Long Term Leases		4,034	_		70	70
Major Maintenance Reserve		_	_		13,658	13,658
Mental & Physical Health		6	_		13,036	13,036
Public Safety		8,502	_		_	8,502
Regional Justice Projects		0,502			297	297
Transfer of Development Credit Program					7,519	7,519
Urban Restore Habitat Restoration					300	300
Youth Amateur Sports					5,575	5,575
Total Assigned Fund Balance		19,181			45,905	 65,086
-		.0,.0.			.0,000	 00,000
Unassigned for:		00.440				00.440
General Fund		98,412	_		(0.700)	98,412
Arts and Culture Development		_	_		(3,726)	(3,726)
Building Repair and Replacement		_	_		(960)	(960)
Long-term Leases		_	_		(2,748)	(2,748)
Parks Facility Rehabilitation		_	_		(35)	(35)
Permit and Environmental Review		_	_		(397)	(397)
Risk Abatement		98.412			(10,548)	 (10,548)
Total Unassigned Fund Balance		/			(18,414)	 79,998
Total Fund Balance	\$	144,770	\$ 57,282	\$	765,008	\$ 967,060

Restatements of Beginning Balances

Detailed information regarding restatements of beginning balances (in thousands):

GOVERNMENTAL ACTIVITIES

				Total			1	Nonmajor	Internal
	Go	vernmental	Go	vernmental	(General	Go	vernmental	Service
Changes in Net Position or Fund Balance	1	Activities		Funds		Fund		Funds	Funds
Net position/fund balance - January 1, 2017	\$	2,579,801	\$	758,442	\$	125,479	\$	632,963	\$ 128,963
GASB Statement 61 & 80 - Reporting Entity Change		5,042		_		_		_	13,061
Other prior period corrections of errors:									
General capital assets		16,206		_		_		_	_
KC Recorder's Office cumulative revenue transfer		(1,972)		(1,972)		(1,972)		_	_
Other nonmajor governmental fund		980		980				980	_
Net position/fund balance - January 1, 2017 (Restated)	\$	2,600,057	\$	757,450	\$	123,507	\$	633,943	\$ 142,024

Governmental Activities

Reevaluation of component units based on recent GASB guidance resulted in the de-blending of NJB properties previously reported as a blended internal service fund (see note 1, "Reporting Entity Change"). This accounting change resulted in the restatement of prior period net position in governmental activities by \$5.0 million and internal service funds by \$13.1 million.

Governmental activities general capital assets beginning balances were increased by a net \$16.2 million due to expensing work in progress and capitalizing roads-bridges infrastructure in error.

Due to computer system implementation issues and key technical staff turnover in 2012, the County failed to distribute certain recording fees collected in the General Fund to various King County funds and the state of Washington. Two million is the cumulative amount of the error from prior years 2012-16.

Contract reductions in the Flood Control contracts fund and district fund, and, failure to recognize as revenue certain prior year advance grants in the Grants fund resulted in a net increase of \$980 thousand in beginning fund balance of nonmajor governmental funds.

Restricted Net Position

Component Unit - Harborview Medical Center (HMC)

Restricted expendable net position - \$10.2 million of expendable net position is restricted for either capital purposes use or through donor restrictions. Restrictions are imposed by King County on the use of resources for designated capital projects. Other restrictions are placed by donors or external parties, such as creditors, through purpose or time restrictions on the use of the assets.

<u>Restricted nonexpendable net position</u> - The \$2.6 million consists of permanent endowments provided by donors with restrictions requiring HMC to maintain the assets in perpetuity.

Component Unit - Cultural Development Authority of King County (CDA)

Restricted expendable net position - \$38.9 million is restricted by RCW 67.28.180.3 and King County ordinance to be used only for the arts and heritage cultural program awards fund and special account, for which the amount is derived according to a specified formula.

Special Item - Governmental Activities

In June 2017, the County exercised its option to refinance the lease revenue bonds held by CDP-King County III, a County blended component unit and lessor for the King Street Center Building that is under lease to the County. Final defeasance of the bonds occurred in June 1, 2017 when title to the property legally transferred to the County. CDP-

King Street III then ceased to be a component unit and its residual assets and liabilities were removed from the County's statements of net position with the resultant change of \$6.6 million reported as a special item in the Internal Service Funds activity statements. A related special item is also reported in governmental activities totaling \$213 thousand.

Legal Matters, Financial Guarantees and Other Commitments

Pending Litigation and Other Claims

King County and its agencies are parties to routine legal proceedings that normally occur in governmental operations. At any given point, there may be numerous lawsuits that could significantly impact expenditures and future budgets. The County's threshold for disclosure of loss contingencies is \$1.0 million.

The following litigation or potential litigation may involve claims for material damages that may be asserted against King County. However, the County can provide no opinion as to the ultimate outcome, or estimate the amount of damages that may be found, except as described below:

Denny Way CSO Model Toxic Control Act Cleanup - A potential requirement for additional cleanup in the area contaminated when the Denny Way combined sewer outflow was replaced in 2005. The King County Wastewater Treatment Division has already performed interim cleanup costing \$3.6 million to comply with an agreed order issued by the Washington State Department of Ecology (DOE). WTD had discussions in March 2018 with DOE and stakeholders regarding site conditions and next steps toward final cleanup. It is unclear what final remedy DOE may select. Therefore, we are unable to determine an amount, if any, for which WTD may be responsible.

East Waterway Operable Unit of the Harbor Island Superfund Site - The Port of Seattle has completed a significant removal action in the East Waterway. The City of Seattle, Port of Seattle and King County intend to negotiate the amount, if any, that the City and the County will contribute to defray the Port of Seattle's past cleanup costs at the site. This is an extremely complex negotiation and we are unable to determine an amount that WTD may be responsible for, if any.

Lower Duwamish Waterway - EPA issued an administrative order that required King County, City of Seattle, Boeing and Port of Seattle to conduct studies to determine the nature and extent of contamination in the Lower Duwamish Waterway. The County and the other three parties have agreed with EPA to amend the administrative order to conduct additional studies pursuant to the amendment. The Feasibility Study, which discusses the remediation alternatives, has been issued in final form by EPA. EPA issued a Record of Decision (ROD) in the latter part of 2014. The ROD contains EPA's final plan for cleanup of the Lower Duwamish Waterway. King County and a number of other parties are participating in an alternative dispute resolution process, called an allocation, to determine shares of liability for the costs of the cleanup. If parties that participate in the allocation accept their allotted shares, they expect to enter into a settlement agreement and to negotiate a consent decree with EPA to implement the cleanup. Due to the fact that the parties do not know their respective shares of cleanup costs and no consent decree has been negotiated, we are unable to determine the schedule or cost of any required remediation. In addition, we are unable to determine the extent to which King County and WTD will be responsible for the cost of such remediation.

Lower Duwamish Waterway - Possible Natural Resource Damages - King County has participated in discussions with National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) regarding alleged natural resource damages (NRD) in the Lower Duwamish Waterway and around Harbor Island. These discussions have included NOAA's determination that the County may be a potentially liable party that has contributed to the release of hazardous substances that have injured natural resources. NOAA notified the County in February 2016 that the Natural Resources Trustees will proceed with a NRD assessment and invited the County to participate in the development of the assessment. The County notified NOAA in March 2016 that the County desires to conduct settlement discussions regarding the NRD liability that NOAA attributes to the County. The County's intent in past discussions with NOAA has been to minimize the County's alleged liability relative to the other liable parties. The County will maintain that intent in future discussions with NOAA.

South Park Landfill Model Toxics Control Act Cleanup - In the 1920s, King County acquired property in the South Park area through tax-lien foreclosure and subsequently leased it to the City of Seattle, which used it and other adjoining property as a landfill, until it was closed in the 1960s. In 2006, the County sold its portion of the closed landfill property to a developer. The terms of the transaction required the developer to insulate the County from most but not all cleanup costs associated with the landfill site. In 2007, the landfill site was identified by the DOE as a potential source of environmental contamination under the Model Toxics Control Act. Over a period of years, the developer and the City of Seattle had entered into multiple Agreed Orders with DOE for interim cleanup actions and subsequently performed those actions. The City, the developer, and King County are presently negotiating with DOE and other parties regarding

a final cleanup action plan and Consent Decree that would establish the final cleanup and monitoring obligations related to the site. Negotiations are ongoing and DOE typically reserves the right to require additional or different remedial actions at the site if new or different information comes to light. Therefore, we are presently unable to determine an amount, if any, for which King County and the Facilities Management Division may be responsible.

North Creek Interceptor Sewer Improvement Project - A claim submitted by a contractor against WTD over the project to repair and replace two miles of pipeline serving the rapidly developing area in the vicinity of Canyon Park and address untreated overflows into buildings and a wetland. Pursuant to an agreement with DOE, WTD had to install a bypass system because this capital project is not completed by the onset of the 2016 wet season. The contractor submitted a request for change order for approximately \$1.5 million asserting that the contract dewatering and openfaced shield tunneling specifications are defective. The contractor also asserted that he was constructively suspended and stopped tunneling. King County found the contractor in default, terminated the contract and made demand upon the performance bond surety. King County Executive declared an emergency and WTD procured a \$20.0 million completion contract pursuant to the waiver of statutory procurement requirements. In December 2016 King County initiated suit in King County Superior Court against the contractor to recover the additional costs to complete the project. The contractor filed a second lawsuit in Snohomish County Superior Court to enjoin the default termination. King County obtained a dismissal of this lawsuit and the contractor was appealing that decision to Division One of the Court of Appeals. The contractor moved to change venue in the King County action, was denied and appealed that decision. Discretionary review was granted and both appeals are now consolidated. The Appeals Court affirmed the trial court's decision that venue is proper in King County and affirmed Snohomish County Superior Court's dismissal of the contractor's second filed suit.

North Lake Union Site Model Toxics Control Act Cleanup - In the 1970s King County acquired a bulk fueling terminal on the north shore of Lake Union in the vicinity of Gasworks Park and used it as a maintenance base and fuel storage facility. In the early 1990s the upland portion of the site was identified by the DOE as a potential source of environmental contamination under the Model Toxics Control Act. In 1999 the former owner and King County entered into an interim cost-sharing agreement, and also entered into a Consent Decree with DOE for final cleanup actions and over a period of years, performed shallow soil remediation and groundwater remediation required under the Consent Decree. in 2009 King County sold a portion of the site to a developer after the developer entered into a separate Prospective Purchaser Consent Decree (PPCD) for its portion of the site in 2007. During 2014 through 2015 the developer performed the deep soil excavation required under its PPCD and in 2016 DOE declared the developer's cleanup complete and closed out the PPCD. However, under the 1999 Consent Decree, the former owner and King County remain obligated to monitor groundwater on the site and DOE has reserved the right to require additional or different remedial actions at the site if new or different information comes to light. Therefore, we are presently unable to determine an amount, if any, for which King County and Metro Transit Division may be responsible.

Transit Wage and Hour Claims - Following audit by the U.S. Department of Labor regarding pre-trip inspection time under the federal Fair Labor Standards Act, the Prosecuting Attorney's Office is working with Transit and the Office of Labor Relations regarding claims by employees represented by ATU Local 587 related to potential state law wage and hour issues. A settlement agreement has been reached by the parties, which is subject to Council approval. Outside counsel has been engaged to assist in this matter.

Audit of Public Health - A federal audit of Public Health-Seattle and King County questioned \$5.7 million in costs under the Ryan White federal grant program for 2012-2013 due to alleged shortcomings in recordkeeping and monitoring of grant subrecipients. \$2.0 million was accrued in 2016.

Minimum Wage for Jurors - Class action complaint was filed in Pierce County Superior Court alleging that King County is required to pay minimum wage for jurors. The 42-page complaint includes nine causes of action and seeks to certify four distinct classes. King County is responding to plaintiffs' discovery requests and is vigorously defending this matter. King County filed a motion for summary judgment, which was granted; plaintiffs' claims were dismissed with prejudice and all other claims were dismissed without prejudice. Plaintiffs have appealed and are seeking direct review by the Washington Supreme Court. The Court recently denied review and transferred the appeal to the Court of Appeals, Division II.

Former King County Maple Valley Maintenance Shop Site Cleanup - The County owned and/or operated a road maintenance facility on the Maple Valley property from approximately the 1940s to the 1980s. The current property owner has investigated the nature and extent of the environmental contamination and plans to move forward with site remediation. Estimated costs for site investigation and cleanup range from \$581 thousand to \$1.4 million, and the

property owner has indicated it will look to the County to share in the costs based on the County's status as a potentially liable party.

Ballot Title Challenge - In 2012 King County voters approved a capital levy to build a new children and family and justice center to replace two existing King County juvenile court buildings. An organization sued King County in Pierce County Superior Court challenging the ballot title for, and taxes collected under, the levy. The organization claims that the ballot title did not inform voters that the project would include a new youth detention center or that levy funds would be collected for nine years. Pierce County Superior Court Judge granted King County's motion for summary judgment. The Judge held that the lawsuit was really a ballot title challenge that should have been brought before the measure was presented to voters and he further held that the County's ballot title met the requirements of the levy lid lift statute. The organization appealed to Division Two. On September 26, 2017, the appellate court upheld the trial court's decision as to the adequacy of the description of the project, but reversed the trial court's decision as to whether the ballot title adequately disclosed the duration of the levy lid lift. On April 4, 2018, the Washington Supreme Court granted King County's petition for review. We expect the case to be heard in early fall 2018. A decision will likely be issued within one year of the argument date.

Financial Guarantees

King County has extended nonexchange financial guarantees in the form of contingent loan agreements with the King County Housing Authority (KCHA), a related organization to the County, and other owners/developers of affordable housing. The County will provide credit support, such as assumption of monthly payments, for certain bonds and lines of credit issued by these agencies in the event of financial distress. Any guarantee payments made become liabilities of the guaranteed contract holders to be paid back after regaining financial stability. The County's credit enhancement program, managed by the Department of Community and Human Services, allows up to \$400.0 million in total commitment. At the end of 2017, there are 13 contingent loan agreements outstanding totaling \$155.6 million. These agreements have maturity dates ranging from 10 to 30 years. All projects are currently self-supporting and the County has not made any payments pursuant to these agreements. It is unlikely that the County will make any payments in relation to these guarantees based on available information at the end of December 31, 2017 and the standards prescribed under GASB Statement No. 70 - Accounting and Financial Reporting for Nonexchange Financial Guarantees.

Other Commitments

The Solid Waste Enterprise paid the County General Fund \$3.0 million for rent on the Cedar Hills landfill site in 2017. The Enterprise is committed to paying rent to the General Fund as long as the Cedar Hills site continues to accept waste.

Component Unit - Harborview Medical Center

Harborview Medical Center (HMC) is involved in litigation arising in the course of business. It is HMC management's opinion that these matters will be resolved without material adverse effect to HMC's future financial position or results of operations.

The current regulatory environment in the healthcare industry is one of increasing governmental activity with respect to investigations and allegations concerning possible violations of regulations by healthcare providers that could result in the imposition of significant fines and penalties, including significant repayments of patient services previously billed. HMC believes that it complies with the fraud and abuse regulations, as well as other laws and regulations. Compliance with such laws and regulations can be subject to future governmental review and interpretation and regulatory actions unknown or unasserted at this time.

HMC is operated by the University of Washington under a management and operations contract with King County. In this contract, the University of Washington agrees to defend, indemnify, and save and hold harmless King County's elected and appointed officials, employees, and agents, from and against any damage, cost, claim or liability arising out of the negligent acts or omissions of the University, its employees or agents, or arising out of the activities or operations of the medical center.

Subsequent Events

Water Quality closed on a \$134.5 million loan commitment with the Environmental Protection Agency in April 2018 for the Georgetown Wet Weather Treatment Station. Draws on the loan commitment, scheduled to begin in 2020, is evidenced by the 2018 sewer revenue bonds that was authorized by the King County Council under the Washington Infrastructure Finance Innovation Act.

I. Budget to Actual - Major Fund

GENERAL FUND

SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE – BUDGET AND ACTUAL FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2017

(IN THOUSANDS)

2017-2018 BUDGETED AMOUNTS (BIENNIAL)

	0	RIGINAL	FINAL	A	CTUAL	VA	ARIANCE
REVENUES							
Taxes:							
Property taxes	\$	691,289	\$ 692,641	\$	344,708	\$	(347,933)
Retail sales and use taxes		272,782	269,656		134,140		(135,516)
Business and other taxes		21,904	22,353		4,295		(18,058)
Licenses and permits		12,373	14,314		7,783		(6,531)
Intergovernmental revenues		240,600	254,076		21,629		(232,447)
Charges for services		303,501	302,268		258,546		(43,722)
Fines and forfeits		49,308	48,989		25,754		(23,235)
Interest earnings		_	_		10,447		10,447
Miscellaneous revenues		54,062	54,577		17,943		(36,634)
Sale of capital assets		_	158		168		10
Transfers in		4,740	5,879		13,255		7,376
TOTAL REVENUES		1,650,559	1,664,911		838,668		(826,243)
EXPENDITURES							
Current:							
General government		285,993	289,992		188,508		101,484
Law, safety and justice		1,137,264	1,155,067		505,028		650,039
Economic environment		1,175	1,132		503		629
Health and human services		79,156	83,651		38,561		45,090
Debt service:							
Principal		68	68		_		68
Interest and other debt service costs		7	7		99		(92)
Capital outlay		1,787	3,276		1,245		2,031
Transfers out		162,261	172,700		81,401		91,299
TOTAL EXPENDITURES		1,667,711	1,705,893		815,345		890,548
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over (under)							
expenditures (budgetary basis)	\$	(17,152)	\$ (40,982)		23,323	\$	64,305
Adjustment from budgetary basis to GAAP basis ^(a)					(2,060)		
Net change in fund balance					21,263		
Fund balance - Beginning balance (Restated)					123,507		
Fund balance - Ending balance				\$	144,770		
•							
(3)							
(a) Elements of adjustment from budgetary basis to GA	AP ba	SIS:					
Adjustments to revenues:							
Recognition of unrealized loss on investments				\$	(2,304)		
Adjustments to expenditures					(145)		
Non-budgeted revenues					389		
Adjustment from budgetary basis to GAAP basis	S			\$	(2,060)		

BEHAVIORAL HEALTH FUND

SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE – BUDGET AND ACTUAL FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2017

(IN THOUSANDS)

2017-2018 BUDGETED AMOUNTS (BIENNIAL)

	OF	RIGINAL	FINAL	Α	CTUAL	VA	RIANCE
REVENUES							
Taxes:							
Property taxes	\$	6,568	\$ 6,568	\$	3,258	\$	(3,310)
Business and other taxes		_	_		14		14
Intergovernmental revenues		96,607	36,417		25,589		(10,828)
Charges for services		749,112	539,889		256,419		(283,470)
Interest earnings		938	938		925		(13)
Miscellaneous revenues		1,982	1,982		919		(1,063)
Transfers in		6,143	6,193		5,473		(720)
TOTAL REVENUES		861,350	591,987		292,597		(299,390)
EXPENDITURES Current:							
Health and human services		857,917	652,649		307,567		345,082
Transfers out		007,917	032,049		443		(443)
TOTAL EXPENDITURES		857,917	 652,649		308,010		344,639
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over (under)			-				
expenditures (budgetary basis)	\$	3,433	\$ (60,662)		(15,413)	\$	45,249
Adjustment from budgetary basis to GAAP basis ^(a)					(162)		
Net change in fund balance					(15,575)		
Fund balance - Beginning balance					72,857		
Fund balance - Ending balance				\$	57,282		
(a)Elements of adjustment from budgetary basis to GAAP ba Adjustments to revenues: Recognition of unrealized loss on investments on a GA		sis		\$	(153)		
-					` '		

Adjustments to expenditures: Non-budgeted transfers out

Adjustment from budgetary basis to GAAP basis

(9)

(162)

II. Pension Funding

Schedule of the County's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability Public Employees' Retirement System (PERS) Plan 1 Measurement Date of June 30*

(dollars in thousands)

	 2017	2016	2015
County's proportion of the net pension liability	8.45%	8.90%	8.76%
County's proportionate share of the net pension liability	\$ 400,803 \$	477,872 \$	458,477
County's covered payroll**	\$ 15,426 \$	18,793 \$	22,880 ***
County's proportionate share of the net pension liability as a percentage of covered payroll	2598.23%	2542.82%	2003.83%
Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension liability	61.24%	57.03%	59.10%

Schedule of the County's Proportionate Share of the	Ne	t Pension	Lia	bility			
Public Employees' Retirement System (PE	RS)	Plan 2/3					
Measurement Date of June 30*							
(dollars in thousands)							
	_	2017		2016		2015	_
County's proportion of the net pension liability		10.14%	,	10.52%)	10.36%)
County's proportionate share of the net pension liability	\$	352,361	\$	529,855	\$	370,294	
County's covered payroll**	\$	995,800	\$	953,254	\$	949,860	***
County's proportionate share of the net pension liability as a percentage of covered payroll		35.38%	,	55.58%)	38.98%)
Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension liability		90.97%	,	85.82%)	89.20%	

^{*}These schedules will be built prospectively until they contain ten years of data.

**Covered payroll is the payroll on which contributions to a pension plan are based.

***Restated

Schedule of the County's Proportionate Share of the	Net	t Pension	Lia	bility			
Public Safety Employees' Retirement System	(PSI	ERS) Plan	1 2				
Measurement Date of June 30*							
(dollars in thousands)							
		2017		2016		2015	_
County's proportion of the net pension liability		9.92%	0	11.33%	6	9.88%	6
County's proportionate share of the net pension liability	\$	1,944	\$	4,817	\$	1,803	
County's covered payroll**	\$	35,210	\$	35,577	\$	29,911	***
County's proportionate share of the net pension liability as a percentage of covered payroll		5.52%	, 0	13.54%	6	6.03%	6
Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension liability		96.26%	, 0	90.41%	6	95.08%	6

Schedule of the County's Proportionate Share of the	Ne	t Pension Lia	bility	
Law Enforcement Officers' and Fire Fighters' Retiremer	t S	ystem (LEOF	F) Plan 1	
Measurement Date of June 30*				
(dollars in thousands)				
		2017	2016	2015
County's proportion of the net pension (asset)		0.60%	0.60%	0.60%
County's proportionate share of the net pension (asset)	\$	(9,046) \$	(6,180) \$	(7,275)
County's covered payroll**	\$	194 \$	213 \$	290 ***
County's proportionate share of the net pension (asset) as a percentage of covered payroll		-4,662.96% -	-2,901.36%	-2,508.65%
Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension liability		135.96%	123.74%	127.36%

^{*}These schedules will be built prospectively until they contain ten years of data.

**Covered payroll is the payroll on which contributions to a pension plan are based.

***Restated

Schedule of the County's Proportionate Share of t	he Ne	t Pension	Lia	bility			
Law Enforcement Officers' and Fire Fighters' Retirem	ent S	ystem (LEC	OFI	F) Plan 2			
Measurement Date of June 3	0*						
(dollars in thousands)							
		2017		2016		2015	
County's proportion of the net pension (asset)		2.91%		3.02%)	2.90%	
County's proportionate share of the net pension (asset)	\$	(40,429)	\$	(17,543)	\$	(29,819)	
State's proportionate share of the net pension (asset) associated with King County		(26,225)		(11,437)		(19,716)	
Total	\$	(66,654)	\$	(28,980)	\$	(49,535)	:
County's covered payroll**	\$	91,137	\$	87,895	\$	84,358	***
County's proportionate share of the net pension (asset) as a percentage of covered payroll		-44.36%		-19.96%)	-35.35%	
Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension liability		113.36%		106.04%)	111.67%	

Schedule of the County's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability Seattle City Employees' Retirement System (SCERS)											
Measurement Date of December 31*											
(dollars in thousands)											
	_	2017	2016	2015							
County's proportion of the net pension liability		0.07%	0.09%	0.11%							
County's proportionate share of the net pension liability	\$	914 \$	1,169 \$	1,219							
County's covered payroll**	\$	2,429 \$	3,010 \$	3,305							
County's proportionate share of the net pension liability as a percentage of covered payroll		37.61%	38.84%	36.88%							
Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension liability		65.60%	64.03%	67.70%							

^{*}These schedules will be built prospectively until they contain ten years of data.

**Covered payroll is the payroll on which contributions to a pension plan are based.

***Restated

Schedule of Contributions											
Public Employees' Retirement System (PERS) Plan 1											
Fiscal Year Ended December 31*											
(dollars in thousands)											
		2017		2016		2015					
Contractually required contribution	\$	1,738	\$	1,901	\$	2,076					
Contributions in relation to the contractually required contribution		1,738		1,901		2,076					
Contribution deficiency (excess)	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_					
Covered payroll**	\$	14,569	\$	17,003	\$	20,440					
Contributions as a percentage of covered payroll		11.93%	0	11.18%	, D	10.16%					

Schedule of Contributions										
Public Employees' Retirement System (PERS) Plan 2/3										
Fiscal Year Ended December 3	1*									
(dollars in thousands)										
		2017		2016		2015				
Contractually required contribution	\$	123,333	\$	109,269	\$	95,176				
Contributions in relation to the contractually required contribution		123,333		109,269		95,176				
Contribution deficiency (excess)	\$		\$	_	\$	_				
Covered payroll**	\$	1,031,418	\$	977,342	\$	933,304				
Contributions as a percentage of covered payroll	11.96% 11.18%					10.20%				

Schedule of Contributions											
Public Safety Employees' Retirement System (PSERS) Plan 2											
Fiscal Year Ended December 31*											
(dollars in thousands)											
		2017		2016		2015					
Contractually required contribution	\$	4,316	\$	3,953	\$	3,677					
Contributions in relation to the contractually required contribution		4,316		3,953		3,677					
Contribution deficiency (excess)	\$		\$			_					
Covered payroll**	\$	36,728	\$	34,253	\$	33,102					
Contributions as a percentage of covered payroll		11.75%	6	11.54%	6	11.11%					

Schedule of Contributions												
Law Enforcement Officers' and Fire Fighters' Retirement System (LEOFF) Plan 2												
Fiscal Year Ended December 31*												
(dollars in thousands)												
		2017		2016		2015						
Contractually required contribution	\$	4,956	\$	4,735	\$	4,505						
Contributions in relation to the contractually required contribution		4,956		4,735		4,505						
Contribution deficiency (excess)	\$	_	\$	_								
Covered payroll**	\$	92,952	\$	90,526	\$	86,131						
Contributions as a percentage of covered payroll		5.33%	0	5.23%	, D	5.23%						

Schedule of Contributio	ns					
Seattle City Employees' Retirement S	System (S	CERS)				
Fiscal Year Ended Decemb	er 31*					
(dollars in thousands)						
		2017		2016		2015
Contractually required contribution	\$	371	\$	458	\$	520
Contributions in relation to the contractually required contribution		371		458		520
Contribution deficiency (excess)	\$	_	\$	_	\$	
Covered payroll**	\$	2,429	\$	3,010	\$	3,305
Contributions as a percentage of covered payroll		15.27%	0	15.22%	0	15.73%

Notes:

Contributions are actual employer contributions to the plan. For PERS 1 this includes the portion of PERS 2/3 and PSERS 2 contributions that fund the PERS 1 UAAL. Contributions do not include employer-paid member contributions.

Law Enforcement Officers' and Fire Fighters' Retirement System (LEOFF) Plan 1 currently has no active members; therefore, no contributions are required or paid.

^{*}These schedules will be built prospectively until they contain ten years of data.
**Covered payroll is the payroll on which contributions to a pension plan are based.

III. Postemployment Health Care Plan

Schedule of Funding Progress for the Plan

(in thousands)

				Actuarial						
			Accrued						UAAL as a	
		Value of	Lial	Liability (AAL) - Unfunded AAL Funded Covered Unit Credit (UAAL) Ratio Payroll		Percentage of				
	Actuarial	Assets	U			(UAAL) Ratio			Payroll	Covered Payroll
Year	Valuation Date	(a)		(b)		(b – a)	(a ÷ b)		(c)	((b - a) ÷ c)
2015	12/31/2015	_	\$	167,417	\$	167,417	-%	\$	1,076,068	15.6%
2016	12/31/2016	_		167,417		167,417	-%		1,121,962	14.9%
2017	12/31/2017	_		121,079		121,079	—%		1,178,142	10.3%

IV. Condition Assessments and Preservation of Infrastructure Eligible for Modified Approach

Roads

The County performs condition assessments on its network of roads through the King County Pavement Management System. This system generates a Pavement Condition Index (PCI) for each segment of arterial and local access road in the network. The PCI is a numerical index from zero to one hundred (0-100) that represents the pavement's functional condition based on the quantity, severity, and type of visual distress, such as pavement cracking. Based on the PCI score, condition ratings are assigned as follows: a PCI of less than 30 is defined as "poor to substandard" (heavy pavement cracking and potholes); a PCI of 30 or more but less than 50 is defined to be in "fair" condition (noticeable cracks and/or utility cuts); and a PCI of 50 and higher is defined to be in "excellent to good" condition (relatively smooth roadway). Condition assessments are undertaken every three years for local streets and every two years for arterials.

The condition assessments of the County's roads are shown below for the last three completed cycles.

	2016-2	2014	2013	-2011	2010-2008			
Condition ratings	(miles)	%	(miles)	%	(miles)	%		
Arterial roads								
Excellent to good	294.3	65.0	297.7	64.9	348.2	71.8		
Fair	61.4	13.5	32.0	7.0	20.3	4.2		
Poor to substandard	97.5	21.5	129.0	28.1	116.7	24.0		
Total	453.2	100.0	458.7	100.0	485.2	100.0		
Local access roads								
Excellent to good	689.2	67.7	742.0	70.7	867.0	75.6		
Fair	134.7	13.2	91.4	8.7	74.2	6.5		
Poor to substandard	194.2	19.1	216.5	20.6	205.8	17.9		
Total	1,018.1	100.0	1,049.9	100.0	1,147.0	100.0		

The following table (derived from the table of condition ratings) shows the number and percentage of miles of roads that meet the 40 PCI level.

	2016-20	14	2013-20)11	2010-2008			
PCI score interval	(miles)	%	(miles)	%	(miles)	%		
Arterial roads								
PCI 40 - 100	323.3	71.3	315.7	68.8	360.0	74.2		
PCI 0 - 39	129.9	28.7	143.0	31.2	125.2	25.8		
Total	453.2	100.0	458.7	100.0	485.2	100.0		
Local access roads								
PCI 40 - 100	759.4	74.6	786.5	74.9	900.0	78.5		
PCI 0 - 39	258.7	25.4	263.4	25.1	247.0	21.5		
Total	1,018.1	100.0	1,049.9	100.0	1,147.0	100.0		

In the most recent condition assessments, 71.3 percent of the arterial roads in the County and 74.6 percent of the local access roads in the County had a PCI rating of 40 and above.

It is the policy of the King County Road Services Division to maintain at least 50 percent of the road system at a PCI of 40 or better. The roads condition assessments have increased slightly over the last maintenance cycle. The accelerated condition deterioration observed in the 2010-2008 cycle and continuing in the 2013-2011 cycle, was primarily the result of weather and system age. Many of the arterial roadways are beyond their cost-effective life cycles, resulting in roadway deterioration. Because of the uncertainty in future funding for roads, the County formally lowered its established condition level to 50 percent of the roads at a PCI of 40 or better. The 2017 budgeted amounts below already account for the change in the established condition level.

Below is information on planned (budgeted) and actual expenditures incurred to maintain and preserve the road network from 2012 to 2016. The budgeted amount is equivalent to the anticipated amount needed to maintain roads at the 50/40 required condition level for the modified approach (in thousands).

	 2017		2016		2016		2015 201		2014		2013
Budgeted	\$ 72,969	\$	70,969	\$	56,599	\$	50,453	\$	59,110		
Expended	59,864		43,820		37,003		36,269		46,782		

The amount budgeted in 2017 for road preservation and maintenance was \$73.0 million. The amount actually expended was \$59.9 million. The 2017 underspending was due to scheduling of contracted work and work to be performed in 2018. Adjusting for these items, the remaining gap is consistent with historical experience.

<u>Bridges</u>

King County currently maintains 182 bridges including co-owned and pedestrian bridges. Physical inspections to determine the condition of bridges and the degree of wear and deterioration are carried out at least every two years. Inspections reveal deficiencies in bridges such as steel corrosion, damaged guardrails, rotted timbers, deteriorated bridge decks, bank erosion and cracked concrete. These are documented in an inspection report along with recommended repairs. Four bridges that do not carry vehicular traffic are included in the list of bridges being maintained by the County. These are also subject to condition assessments, but are subject to different standards than the more heavily used 178 vehicular bridges.

Each year the County conducts a bridge prioritization process to determine potential candidates for replacement or rehabilitation. A weighted 10-point priority scale (sufficiency rating, seismic rating, geometrics, hydraulics, load limits, traffic safety, serviceability, importance, useful life, and structural concern) ranks the bridges in order; the results are considered in the planning and programming of major bridge studies and construction projects in the Roads Capital Improvement Program.

A key element in the priority score is the sufficiency rating, the measure considered by state and federal governments as the basis for establishing eligibility and priority for bridge replacement or rehabilitation funding. The sufficiency rating is a numerical rating of a bridge based on its structural adequacy and safety, essentially for public use, and its

serviceability and functional obsolescence. The formula used to calculate the sufficiency rating for a particular bridge is dictated by the Federal Highway Administration. The sufficiency rating may vary from 100 (a bridge in new condition) to 0 (a bridge incapable of carrying traffic). A sufficiency rating of 50 or over indicates a bridge with a good deal of service life remaining. A bridge that scores between 0 and 49 could be considered for replacement or rehabilitation funding, though typically only bridges that score less than 30 are selected for funding.

The three most recent bridge sufficiency ratings are as follows:

Bridge	Nun	nber of Bridg	jes
Sufficiency Rating	2017	2016	2015
0 - 20	8	6	5
21 - 30	4	_	2
31 - 49	22	24	20
50 - 100	144	148	150
Totals	178	178	177

It is the policy of the King County Road Services Division to maintain bridges in such a manner that no more than 12 will have a sufficiency rating of 20 or less. A rating of 20 or less is usually indicative of a bridge with a structural deficiency. The most common remedy is full replacement, rehabilitation or closure of the bridge.

Amounts budgeted and spent to maintain and preserve bridges over the past five years are below (in thousands):

		2017		2016		2015	2014		2015 2014		2013
Budgeted	\$	6,605	\$	4,343	\$	5,607	\$	4,727	\$ 5,544		
Expended		6,221		3,448		3,184		3,345	5,411		

The budgeted amount is equivalent to the anticipated amount needed to maintain and preserve the bridges up to the required condition level.

KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS JANUARY 1, 2017 THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 2017

Federal Grantor Agency	Pass-through Agency Name	Federal Program Name	CFDA Number	Federal Award Identification Number	Agency Expenditures	Subrecipient Expenditures	Total Expenditures Notes R&D
Department of Agriculture		Farmers Market Promotion Program	10.168	15-FMPPX-WA-0156 \$	66,230.34 \$	\$ 0	66,230.34
			Direct Total	\$	66,230.34 \$	\$ 0	66,230.34
			10.168 Total	w	66,230.34 \$	\$ 0	66,230.34
	Child Nutrition Cluster Washington Office of Superintendent of School Breakfast Program Public Instruction	School Breakfast Program	10.553	187WAWA3N1099 \$	11,653.32 \$	\$ 0	11,653.32
	Washington Office of Superintendent of School Public Instruction	School Breakfast Program	10.553	177WAWA3N1099 \$	29,622.78 \$	\$ 0	29,622.78
			Indirect Total	v.	41,276.10 \$	\$ 0	41,276.10
			10.553 Total	v.	41,276.10 \$	\$ 0	41,276.10
	Washington Office of Superintendent of National School Lunch Program	National School Lunch Program	10.555	177WAWA3N1099 \$	55,449.82 \$	\$ 0	55,449.82
	Public Instruction Washington Office of Superintendent of National School Lunch Program Public Instruction	National School Lunch Program	10.555	187WAWA3N1099 \$	21,998.87 \$	\$ O	21,998.87
			Indirect	\$	77,448.69 \$	\$ 0	77,448.69
			10.555 Total	v.	77,448.69 \$	\$ 0	77,448.69
		Total Child Nutrition Cluster		\$	118,724.79 \$	\$ 0	118,724.79

KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS JANUARY 1, 2017 THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 2017

			CFDA	Federal Award	Agency	Subrecipient	Total
Federal Grantor Agency	Pass-through Agency Name	Federal Program Name	Number	Identification Number	Expenditures	Expenditures	Expenditures Notes R&D
	Washington State Department of Health WIC Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children	WIC Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children	10.557	177WAWA7W1003 \$	5,243,400.20 \$	713,255.99 \$	5,956,656.19
	Washington State Department of Health WIC Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children	n WIC Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children	10.557	177WAWA1W5003 \$	315,087.30 \$	\$ 0	315,087.30
			Indirect Total	\$	5,558,487.50 \$	713,255.99 \$	6,271,743.49
		, 1	10.557 Total	\$	5,558,487.50 \$	713,255.99 \$	6,271,743.49
	SNAP Cluster Washington State Department of Health State Administrative Matching Grants for the Supplemental Nutrition) State Administrative Matching Grants for the Supplemental Nutrition	10.561	1717WAWA5Q390 \$	400,511.60 \$	42,920.52 \$	443,432.12
	Washington State Department of Social and Health Services		10.561	177WAWA4Q503 \$	85,168.00 \$	\$ 0	85,168.00
	Washington State Department of Social and Health Services		10.561	187WAWA4S2520 \$	138,270.74 \$	34,596.00 \$	172,866.74
	Washington State Department of Social and Health Services		10.561	177WAWA4S2519 \$	252,072.00 \$	233,594.33 \$	485,666.33
	Within Reach	Assistance Program State Administrative Matching Grants for the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program	10.561	1712-15506/1613-75655 \$	163,910.50 \$	\$ 0	163,910.50
			Indirect Total	v.	1,039,932.84 \$	311,110.85 \$	1,351,043.69
		SNAP Cluster	10.561 Total	\$	1,039,932.84 \$	311,110.85 \$	1,351,043.69
	Washington State Department of Health	Washington State Department of Health WIC Farmers' Market Nutrition Program (FMNP)	10.572	177WAWA7Y8604 \$	9,915.00 \$	\$ 0	9,915.00
			Indirect Total	₩.	9,915.00 \$	\$ 0	9,915.00

9,915.00

\$ 0

9,915.00 \$

Ş

10.572 Total

KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS JANUARY 1, 2017 THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 2017

Federal Grantor Agency	Pass-through Agency Name	Federal Program Name	CFDA Number	Federal Award Identification Number	Agency Expenditures	Subrecipient Expenditures	Total Expenditures Notes R&D
	Forest Service Schools and Roads Cluster Washington State Department of Natural Resources	Schools	10.665	14DP1113000053 \$	103,903.56 \$	\$ 0	103,903.56
			Indirect	v.	103,903.56 \$	\$ 0	103,903.56
		Total Forest Service Schools and Roads Cluster	10.665 Total	\$ 1	103,903.56 \$ (0 \$ 10	103,903.56
		Department of Agriculture		vs.	6,897,194.03 \$	1,024,366.84 \$	7,921,560.87
Department of Commerce	Washington State Recreation and	Pacific Coast Salmon Recovery Pacific	11.438	NA13NMF4380251 \$	188,589.00 \$	\$ 0	188,589.00
	Conservation Office Washington State Recreation and	Salmon Treaty Program Pacific Coast Salmon Recovery Pacific	11.438	NA14NMF4380297 \$	17,261.89 \$	\$ 0	17,261.89
	Conservation Office Washington State Recreation and Conservation Office	salmon Treaty Program Pacific Coast Salmon Recovery Pacific Salmon Treaty Program	11.438	NA15NMF4380226 \$	59,719.59 \$	\$ 0	59,719.59
			Indirect	v.	265,570.48 \$	\$ 0	265,570.48
			11.438 Total	\$	265,570.48 \$	\$ 0	265,570.48
		Department of Commerce		φ.	265,570.48 \$	\$ 0	265,570.48
Department of Defense		EASE 2.0	12.219	Н982101310011 \$	156,500.00 \$	\$ 0	156,500.00 Y
			Direct Total	\$	156,500.00 \$	\$ 0	156,500.00
			12.219 Total	v.	156,500.00 \$	\$ 0	156,500.00
Page		Department of Defense		ν.	156,500.00 \$	\$ 0	156,500.00

Federal Grantor Agency
Department of Housing and
Urban Development

Dace-through Agancy Name	Eadaral Drogram Nama	CFDA	Federal Award	Agency	Subrecipient	Total Evenditures Notes R&D	O Sa Saton
CDBG - Entitlement Grant Cluster	2000						
	Community Development Block	14.218	B-15-UC-53-0001 \$	22,443.49 \$	261,664.05 \$	284,107.54	(6)
	Grants/ Entitlement Grants Community Development Block	14.218	B-16-UC-53-0001 \$	417,215.20 \$	1,291,034.67 \$	1,708,249.87	(6)
	Grants/ Entitlement Grants Community Development Block	14.218	B-17-UC-53-0001 \$	1,563,810.78 \$	1,184,389.50 \$	2,748,200.28 (3), (9)	(3), (9)
	Grants/Entitlement Grants Community Development Block Grants/Entitlement Grants	14.218	B-14-UC-53-0001 \$	6,310.44 \$	204,772.00 \$	211,082.44	
	לומוני) דוומימנוני לומונים	Direct Total	\$	2,009,779.91 \$	2,941,860.22 \$	4,951,640.13	
	Total CDBG - Entitlement Grant Cluster	14.218 Total	\$	2,009,779.91 \$	2,941,860.22 \$	4,951,640.13	
		14.231	E-16-UC-53-0001 \$	\$ 0	46,928.63 \$	46,928.63	
	Emergency Solutions Grant Program Emergency Solutions Grant Program	14.231	E-15-UC-53-0001 \$	18,680.85 \$	3,937.00 \$	22,617.85	
		Direct		36,261.12 \$	187,097.63 \$	223,358.75	
Washington State Department of	Emergency Solutions Grant Program	14.231	14-46107-006 \$	19,757.82 \$	482,353.86 \$	502,111.68	
Washington State Department of Commerce	Emergency Solutions Grant Program	14.231	17-46107-006 \$	30,284.43 \$	119,993.14 \$	150,277.57	
		Indirect Total	S	50,042.25 \$	602,347.00 \$	652,389.25	
		14.231 Total	\$	86,303.37 \$	789,444.63 \$	875,748.00	
	Home Investment Partnerships Program	14.239	M17-DC-530200 \$	292,812.86 \$	181,040.10 \$	473,852.96 (3), (9)	(3), (9)
	Home Investment Partnerships Program	14.239	M15-DC-530200 \$	3,087.26 \$	1,254,414.75 \$	1,257,502.01	(6)
	Home Investment Partnerships Program	14.239	M14-DC-530200 \$	6,341.89 \$	38,939.71 \$	45,281.60	
	Home Investment Partnerships Program	14.239	M16-DC-530200 \$	47,349.67 \$	1,125,150.29 \$	1,172,499.96	(6)
		Direct Total	S	349,591.68 \$	2,599,544.85 \$	2,949,136.53	
		14.239	₩.	349,591.68 \$	2,599,544.85 \$	2,949,136.53	

		CFDA	Federal Award	Agency	Subrecipient	Total
Pass-through Agency Name	Federal Program Name	Number	Identification Number	Expenditures	Expenditures	Expenditures Notes R&D
	Continuum of Care Program	14.267	WA0054L0T001508 \$	1,769.72 \$	\$ 90.062,95	58,559.78
	Continuum of Care Program	14.267	WA0050L0T001508 \$	16,028.69 \$	\$ 0	16,028.69
	Continuum of Care Program	14.267	WA0001L0T001607 \$	2,212.09 \$	124,812.52 \$	127,024.61
	Continuum of Care Program	14.267	WA0297L0T001602 \$	9,019.51 \$	2,680,443.18 \$	2,689,462.69
	Continuum of Care Program	14.267	WA0050L0T001609 \$	403,714.00 \$	\$ 0	403,714.00
	Continuum of Care Program	14.267	WA0320L0T001601 \$	1,674.22 \$	69,983.26 \$	71,657.48
	Continuum of Care Program	14.267	WA0348L0T001601 \$	1,978.80 \$	93,263.33 \$	95,242.13
	Continuum of Care Program	14.267	WA0365L0T001600 \$	1,341.46 \$	\$ 0	1,341.46
	Continuum of Care Program	14.267	WA0317L0T001500 \$	686,684.42 \$	75,000.00 \$	761,684.42
	Continuum of Care Program	14.267	WA0042L0T001609 \$	3,871.33 \$	130,715.91 \$	134,587.24
	Continuum of Care Program	14.267	WA0054L0T001609 \$	1,188.47 \$	47,040.27 \$	48,228.74
	Continuum of Care Program	14.267	WA0041L0T001609 \$	278.42 \$	9,283.78 \$	9,562.20
	Continuum of Care Program	14.267	WA0034L0T001609 \$	134,143.00 \$	4,407,961.10 \$	4,542,104.10
	Continuum of Care Program	14.267	WA0033L0T001609 \$	19,790.00 \$	532,802.35 \$	552,592.35
	Continuum of Care Program	14.267	WA0367L0T001600 \$	182,243.95 \$	\$ 0	182,243.95
	Continuum of Care Program	14.267	WA0343L0T001601 \$	330,590.36 \$	238,280.81 \$	568,871.17
	Continuum of Care Program	14.267	WA0320L0T001500 \$	2,154.56 \$	70,640.47 \$	72,795.03
	Continuum of Care Program	14.267	WA0343L0T001500 \$	446,712.62 \$	1,108,629.56 \$	1,555,342.18
	Continuum of Care Program	14.267	WA0366L0T001600 \$	13,319.00 \$	228,396.71 \$	241,715.71
	Continuum of Care Program	14.267	WA0262L0T001502 \$	9,494.00 \$	470,727.01 \$	480,221.01
	Continuum of Care Program	14.267	WA0348L0T001500 \$	18,074.48 \$	176,788.49 \$	194,862.97
	Continuum of Care Program	14.267	WA0064L0T001508 \$	1,205.00 \$	43,609.50 \$	44,814.50
	Continuum of Care Program	14.267	WA0041L0T001508 \$	1,424.97 \$	46,342.68 \$	47,767.65
	Continuum of Care Program	14.267	WA0034L0T001508 \$	48,271.89 \$	2,135,786.75 \$	2,184,058.64
	Continuum of Care Program	14.267	WA0297L0T001501 \$	80,188.46 \$	1,528,580.05 \$	1,608,768.51
	Continuum of Care Program	14.267	WA0042L0T001508 \$	231.87 \$	74,090.45 \$	74,322.32
	Continuum of Care Program	14.267	WA0033L0T001508 \$	15,203.08 \$	522,108.62 \$	537,311.70
	Continuum of Care Program	14.267	WA0001L0T001506 \$	1,398.70 \$	82,510.17 \$	83,908.87
		Direct	❖	2,434,207.07 \$	14,954,587.03 \$	17,388,794.10
		LOIGI				
		14.267	↔	2,434,207.07 \$	14,954,587.03 \$	17,388,794.10
		lotal				
	Fair Housing Assistance Program State and Local	14.401	FF210K141005 \$	18,151.31 \$	\$ 0	18,151.31 (6)
		Direct	⋄	18,151.31 \$	\$ 0	18,151.31
		14.401 Total	\$	18,151.31 \$	\$ 0	18,151.31

Enderal Grantor Agency	Dass_through Agency Name	Endaral Drogram Name	CFDA	Federal Award	Agency	Subrecipient	Total
Anna de la companya d	Washington State Department of Commerce	Moving to Work Demonstration Program	14.881	W002 \$	2,502.35 \$	\$ 0	2,502.35
			Indirect Total	v.	2,502.35 \$	\$ 0	2,502.35
		. "	14.881 Total	v.	2,502.35 \$	\$ 0	2,502.35
		Department of Housing and Urban Development		v.	4,900,535.69 \$	21,285,436.73 \$	26,185,972.42
Department of the Interior		Fish and Wildlife Management Assistance	15.608	F13AC00319 \$	7,874.11 \$	\$ 0	7,874.11
			Direct Total	₩.	7,874.11 \$	\$ 0	7,874.11
		. "	15.608 Total	\$	7,874.11 \$	\$ 0	7,874.11
	Ducks Unlimited	North American Wetlands Conservation Fund	15.623	F14AP00340 \$	187,605.25 \$	\$ 0	187,605.25
			Indirect	S.	187,605.25 \$	\$ 0	187,605.25
		. "	15.623 Total	\$	187,605.25 \$	\$ 0	187,605.25
		Endangered Species Conservation - Recovery Implementation Funds	15.657	F14AC00643 \$	35,440.67 \$	\$ 0	35,440.67
			Direct	\$	35,440.67 \$	\$ 0	35,440.67

35,440.67

\$ 0

35,440.67 \$

\$

15.657 Total

Federal Grantor Agency	Pass-through Agency Name	Federal Program Name	CFDA	Federal Award Identification Number	Agency	Subrecipient Expenditures	Total Expenditures Notes R&D
0	Washington State Department of Archeology	Historic Preservation Fund Grants-In-Aid		P16AF00057 \$	\$ 00.009	\$ 0	600.00
			Indirect Total	\$	\$ 00.009	\$ 0	600.00
			15.904 Total	\$	\$ 00:009	\$ 0	600.00
		Department of the Interior		v.	231,520.03 \$	\$ 0	231,520.03
Department of Justice	Washington State Department of Social Juvenile Justice and Delinquency and Health Services	al Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention	16.540	2014-JF-FX-0139 \$	5,873.36 \$	\$ 0	5,873.36
			Indirect	\$	5,873.36 \$	\$ 0	5,873.36
			16.540 Total	v	5,873.36 \$	\$ 0	5,873.36
	Washington State Department of Commerce	Crime Victim Assistance	16.575	2015-VA-GX-0031 \$	207,387.33 \$	\$ 0	207,387.33
			Indirect Total	\$	207,387.33 \$	\$ 0	207,387.33
			16.575 Total	\$	207,387.33 \$	\$ 0	207,387.33
		Drug Court Discretionary Grant Program	16.585	2014DCBX0093 \$	6,620.47 \$	98,529.54 \$	105,150.01

105,150.01

98,529.54 \$

6,620.47 \$

\$

Direct Total 105,150.01

98,529.54 \$

6,620.47 \$

ş

16.585 Total

16.588 2015-WF-AX-0036 9,708.01 9,708.02 9,709.02 9,70	Pass-through Agency Name	Federal Program Name	CFDA Number	Federal Award Identification Number	Agency Expenditures	Subrecipient Expenditures	Total Expenditures Notes R&D
tate Department of Volence Against Women Formula 16.588 F16-31103-052 \$ 12,489.96 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$	hington State Administrative Office ne Courts		16.588	2016-WF-AX-0036 \$	9,708.02 \$	\$ 0	9,708.02
Violence Against Women Formula 16.588 F16-31103-052 \$ 5.2489.96 \$ 0 \$ Grants Officiants Officiants Against Women Formula 16.588 2017-WF-AX-0030 \$ 59,592.73 \$ 0 \$ Grants Grants Policies and Grants to Encourage Arrest Policies and Grants to Encourage Arrest Policies and Grants to Encourage Arrest Policies and Grants to Encourage Arrest Policies and Grants to Encourage Arrest Policies and Grants to Encourage Arrest Policies and Grants to Encourage Arrest Policies and Grants to Encourage Arrest Policies and Grants to Encourage Arrest Policies and Grants to Encourage Arrest Policies and Grants to Encourage Arrest Policies and Grants to Encourage Arrest Policies and Grants to Encourage Arrest Policies and Grants to Encourage Arrest Policies and Grants Program 16.590 2009WEAX0008 \$ 215,356.98 \$ 0 \$ Enforcement of Protection Orders Program 16.590 2016WEAX0009 \$ 7,231.50 \$ 0 \$ Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Grant Program 16.738 2015DIBX0552 \$ 31,850.17 \$ 0 \$ Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Grant Program 16.738 2014DIBX1034 \$ 13,454.17 \$ 0 \$ Assistance Grant Program Indirect \$ 122,235.01 \$ 0 \$ Assistance Grant Program 16.738 2014DIBX053 \$ 31,22,235.01 \$ 0 \$ Assistance Grant Program <td>hington State Department of Imerce</td> <td>Violence Against Women Formula Grants</td> <td>16.588</td> <td>F16-31103-105 \$</td> <td>100,185.00 \$</td> <td></td> <td>100,185.00</td>	hington State Department of Imerce	Violence Against Women Formula Grants	16.588	F16-31103-105 \$	100,185.00 \$		100,185.00
Violence Against Women Formula 16.588 2017-WF-AX-0030 \$ 59,592.73 \$ 0 \$ Grants	hington State Department of	Violence Against Women Formula	16.588	F16-31103-052 \$	52,489.96 \$		52,489.96
Indirect Against Women Formula 16.588 2017-WE-AX-0030 \$ 49,752.00 \$ 0 \$ Indirect	hington State Department of	Violence Against Women Formula Grants	16.588	2017-WF-AX-0030 \$	59,592.73 \$		59,592.73
Total String Total Total Canals to Encourage Arrest Policies and Indirect S 271,727.71 S S Total	hington State Department of Imerce	Violence Against Women Formula Grants	16.588	2017-WE-AX-0030 \$	49,752.00 \$		49,752.00
16.588			Indirect	w		\$ 0	271,727.71
Grants to Encourage Arrest Policies and Grants to Encourage Arrest Policies and Grants to Encourage Arrest Policies and Grants to Encourage Arrest Policies and Grants to Encourage Arrest Policies and Grants to Encourage Arrest Policies and Grants to Encourage Arrest Policies and Grants to Encourage Arrest Policies and Grants to Encourage Arrest Policies and Grants to Encourage Arrest Program Indirect \$ 222,588.48 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$			16.588 Total	s,		\$ 0	271,727.71
Frogram Total To	of Seattle	Grants to Encourage Arrest Policies and Enforcement of Protection Orders	16.590	2009WEAX0008 \$	215,356.98 \$		215,356.98
Edward Byrne Memorial Justice 16.738 2015DJBX0552 \$ 31,850.17 \$ 0 \$ Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Grant Program Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program 16.738 2015DJBX0552 \$ 31,850.17 \$ 0 \$ Assistance Grant Program Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program 16.738 2014DJBX1034 \$ 13,454.17 \$ 0 \$ Assistance Grant Program Assistance Grant Program Indirect \$ 172,235.01 \$ 0 \$ 16.738 \$ 172,235.01 \$ 0 \$ 16.738 \$ 172,235.01 \$ 0 \$	thwest Immigrant Rights ectNorthwest Immigrant Rights ect	Program Grants to Encourage Arrest Policies and Enforcement of Protection Orders Program	16.590	2016WEAX0009 \$	7,231.50 \$	\$ 0	7,231.50
Edward Byrne Memorial Justice 16.738 2015DJBX0552 \$ 31,850.17 \$ 0 \$ Assistance Grant Program Edward Byrne Memorial Justice 16.738 2014DJBX1034 \$ 13,454.17 \$ 0 \$ Assistance Grant Program Edward Byrne Memorial Justice 16.738 2016DJBX0138 \$ 126,930.67 \$ 0 \$ Assistance Grant Program Edward Byrne Memorial Justice 16.738 2016DJBX0138 \$ 126,930.67 \$ 0 \$ Assistance Grant Program Edward Byrne Memorial Justice 5 172,235.01 \$ 0 \$ Assistance Grant Program Edward Byrne Memorial Justice 5 172,235.01 \$ 0 \$ Assistance Grant Program Edward Byrne Memorial Justice 5 172,235.01 \$ 0 \$			Indirect Total	\$	222,588.48 \$	\$ 0	222,588.48
Edward Byme Memorial Justice 16.738 2015DJBX0552 \$ 31,850.17 \$ 0 \$ Assistance Grant Program 16.738 2014DJBX1034 \$ 13,454.17 \$ 0 \$ Assistance Grant Program 16.738 2016DJBX0138 \$ 126,930.67 \$ 0 \$ 1 Assistance Grant Program Indirect \$ 172,235.01 \$ 0 \$ 1 Assistance Grant Program 16.738 \$ 172,235.01 \$ 0 \$ 1 I Total \$ 172,235.01 \$ 0 \$ 1			16.590 Total	\$	222,588.48 \$	\$ 0	222,588.48
Assistance Grant Program Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program Assistance Grant Program Indirect Total Total Total Total 16.738 2014DJBX1034 \$ 13,454.17 \$ 0 \$ 10 \$ 11 \$ 12,235.01 \$ 0 \$ 1 \$ 1 \$ 1 \$ 1 \$ 1 \$ 1 \$ 1 \$ 1 \$	of Seattle	Edward Byrne Memorial Justice	16.738	2015DJBX0552 \$	31,850.17 \$		31,850.17
Assistance Grant Program Assistance Grant Program Indirect Total 16.738 2016DJBX0138 \$ 126,930.67 \$ 0 \$ 172,235.01 \$ 0 \$	of Seattle	Assistance Grant Program Edward Byrne Memorial Justice	16.738	2014DJBX1034 \$	13,454.17 \$		13,454.17
\$ 172,235.01 \$ 0 \$ \$ 172,235.01 \$ 0 \$	tle Police Department	Assistance Grant Program Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program	16.738	2016DJBX0138 \$	126,930.67 \$		126,930.67
\$ 172,235.01 \$ 0 \$			Indirect Total	\$			172,235.01
			16.738 Total	s.	172,235.01 \$	\$ 0	172,235.01

Federal Grantor Agency

Date through Agono Name	Endoral Dramam Mamo	CFDA	Federal Award	Agency	Subrecipient	Total	Notes D.S.D.
Washington State Patrol	Paul Coverdell Forensic Sciences	16.742	2010-CD-BX-0033 \$	27,800.00 \$	\$ 0	27,800.00	280
	Improvement Grant Program	4.00	٠.	1 00 000		00 000	
		Total	Դ-	\$ 00.000	n D	00.000,72	
		16.742 Total	\$	27,800.00 \$	\$ 0	27,800.00	
	Edward Byrne Memorial Competitive	16.751	2016DGBXK002 \$	467,634.18 \$	\$ 0	467,634.18	
		Direct Total	\$	467,634.18 \$	\$ 0	467,634.18	
		16.751 Total	\$	467,634.18 \$	\$ 0	467,634.18	
	Department of Justice		₩.	1,381,866.54 \$	98,529.54 \$	1,480,396.08	
WIOA Cluster Workforce Development Council Workforce Development Council	WIOA Youth Activities WIOA Youth Activities	17.259 17.259	AA307721755A53 \$ AA283501655A53 \$	818,271.95 \$ 697,353.93 \$	111,190.01 \$ 138,552.81 \$	929,461.96 835,906.74	
		Indirect Total	s.	1,515,625.88 \$	249,742.82 \$	1,765,368.70	
		17.259 Total	\$	1,515,625.88 \$	249,742.82 \$	1,765,368.70	
Workforce Development Council	WIOA Dislocated Worker Formula	17.278	AA307721755A53 \$	255,263.58 \$	\$ 0	255,263.58	
Workforce Development Council	Grants WIOA Dislocated Worker Formula Grants	17.278	AA283501655A53 \$	195,584.48 \$	\$ 0	195,584.48	
		Indirect	v.	450,848.06 \$	\$ 0	450,848.06	
		17.278 Total	\$	450,848.06 \$	\$ 0	450,848.06	
	Total WIOA Cluster		\$	1,966,473.94 \$	249,743 \$	2,216,216.76	

Department of Labor

	Pass-through Agency Name	Federal Program Name Reentry Employment Opportunities	CFDA Number 17.270	Federal Award Identification Number YF259561460A53 \$	Agency Expenditures 117,960.71 \$	Subrecipient Expenditures 21,381.25 \$	Total Expenditures Notes R&D 139,341.96
			Direct Total	v.	117,960.71 \$	21,381.25 \$	139,341.96
			17.270 Total	v	117,960.71 \$	21,381.25 \$	139,341.96
		Department of Labor		s,	2,084,434.65 \$	271,124.07 \$	2,355,558.72
		Airport Improvement Program Airport Improvement Program Airport Improvement Program	20.106 20.106 20.106	3 53 0058 051 \$ 3 53 0058 052 \$ 3 53 0058 053 \$	179,500.00 \$ 71,111.09 \$ 881,217.00 \$	\$ \$ \$ 0 0 0	179,500.00 71,111.09 881,217.00
			Direct	··	1,131,828.09 \$	\$ 0	1,131,828.09
			20.106 Total	v.	1,131,828.09 \$	\$ 0	1,131,828.09
Highway Planning and Construction Cluster	tion (Cluster Highway Planning and Construction	20.205	WA-70-X012 \$	518.60 \$	37,284.40 \$	37,803.00
			Direct Total	S	518.60 \$	37,284.40 \$	37,803.00
Washington State Department of	_	Highway Planning and Construction	20.205	531412005M40E10 \$	310,213.64 \$	\$ 0	310,213.64 (6)
Washington State Department of	of	Highway Planning and Construction	20.205	53T175001M2E230 \$	4,930.96 \$	\$ 0	4,930.96 (6)
I ransportation Washington State Department of	of	Highway Planning and Construction	20.205	53EN10017L22E10 \$	162,020.01 \$	\$ 0	162,020.01
I ransportation Washington State Department of	of	Highway Planning and Construction	20.205	53000S413MS3E10 \$	2,033,436.74 \$	\$ 0	2,033,436.74
Vashington State Department of	t of	Highway Planning and Construction	20.205	539917017L23E10 \$	1,654.85 \$	\$ 0	1,654.85
Fransportation Washington State Department of	nt of	Highway Planning and Construction	20.205	53140301209VE30 \$	236,963.62 \$	\$ 0	236,963.62 (6)
Transportation Washington State Department of	it of	Highway Planning and Construction	20.205	532017123M40030 \$	32,778.67 \$	\$ 0	32,778.67
Transportation							

Pass-through Agency Name	Federal Program Name	CFDA Number	Federal Award Identification Number	Agency Expenditures	Subrecipient Expenditures	Total Expenditures Notes R&D
Washington State Department of	Highway Planning and Construction	20.205	53000S461ZS30 \$	7,721.72 \$	\$ 0	7,721.72
Washington State Department of	Highway Planning and Construction	20.205	539917027223030 \$	672,859.22 \$	\$ 0	672,859.22
Washington State Department of	Highway Planning and Construction	20.205	531602021M24E10 \$	69,656.84 \$	\$ 0	69,656.84
Transportation Washington State Department of	Highway Planning and Construction	20.205	53201733M40E30 \$	658,033.78 \$	\$ 0	658,033.78
Transportation Washington State Department of	Highway Planning and Construction	20.205	532017144Z230 \$	1,259.27 \$	\$ 0	1,259.27
Transportation Washington State Department of	Highway Planning and Construction	20.205	532017128L40030 \$	360,327.76 \$	\$ 0	360,327.76
rransportation Washington State Department of Transportation	Highway Planning and Construction	20.205	539917021L88E10 \$	63,522.19 \$	\$ 0	63,522.19
		Indirect	v.	4,615,379.27 \$	\$ 0	4,615,379.27
		20.205 Total	v	4,615,897.87 \$	37,284.40 \$	4,653,182.27
Washington State Department of Transportation	Federal Lands Access Program	20.224	532017129L11E10 \$	2,460.36 \$	\$ 0	2,460.36
		Indirect	\$	2,460.36 \$	\$ 0	2,460.36
		20.224 Total	φ.	2,460.36 \$	\$ 0	2,460.36
	Total Highway Planning and Construction Cluster		\$	4,618,358.23 \$	37,284.40 \$	4,655,642.63

Pass-through Agency Name Federal Transit Cluster

Federal Program Name	CFDA Number	Federal Award Identification Number	Agency Expenditures	Subrecipient Expenditures	Total Expenditures Notes R&D	Notes R&D
Federal Transit Capital Investment	20.500	WA-03-0245 \$	71,913.50 \$	11,485.50 \$	83,399.00	
Grants Federal Transit Capital Investment	20.500	WA-05-0066 \$	82,081.94 \$	510,935.06 \$	593,017.00	
Grants Federal Transit Capital Investment	20.500	WA-04-0021 \$	132,280.00 \$	\$ 0	132,280.00	(9)
Grants Federal Transit Capital Investment	20.500	WA-04-0080 \$	20,221.42 \$	2,053.58 \$	22,275.00	
orants Federal Transit Capital Investment Grants	20.500	WA-04-0099 \$	31,852.84 \$	36,638.16 \$	68,491.00	
	Direct Total	<>	338,349.70 \$	561,112.30 \$	899,462.00	
	20.500 Total	\$	338,349.70 \$	561,112.30 \$	899,462.00	
Federal Transit Formula Grants	20.507	\$ 690X-95-X069	547,659.00 \$	\$ 0	547,659.00	
Federal Transit Formula Grants	20.507	WA-90-X526 \$	2,218.00 \$	\$ 0	2,218.00	
Federal Transit Formula Grants	20.507	WA-90-X524 \$	3,541,696.00 \$	\$ 0	3,541,696.00	
Federal Transit Formula Grants	20.507	WA-90-X578 \$	370.00 \$	\$ 0	370.00	
Federal Transit Formula Grants Enderal Transit Formula Grants	20.507	WA-90-X583 \$	434,949.00 \$	\$ 0	434,949.00	
Federal Transit Formula Grants	20.507	WA-2016-058 \$	643.61 \$	\$ \$ O	643.61	
Federal Transit Formula Grants	20.507	WA-95-X027 \$	318,524.00 \$	\$ 0	318,524.00	
Federal Transit Formula Grants	20.507	WA-95-X043 \$	36,806.10 \$	23,374.90 \$	60,181.00	
Federal Transit Formula Grants	20.507	WA-90-X254 \$	42,073.00 \$	\$ 0	42,073.00	
Federal Transit Formula Grants	20.507	WA-2016-021 \$	28,949,379.00 \$	\$ 0	28,949,379.00	
Federal Transit Formula Grants	20.507	VVA-2016-022 \$	233,193.08 \$	\$ 70.97 \$ 0 \$	233,964.00	
Federal Transit Formula Grants	20.507	WA-2017-064 \$	656.265.00 \$	\$ O	656.265.00	
Federal Transit Formula Grants	20.507	WA-95-X005 \$	530,352.62 \$	49,871.38 \$	580,224.00	
Federal Transit Formula Grants	20.507		\$ 00.896'9	\$ 0	6,968.00	
Federal Transit Formula Grants	20.507	WA-90-X513 \$	8,298.00 \$	\$ 0	8,298.00	
	Direct Total	\$	35,606,683.41 \$	74,017.20 \$	35,680,700.61	
	20.507	S.	35,606,683.41 \$	74,017.20 \$	35,680,700.61	
	lotal					

Pass-through Agency Name

Foderal Program Name	CFDA	Federal Award	Agency	Subrecipient	Total	Notes R&D
State of Good Repair Grants Program	20.525	WA-54-0010 \$	4,434,259.00 \$	\$ 0	4,434,259.00	
State of Good Repair Grants Program	20.525	WA-2016-059 \$	\$ 0	275,008.60 \$	275,008.60	
State of Good Repair Grants Program	20.525	WA-54-0002 \$	882,225.00 \$	\$ 0	882,225.00	
State of Good Repair Grants Program	20.525	WA-2016-036 \$	15,241,172.00 \$	\$ 0	15,241,172.00	
	Direct Total	v .	20,557,656.00 \$	275,008.60 \$	20,832,664.60	
	20.525 Total	s	20,557,656.00 \$	275,008.60 \$	20,832,664.60	
Bus and Bus Facilities Formula Program	20.526	WA-2016-20 \$	10,043,862.00 \$	\$ 0	10,043,862.00	
Bus and Bus Facilities Formula Program	20.526	WA-34-0001 \$	2,214,546.00 \$	\$ 0	2,214,546.00	
	Direct Total	v.	12,258,408.00 \$	\$ 0	12,258,408.00	
	20.526 Total	v.	12,258,408.00 \$	\$ 0	12,258,408.00	
Total Federal Transit Cluster		\$	68,761,097.11 \$	910,138.10 \$	69,671,235.21	
Public Transportation Research, Technical Assistance, and Training	20.514	WA-2017-069 \$	648,459.00 \$	\$ 0	648,459.00	
	Direct Total	\$	648,459.00 \$	\$ 0	648,459.00	
	20.514 Total	w	648,459.00 \$	\$ 0	648,459.00	

Pass-through Agency Name	Federal Program Name	CFDA Number	Federal Award Identification Number	Agency Expenditures	Subrecipient Expenditures	Total Expenditures Notes R&D	otes R&D
Transit Services Programs Cluster	Job Access And Reverse Commute Program	20.516	WA-37-X045 \$	84,241.00 \$	\$0	84,241.00	
		Direct Total	₩.	84,241.00 \$	\$ 0	84,241.00	
	Total Transit Services Programs Cluster	20.516 Total	·v.	84,241.00 \$	\$ 0	84,241.00	
	Clean Fuels	20.519	WA-58-0008 \$	1,097,858.00 \$	\$ 0	1,097,858.00	
		Direct Total	\$	1,097,858.00 \$	\$ 0	1,097,858.00	
		20.519 Total	··	1,097,858.00 \$	\$ 0	1,097,858.00	
	Capital Assistance Program for Reducing Energy Consumption and Greenhouse Gas Emissions	20.523	WA-88-0001 \$	46,067.00 \$	\$ 0	46,067.00	
		Direct Total	\$	46,067.00 \$	\$ 0	46,067.00	
		20.523 Total	φ.	46,067.00 \$	\$ 0	46,067.00	
Highway Safety Cluster Washington Traffic Safety Commission	National Priority Safety Programs	20.616	18X920405DWA17 \$	135,258.58 \$	\$· 0	135,258.58	
Washington Traffic Safety Commission	National Priority Safety Programs	20.616	M6X1714 \$	49,703.50 \$	49,750.00 \$	99,453.50	
		Indirect Total	\$	184,962.08 \$	49,750.00 \$	234,712.08	
	Total Highway Safety Cluster	20.616 Total	\$	184,962.08 \$	49,750.00 \$	234,712.08	
	Department of Transportation		v,	76,572,870.51 \$	997,172.50 \$	77,570,043.41	

	:	:	CFDA	Federal Award	Agency	Subrecipient	Total
Federal Grantor Agency	Pass-through Agency Name	Federal Program Name	Number	Identification Number	Expenditures	Expenditures	Expenditures Notes K&D
Environmental Protection Agency							
	Puget Sound Partnership	Puget Sound Action Agenda: Technical Investigations and Implementation	66.123	00190301 \$	67,598.77 \$	\$ 0	67,598.77
	Washington State Department of Fish	Assistance Program Puget Sound Action Agenda: Technical	66.123	00190701 \$	9,186.92 \$	\$ 0	9,186.92
	and wholine Washington State Department of Commerce	investigations and implementation Assistance Program Puget Sound Action Agenda: Technical Investigations and Implementation	66.123	00)89401 \$	606,381.45 \$	30,788.63 \$	637,170.08
	Washington State Department of Ecology	Assistance Program Puget Sound Action Agenda: Technical Investigations and Implementation	66.123	01118101 \$	85,628.92 \$	\$ 0	85,628.92
	Washington State Department of Ecology	Assistance Program Puget Sound Action Agenda: Technical Investigations and Implementation	66.123	00127601 \$	31,250.65 \$	\$ 0	31,250.65
	Washington State Department of Healt	Assistance Program Washington State Department of Health Puget Sound Action Agenda: Technical Investigations and Implementation	66.123	00132601 \$	80,249.22 \$	\$ 0	80,249.22
	Washington State Department of Healt	Assistance Program Washington State Department of Health Puget Sound Action Agenda: Technical Investigations and Implementation Assistance Program	66.123	00J88801 \$	22,632.11 \$	\$ O	22,632.11
			Indirect	\$	902,928.04 \$	30,788.63 \$	933,716.67
			66.123 Total	v.	902,928.04 \$	30,788.63 \$	933,716.67
	Puget Sound Partnership	National Estuary Program	66.456	01,31901 \$	3,051.86 \$	\$ 0	3,051.86
			Indirect Total	s.	3,051.86 \$	\$ 0	3,051.86

3,051.86

\$ 0

3,051.86 \$

66.456 Total

otes R&D	(5)	(5)												
Total Expenditures Notes R&D	1,141,666.27	17,470,215.80	18,611,882.07	18,611,882.07	1,742.22	1,742.22	1,742.22	22,000.00	22,000.00	22,000.00	67,194.32	67,194.32	67,194.32	19,639,587.14
Subrecipient Expenditures	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	30,788.63 \$
Agency Expenditures	1,141,666.27 \$	17,470,215.80 \$	18,611,882.07 \$	18,611,882.07 \$	1,742.22 \$	1,742.22 \$	1,742.22 \$	22,000.00 \$	22,000.00 \$	22,000.00 \$	67,194.32 \$	67,194.32 \$	67,194.32 \$	19,608,798.51 \$
Federal Award Identification Number	53000115 \$	53000116 \$	φ.	v.	44909 \$	v.	φ.	00175501 \$	\$	v.	00178101 \$	\$	⋄	45
CFDA Number	66.458	66.458	Indirect Total	66.458 Total	66.460	Indirect	66.460 Total	66.472	Indirect	66.472 Total	66.818	Direct Total	66.818 Total	
CFDA Federal Program Name Number	or Clean Water	state Revolving Funds Capitalization Grants for Clean Water 66.458 State Revolving Funds	Indirect	Total Clean Water State Revolving Fund 66.458 Cluster Total	Nonpoint Source Implementation 66.460 Grants	Indirect	66.460 Total	Washington State Department of Health Beach Monitoring and Notification 66.472 Program Implementation Grants	Indirect	66.472 Total	Brownfields Assessment and Cleanup 66.818 Cooperative Agreements	Direct Total	66.818 Total	Environmental Protection Agency

Federal Grantor Agency	Pass-through Agency Name	Federal Program Name	CFDA Number	Federal Award Identification Number	Agency Expenditures	Subrecipient Expenditures	Total Expenditures Notes R&D
Department of Education	Workforce Development Council	Performance Partnership Pilots for Disconnected Youth	84.420	V420A150017 \$	38,443.20 \$	\$ 0	38,443.20
			Indirect Total	\$	38,443.20 \$	\$ 0	38,443.20
			84.420 Total	v.	38,443.20 \$	\$ 0	38,443.20
		Department of Education		<	38,443.20 \$	\$ 0	38,443.20
Department of Health and Human Services	Community Transportation Association of America	n Special Programs for the Aging, Title IV, and Title II, Discretionary Projects	93.048	90TC0001 \$	71,317.04 \$	\$ 0	71,317.04
			Indirect	\$	71,317.04 \$	\$ 0	71,317.04
			93.048 Total	v.	71,317.04 \$	\$ 0	71,317.04
	Washington State Department of Hea	Washington State Department of Health Public Health Emergency Preparedness	93.069 US	93.069 U90TP000559/NU90TP921 \$	291,393.75 \$	\$ 0	291,393.75
	Washington State Department of Health Public H	Ith Public Health Emergency Preparedness	93.069	\$88 \$ 635000TP000559	\$ 65.066,869	\$ 0	63,990.59
	Washington State Department of Hea	Washington State Department of Health Public Health Emergency Preparedness	93.069	NU90TP921889 \$	733,026.31 \$	\$ 0	733,026.31
			Indirect Total	v.	1,723,410.65 \$	\$ 0	1,723,410.65

1,723,410.65 \$

93.069 Total

Federal Grantor Agency	Pass-through Agency Name	Federal Program Name	CFDA	Federal Award Identification Number	Agency	Subrecipient Expenditures	Total Expenditures Notes R&D
	Washington State Department of Health Hospital Preparedness Program (HPP) and Public Health Emergency Preparedness (PHEP) Aligned Cooperative Agreements	n Hospital Preparedness Program (HPP) and Public Health Emergency Preparedness (PHEP) Aligned Cooperative Agreements	93.074	U90TP000559 \$	102,309.82 \$	\$ 0	102,309.82
			Indirect Total	v.	102,309.82 \$	\$ 0	102,309.82
			93.074 Total	v.	102,309.82 \$	\$ 0	102,309.82
		Sodium Reduction in Communities	93.082	NU58DP000018 \$	140,227.76 \$	180,941.37 \$	321,169.13
			Direct Total	⋄	140,227.76 \$	180,941.37 \$	321,169.13
			93.082 Total	v	140,227.76 \$	180,941.37 \$	321,169.13
	Association of Food and Drug Officials	Food and Drug Administration Research	93.103	U18FD005016 \$	2,723.40 \$	\$ 0	2,723.40
			Indirect	v	2,723.40 \$	\$ 0	2,723.40
			93.103 Total	v	2,723.40 \$	\$ 0	2,723.40
	Washington State Department of Health Project Agreem Prograr	n Project Grants and Cooperative Agreements for Tuberculosis Control Programs	93.116	NU52PS004674 \$	405,489.44 \$	\$. O	405,489.44
			Indirect Total	\$	405,489.44 \$	\$ 0	405,489.44
			93.116	\$	405,489.44 \$	\$ 0	405,489.44

Votes R&D										(3)	
Total Expenditures Notes R&D	9,145.00	9,145.00	9,145.00	224,347.55	224,347.55	224,347.55	2,000.49	2,000.49	2,000.49	857,141.72	857,141.72
Subrecipient Expenditures	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	224,347.55 \$	224,347.55 \$	224,347.55 \$	⋄	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Agency Expenditures	9,145.00 \$	9,145.00 \$	9,145.00 \$	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	2,000.49 \$	2,000.49 \$	2,000.49 \$	857,141.72 \$	857,141.72 \$
Federal Award Identification Number	U48DP005013 \$	₩.	₩.	SM016048 \$	\$	₩.	NUE2EH001365 \$	w	₩.	FPHPA106023 \$	v.
CFDA Number	93.135	Indirect Total	93.135 Total	93.150	Indirect Total	93.150 Total	93.197	Direct Total	93.197 Total	93.217	Indirect Total
Federal Program Name	Centers for Research and Demonstration for Health Promotion and Disease Prevention			Projects for Assistance in Transition from Homelessness (PATH)			Childhood Lead Poisoning Prevention Projects, State and Local Childhood Lead Poisoning Prevention and Surveillance of Blood Lead Levels in Children			Family Planning Services	
Pass-through Agency Name	University of Washington			Washington State Department of Social Projects for Assistance in Transition and Health Services (PATH)						Washington State Department of Health Family Planning Services	
Federal Grantor Agency											

857,141.72

\$ 0

857,141.72 \$

٠Ş-

93.217 Total

Federal Grantor Agency	Pass-through Agency Name	Federal Program Name	CFDA Number	Federal Award Identification Number	Agency Expenditures	Subrecipient Expenditures	Total Expenditures Notes R&D
	Health Center Program Cluster	Health Care Program (Community Health Centers, Migrant Health Centers, Health Care for the Homeless, and Public Housing Primary Care)	93.224	H80CS00056 \$	13,949,955.33 \$	184,205.79 \$	14,134,161.12 (3)
			Direct Total	φ.	13,949,955.33 \$	184,205.79 \$	14,134,161.12
		Total Health Center Program Cluster	93.224 Total	S	13,949,955.33 \$	184,205.79 \$	14,134,161.12
		Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Projects of Regional and	93.243	SM063319 \$	45,115.43 \$	340,482.20 \$	385,597.63
		National Significance Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Projects of Regional and	93.243	TI026127 \$	342,355.04 \$	\$ 0	342,355.04
		יאנטומ טופווויימונים	Direct Total	\$	387,470.47 \$	340,482.20 \$	727,952.67
	Neighborhood House	Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Projects of Regional and	93.243	TI025803 \$	4,216.16 \$	\$ O	4,216.16
	University of Washington	National Significance Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Projects of Regional and	93.243	TI025935 \$	7,084.36 \$	\$ 0	7,084.36
	Washington State Department of Social and Health Services		93.243	T1025570 \$	43,157.99 \$	431,580.01 \$	474,738.00
	Washington State Department of Social and Health Services		93.243	T1025995 \$	\$ 0	165,516.35 \$	165,516.35
	Washington State Department of Social and Health Services	National Significance Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Projects of Regional and National Significance	93.243	SP020155 \$	1,266.44 \$	10,856.00 \$	12,122.44
			Indirect Total	φ.	55,724.95 \$	607,952.36 \$	663,677.31

1,391,629.98

948,434.56 \$

443,195.42 \$

Ş

93.243 Total

Federal Grantor Agency

Pass-through Agency Name Federal Program Name Washington Cate Department of Health Imministring Congressing Agreements	CFDA Number	Federal Award Identification Number	Agency Expenditures	Subrecipient Expenditures	Total Expenditures Notes R&D	Notes R&D
itn immunization cooperative Agreements	93.268		356,166.55	^ D	356,166.55	
	Indirect	v.	356,166.55 \$	\$ O	356,166.55	
. "	93.268 Total	φ.	356,166.55 \$	\$ 0	356,166.55	
Viral Hepatitis Prevention and Control	93.270	U51PS004601 \$	537,550.56 \$	841,357.00 \$	1,378,907.56	
	Direct Total	v.	537,550.56 \$	841,357.00 \$	1,378,907.56	
Washington State Department of Health Viral Hepatitis Prevention and Control	93.270	6NU51PS004091 \$	29,856.68 \$	\$ 0	29,856.68	
	Indirect Total	v.	29,856.68 \$	\$ 0	29,856.68	
. "	93.270 Total	v.	567,407.24 \$	841,357.00 \$	1,408,764.24	
Washington State Department of Health Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Investigations and Technical Assistance	93.283 U	93.283 U58DP003899/NU58DP00 \$	504,370.52 \$	848,985.20 \$	1,353,355.72	
	Indirect Total	\$	504,370.52 \$	848,985.20 \$	1,353,355.72	
	93.283 Total	\$	504,370.52 \$	848,985.20 \$	1,353,355.72	
Teenage Pregnancy Prevention Program	93.297	TP2AH000031 \$	320,830.05 \$	796,149.09 \$	1,116,979.14	
	Direct Total	S.	320,830.05 \$	796,149.09 \$	1,116,979.14	

1,116,979.14

796,149.09 \$

320,830.05 \$

93.297 Total

Federal Grantor Agency	Pass-through Agency Name	Federal Program Name	CFDA Number	Federal Award Identification Number	Agency Expenditures	Subrecipient Expenditures	Total Expenditures Notes R&D
	Washington State Department of Healt	Washington State Department of Health National State Based Tobacco Control Programs	93.305	U58DP006004 \$	63,420.40 \$	\$ 0	63,420.40
			Indirect Total	v.	63,420.40 \$	\$ 0	63,420.40
			93.305 Total	<	63,420.40 \$	\$ 0	63,420.40
	Washington State Department of Healt	Washington State Department of Health Epidemiology and Laboratory Capacity for Infectious Diseases (ELC)	93.323	NU50CK000388 \$	58,959.72 \$	\$ 0	58,959.72
			Indirect Total	v	58,959.72 \$	\$ 0	58,959.72
			93.323 Total	v.	58,959.72 \$	\$ 0	58,959.72
		Partnerships to Improve Community Health	93.331	U58DP005663 \$	1,440,787.77 \$	1,170,829.11 \$	2,611,616.88
			Direct	v.	1,440,787.77 \$	1,170,829.11 \$	2,611,616.88
			93.331 Total	\$	1,440,787.77 \$	1,170,829.11 \$	2,611,616.88
	University of Washington	Cancer Cause and Prevention Research	93.393	R01CA160217 \$	1,178.16 \$	\$ 0	1,178.16
			Indirect Total	v.	1,178.16 \$	\$ 0	1,178.16

1,178.16

\$ 0

1,178.16 \$

Ŷ

93.393 Total

Pass-through Agency Name	Federal Program Name	CFDA Number	Federal Award Identification Number	Agency Expenditures	Subrecipient Expenditures	Total Expenditures Notes R&D	Votes R&D
Council of State and Territorial	NON-ACA/PPHF-Building Capacity of the	93.424	5U38OT000143 \$	7,484.13 \$	\$ 0	7,484.13	
Epidemiologists National Association of County & City Health Officials	Public Health System to Improve Population Health through National Nonprofit Organizations NON-ACA/PPHF-Building Capacity of the Public Health System to Improve Population Health through National Nonprofit Organizations	93.424	6NU38OT000172 \$	14,963.00 \$	⋄	14,963.00	
		Indirect Total	s.	22,447.13 \$	\$ 0	22,447.13	
		93.424 Total	v.	22,447.13 \$	\$ 0	22,447.13	
Maternal, Infant, and Early Childhood Home Visiting Cluster Thrive by Five Washington	Affordable Care Act (ACA) Matemal, Infant, and Early Childhood Home Visiting Program	93.505	X02MC23096 \$	233,118.88 \$	⋄	233,118.88	
		Indirect Total	\$	233,118.88 \$	\$ 0	233,118.88	
		93.505 Total	v	233,118.88 \$	\$ 0	233,118.88	
American Public Health Association	Maternal, Infant and Early Childhood Home Visiting Grant Program	93.870	16X10MC29510 \$	366,487.33 \$	\$ 0	366,487.33	
		Indirect Total	\$	366,487.33 \$	\$ 0	366,487.33	
		93.870 Total	v.	366,487.33 \$	\$ 0	366,487.33	
	Total Maternal, Infant, and Early Childhood Home Visiting Cluster		v.	599,606.21 \$	\$ 0	599,606.21	

Total Total Notes D&D	6 Notes Ago	[<u>9</u> ,]	 9	Ħ	E I	 	00	[Q	o	er,	(3)
Total	898,163.76	898,163.76	898,163.76	107,407.51	107,407.51	107,407.51	6,108,870.00	6,108,870.00	6,108,870.00	237,407.23	237,407.23
Subrecipient	374,949.49 \$	374,949.49 \$	374,949.49 \$	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	20,000.00 \$	20,000.00 \$
Agency	523,214.27 \$	523,214.27 \$	523,214.27 \$	107,407.51 \$	107,407.51 \$	107,407.51 \$	6,108,870.00 \$	6,108,870.00 \$	6,108,870.00 \$	217,407.23 \$	217,407.23 \$
Federal Award	6-NU50CK00388 \$	v.	\$	NH23IP000762 \$	v.	\$	1604WACSES \$	v	\$	1G1CMS331406 \$	\$
CFDA	93.521	Indirect Total	93.521 Total	93.539	Indirect Total	93.539 Total	93.563	Indirect Total	93.563 Total	93.624	Indirect
Endoral Dramam Namo	The Affordable Care Act: Building Epidemiology, Laboratory, and Health Information Systems Capacity in the Epidemiology and Laboratory Capacity for Infectious Disease (ELC) and Emerging Infections Program (EIP) Cooperative Agreements; PPHF			PPHF Capacity Building Assistance to Strengthen Public Health Immunization Infrastructure and Performance financed in part by PPHF			Child Support Enforcement			ACA - State Innovation Models: Funding for Model Design and Model Testing Assistance	
Dace +hrough Agono Namo	Vashington State Department of Health The Affordable Care Act: Building Epidemiology, Laboratory, and He Information Systems Capacity in t Epidemiology and Laboratory cap for Infectious Disease (ELC) and Emerging Infections Program (EIP Cooperative Agreements; PPHF			Washington State Department of Health PPHF Capacity Building Assistance to Strengthen Public Health Immunizatic Infrastructure and Performance financed in part by PPHF			Washington State Department of Social Child Support Enforcement and Health Services			Washington State Health Care Authority ACA - State Innovation Models: Funding for Model Design and Model Testing Assistance	
2000 PA 70+20-20	rederal Granton Agency										

237,407.23

20,000,00 \$

217,407.23 \$

-Ç-

93.624 Total

Pass-through Agency Name	Federal Program Name	CFDA Number	Federal Award Identification Number	Agency Expenditures	Subrecipient Expenditures	Total Expenditures Notes R&D	Notes R&D
	Child Abuse and Neglect Discretionary Activities	93.670	90CA1825 \$	244,387.75 \$	\$ 0	244,387.75	
		Direct Total	\$	244,387.75 \$	\$ 0	244,387.75	
		93.670 Total	₩.	244,387.75 \$	\$ 0	244,387.75	
Washington State Department of Health Capacity Building Assistance to Strengthen Public Health Immu Infrastructure and Performance financed in part by PPHF	n Capacity Building Assistance to Strengthen Public Health Immunization Infrastructure and Performance financed in part by PPHF	93.733	1NH23IP922562 \$	25,097.49 \$	⋄	25,097.49	
		Indirect Total	₩.	25,097.49 \$	\$ 0	25,097.49	
		93.733 Total		25,097.49 \$	\$ 0	25,097.49	
Washington State Department of Health	Washington State Department of Health Preventive Health and Health Services Block Grant funded solely with Prevention and Public Health Funds (PPHF)	93.758	NB010T009118 \$	35,752.22 \$	\$ O	35,752.22	
		Indirect Total	₩.	35,752.22 \$	\$ 0	35,752.22	
		93.758 Total	₩.	35,752.22 \$	\$ 0	35,752.22	
Medicaid Cluster Washington State Health Care Authority Medical Assistance Program	/ Medical Assistance Program	93.778	1605WA5ADM \$	874,670.45 \$	\$ 0	874,670.45	(8)
		Indirect Total	₩.	874,670.45 \$	\$ 0	874,670.45	
	Total Medicaid Cluster	93.778 Total	\$	874,670.45 \$	\$ 0	874,670.45	

Federal Grantor Agency	Pass-through Agency Name	Federal Program Name	CFDA Number	Federal Award Identification Number	Agency Expenditures	Subrecipient Expenditures	Total Expenditures Notes R&D
	Washington State Department of Social Opioid and Health Services		93.788	T1080249 \$	17,500.00 \$	149,600.00 \$	167,100.00
			Indirect Total	v.	17,500.00 \$	149,600.00 \$	167,100.00
			93.788 Total	\$	17,500.00 \$	149,600.00 \$	167,100.00
	Washington State Department of Health Organized Approaches to Increase Colorectal Cancer Screening	Organized Approaches to Increase Colorectal Cancer Screening	93.800	NU58DP006101 \$	174,850.87 \$	142,549.30 \$	317,400.17
			Indirect Total	v.	174,850.87 \$	142,549.30 \$	317,400.17
			93.800 Total	v	174,850.87 \$	142,549.30 \$	317,400.17
	Keck Graduate Institute	Allergy and Infectious Diseases Research	93.855	R01AI111477 \$	29,292.80 \$	\$ 0	29,292.80
	University of Washington	Allergy and Infectious Diseases Research	93.855	R01AI127232 \$	36,842.17 \$	\$ 0	36,842.17
	University of Washington	Allergy and Infectious Diseases Research	93.855	P30AI027757 \$	26,352.01 \$	\$ 0	26,352.01
			Indirect Total	w	92,486.98 \$	\$ 0	92,486.98
			93.855 Total	\$	92,486.98 \$	\$ 0	92,486.98
		HIV Emergency Relief Project Grants	93.914	H89HA00022 \$	2,256,083.19 \$	3,590,258.28 \$	5,846,341.47
			Direct Total	₩.	2,256,083.19 \$	3,590,258.28 \$	5,846,341.47

5,846,341.47

3,590,258.28 \$

2,256,083.19 \$

ş

93.914 Total

Federal Grantor Agency	Pass-through Agency Name	Federal Program Name	CFDA Number	Federal Award Identification Number	Agency Expenditures	Subrecipient Expenditures	Total Expenditures Notes R&D	&D
	University of Washington	HIV Prevention Activities Non- Governmental Organization Based	93.939	U65PS004387 \$	13,957.22 \$	\$ 0	13,957.22	
			Indirect Total	₩.	13,957.22 \$	\$ 0	13,957.22	
			93.939 Total	Φ.	13,957.22 \$	\$ 0	13,957.22	
	Washington State Department of Health HIV Prevention Activities Health Department Based	HIV Prevention Activities Health Department Based	93.940	6 NU62PS003666 \$	698,767.86 \$	601,698.31 \$	1,300,466.17	
			Indirect Total	₩.	698,767.86 \$	601,698.31 \$	1,300,466.17	
			93.940 Total	v.	698,767.86 \$	601,698.31 \$	1,300,466.17	
	Washington State Department of Health Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV)/Acquired Immunodeficienc	Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV)/Acquired Immunodeficiency Virus	93.944	U62PS003982 \$	611,156.00 \$	\$ 0	611,156.00	
	Washington State Department of Health	Syndrome (AIDS) Surveillance Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV)/Acquired Immunodeficiency Virus	93.944	U62PS004966-02 \$	272,447.57 \$	\$ 0	272,447.57	
	Syndrome (AIDS) Surveillance Washington State Department of Health Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV)/Acquired Immunodeficienc	Syndrome (AIDS) Surveillance Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV)/Acquired Immunodeficiency Virus Syndrome (AIDS) Surveillance	93.944	6NU62PS005094 \$	332,076.80 \$	31,723.60 \$	363,800.40	
			Indirect Total	φ.	1,215,680.37 \$	31,723.60 \$	1,247,403.97	
			93.944 Total	s,	1,215,680.37 \$	31,723.60 \$	1,247,403.97	
	Washington State Department of Social and Health Services	Block Grants for Community Mental Health Services	93.958	SM010056 \$	119,877.11 \$	2,091,557.66 \$	2,211,434.77	
			Indirect Total	ss.	119,877.11 \$	2,091,557.66 \$	2,211,434.77	
Page 1			93.958 Total	ψ.	119,877.11 \$	2,091,557.66 \$	2,211,434.77	

Federal Grantor Agency	Pass-through Agency Name	Federal Program Name	CFDA Number	Federal Award Identification Number	Agency Expenditures	Subrecipient Expenditures	Total Expenditures Notes R&D
	Washington State Department of Social Block Grants for Prevention and	Block Grants for Prevention and	93.959	TI010056 \$	\$ 0	6,709,223.60 \$	6,709,223.60
	and meanin services Washington State Department of Social and Health Services	realinent of substance Abuse Block Grants for Prevention and Treatment of Substance Abuse	93.959	TI010056 \$	259,874.81 \$	741,108.47 \$	1,000,983.28
			Indirect	\$	259,874.81 \$	7,450,332.07 \$	7,710,206.88
			93.959 Total	₩.	259,874.81 \$	7,450,332.07 \$	7,710,206.88
	Washington State Department of Health Sexually Transmitted Diseases (STD) Prevention and Control Grants	Sexually Transmitted Diseases (STD) Prevention and Control Grants	93.977	6NH25PS004364 \$	\$ 69.696'5	123,412.59 \$	129,382.28
	Washington State Department of Health Sexually Transmitted Diseases (STD) Provincing and Control Grants	Sexually Transmitted Diseases (STD) Prevention and Control Grants	93.977	H25PS004364 \$	48.40 \$	3,152.76 \$	3,201.16
	Washington State Department of Health Sexually Transmitted Desares (STD)	Sexually Transmitted Diseases (STD) Prevention and Control Grants	93.977	NH25PS004364 \$	409,714.11 \$	143,752.04 \$	553,466.15
	Washington State Department of Health Sexually Transmitted Desares (STD)	Sexually Transmitted Diseases (STD) Prevention and Control Grants	93.977	NH25PS004271 \$	117,177.84 \$	2,995.20 \$	120,173.04
	Washington State Department of Health Sexually Transmitted Diseases (STD) Prevention and Control Grants	Sexually Transmitted Diseases (STD) Prevention and Control Grants	93.977	H25PS005108 \$	111.32 \$	65,552.68 \$	65,664.00
			Indirect Total	\$	533,021.36 \$	338,865.27 \$	871,886.63
			93.977 Total	\$	533,021.36 \$	338,865.27 \$	871,886.63
	Washington State Department of Health Maternal and Child Health Services	Maternal and Child Health Services	93.994	B04MC29364 \$	3,084.04 \$	\$ 0	3,084.04
	Washington State Department of Health Maternal and Child Health Services Block Grant to the States	Maternal and Child Health Services Block Grant to the States	93.994	B04MC30649 \$	1,053,980.33 \$	13,382.41 \$	1,067,362.74
			Indirect Total	\$	1,057,064.37 \$	13,382.41 \$	1,070,446.78
			93.994 Total	v.	1,057,064.37 \$	13,382.41 \$	1,070,446.78

Department of Health and Human Services

\$ 36,218,060.88 \$ 20,000,166.06 \$ 56,218,226.94

Federal Grantor Agency	Pass-through Agency Name	Federal Program Name	CFDA Number	Federal Award Identification Number	Agency Expenditures	Subrecipient Expenditures	Total Expenditures Notes R&D
Department of Homeland Security	Washington State Department of Parks	Boating Safety Financial Assistance	97.012	3317FAS170153 \$	24,224.14 \$	\$ 0	24,224.14
			Indirect	w	24,224.14 \$	\$ 0	24,224.14
			97.012 Total	\$	24,224.14 \$	\$ 0	24,224.14
	Washington State Military Department	Disaster Grants - Public Assistance	97.036	FEMA-1825-DR-WA \$	100.00 \$	\$ 0	100.00
	Washington State Military Department	(Presidentially Declared Disasters) Disaster Grants - Public Assistance	97.036	1963DRWAP0000001 \$	1,135,687.38 \$	\$ 0	1,135,687.38
	Washington State Military Department	(Presidentially Declared Disasters) Disaster Grants - Public Assistance (Presidentially Declared Disasters)	97.036	FEMA-4309-DR-WA \$	1,481,386.48 \$	\$ 0	1,481,386.48
			Indirect Total	s.	2,617,173.86 \$	\$ 0	2,617,173.86
			97.036 Total	v.	2,617,173.86 \$	\$ 0	2,617,173.86
	Washington State Military Department	Emergency Management Performance	97.042	EMS-2016-EP-00002-S01 \$	148,598.79 \$	\$ 0	148,598.79
	Washington State Military Department	orants Emergency Management Performance Grants	97.042	EMS-2017-EP-00004-S01 \$	39,086.94 \$	\$ 0	39,086.94
			Indirect	v.	187,685.73 \$	\$ 0	187,685.73
			97.042 Total	\$	187,685.73 \$	\$ 0	187,685.73
	Washington State Military Department	Pre-Disaster Mitigation	97.047	EMS-2011-PC-0004 \$	174,128.48 \$	\$ 0	174,128.48
			Indirect	\$	174,128.48 \$	\$ 0	174,128.48

174,128.48

\$ 0

174,128.48 \$

97.047 Total

Notes R&D																
Total Expenditures Notes R&D	50,273.36 208,479.00	258,752.36	258,752.36	2,886.00	2,886.00	1,437,093.83	956,039.36	2,363,193.79	2,366,079.79	236,990.71	83,034.41	209,456.91	529,482.03	529,482.03	6,157,526.39	198,220,905.28
Subrecipient Expenditures	\$ 0 \$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	456,716.54 \$	207,886.65 \$	664,603.19 \$	664,603.19 \$	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	664,603.19 \$	44,372,187.56 \$
Agency Expenditures	50,273.36 \$ 208,479.00 \$	258,752.36 \$	258,752.36 \$	2,886.00 \$	2,886.00 \$	\$ 62.77.29	718,213.31 \$	1,698,590.60 \$	1,701,476.60 \$	236,990.71 \$	83,034.41 \$	209,456.91 \$	529,482.03 \$	529,482.03 \$	5,492,923.20 \$	153,848,717.72 \$
Federal Award Identification Number	EMW2014PU00400 \$ EMW2016PU00355S01 \$	v.	Φ.	EMW2017PU00171S01 \$	<>-	EMW-2016-SS-00005-S01 \$	EMW-2015-SS-00013-S01 \$	φ.	\$	EMW2017RA00030 \$	EMW2015RA00024 \$	EMW2016RA00044 \$	ν.	₩.	φ.	\$\$
CFDA Number	97.056 97.056	Direct Total	97.056 Total	97.067	Direct Total	97.067	97.067	Indirect	97.067 Total	97.075	97.075	97.075	Direct Total	97.075 Total		Grand Total
Federal Program Name	Port Security Grant Program Port Security Grant Program			Homeland Security Grant Program		Homeland Security Grant Program	Homeland Security Grant Program			Rail and Transit Security Grant Program	Rail and Transit Security Grant Program	Rail and Transit Security Grant Program			Department of Homeland Security	Ō
	ш ш															

Total	Expenditures Notes R&D
Subrecipient	Expenditures
Agency	Expenditures
Federal Award	Identification Number
CFDA	Number
	Federal Program Name
	Pass-through Agency Name
	Federal Grantor Agency

Notes

- (1) Basis of Accounting. The SEFA is prepared on the same basis of accounting as the county's financial statements. The county's financial statements are prepared on a modified accrual or full accrual basis, depending on the type of fund.
- (2) Program Costs. The amounts shown as current year expenditures represent only the federal portion of program costs. The full cost may include state or local funds in addition to the amounts shown.
- (3) Program Income. These programs generate income used to cover expenses. For only HOME and CDBG programs, Note 3 relates to program income on deferred payment loans The County has a deferred payment loans program for low income housing repairs. The amount of loan funds disbursed to program participants for the year was \$669,509 and is presented in this schedule. The amount of program income and interest received in loan repayments for the year was \$1,196,872.
- Indirect cost rate plans The County did not elect to use the 10% deminimus rate but instead develops indirect cost rate plans for individual departments and divisions.
- Federal Loan State Revolving Loan Fund. The amount listed is the proceeds used during the year. The outstanding balance is not reported for this loan. For the Rainier Project noted in the total for FAIN (5) Federal Loan - State Revolving Loan Fund. The amount usted is use proceeds used during due, 53000116, a total of \$7,880,097.54 in 2016 costs were included in the FY17 SEFA reportable costs.
- Prior year's expenditures are reported in this SEFA. They were not reported on prior year's SEFAs.
- Expenditures are reported on this year's SEFA due to the uncertainty of funding status in the prior year. They were not reported on prior year's SEFAs. 2
- \$2,303,176.78 relating to 2013 and 2014 costs which Public Health had previously reported on the 2013 and 2014 SEFAs. In order to avoid any duplicate reporting, we are excluding these billings from the 2017 SEFA rather than the expenditures. Per the guidance received last year, "regardless of the date the reimbursement is actually received and regardless of the time period covered by the request....all reimbursement requests Per guidance received from the State Auditor's Office and from the grantor (Health Care Authority), PHSKC is reporting the revenues recorded in 2017 for the Medicaid Administrative Claiming (MAC) program, submitted during 2016 will be reported on the 2016 SEFA." During the 2017 year, PHSKC submitted reimbursement requests totaling \$4,845,798.40 for the MAC program. However, this total billing includes The 2017 billings also inappropriately include \$1,715,092.17 of reimbursements that should have posted to 2018. These are being excluded from the 2017 SEFA and will be reported in 2018. This results in \$827,529.45 for Public Health and \$47,141 for Superior Court to report on the 2017 SEFA.
- The County's Department of Community and Human Services makes loans to developers and non-profit organizations for the purchase and rehabilitation of existing buildings or new construction of affordable responsibility over these loans is to ensure that a specified percentage of total rental units are rented to low-income individuals. Loans outstanding at the beginning of the year and loans made during the year are housing units. Some of the funding for these loans come from Federal sources from the HOME Investment Partnerships Program (HOME), and the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG). The County's included in the Federal expenditures presented in the schedule. The balance of loans outstanding at December 31, 2017 consists of:

	Federal CFDA	Outstan	Outstanding Balance at
Program	Number	Decei	December 31, 2017
HOME Investment Partnerhips Program	14.239	\$	63,453,540
Community Development Block Grant	14.218	s.	6,277,963



Chinook Building, CNK-ES-0300 401 Fifth Avenue Seattle, WA 98104 (206) 263-9258 (206) 296-7670 Fax www.kingcounty.gov

CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN FOR FINDINGS REPORTED UNDER UNIFORM GUIDANCE

King County January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2017

This schedule presents the corrective action planned by the County for findings reported in this report in accordance with Title 2 *U.S. Code of Federal Regulations* (CFR) Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance).

Finding ref number:	Finding caption:
2017-001	The County reported External Investment Pool assets in the Agency
	Funds that should have been reported only in the Investment Trust
	Funds in accordance with governmental accounting standards.

Name, address, and telephone of County contact person:

Cheryl Lee, Chief Accountant Financial Management Section Finance and Business Operations Division 500 Fourth Avenue, Room 653 Seattle, WA 98104 (206) 263-8595

Corrective action the auditee plans to take in response to the finding:

In the 2017 CAFR, the County had already eliminated the balances related to assets held in trust in the Agency Funds statement of changes in net position. Note disclosures were also provided to alert the reader to the reporting change. This issue had already been discussed with all CAFR preparers. The County will continue with this manner of reporting going forward. In addition, the County will increase its internal controls during implementation of new GASB standards and revisit previous significant implementations to ensure proper adherence to them. To clarify questions regarding the standards, the County has access to the technical helpdesks of GASB, GFOA and the SAO.

Anticipated date to complete the corrective action: September 30, 2018

Washington State Auditor's Office Page 173

ABOUT THE STATE AUDITOR'S OFFICE

The State Auditor's Office is established in the state's Constitution and is part of the executive branch of state government. The State Auditor is elected by the citizens of Washington and serves four-year terms.

We work with our audit clients and citizens to achieve our vision of government that works for citizens, by helping governments work better, cost less, deliver higher value, and earn greater public trust.

In fulfilling our mission to hold state and local governments accountable for the use of public resources, we also hold ourselves accountable by continually improving our audit quality and operational efficiency and developing highly engaged and committed employees.

As an elected agency, the State Auditor's Office has the independence necessary to objectively perform audits and investigations. Our audits are designed to comply with professional standards as well as to satisfy the requirements of federal, state, and local laws.

Our audits look at financial information and compliance with state, federal and local laws on the part of all local governments, including schools, and all state agencies, including institutions of higher education. In addition, we conduct performance audits of state agencies and local governments as well as <u>fraud</u>, state <u>whistleblower</u> and <u>citizen hotline</u> investigations.

The results of our work are widely distributed through a variety of reports, which are available on our <u>website</u> and through our free, electronic <u>subscription</u> service.

We take our role as partners in accountability seriously, and provide training and technical assistance to governments, and have an extensive quality assurance program.

Contact information for the State Auditor's Office				
Public Records requests	PublicRecords@sao.wa.gov			
Main telephone	(360) 902-0370			
Toll-free Citizen Hotline	(866) 902-3900			
Website	www.sao.wa.gov			

Washington State Auditor's Office