



# Washington State Auditor's Office

Government that works for citizens

## Financial Statements and Federal Single Audit Report

### **Tahoma School District No. 409**

**King County**

**For the period September 1, 2014 through August 31, 2015**

**Published May 31, 2016**

**Report No. 1016800**





## Washington State Auditor's Office

May 31, 2016

Board of Directors  
Tahoma School District No. 409  
Maple Valley, Washington

### **Report on Financial Statements and Federal Single Audit**

Please find attached our report on Tahoma School District No. 409's financial statements and compliance with federal laws and regulations.

We are issuing this report in order to provide information on the District's financial condition.

Sincerely,

TROY KELLEY  
STATE AUDITOR  
OLYMPIA, WA

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Schedule Of Findings And Questioned Costs.....	4
Schedule Of Federal Award Findings And Questioned Costs.....	6
Independent Auditor’s Report On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting And On Compliance And Other Matters Based On An Audit Of Financial Statements Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards .....	14
Independent Auditor’s Report On Compliance For Each Major Federal Program And Report On Internal Control Over Compliance In Accordance With OMB Circular A-133.....	16
Independent Auditor’s Report On Financial Statements .....	20
Financial Section.....	23
Corrective Action Plan For Findings Reported Under OMB Circular A-133 .....	50
About The State Auditor’s Office.....	51

## SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

**Tahoma School District No. 409**  
**King County**  
**September 1, 2014 through August 31, 2015**

### SECTION I – SUMMARY OF AUDITOR’S RESULTS

The results of our audit of Tahoma School District No. 409 are summarized below in accordance with U.S. Office of Management and Budget Circular A-133.

#### Financial Statements

We issued an unmodified opinion on the fair presentation of the District’s financial statements in accordance with its regulatory basis of accounting. Separately, we issued an unmodified opinion on the fair presentation of certain funds with regard to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP).

Internal Control over Financial Reporting:

- *Significant Deficiencies:* We reported no deficiencies in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be significant deficiencies.
- *Material Weaknesses:* We identified no deficiencies that we consider to be material weaknesses.

We noted no instances of noncompliance that were material to the financial statements of the District.

#### Federal Awards

Internal Control over Major Programs:

- *Significant Deficiencies:* We reported no deficiencies in the design or operation of internal control over major federal programs that we consider to be significant deficiencies.
- *Material Weaknesses:* We identified deficiencies that we consider to be material weaknesses.

We issued an unmodified opinion on the District’s compliance with requirements applicable to each of its major federal programs.

We reported findings that are required to be disclosed under section 510(a) of OMB Circular A-133.

### **Identification of Major Federal Programs:**

The following programs were selected as major programs in our audit of compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133.

<u>CFDA No.</u>	<u>Program or Cluster Title</u>
10.553	Child Nutrition Cluster – School Breakfast Program
10.555	Child Nutrition Cluster – National School Lunch Program
84.010	Title I Grants to Local Educational Agencies

The dollar threshold used to distinguish between Type A and Type B programs, as prescribed by OMB Circular A-133, was \$300,000.

The District qualified as a low-risk auditee under OMB Circular A-133.

## **SECTION II – FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS**

None reported.

## **SECTION III – FEDERAL AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS**

See finding 2015-001.

**SCHEDULE OF FEDERAL AWARD FINDINGS AND  
QUESTIONED COSTS**

**Tahoma School District No. 409  
King County  
September 1, 2014 through August 31, 2015**

**2015-001 Tahoma School District does not have adequate internal controls over its free and reduced-price lunch verification process, resulting in inaccurate reporting of verification results.**

<b>CFDA Number and Title:</b>	10.553 – School Breakfast Program 10.555 – National School Lunch Program
<b>Federal Grantor Name:</b>	United States Department of Agriculture
<b>Federal Award/Contract Number:</b>	NA
<b>Pass-through Entity Name:</b>	Office of the Superintendent of Public Instruction
<b>Pass-through Award/Contract Number:</b>	NA
<b>Questioned Cost Amount:</b>	\$0

***Description of Condition***

During fiscal year 2015, the District received \$591,876 in funding for the School Breakfast and National School Lunch programs. These programs provide funding for free and reduced-price meals for eligible low-income students whose households meet specific income requirements set by the United States Department of Agriculture.

Each year, school districts are required to select a sample of household applications that were previously approved for free and reduced-price meals to verify eligibility for free and reduced-price meals.

During the 2014-2015 school year, the District was required to verify a sample of seven household applications based on three percent (3%) of all applications approved by the District as of October 1 of the school year. During our review of the District’s verification records, we noted the District verified only four households.

In addition, the District is required to make an eligibility determination based on the income information received. For one of the applications verified, the District incorrectly determined the students within the household qualified for free meals when they should have been at reduced-prices.

While the District has a process in place to perform the verification process, internal controls are not effective to ensure the correct amount of household applications are selected for verification or that eligibility determinations are made accurately.

We consider this control deficiency to be a material weakness.

### ***Cause of Condition***

The District primarily relied on Skyward, its computer system, to generate the sample selection of household applications to verify. The District was not aware that additional applications needed to be selected if the sample size generated from its computer system did not select the required sample size of household applications. In addition, an effective review was not in place to ensure verification steps were performed accurately.

### ***Effect of Condition and Questioned Costs***

A weakness in internal controls over the verification process increases the risk that the District is not verifying the required number of applications for program eligibility. The verification results reported to the Office of Superintendent of Public Instructions (OSPI) for the 2014-2015 school year were inaccurate and may have an impact on the District's verification method in following years.

In addition, there is an increased risk that free or reduced-priced meals could be provided to children who were not eligible to receive them and the District could receive funding for households that did not qualify for free or reduced-priced meals.

### ***Recommendation***

We recommend that the District strengthen its internal controls to ensure compliance with verification requirements. We further recommend the District consult with the Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction (OSPI) when these circumstances arise to ensure the District's approach is accurate.

### ***District's Response***

*The District will follow verification requirements and consult with the Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction (OSPI) to ensure that the District's approach is accurate.*

*OSPI conducted the Child Nutrition Administrative Review in April 2016 and reviewed applications and verification in SY2015-2016: “Free and reduced-priced eligibility was reviewed for 420 students, and all selected applications and direct certifications were approved correctly. Five applications for SY2015-2016 were verified correctly and documentation of the process was clear and easy to review.”*

*The District will continue to work with Northwest Regional Data Center (NWRDC) when questions related to the use of SKYWARD software arise.*

### ***Auditor’s Remarks***

We thank the District for its cooperation and assistance during the audit and acknowledge its commitment to improvements. We will review the status of this issue during our next audit.

### ***Applicable Laws and Regulations***

U.S. Office of Management and Budget Circular A-133, *Audits of states, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*, Section 300 Auditee responsibilities, states in part:

The auditee shall:

(b) Maintain internal control over Federal programs that provides reasonable assurance that the auditee is managing Federal awards in compliance with laws, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a material effect on each of its Federal programs.

(c) Comply with laws, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements related to each of its Federal programs.

*Government Auditing Standards*, December 2011 Revision, paragraph 4.23 states:

4.23 When performing GAGAS financial audits, auditors should communicate in the report on internal control over financial reporting and compliance, based upon the work performed, (1) significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in internal control; (2) instances of fraud and noncompliance with provisions of laws or regulations that have a material effect on the audit and any other instances that warrant the attention of those charged with governance; (3) noncompliance with provisions of contracts or



grant agreements that has a material effect on the audit; and (4) abuse that has a material effect on the audit.

The American Institute of Certified Public Accountants defines significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in its *Codification of Statements on Auditing Standards*, section 935, as follows:

.11 For purposes of adapting GAAS to a compliance audit, the following terms have the meanings attributed as follows:

**Deficiency in internal control over compliance.** A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance on a timely basis. A deficiency in design exists when (a) a control necessary to meet the control objective is missing, or (b) an existing control is not properly designed so that, even if the control operates as designed, the control objective would not be met. A deficiency in operation exists when a properly designed control does not operate as designed or the person performing the control does not possess the necessary authority or competence to perform the control effectively . . .

**Material weakness in internal control over compliance.** A deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a compliance requirement will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. In this section, a reasonable possibility exists when the likelihood of the event is either reasonably possible or probable as defined as follows:

**Reasonably possible.** The chance of the future event or events occurring is more than remote but less than likely.

**Remote.** The chance of the future event or events occurring is slight.

**Probable.** The future event or events are likely to occur . . .

**Significant deficiency in internal control over compliance.** A deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance that is less severe than a material weakness in

internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

7 CFR §245.6 Application, eligibility and certification of children for free and reduced price meals and free milk, states in part:

(a) General requirements - content of application and descriptive materials. Each local educational agency, as defined in §245.2, for schools participating in the National School Lunch Program, School Breakfast Program or Special Milk Program or a commodity only school, shall provide meal benefit forms for use by families in making application for free or reduced price meals or free milk for their children.

(1) Household applications. The State agency or local educational agency must provide a form that permits a household to apply for all children in that household who attend schools in the same local educational agency. The local educational agency must provide newly enrolled students with an application and determine eligibility promptly. The local educational agency cannot require the household to submit an application for each child attending its schools. The application shall be clear and simple in design and the information requested therein shall be limited to that required to demonstrate that the household does, or does not, meet the eligibility criteria for free or reduced price meals, respectively, or for free milk, provided by the local educational agency.

(c) Determination of eligibility - (1) Duration of eligibility. Except as otherwise specified in paragraph (c)(3) of this section, eligibility for free or reduced price meals, as determined through an approved application or by direct certification, must remain in effect for the entire school year and for up to 30 operating days into the subsequent school year. The local educational agency must determine household eligibility for free or reduced price meals either through direct certification or the application process at or about the beginning of the school year. The local educational agency must determine eligibility for free or reduced price meals when a household submits an application or, if feasible, through direct certification, at any time during the school year.

(4) *Calculating income.* The local educational agency must use the income information provided by the household on the application to calculate the household's total current income. When a household submits an application containing complete documentation, as defined in § 245.2, and the household's total current income is at or below the eligibility limits specified in the Income Eligibility Guidelines as defined in § 245.2, the children in that household must be approved for free or reduced price benefits, as applicable.

7 CFR §245.2 Definitions, states in part:

*Family* means a group of related or nonrelated individuals, who are not residents of an institution or boarding house, but who are living as one economic unit.

*Household* means “family” as defined in this section.

*Household application* means an application for free and reduced price meal or milk benefits, submitted by a household for a child or children who attend school(s) in the same local educational agency.

7 CFR §245.6a Verification requirements, states in part:

(c) *Verification requirement*—(1) *General.* The local educational agency must verify eligibility of children in a sample of household applications approved for free and reduced price meal benefits for that school year.

(3) *Standard sample size.* Unless eligible for an alternative sample size under paragraph (d) of this section, the sample size for each local educational agency shall equal the lesser of:

(i) Three (3) percent of all applications approved by the local educational agency for the school year, as of October 1 of the school year, selected from error prone applications; or

(ii) 3,000 error prone applications approved by the local educational agency for the school year, as of October 1 of the school year.

(iii) Local educational agencies shall not exceed the standard sample size in paragraphs (c)(3)(i) or (c)(3)(ii) of

this section, as applicable, and, unless eligible for one of the alternative sample sizes provided in paragraph (c)(4) of this section, the local educational agency shall not use a smaller sample size than those in paragraphs (c)(3)(i) or (c)(3)(ii) of this section, as applicable.

(iv) If the number of error-prone applications exceeds the required sample size, the local educational agency shall select the required sample at random, i.e., each application has an equal chance of being selected, from the total number of error-prone applications.

(4) *Alternative sample sizes.* If eligible under paragraph (d) of this section for an alternative sample size, the local educational agency may use one of the following alternative sample sizes:

(i) *Alternative One.* The sample size shall equal the lesser of:

(A) 3,000 of all applications selected at random from applications approved by the local educational agency as of October 1 of the school year; or

(B) Three (3) percent of all applications selected at random from applications approved by the local educational agency as of October 1 of the school year.

(ii) *Alternative Two.* The sample size shall equal the lesser of the sum of:

(A) 1,000 of all applications approved by the local educational agency as of October 1 of the school year, selected from error prone applications or

(B) One (1) percent of all applications approved by the local educational agency as of October 1 of the school year, selected from error prone applications PLUS

(C) The lesser of:

(1) 500 applications approved by the local educational agency as of October 1 of the school year that provide a case number in lieu of income information showing participation in an eligible program as

defined in paragraph (a)(1) of this section;  
or

(2) One-half ( $\frac{1}{2}$ ) of one (1) percent of applications approved by the local educational agency as of October 1 of the school year that provide a case number in lieu of income information showing participation in an eligible program as defined in paragraph (a)(1) of this section.

(5) *Completing the sample size.* When there are an insufficient number of error prone applications or applications with case number to meet the sample sizes provided for in paragraphs (c)(3) or (c)(4) of this section, the local educational agency shall select, at random, additional approved applications to comply with the specified sample size requirements.

**INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL  
OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND  
OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL  
STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH  
GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS**

**Tahoma School District No. 409  
King County  
September 1, 2014 through August 31, 2015**

Board of Directors  
Tahoma School District No. 409  
Maple Valley, Washington

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of Tahoma School District No. 409, King County, Washington, as of and for the year ended August 31, 2015, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the District's financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated May 25, 2016. As discussed in Note 1 to the financial statements, during the year ended August 31, 2015, the District implemented Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 68, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions – an amendment of GASB Statement No. 27*.

**INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING**

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the District's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the District's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency,

or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.


## **COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the District's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of the District's compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

## **PURPOSE OF THIS REPORT**

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the District's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose. However, this report is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited. It also serves to disseminate information to the public as a reporting tool to help citizens assess government operations.



TROY KELLEY  
STATE AUDITOR  
OLYMPIA, WA

May 25, 2016

**INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR  
EACH MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM AND REPORT ON  
INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE IN ACCORDANCE  
WITH OMB CIRCULAR A-133**

**Tahoma School District No. 409  
King County  
September 1, 2014 through August 31, 2015**

Board of Directors  
Tahoma School District No. 409  
Maple Valley, Washington

**REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR FEDERAL  
PROGRAM**

We have audited the compliance of Tahoma School District No. 409, King County, Washington, with the types of compliance requirements described in the U.S. *Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of the District's major federal programs for the year ended August 31, 2015. The District's major federal programs are identified in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs.

**Management's Responsibility**

Management is responsible for compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of its federal awards applicable to its federal programs.

**Auditor's Responsibility**

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of the District's major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a



major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the District's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. Our audit does not provide a legal determination on the District's compliance.

### **Opinion on Each Major Federal Program**

In our opinion, the District complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended August 31, 2015.

### **Other Matters**

The results of our auditing procedures disclosed an instance of noncompliance with those requirements, which is required to be reported in accordance with OMB Circular A-133 and which is described in the accompanying Schedule of Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs as Finding 2015-001. Our opinion on each major federal program is not modified with respect to these matters.

### **District's Response to Findings**

The District's response to the noncompliance findings identified in our audit is described in the accompanying Schedule of Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs. The District's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the response.

## **REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE**

Management of the District is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the District's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program in order to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal

control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control over compliance.

*A deficiency in internal control over compliance* exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. *A material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. *A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. We identified certain deficiencies in internal control over compliance, as described in the accompanying Schedule of Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs as Finding 2015-001 to be a material weakness.


### **District's Response to Findings**

The District's response to the internal control over compliance findings identified in our audit is described in the accompanying Schedule of Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs. The District's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the response.

### **Purpose of this Report**

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of OMB Circular A-133. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose. However, this report is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited.

It also serves to disseminate information to the public as a reporting tool to help citizens assess government operations.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Troy X. Kelley". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large, stylized 'T' and 'K'.

TROY KELLEY  
STATE AUDITOR  
OLYMPIA, WA

May 25, 2016

# INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## **Tahoma School District No. 409 King County September 1, 2014 through August 31, 2015**

Board of Directors  
Tahoma School District No. 409  
Maple Valley, Washington

### **REPORT ON THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of Tahoma School District No. 409, King County, Washington, as of and for the year ended August 31, 2015, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the District's financial statements, as listed on page 23.

#### **Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements**

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with the financial reporting provisions of Washington State statutes and the *Accounting Manual for Public School Districts in the State of Washington* (Accounting Manual) described in Note 1. This includes determining that the basis of accounting is acceptable for the presentation of the financial statements in the circumstances. Management is also responsible for the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

#### **Auditor's Responsibility**

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's

judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the District's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant account estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

### **Unmodified Opinion on Regulatory Basis of Accounting (Accounting Manual)**

As described in Note 1, the District has prepared these financial statements to meet the financial reporting requirements of Washington State statutes using accounting practices prescribed by the Accounting Manual. Those accounting practices differ from accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP). The difference in these accounting practices is also described in Note 1.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Tahoma School District No. 409, as of August 31, 2015, and the changes in financial position thereof for the year then ended in accordance with the basis of accounting described in Note 1.

### **Unmodified Opinions on the Governmental Funds Based on U.S. GAAP**

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the General, ASB, Debt Service, Capital Projects and Transportation Vehicle funds as of August 31, 2015, and the changes in financial position thereof for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

### **Matters of Emphasis**

As discussed in Note 1 to the financial statements, the District adopted new accounting guidance, Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 68, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions – an amendment of GASB Statement No. 27*. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.


## Other Matters

### *Supplementary and Other Information*

Our audit was performed for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements taken as a whole. The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by U.S. Office of Management and Budget Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. The accompanying Schedules of Long-Term Liabilities are also presented for purposes of additional analysis, as required by the prescribed Accounting Manual. These schedules are not a required part of the financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements, and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the financial statements taken as a whole.

## OTHER REPORTING REQUIRED BY GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated May 25, 2016 on our consideration of the District's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the District's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.



TROY KELLEY  
STATE AUDITOR  
OLYMPIA, WA

May 25, 2016

## FINANCIAL SECTION

**Tahoma School District No. 409**  
**King County**  
**September 1, 2014 through August 31, 2015**

### FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Balance Sheet – Governmental Funds – 2015  
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance – Governmental  
Funds – 2015  
Notes to Financial Statements – 2015

### SUPPLEMENTARY AND OTHER INFORMATION

Schedule of Long-Term Liabilities – 2015  
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards – 2015  
Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards – 2015

Balance Sheet

Governmental Funds

August 31, 2015

	General Fund	ASB Fund	Debt Service Fund	Capital Projects Fund	Transportation Vehicle Fund	Permanent Fund	Total
<b>ASSETS:</b>							
Cash and Cash Equivalents	13,979,848.19	558,952.72	3,027,167.59	92,440,526.81	238,526.26	0.00	110,245,021.57
Minus Warrants Outstanding	-2,314,705.79	-11,797.06	0.00	-397,745.35	0.00	0.00	-2,724,248.20
Taxes Receivable	8,181,448.05		5,907,446.30	1,352,309.55	0.00	0.00	15,441,203.90
Due From Other Funds	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Due From Other Governmental Units	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Accounts Receivable	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Interfund Loans Receivable	0.00			0.00			0.00
Accrued Interest Receivable	0.00	0.00	-10,144.53	0.00	0.00	0.00	-10,144.53
Inventory	0.00	0.00		0.00			0.00
Prepaid Items	0.00	0.00			0.00		0.00
Investments	14,614.38	2,097.18	10,144.53	18,766.73	2,865.15	0.00	48,487.97
Investments/Cash With Trustee	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Investments-Deferred Compensation	0.00			0.00			0.00
Self-Insurance Security Deposit	0.00						0.00
<b>TOTAL ASSETS</b>	<b>19,861,204.83</b>	<b>549,252.84</b>	<b>8,934,613.89</b>	<b>93,413,857.74</b>	<b>241,391.41</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>123,000,320.71</b>
<b>DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES:</b>							
Deferred Outflows of Resources - Other	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
<b>TOTAL DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>
<b>TOTAL ASSETS AND DEFERRED OUTFLOW OF RESOURCES</b>	<b>19,861,204.83</b>	<b>549,252.84</b>	<b>8,934,613.89</b>	<b>93,413,857.74</b>	<b>241,391.41</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>123,000,320.71</b>
<b>LIABILITIES:</b>							
Accounts Payable	1,785,022.46	11,382.70	0.00	2,907,166.49	0.00	0.00	4,703,571.65
Contracts Payable Current	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00		0.00
Accrued Interest Payable			0.00				0.00
Accrued Salaries	119,036.09	0.00		0.00			119,036.09
Anticipation Notes Payable	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this financial statement.



Balance Sheet

Governmental Funds

August 31, 2015

	General Fund	ASB Fund	Debt Service Fund	Capital Projects Fund	Transportation Vehicle Fund	Permanent Fund	Total
<b>LIABILITIES:</b>							
Payroll Deductions and Taxes Payable	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00
Due To Other Governmental Units	14,614.38	2,097.18		18,766.73	2,865.15	0.00	38,343.44
Deferred Compensation Payable	0.00			0.00			0.00
Estimated Employee Benefits Payable	0.00			0.00			0.00
Due To Other Funds	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Interfund Loans Payable	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Deposits	0.00	0.00		0.00			0.00
Unearned Revenue	155,101.42	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		155,101.42
Matured Bonds Payable			0.00				0.00
Matured Bond Interest Payable			0.00				0.00
Arbitrage Rebate Payable	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
<b>TOTAL LIABILITIES</b>	<b>2,073,774.35</b>	<b>13,479.88</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>2,925,933.22</b>	<b>2,865.15</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>5,016,052.60</b>
<b>DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES:</b>							
Unavailable Revenue	55,648.05	121,678.75	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	177,326.80
Unavailable Revenue - Taxes Receivable	8,181,448.05		5,907,446.30	1,352,309.55	0.00		15,441,203.90
<b>TOTAL DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES</b>	<b>8,237,096.10</b>	<b>121,678.75</b>	<b>5,907,446.30</b>	<b>1,352,309.55</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>15,618,530.70</b>
<b>FUND BALANCE:</b>							
Nonspendable Fund Balance	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Restricted Fund Balance	800,000.00	414,094.21	3,027,167.59	86,885,387.37	238,526.26	0.00	91,365,175.43
Committed Fund Balance	0.00	0.00	0.00	2,250,227.60	0.00	0.00	2,250,227.60
Assigned Fund Balance	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Unassigned Fund Balance	8,750,334.38	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	8,750,334.38
<b>TOTAL FUND BALANCE</b>	<b>9,550,334.38</b>	<b>414,094.21</b>	<b>3,027,167.59</b>	<b>89,135,614.97</b>	<b>238,526.26</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>102,365,737.41</b>
<b>TOTAL LIABILITIES, DEFERRED INFLOW OF RESOURCES, AND FUND BALANCE</b>	<b>19,861,204.83</b>	<b>549,252.84</b>	<b>8,934,613.89</b>	<b>93,413,857.74</b>	<b>241,391.41</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>123,000,320.71</b>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this financial statement.

Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance

Governmental Funds

For the Year Ended August 31, 2015

	General Fund	ASB Fund	Debt Service Fund	Capital Projects Fund	Transportation Vehicle Fund	Permanent Fund	Total
<b>REVENUES :</b>							
Local	19,709,129.17	1,062,367.10	11,957,059.94	3,366,160.04	1,194.63		36,095,910.88
State	55,047,934.75		0.00	4,258,000.00	399,643.52		59,705,578.27
Federal	3,492,511.80		0.00	0.00	0.00		3,492,511.80
Federal Stimulus	0.00						0.00
Other	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
<b>TOTAL REVENUES</b>	<b>78,249,575.72</b>	<b>1,062,367.10</b>	<b>11,957,059.94</b>	<b>7,624,160.04</b>	<b>400,838.15</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>99,294,000.95</b>
<b>EXPENDITURES:</b>							
<b>CURRENT:</b>							
Regular Instruction	43,971,700.79						43,971,700.79
Federal Stimulus	0.00						0.00
Special Education	10,908,256.16						10,908,256.16
Vocational Education	1,683,676.92						1,683,676.92
Skill Center	0.00						0.00
Compensatory Programs	2,030,348.82						2,030,348.82
Other Instructional Programs	592,366.89						592,366.89
Community Services	878,477.77						878,477.77
Support Services	13,398,434.03						13,398,434.03
Student Activities/Other		1,068,350.28				0.00	1,068,350.28
<b>CAPITAL OUTLAY:</b>							
Sites				11,258,365.82			11,258,365.82
Building				11,943,498.66			11,943,498.66
Equipment				2,106,779.58			2,106,779.58
Instructional Technology				0.00			0.00
Energy				1,241,823.91			1,241,823.91
Transportation Equipment					584,067.98		584,067.98
Sales and Lease							0.00
Other	1,628,755.50						1,628,755.50
<b>DEBT SERVICE:</b>							
Principal	0.00		6,650,000.00	0.00	0.00		6,650,000.00
Interest and Other Charges	0.00		7,507,935.73	8,527.82	9.77		7,516,473.32
Bond/Levy Issuance				27,169.01	0.00		27,169.01
<b>TOTAL EXPENDITURES</b>	<b>75,092,016.88</b>	<b>1,068,350.28</b>	<b>14,157,935.73</b>	<b>26,586,164.80</b>	<b>584,077.75</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>117,488,545.44</b>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this financial statement.

Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance

Governmental Funds

For the Year Ended August 31, 2015

	General Fund	ASB Fund	Debt Service Fund	Capital Projects Fund	Transportation Vehicle Fund	Permanent Fund	Total
<b>DEBT SERVICE:</b>							
<b>REVENUES OVER (UNDER) EXPENDITURES</b>	3,157,558.84	-5,983.18	-2,200,875.79	-18,962,004.76	-183,239.60	0.00	-18,194,544.49
<b>OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES):</b>							
Bond Sales & Refunding Bond Sales	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Long-Term Financing	0.00			0.00	0.00		0.00
Transfers In	0.00		189,072.50	0.00	0.00		189,072.50
Transfers Out (GL 536)	-189,072.50		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-189,072.50
Other Financing Uses (GL 535)	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Other	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
<b>TOTAL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)</b>	<b>-189,072.50</b>		<b>189,072.50</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>
<b>EXCESS OF REVENUES/OTHER FINANCING SOURCES OVER (UNDER) EXPENDITURES AND OTHER FINANCING USES</b>	2,968,486.34	-5,983.18	-2,011,803.29	-18,962,004.76	-183,239.60	0.00	-18,194,544.49
<b>BEGINNING TOTAL FUND BALANCE</b>	<b>6,581,848.04</b>	<b>420,077.39</b>	<b>5,038,970.88</b>	<b>108,097,619.73</b>	<b>421,765.86</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>120,560,281.90</b>
Prior Year(s) Corrections or Restatements	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
<b>ENDING TOTAL FUND BALANCE</b>	<b>9,550,334.38</b>	<b>414,094.21</b>	<b>3,027,167.59</b>	<b>89,135,614.97</b>	<b>238,526.26</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>102,365,737.41</b>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this financial statement.

Tahoma School District No. 409  
**Notes to the Financial Statements**  
September 1, 2014 through August 31, 2015

**NOTE 1: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

The Tahoma School District is a municipal corporation organized pursuant to Title 28A of the Revised Code of Washington (RCW) for the purposes of providing public school services to students in grades K–12. Oversight responsibility for the District’s operations is vested with the independently elected board of directors. Management of the District is appointed by and is accountable to the board of directors. Fiscal responsibility, including budget authority and the power to set fees, levy property taxes, and issue debt consistent with provisions of state statutes, also rests with the board of directors.

The District presents governmental fund financial statements and related notes on the modified accrual basis of accounting in accordance with the *Accounting Manual for Public School Districts in the State of Washington*, issued jointly by the State Auditor’s Office and the Superintendent of Public Instruction by the authority of RCW 43.09.200, RCW 28A.505.140, RCW 28A.505.010(1) and RCW 28A.505.020. This manual prescribes a financial reporting framework that differs from generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) in the following manner:

- (1) Districtwide statements, as defined in GAAP, are not presented.
- (2) A Schedule of Long-Term Liabilities is presented as supplementary information.
- (3) Supplementary information required by GAAP is not presented.

**Fund Accounting**

Financial transactions of the District are reported in individual funds. Each fund uses a separate set of self-balancing accounts that comprise its assets, liabilities, fund equity, revenues, and expenditures (or expenses) as appropriate. All funds are considered major funds. The various funds in the report are grouped into governmental (and fiduciary) funds as follows:

***Governmental Funds***

General Fund

This fund is used to account for all expendable financial resources, except for those that are required to be accounted for in another fund. In keeping with the principle of having as few funds as are necessary, activities such as food services, maintenance, data processing, printing, and student transportation are included in the General Fund.

Capital Projects Funds

These funds account for financial resources that are to be used for the construction or acquisition of major capital assets. There are two funds that are considered to be of the capital projects fund type: the Capital Projects Fund and the Transportation Vehicle Fund.

Capital Projects Fund. This fund is used to account for resources set aside for the acquisition and construction of major capital assets such as land and buildings.

Transportation Vehicle Fund. This fund is used to account for the purchase, major repair, rebuilding, and debt service expenditures that relate to pupil transportation equipment.

## Debt Service Fund

This fund is used to account for the accumulation of resources for and the payment of matured general long-term debt principal and interest.

## Special Revenue Fund

In Washington state, the only allowable special revenue fund for school districts is the Associated Student Body (ASB) Fund. This fund is accounted for in the District's financial statements as the financial resources legally belong to the District. As a special revenue fund, amounts within the ASB Fund may only be used for those purposes that relate to the operation of the Associated Student Body of the District.

### **Measurement focus, basis of accounting, and fund financial statement presentation**

Governmental fund financial statements are reported using the current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized as soon as they are measurable and available. Revenues are considered "measurable" if the amount of the transaction can be readily determined. Revenues are considered "available" when they are collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to pay liabilities of the current period. For this purpose, the District considers revenues to be available if they are collected within 60 days after year-end. Property taxes receivable are measurable but not available and are, therefore, not accrued. Categorical program claims and interdistrict billings are measurable and available and are, therefore, accrued.

Expenditures are recognized under the modified accrual basis of accounting when the related fund liability is incurred, except for unmatured principal and interest on long-term debt which are recorded when due. Purchases of capital assets are expensed during the year of acquisition. For federal grants, the recognition of expenditures is dependent on the obligation date. (Obligation means a purchase order has been issued, contracts have been awarded, or goods and/or services have been received.)

### **Budgets**

Chapter 28A.505 RCW and Chapter 392-123 Washington Administrative Code (WAC) mandate school district budget policies and procedures. The board adopts annual appropriated budgets for all governmental funds. These budgets are appropriated at the fund level. The budget constitutes the legal authority for expenditures at that level. Appropriations lapse at the end of the fiscal period.

Budgets are adopted on the same modified accrual basis as used for financial reporting. Fund balance is budgeted as available resources and, under statute, may not be negative, unless the District enters into binding conditions with state oversight pursuant to RCW 28A.505.110.

### **The government's policy regarding whether to first apply restricted or unrestricted resources when an expense is incurred for purposes for which both restricted and unrestricted net assets are available.**

The District receives state funding for specific categorical education-related programs. Amounts that are received for these programs that are not used in the current fiscal year may be carried forward into the subsequent fiscal year, where they may be used only for the same purpose as they were originally received. When the District has such carryover, those funds are expended before any amounts received in the current year are expended.

Additionally, the District has other restrictions placed on its financial resources. When expenditures are recorded for purposes for which a restriction or commitment of fund balance is available, those funds that are restricted or committed to that purpose are considered first before any unrestricted or unassigned amounts are expended.

### **The government's fund balance classifications policies and procedures.**

The District classifies ending fund balance for its governmental funds into five categories.

Nonspendable Fund Balance. The amounts reported as Nonspendable are resources of the District that are not in spendable format. They are either non-liquid resources such as inventory or prepaid items, or the resources are legally or contractually required to be maintained intact.

Restricted Fund Balance. Amounts that are reported as Restricted are those resources of the District that have had a legal restriction placed on their use either from statute, WAC, or other legal requirements that are beyond the control of the board of directors. Restricted fund balance includes anticipated recovery of revenues that have been received but are restricted as to their usage.

Committed Fund Balance. Amounts that are reported as Committed are those resources of the District that have had a limitation placed upon their usage by formal action of the District's board of directors. Commitments are made either through a formal adopted board resolution or are related to a school board policy. Commitments may only be changed when the resources are used for the intended purpose or the limitation is removed by a subsequent formal action of the board of directors.

Assigned Fund Balance. In the General Fund, amounts that are reported as Assigned are those resources that the District has set aside for specific purposes. These accounts reflect tentative management plans for future financial resource use such as the replacement of equipment or the assignment of resources for contingencies. Assignments reduce the amount reported as Unassigned Fund Balance, but may not reduce that balance below zero.

In other governmental funds, Assigned fund balance represents a positive ending spendable fund balance once all restrictions and commitments are considered. These resources are only available for expenditure in that fund and may not be used in any other fund without formal action by the District's board of directors and as allowed by statute.

The Board of Directors are the only person who have the authority to create Assignments of fund balance.

Unassigned Fund Balance. In the General Fund, amounts that are reported as Unassigned are those net spendable resources of the District that are not otherwise Restricted, Committed, or Assigned, and may be used for any purpose within the General Fund.

In other governmental funds, Unassigned fund balance represents a deficit ending spendable fund balance once all restrictions and commitments are considered.

A negative Unassigned fund balance means that the legal restrictions and formal commitments of the District exceed its currently available resources.

### **Cash and Cash Equivalents**

All of the District's cash and cash equivalents are considered to be cash on hand, demand deposits, and short-term investments with original maturities of three months or less from the date of acquisition.

## Receivables and Payables

All receivables and payables are expected to be collected in one year.

## Inventory

Inventory is valued at cost using the first-in, first-out (FIFO) method. The consumption method of inventory is used, which charges inventory as an expenditure when it is consumed. A portion of fund balance, representing inventory, is considered Nonspendable. USDA commodity inventory consists of food donated by the United States Department of Agriculture. It is valued at the prices paid by the USDA for the commodities.

## Accounting and Reporting Changes for 2014–15

Effective for the 2014–15 school year, the district implemented provisions of GASB Statement No. 68 Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions. As a result, the Schedule of Long-Term Liabilities now includes the district's proportionate share of the net pension liability for the cost-sharing, multiple-employer plans in which the district participates.

## **NOTE 2: DEPOSITS AND INVESTMENTS**

The King County Treasurer is the *ex officio* treasurer for the District and holds all accounts of the District. The District directs the County Treasurer to invest those financial resources of the District that the District has determined are not needed to meet the current financial obligations of the District.

All of the District's investments (except for investments of deferred compensation plans) during the year and at year-end were insured or registered and held by the District or its agent in the District's name.

Investments are presented at \$117,387,556.66.

The District's investments as of August 31, 2015, are as follows:

Type of Investment	(District's) own investments	Investments held by (district) as an agent for other organizations	Total
State Treasurer's Investment Pool			
County Treasurer's Investment Pool	117,387,556.66	00.00	117,387,556.66
U.S. Government Securities			
Other:			
Total			

## **NOTE 3: SIGNIFICANT CONTINGENT LIABILITIES**

### Litigation

None

## Arbitrage Rebate

The Tax Reform Act of 1986 requires the District to rebate the earnings on the investment of bond and revenue anticipation note proceeds, in excess of their yield, to the federal government. This requirement is effective for the District's bond issue(s) after September 1, 1986, currently totaling \$105,800,000 million as of August 31. Of the rebate, 90 percent is due and payable five years from the date bonds were issued and at five-year intervals thereafter. The remaining 10 percent is payable 60 days after they are retired. Because positive arbitrage can be offset against negative arbitrage, the rebatable amount fluctuates each year and may or may not be owed at the payment intervals.

## **NOTE 4: SIGNIFICANT EFFECTS OF SUBSEQUENT EVENTS**

The school board approved the sale of remaining capital bonds in December 2015 in the amount of \$84,665,000. The sale closed January 2016.

## **NOTE 5: PENSION PLANS**

### General Information

The Washington State Department of Retirement Systems (DRS), a department within the primary government of the state of Washington, prepares a stand-alone comprehensive annual financial report (CAFR) that includes financial statements and required supplementary information for each pension plan. The pension plan's basic financial statement is accounted for using the accrual basis of accounting. The measurement date of the pension plans is June 30. Benefit payments (including refunds of employee contributions) are recognized when due and payable in accordance with the benefit terms. Investments are reported at fair value.

The school district is reporting the net pension liability in the notes and on the Schedule of Long-term Liabilities calculated as the district's proportionate allocation percentage multiplied by the total plan collective net pension liability.

Detailed information about the pension plans' fiduciary net position is available in the separately issued DRS CAFR. Copies of the report may be obtained by contacting the Washington State Department of Retirement Systems, P.O. Box 48380, Olympia, WA 98504-8380; or online at <http://www.drs.wa.gov/administrations/annual-report>.

### Membership Participation

Substantially all school district full-time and qualifying part-time employees participate in one of the following three contributory, multi-employer, cost-sharing statewide retirement systems managed by DRS: Teachers' Retirement System (TRS), Public Employees' Retirement System (PERS) and School Employees' Retirement System (SERS).

Membership participation by retirement plan as of June 30, 2015, was as follows:

Plan	Active Members	Inactive Vested Members	Retired Members
PERS 1	4,78	1,178	51,070
SERS 2	22,95	5,357	5,796
SERS 3	30,83	6,963	4,825
TRS 1	1,82	323	35,639
TRS 2	13,63	2,357	3,894
TRS 3	51,83	7,655	6,094



The latest actuarial valuation date for all plans was June 30, 2014. Source: Washington State Office of the State Actuary

## **Membership & Plan Benefits**

Certificated employees are members of TRS. Classified employees are members of PERS (if Plan 1) or SERS. Plan 1 under the TRS and PERS programs are defined benefit pension plans whose members joined the system on or before September 30, 1977. TRS 1 and PERS 1 are closed to new entrants.

TRS is a cost-sharing multiple-employer retirement system comprised of three separate plans for membership purposes: Plans 1 and 2 are defined benefit plans and Plan 3 is a defined benefit plan with a defined contribution component. TRS eligibility for membership requires service as a certificated public school employee working in an instructional, administrative or supervisory capacity.

TRS is comprised of three separate plans for accounting purposes: Plan 1, Plan 2/3, and Plan 3. Plan 1 accounts for the defined benefits of Plan 1 members. Plan 2/3 accounts for the defined benefits of Plan 2 members and the defined benefit portion of benefits for Plan 3 members. Plan 3 accounts for the defined contribution portion of benefits for Plan 3 members. Although members can only be a member of either Plan 2 or Plan 3, the defined benefit portions of Plan 2 and Plan 3 are accounted for in the same pension trust fund. All assets of this Plan 2/3 defined benefit plan may legally be used to pay the defined benefits of any of the Plan 2 or Plan 3 members or beneficiaries, as defined by the terms of the plan. Therefore, Plan 2/3 is considered to be a single plan for accounting purposes.

TRS Plan 1 provides retirement, disability and death benefits. TRS 1 members were vested after the completion of five years of eligible service. Retirement benefits are determined as two percent of the average final compensation (AFC), for each year of service credit, up to a maximum of 60 percent, divided by twelve. The AFC is the total earnable compensation for the two consecutive highest-paid fiscal years, divided by two. Members are eligible for retirement at any age after 30 years of service, or at the age of 60 with five years of service, or at the age of 55 with 25 years of service. Other benefits include temporary and permanent disability payments, an optional cost-of-living adjustment (COLA), and a one-time duty-related death benefit, if found eligible by the Department of Labor and Industries.

TRS Plan 2/3 provides retirement, disability and death benefits. Retirement benefits are determined as two percent of the average final compensation (AFC) per year of service for Plan 2 members and one percent of AFC for Plan 3 members. The AFC is the monthly average of the 60 consecutive highest-paid service credit months. There is no cap on years of service credit. Members are eligible for normal retirement at the age of 65 with at least five years of service credit. Retirement before age 65 is considered an early retirement. TRS Plan 2/3 members, who have at least 20 years of service credit and are 55 years of age or older, are eligible for early retirement with a reduced benefit.

The benefit is reduced by a factor that varies according to age, for each year before age 65. TRS Plan 2/3 members who have 30 or more years of service credit, were hired prior to May 1, 2013, and are at least 55 years old, can retire under one of two provisions: With a benefit that is reduced by three percent for each year before age 65; or with a benefit that has a smaller (or no) reduction (depending on age) that imposes stricter return-to-work rules.

TRS Plan 2/3 members hired on or after May 1, 2013 have the option to retire early by accepting a reduction of five percent for each year of retirement before age 65. This option is available only to those who are age 55 or older and have at least 30 years of service.

TRS Plan 2/3 retirement benefits are also actuarially reduced to reflect the choice of a survivor benefit.

Other benefits include duty and non-duty disability payments, a cost-of-living allowance (based on the Consumer Price Index), capped at three percent annually and a one-time duty-related death benefit, if found eligible by the Department of Labor and Industries.

PERS Plan 1 provides retirement, disability and death benefits. PERS 1 members were vested after the completion of five years of eligible service. Retirement benefits are determined as two percent of the member's average final compensation (AFC) times the member's years of service. The AFC is the average of the member's 24 highest consecutive service months. Members are eligible for retirement from active status at any age with at least 30 years of service, at age 55 with at least 25 years of service, or at age 60 with at least five years of service.

Members retiring from inactive status prior to the age of 65 may receive actuarially reduced benefits. PERS Plan 1 retirement benefits are actuarially reduced to reflect the choice of a survivor benefit. Other benefits include duty and non-duty disability payments, an optional cost-of-living adjustment (COLA), and a one-time duty-related death benefit, if found eligible by the Department of Labor and Industries.

SERS is a cost-sharing multiple-employer retirement system comprised of two separate plans for membership purposes. SERS Plan 2 is a defined benefit plan and SERS Plan 3 is a defined benefit plan with a defined contribution component. SERS members include classified employees of school districts and educational service districts.

SERS is reported as two separate plans for accounting purposes: Plan 2/3 and Plan 3. Plan 2/3 accounts for the defined benefits of Plan 2 members and the defined benefit portion of benefits for Plan 3 members. Plan 3 accounts for the defined contribution portion of benefits for Plan 3 members.

Although members can only be a member of either Plan 2 or Plan 3, the defined benefit portions of Plan 2 and Plan 3 are accounted for in the same pension trust fund. All assets of this Plan 2/3 defined benefit plan may legally be used to pay the defined benefits of any of the Plan 2 or Plan 3 members or beneficiaries. Therefore, Plan 2/3 is considered to be a single plan for accounting purposes.

SERS provides retirement, disability and death benefits. Retirement benefits are determined as two percent of the member's average final compensation (AFC) times the member's years of service for Plan 2 and one percent of AFC for Plan 3. The AFC is the monthly average of the member's 60 highest-paid consecutive service months before retirement, termination or death. There is no cap on years of service credit. Members are eligible for retirement with a full benefit at 65 with at least five years of service credit. Retirement before age 65 is considered an early retirement. SERS members, who have at least 20 years of service credit and are 55 years of age or older, are eligible for early retirement with a reduced benefit.

The benefit is reduced by a factor that varies according to age, for each year before age 65. SERS members who have 30 or more years of service credit and are at least 55 years old can retire under one of two provisions, if hired prior to May 2, 2013: With a benefit that is reduced by three percent for each year before age 65; or with a benefit that has a smaller (or no) reduction (depending on age) that imposes stricter return-to-work rules.

SERS members hired on or after May 1, 2013, have the option to retire early by accepting a reduction of five percent for each year of retirement before age 65. This option is available only to those who are age 55 or older and have at least 30 years of service. SERS retirement benefits are

also actuarially reduced to reflect the choice of a survivor benefit. Other benefits include duty and non-duty disability payments, a cost-of-living allowance (based on the Consumer Price Index), capped at three percent annually and a one-time duty-related death benefit, if found eligible by the Department of Labor and Industries.

## Plan Contributions

The employer contribution rates for PERS, TRS, and SERS (Plans 1, 2, and 3) and the TRS and SERS Plan 2 employee contribution rates are established by the Pension Funding Council based upon the rates set by the Legislature. The methods used to determine the contribution requirements are established under chapters 41.40, 41.32, and 41.35 RCW for PERS, TRS and SERS respectively. Employers do not contribute to the defined contribution portions of TRS Plan 3 or SERS Plan 3. Under current law the employer must contribute 100 percent of the employer-required contribution. The employee contribution rate for Plan 1 in PERS and TRS is set by statute at six percent and does not vary from year to year.

The Employer and employee contribution rates for the PERS plan are effective as of July 1. SERS and TRS contribution rates are effective as of September 1. The pension plan contribution rates (expressed as a percentage of covered payroll) for 2015 were as follows:

<b>Pension Rates</b>			
	7/1/15 Rate	7/1/14 Rate	
<b>PERS 1</b>			
Member Contribution Rate	6.00%	6.00%	
Employer Contribution Rate	11.18%	9.21%	
<b>Pension Rates</b>			
	9/1/15 Rate	9/1/14 Rate	
<b>TRS 1</b>			
Member Contribution Rate	6.00%	6.00%	
Employer Contribution Rate	13.13%	10.39%	
<b>TRS 2</b>			
Member Contribution Rate	5.95%	4.96%	
Employer Contribution Rate	13.13%	10.39%	
<b>TRS 3</b>			
Member Contribution Rate	varies*	varies*	
Employer Contribution Rate	13.13%	10.39%	**
<b>SERS 2</b>			
Member Contribution Rate	5.63%	4.64%	
Employer Contribution Rate	11.58%	9.82%	
<b>SERS 3</b>			
Member Contribution Rate	varies*	varies*	
Employer Contribution Rate	11.58%	9.82%	**
<i>Note: The DRS administrative rate of .0018 is included in the employer rate.</i>			
* = Variable from 5% to 15% based on rate selected by the member.			
** = Defined benefit portion only.			

## The Collective Net Pension Liability

The collective net pension liabilities for the pension plans districts participated in are reported in the following tables.

The Net Pension Liability as of June 30, 2015:				
Dollars in Thousands	PERS 1	SERS 2/3	TRS 1	TRS 2/3
Total Pension Liability	\$12,789,242	\$4,473,428	\$9,237,730	\$11,220,833
Plan fiduciary net position	(\$7,558,312)	(\$4,067,277)	(\$6,069,588)	(\$10,377,031)
Participating employers' net pension liability	\$5,230,930	\$406,151	\$3,168,142	\$843,802
Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension liability	59.10%	90.92%	65.70%	92.48%

## The School District's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability (NPL)

At June 30, 2015, the school district reported a total liability of \$31,500,268 for its proportionate shares of the individual plans' collective net pension liability. Proportion of net pension liability is based on annual contributions for each of the employers participating in the DRS administered plans. At June 30, 2015, the district's proportionate share of each plan's net pension liability is reported below:

June 30, 2015	PERS 1	SERS 2/3	TRS 1	TRS 2/3
District's Annual Contributions	404,243	547,431	1,366,966	1,656,422
Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability	4,600,903	2,286,933	19,352,955	5,259,477

At June 30, 2015, the school district's percentage of the proportionate share of the collective net pension liability was as follows and the changed in the allocation percentage from the prior period is illustrated below.

Allocation percentages	PERS 1	SERS 2/3	TRS 1	TRS 2/3
Current year proportionate share of the Net Pension Liability	0.087956%	0.563075%	0.610861%	0.623307%
Prior year proportionate share of the Net Pension Liability	0.091413%	0.633752%	0.674736%	0.676766%
Net difference percentage (CY-PY)	-0.003457%	-0.070677%	-0.063875%	-0.053459%

## Actuarial Assumptions

Capital Market Assumptions (CMAs) and expected rates of return by asset class provided by the Washington State Investment Board. The Office of the State Actuary relied on the CMAs in the selection of the long-term expected rate of return for reporting purposes.

The total pension liabilities for TRS 1, TRS 2/3, PERS 1 and SERS 2/3 were determined by actuarial valuation as of June 30, 2014, with the results rolled forward to June 30, 2015, using the following actuarial assumptions, applied to all prior periods included in the measurement:

Inflation	3.0% total economic inflation, 3.75% salary inflation
Salary increases	In addition to the base 3.75% salary inflation assumption, salaries are also expected to grow by promotions and longevity.
Investment rate of return	7.50%

## Mortality Rates

Mortality rates used in the plans were based on the RP-2000 Combined Healthy Table and Combined Disabled Table published by the Society of Actuaries. The Office of the State Actuary applied offsets to the base table and recognized future improvements in mortality by projecting the mortality rates using 100 percent Scale BB. Mortality rates are applied on a generational basis, meaning members are assumed to receive additional mortality improvements in each future year, throughout their lifetime. The actuarial assumptions used in the June 30, 2014, valuation were based on the results of the 2007–2012 Experience Study. Additional assumptions for subsequent events and law changes are current as of the 2014 actuarial valuation report.

## Long-term Expected Rate of Return

The long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was determined using a building-block method in which a best-estimate of expected future rates of return (expected returns, net of pension plan investment expense, but including inflation) are developed for each major asset class by the Washington State Investment Board (WSIB). Those expected returns make up one component of WSIB's CMAs. The CMAs contain three pieces of information for each class of assets the WSIB currently invest in:

- Expected annual return
- Standard deviation of the annual return;
  - Correlations between the annual returns of each asset class with every other asset class

WSIB uses the CMAs and their target asset allocation to simulate future investment returns over various time horizons.

The long-term expected rate of return of 7.50% percent approximately equals the median of the simulated investment returns over a fifty-year time horizon, increased slightly to remove WSIB's implicit and small short-term downward adjustment due to assumed mean reversion. WSIB's implicit short-term adjustment, while small and appropriate over a ten to fifteen-year period, becomes amplified over a fifty-year measurement period.

Best estimates of arithmetic real rates of return for each major asset class included in the pension plans' target asset allocation as of June 30, 2015, are summarized in the following table:

TRS1, TRS 2/3, PERS 1, and SERS 2/3		
Asset Class	Target Allocation	Long-term Expected Real Rate of Return
Fixed Income	20.00%	1.70%
Tangible Assets	5.00%	4.40%
Real Estate	15.00%	5.80%
Global Equity	37.00%	6.60%
Private Equity	23.00%	9.60%

The inflation component used to create the above table is 2.20 percent, and represents WSIB's most recent long-term estimate of broad economic inflation.

## **Discount Rate**

The discount rate used to measure the total pension liability was 7.50 percent. To determine the discount rate, an asset sufficiency test was completed to test whether the pension plan's fiduciary net position was sufficient to make all projected future benefit payments of current plan members. Consistent with current law, the completed asset sufficiency test included an assumed 7.70 percent long-term discount rate to determine funding liabilities for calculating future contributions rate requirements. Consistent with the long-term expected rate of return, a 7.50 percent future investment rate of return on invested assets was assumed for the test.

Contributions from plan members and employers are assumed to continue to be made at contractually required rates. Based on those assumptions, the pension plan's fiduciary net position was projected to be available to make all projected future benefit payments of current plan members.

Therefore, the long-term expected rate of return of 7.50 percent on pension plan investments was applied to determine the total pension liability.

## **Sensitivity of the Net Pension Liability to Changes in the Discount Rate**

The following table presents the Tahoma School District's proportionate share of the collective net pension liability (NPL) calculated using the discount rate of 7.50 percent, as well as what the net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is one percentage-point lower (6.50 percent) or one percentage-point higher (8.50 percent) than the current rate. Amounts are calculated using the school district's specific allocation percentage, by plan, to determine the proportionate share of the collective net pension liability.

	1% Decrease (6.50%)	Current Discount Rate (7.50%)	1% Increase (8.50%)
<b>PERS1 NPL</b>	\$6,368,671,000	\$5,230,930,000	\$4,252,577,000
Allocation Percentage	0.087956%	0.087956%	0.087956%
Proportionate Share of Collective NPL	\$5,601,612	\$4,600,903	\$3,740,386
<b>SERS2/3 NPL</b>	\$1,282,039,000	\$406,151,000	(\$273,474,000)
Allocation Percentage	0.563075%	0.563075%	0.563075%
Proportionate Share of Collective NPL	\$7,218,835	\$2,286,933	(\$1,539,862)
<b>TRS1 NPL</b>	\$3,982,571,000	\$3,168,142,000	\$2,467,801,000
Allocation Percentage	0.610861%	0.610861%	0.610861%
Proportionate Share of Collective NPL	\$24,327,987	\$19,352,955	\$15,074,842
<b>TRS2/3 NPL</b>	\$3,570,229,000	\$843,802,000	(\$1,183,066,000)
Allocation Percentage	0.623307%	0.623307%	0.623307%
Proportionate Share of Collective NPL	\$22,253,487	\$5,259,477	(\$7,374,133)

## **NOTE 6: ANNUAL OTHER POST-EMPLOYMENT BENEFIT COST AND NET OPEB OBLIGATIONS**

The state, through the Health Care Authority (HCA), administers an agent multi-employer other post-employment benefit plan. The Public Employees Benefits Board (PEBB), created within the HCA, is authorized to design benefits and determine the terms and conditions of employee and retired employee participation and coverage, including establishment of eligibility criteria for both active and retired employees. Programs include (medical, dental, life insurance and long-term disability insurance).

Employers participating in the plan include the state of Washington (which includes general government agencies and higher education institutions), 60 of the state's K-12 school districts and educational service districts (ESDs), and 221 political subdivisions and tribal governments. Additionally, the PEBB plan is available to the retirees of the remaining 237 K-12 school districts and ESDs. The District's retirees are eligible to participate in the PEBB plan under this arrangement.

According to state law, the Washington State Treasurer collects a fee from all school district entities which have employees that are not current active members of the state Health Care Authority but participate in the state retirement system. The purpose of this fee is to cover the impact of the subsidized rate of health care benefits for school retirees that elect to purchase their health care benefits through the

state Health Care Authority. For the fiscal year 2014–15, the District was required to pay the HCA \$66.64 per month per full-time equivalent employee to support the program, for a total payment of \$575,307.62. This assessment to the District is set forth in the state’s operating budget and is subject to change on an annual basis. This amount is not actuarially determined and is not placed in a trust to pay the obligations for post-employment health care benefits.

The District has no control over the benefits offered to retirees, the rates charged to retirees, nor the fee paid to the Health Care Authority. The District does not determine its annual required contribution nor the net other post-employment benefit obligation associated with this plan. Accordingly, these amounts are not shown on the financial statements.

**NOTE 7: COMMITMENTS UNDER LEASES**

For the fiscal year ended August 31, 2015, the District operating lease costs incurred on 60 month copier leases based on State and KCDA contracts.

Lessor	Amount	Annual Installment	Final Installment Date	Interest Rate	Balance
<b>Lease-Purchase Commitment</b>					
Copiers	\$1,893,115.20	\$378,623.04	5/2010-3/2021		\$5554.80-\$115,992.00
<i>Total Lease-Purchase Commitments</i>					

**NOTE 8: OTHER SIGNIFICANT COMMITMENTS**

Construction in progress is composed of:

Project	Project Authorization Amount	Expended as of 8/31/15	Additional Local Funds Committed	Additional State Funds Committed
Tahoma High School	165,251,794	22,579,219	122,169,833	20,502,742
Total				

**Encumbrances**

Encumbrance accounting is employed in governmental funds. Purchase orders, contracts, and other commitments for the expenditure of moneys are recorded in order to reserve a portion of the applicable appropriation. Encumbrances lapse at the end of the fiscal year and may be re-encumbered the following year. The following encumbrance amounts were re-encumbered by fund on September 1, 2015:

Fund	Amount
General	\$112,622.40
ASB Fund	\$00.00
Capital Projects Fund	\$30,858,221.77
Transportation Vehicle Fund	\$00.00



## **NOTE 9: REQUIRED DISCLOSURES ABOUT CAPITAL ASSETS**

The District's capital assets are insured in the amount of \$107,195,519 for fiscal year 2015. In the opinion of the District's insurance consultant, the amount is sufficient to adequately fund replacement of the District's assets.

## **NOTE 10: REQUIRED DISCLOSURES ABOUT LONG-TERM LIABILITIES**

### **Long-Term Debt**

Bonds payable at August 31, 2015, are comprised of the following individual issues:

Issue Name	Amount Authorized	Annual Installments	Final Maturity	Interest Rate(s)	Amount Outstanding
General Obligation Bonds					
2000	\$6,500,00	\$290,000-\$1,635,000	12/01/15	5.10	\$1,635,000
2004	\$13,920,000	\$110,283-\$2,761,750	12/01/16	2.00-5.00	\$2,000,000
2008	\$14,695,000	\$395,000-\$2,690,000	12/01/15	3.50-5.00	\$2,445,000
2014	\$100,000,000	\$280,000-\$10,000,000	12/01/33	2.00-5.00	\$99,720,000
Total General Obligation Bonds					\$105,800,000

The following is a summary of general obligation long-term debt transactions of the District for the fiscal year(s) ended August 31, 2015:

Long-Term Debt Payable at 9/1/2014	\$113,135,000
New Issues	\$00.00
Debt Retired	\$6,650,000
Long-Term Debt Payable at 8/31/2015	\$106,485,000

The following is a schedule of annual requirements to amortize debt at August 31, 2015:

Years Ending August 31	Principal	Interest	Total
2016	4,240,000	5,137,760	102,245,000
2017	2,170,000	4,991,708	7,161,708
2018	2,675,000	4,884,823	7,559,823
2019	3,180,000	4,773,198	7,953,198
2020	3,000,000	4,636,000	7,636,000
2021	3,500,000	4,473,500	7,973,500
2022	3,140,000	4,307,500	7,447,500
2023	3,500,000	4,141,500	7,641,500
2024	3,885,000	3,956,875	7,841,875
2025	4,290,000	3,752,500	8,042,500
2026	4,725,000	3,527,125	8,252,125
2027	5,180,000	3,279,500	8,459,500
2028	7,500,000	2,962,500	10,462,500
2029	7,500,000	2,587,500	10,087,500
2030	8,000,000	2,200,000	10,200,000
2031	10,000,000	1,750,000	11,750,000
2032	10,000,000	1,250,000	11,250,000
2033	10,000,000	750,000	10,750,000
2034	10,000,000	250,000	10,250,000
Total	106,485,000	63,611,989	262,964,299

At August 31, 2015, the District had \$3,027,168 available in the Debt Service Fund to service the general obligation bonds.

**Bonds Authorized But Unissued**

Bonds authorized 2014	\$195,000,000
Issued 2015	(\$110,335,000)
Outstanding	\$84,665,000

**NOTE 11: INTERFUND BALANCES AND TRANSFERS**

The following table depicts interfund transfer activity:

Transferred From (Fund) 535 or 536	Transferred To (Fund) 965 9900	Amount	Description
General	Debt Service Fund	\$189,072.50	Transportation Center

**NOTE 12: ENTITY RISK MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES**

The district is a member of the Washington Schools Risk Management Pool (Pool). Chapter 48.62 RCW authorizes the governing body of any one or more governmental entities to form together into or join a pool or organization for the joint purchasing of insurance, and/or joint self-insuring, and/or joint hiring or contracting for risk management services to the same extent that they may individually purchase insurance, self-insure, or hire or contract for risk management services. An agreement to form a pooling arrangement was made pursuant to the provisions of Chapter 39.34 RCW, the Interlocal Cooperation Act. The Pool was formed in 1986 when educational service districts and school districts in the state of Washington joined together by signing an Interlocal Governmental Agreement to pool their self-insured losses and jointly purchase insurance and administrative services. Over 80 school and educational service districts have joined the Pool.

The Pool allows members to jointly purchase insurance coverage, establish a plan of self-insurance, and provide related services, such as risk management. The Pool provides the following coverages for its members: property, liability, vehicle, public official liability, crime, employment practices, machinery breakdown, and network security.

Members make an annual contribution to fund the Pool. The Pool acquires reinsurance from unrelated underwriters that are subject to a per-occurrence self-insured retention of \$1 million. Members are responsible for varied deductibles for both liability and property claims. Insurance carriers cover losses over \$1 million to the maximum limits of each policy. Since the Pool is a cooperative program, there is a joint liability among the participating members.

Members contract to remain in the Pool for a minimum of three years and must give notice two and one half years before terminating participation. The Interlocal Governmental Agreement is renewed automatically each year after the initial three-year period. Even after termination, a member is still responsible for contributions to the Pool for any unresolved, unreported, and in-process claims for the period they were a signatory to the Interlocal Governmental Agreement if the assets of the Pool were exhausted.

The Pool is fully funded by its member participants.

The Pool is governed by a board of directors which is comprised of one designated representative from each participating member. An executive board is elected at the annual meeting, and is responsible for overseeing the business affairs of the Pool.

The District is exposed to various risks of loss related to torts; theft of, damage to, and destruction of assets; errors and omissions; injuries to employees; and natural disasters. The District made payment totaling \$9,581.07 and \$617,605.27 for 2014-2015 to the unemployment and industrial insurance pools respectively. The funds are operated for the District's benefit in-lieu-of the District having to make monthly premium payments to the State of Washington for unemployment and industrial insurance.

**NOTE 13: PROPERTY TAXES**

Property tax revenues are collected as the result of special levies passed by the voters in the District. Taxes are levied on January 1. The taxpayer has the obligation of paying all taxes on April 30 or one-half then and one-half on October 31. Typically, slightly more than half of the collections are made on the April 30 date. The October 31 collection is not available in time to cover liabilities for the fiscal period ended August 31. Therefore, the fall portion of property taxes is not accrued as revenue. Instead, the property taxes due on October 31 are recorded as unavailable revenue.

**NOTE 14: JOINT VENTURES AND JOINTLY GOVERNED ORGANIZATIONS**

The District is a member of the King County Director's Association (KCDA). KCDA is a purchasing cooperative designed to pool the member districts' purchasing power. The board authorized joining the association on September 10, 1974 and has remained in the joint venture ever since. The District's current equity of \$81,094.10 is the accumulation of the annual assignment of KCDA's operating surplus based upon the percentage derived from KCDA's total sales to the District compared to all other districts applied against paid administrative fees. The District may withdraw from the joint venture and will receive its equity in ten annual allocations of merchandise or 15 annual payments.

**NOTE 15: FUND BALANCE CLASSIFICATION DETAILS**

The District's financial statements include the following amounts presented in the aggregate.

	General Fund	ASB Fund	Capital Projects Fund	Debt Service Fund	Transportation Vehicle Fund
Restricted Fund Balance					
For Other Items	\$800,000		\$86,885,387		
For Fund Purpose		\$414,094			\$238,526
For Debt Service				\$3,027,168	
Committed Fund Balance					
Other Commitments			\$2,250,228		
Unassigned Fund Balance	\$8,750,334				

In addition, the Capital Projects Fund has the following amounts in Restricted and Committed Fund Balance, based on the source of the revenues:

Restricted from Bond Proceeds	\$86,885,387
Committed from Levy Proceeds	\$2,250,228

On November 2, 2006, the board of directors took an action to commit a portion of the District's ending balance towards replacement of turf carpet at Tahoma High School.

## **NOTE 16: POST-EMPLOYMENT BENEFIT PLANS OTHER THAN PENSION PLANS—BOTH IN SEPARATELY ISSUED PLAN FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND EMPLOYER STATEMENTS**

### **457 Plan – Deferred Compensation Plan**

District employees have the option of participating in a deferred compensation plan as defined in §457 of the Internal Revenue Code that is administered by the state deferred compensation plan, or the District.

### **403(b) Plan – Tax Sheltered Annuity (TSA)**

The District offers a tax deferred annuity plan for its employees. The plan permits participants to defer a portion of their salary until future years under the elective deferrals (employee contribution).

The District complies with IRS regulations that require school districts to have a written plan to include participating investment companies, types of investments, loans, transfers, and various requirements. The plan is administered by Baybridge Administrators. The plan assets are assets of the District employees, not the school district, and are therefore not reflected on these financial statements.

## **NOTE 17: TERMINATION BENEFITS**

### **Compensated Absences**

Employees earn sick leave at a rate of 12 days per year up to a maximum of one contract year.

Under the provisions of RCW 28A.400.210, sick leave accumulated by District employees is reimbursed at death or retirement at the rate of one day for each four days of accrued leave, limited to 180 accrued days. This chapter also provides for an annual buyout of an amount up to the maximum annual accumulation of 12 days. For buyout purposes, employees may accumulate such leave to a maximum of 192 days, including the annual accumulation, as of December 31 of each year.

These expenditures are recorded when paid, except termination sick leave that is accrued upon death, retirement, or upon termination provided the employee is at least 55 years of age and has sufficient years of service. Vested sick leave was computed using the vesting method.

## **NOTE 18: OTHER DISCLOSURES**

### **Self-Insurance—Security Deposit**

Tahoma School District is a member of the Puget Sound Unemployment Pool. As of August 31, 2015, the District self-insurance security deposit balance was \$75,898.00.

Tahoma School District No. 409  
 Schedule of Long-Term Liabilities: GENERAL FUND

For the Year Ended August 31, 2015

Description	Beginning Outstanding Debt September 1, 2014	Amount Issued / Increased	Amount Redeemed / Decreased	Ending Outstanding Debt August 31, 2015	Amount Due Within One Year
<b>Non-Voted Debt and Liabilities</b>					
Capital Leases	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Contracts Payable	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Non-Cancellable Operating Leases	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Claims & Judgements	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Compensated Absences	1,596,989.60	20,540.81	0.00	1,617,530.41	640,595.04
Long-Term Notes	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Anticipation Notes Payable	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Lines of Credit	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Other Non-Voted Debt	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
<b>Other Liabilities</b>					
Non-Voted Notes Not Recorded as Debt	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Net Pension Liabilities:					
Net Pension Liabilities TRS 1	0.00	19,352,955.00	0.00	19,352,955.00	
Net Pension Liabilities TRS 2/3	0.00	5,259,477.00	0.00	5,259,477.00	
Net Pension Liabilities SERS 2/3	0.00	2,286,933.00	0.00	2,286,933.00	
Net Pension Liabilities PERS 1	0.00	4,600,903.00	0.00	4,600,903.00	
Total Long-Term Liabilities	1,596,989.60	31,520,808.81	0.00	33,117,798.41	640,595.04

Tahoma School District No. 409  
 Schedule of Long-Term Liabilities: DEBT SERVICE FUND

For the Year Ended August 31, 2015

Description	Beginning Outstanding Debt September 1, 2014	Amount Issued / Increased	Amount Redeemed / Decreased	Ending Outstanding Debt August 31, 2015	Amount Due Within One Year
<b>Voted Debt</b>					
Voted Bonds	112,295,000.00	0.00	6,495,000.00	105,800,000.00	4,080,000.00
LOCAL Program Proceeds Issued in Lieu of Bonds	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
<b>Non-Voted Debt</b>					
Non-Voted Bonds	840,000.00	0.00	155,000.00	685,000.00	160,000.00
LOCAL Program Proceeds	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total Long-Term Liabilities	113,135,000.00	0.00	6,650,000.00	106,485,000.00	4,240,000.00

**TAHOMA SCHOOL DISTRICT #409**  
**SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS**  
For the year ending August 31, 2015

1	2	3	4	5	6	7			8	9
						Expenditures				
Federal Agency Name	Pass Through Agency	Federal Program Title	CFDA Number	Other Identification Number	From Direct Awards	From Pass Through Awards	Total	Footnote		
USDA	WA OSPI	School Breakfast Program	10.553	N/A		\$ 89,675.74	\$89,675.74			
	WA OSPI	National School Lunch Program	10.555	N/A		\$ 106,341.45	\$106,341.45	2		
		Non Cash Asst. Food Commodities								
	WA OSPI	National School Lunch Program	10.555	N/A		\$ 395,859.00	\$395,859.00			
		Total #10.555				\$ 502,200.45	\$ 502,200.45			
		Child Nutrition Cluster CFDA 10.553 and 10.555				\$ 591,876.19	\$ 591,876.19			
	WA OSPI	School & Roads-Grants to State	10.665	N/A		\$ 3,959.27	\$3,959.27			
		<b>Subtotal U.S. Department of Agriculture</b>				<b>\$ 595,835.46</b>	<b>\$ 595,835.46</b>			
U.S. Dept of Ed	WA OSPI	Title I Grants to Local Educational Agencies	84.010	#0201840		\$ 349,195.00	\$349,195.00			
	WA OSPI	Career and Technical Education	84.048	#0173384		\$ 27,515.00	\$27,515.00			
		Basic Grants to States								
	WA OSPI	Special Education Grants to State	84.027	#0305158		\$ 1,267,005.00	\$1,267,005.00			
	WA OSPI	Special Education Grants to State	84.027	#0337780		\$ 534,740.00	\$534,740.00			
		Total #84.027				\$ 1,801,745.00	\$1,801,745.00			
	WA OSPI	Special Education Preschool Grant	84.173	#0363148		\$ 44,867.00	\$44,867.00			

The Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is an integral part of this statement







**CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN FOR FINDINGS REPORTED  
UNDER OMB CIRCULAR A-133**

**Tahoma School District No. 409**

**King County**

**September 1, 2014 through August 31, 2015**

This schedule presents the corrective action planned by the auditee for findings reported in this report in accordance with OMB Circular A-133. The information in this schedule is the representation of the Tahoma School District No. 409.

<b>Finding ref number:</b> 2015-001	<b>Finding caption:</b> Tahoma School District does not have adequate internal controls over its free and reduced-price lunch verification process, resulting in inaccurate reporting of verification results.
<b>Name, address, and telephone of auditee contact person:</b> Tahoma School District, Nutrition Services Department Mary Nowak, Nutrition Services Supervisor 25720 Maple Valley Black Diamond Road S.E. Maple Valley, WA 98038 Phone: (425) 413-3450	
<b>Corrective action the auditee plans to take in response to the finding:</b> <i>The Nutrition Services Supervisor will follow verification requirements and consult with the Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction (OSPI) to ensure that the District's approach is accurate. The Nutrition Services Supervisor will continue to work with the Northwest Regional Data Center (NWRDC) when questions related to the use of SKYWARD software arise. The Nutrition Services Supervisor will review the verification sample size and selected household applications with the senior technical analyst at NWRDC to confirm that they were generated accurately.</i>  <i>OSPI conducted the Child Nutrition Administrative Review in April 2016 and reviewed applications for free and reduced-price meals and verification: "Free and reduced-priced eligibility was reviewed for 420 students, and all selected applications and direct certifications were approved correctly. Five applications were verified correctly and documentation of the process was clear and easy to review".</i>	
<b>Anticipated date to complete the corrective action:</b> Verification for SY2015-2016 was completed by February 1, 2016.  The Nutrition Services Supervisor will continue to attend NWRDC Food Service User Forums on SKYWARD software applications and updates: The user forum on verification is usually scheduled on October 1 and the verification sample size and the household applications are selected at this meeting.	

## ABOUT THE STATE AUDITOR'S OFFICE

The State Auditor's Office is established in the state's Constitution and is part of the executive branch of state government. The State Auditor is elected by the citizens of Washington and serves four-year terms.

We work with our audit clients and citizens to achieve our vision of government that works for citizens, by helping governments work better, cost less, deliver higher value, and earn greater public trust.

In fulfilling our mission to hold state and local governments accountable for the use of public resources, we also hold ourselves accountable by continually improving our audit quality and operational efficiency and developing highly engaged and committed employees.

As an elected agency, the State Auditor's Office has the independence necessary to objectively perform audits and investigations. Our audits are designed to comply with professional standards as well as to satisfy the requirements of federal, state, and local laws.

Our audits look at financial information and compliance with state, federal and local laws on the part of all local governments, including schools, and all state agencies, including institutions of higher education. In addition, we conduct performance audits of state agencies and local governments as well as [fraud](#), state [whistleblower](#) and [citizen hotline](#) investigations.

The results of our work are widely distributed through a variety of reports, which are available on our [website](#) and through our free, electronic [subscription](#) service.

We take our role as partners in accountability seriously, and provide training and technical assistance to governments, and have an extensive quality assurance program.

<b>Contact information for the State Auditor's Office</b>	
<b>Public Records requests</b>	<a href="mailto:PublicRecords@sao.wa.gov">PublicRecords@sao.wa.gov</a>
<b>Main telephone</b>	(360) 902-0370
<b>Toll-free Citizen Hotline</b>	(866) 902-3900
<b>Website</b>	<a href="http://www.sao.wa.gov">www.sao.wa.gov</a>