



Office of the Washington State Auditor  
Pat McCarthy

## **Performance Audit Report**

# **Opportunities to Improve City of Sumner's Information Technology Security**

*Published July 18, 2022*

Report No. 1030920



Find out what's new at SAO  
by scanning this code with  
your smartphone's camera



**Office of the Washington State Auditor  
Pat McCarthy**

July 18, 2022

City Council  
City of Sumner  
Sumner, Washington

**Report on Opportunities to Improve Information Technology  
Security**

We are issuing this report in order to provide information on the City's information technology security.

Sincerely,

Pat McCarthy, State Auditor  
Olympia, WA

***Americans with Disabilities***

*In accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, we will make this document available in alternative formats. For more information, please contact our Office at (564) 999-0950, TDD Relay at (800) 833-6388, or email our webmaster at [webmaster@sao.wa.gov](mailto:webmaster@sao.wa.gov).*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

About The Audit ..... 4

Audit Results..... 6

Appendix A: Initiative 900 and Auditing Standards..... 7

Appendix B: Scope, Objectives and Methodology ..... 9

Information about the Performance Audit ..... 11

About the State Auditor's Office..... 12

## ABOUT THE AUDIT

### **Critical government services depend on IT systems with confidential information, which must be protected to avoid service disruptions and financial losses**

Governments depend on information technology (IT) systems to deliver an array of critical functions. The security of IT systems and related data underpins the stability of government operations, and the safety and well-being of residents. Therefore, protecting these systems is paramount to public confidence, because the public expects governments to protect these systems from IT security incidents that could disrupt government services.

These IT systems also process and store confidential data. Aside from the loss of public confidence, a data breach involving such data can cause governments to face considerable tangible costs. These include identifying and repairing damaged systems as well as and notifying and helping victims of the breach.

**IT security incident** - Any unplanned or suspected event that could pose a threat to the confidentiality, integrity or availability of information assets.

**Data breach** – An IT security incident that results in the confirmed disclosure of confidential information to an unauthorized party.

### **This audit looked for opportunities to improve the City's IT security**

To help the City of Sumner protect its IT systems and secure the data it needs to operate, we conducted a performance audit designed to identify opportunities to improve IT security. This audit answered the following questions:

- Does the City have vulnerabilities in its IT environment that could lead to increased risk from external or internal threats?
- Do the City's IT security practices align with selected security controls?

### **Evaluating if there are any problems and vulnerabilities present in the IT environment that could increase risk**

To determine if the City has effective IT security practices in place, we conducted tests to determine if selected controls were implemented properly and functioning effectively. We reported the results, including any problems and vulnerabilities we identified, to the City as they were completed.

## Comparing the City's IT security program to leading practices

We assessed the City's IT security policies, procedures and practices to selected leading practices in this area to identify any improvements that could make them stronger. We selected leading practices from the Center for Internet Security's Critical Security Controls (CIS Controls), which were developed by a broad community of private and public sector stakeholders after examining the most common attack patterns. The CIS Controls are a prioritized list of control areas designed to help organizations with limited resources optimize their security defense efforts to achieve the highest return on investment.

We gave City management the results of the assessments as they were completed.

## Next steps

Our performance audits of local government programs and services are reviewed by the local government's legislative body and/or by other committees of the local government whose members wish to consider findings and recommendations on specific topics. The City's legislative body will hold at least one public hearing to consider the findings of the audit. Please check the City's website for the exact date, time and location. The State Auditor's Office conducts periodic follow-up evaluations to assess the status of recommendations, and may conduct follow-up audits at its discretion. See **Appendix A**, which addresses the I-900 areas covered in the audit. **Appendix B** contains more information about our methodology.

## AUDIT RESULTS

We found that, while the City's IT policies and practices partially aligned with industry leading practices, there were areas where it could make improvements. We communicated the detailed results of our work and recommendations to responsible officials and staff for review, response and action. In summary, responsible officials and staff expressed agreement with the audit results and an intent to use them to continue to improve their cybersecurity posture. The City has since taken steps to address our recommendations, and continues to make improvements.

Because the public distribution of tests performed, test results, recommendations, and the government's responses could increase the risk to the City, distribution of this information is kept confidential under RCW 42.56.420 (4), and under Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards, Sections 9.61-9.67.

We communicated the results of our audit work and recommendations to City management for review, response and action. We found that, while the City's IT policies and practices partially align with industry leading practices, there are areas where it can make improvements. The City has taken steps to address significant issues we identified, and continues to make improvements.

Because the public distribution of tests performed and test results could increase the risk to the City, distribution of this information is kept confidential under RCW 42.56.420 (4), and under Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards, Sections 9.61-9.67. We shared detailed results with the City.

### Recommendations

To help ensure the City protects its IT systems and the information contained in those systems, we make the following recommendations:

- Continue remediating identified gaps.
- Revise the City's IT security policies and procedures to align more closely with leading practices.

### Auditor's Remarks

The Washington State Auditor's Office recognizes the City's willingness to volunteer to participate in this audit, demonstrating its dedication to making government work better. It is apparent the City's management and staff want to be accountable to the citizens and good stewards of public resources. Throughout the audit, they fostered a positive and professional working relationship with the State Auditor's Office.

## APPENDIX A: INITIATIVE 900 AND AUDITING STANDARDS

### Appendix A: Initiative 900 and Auditing Standards

Initiative 900, approved by Washington voters in 2005 and enacted into state law in 2006, authorized the State Auditor's Office to conduct independent, comprehensive performance audits of state and local governments.

Specifically, the law directs the Auditor's Office to "review and analyze the economy, efficiency, and effectiveness of the policies, management, fiscal affairs, and operations of state and local governments, agencies, programs, and accounts." Performance audits are to be conducted according to the U.S. Government Accountability Office's *Government Auditing Standards*.

In addition, the law identifies nine elements that are to be considered within the scope of each performance audit. The State Auditor's Office evaluates the relevance of all nine elements to each audit. The table below indicates which elements are addressed in the audit. Specific issues are discussed in the Schedule of Audit Findings and Responses section of this report.

I-900 element	Addressed in the audit
1. Identify cost savings	No. The audit did not identify measurable cost savings. However, strengthening IT security could help the City avoid or mitigate costs associated with a data breach or security incident.
2. Identify services that can be reduced or eliminated	No. The audit objectives did not address services that could be reduced or eliminated.
3. Identify programs or services that can be transferred to the private sector	No. We did not identify programs or services that could be transferred to the private sector.
4. Analyze gaps or overlaps in programs or services and provide recommendations to correct them	Yes. The audit compares the City's IT security controls against leading practices and makes recommendations to align them.
5. Assess feasibility of pooling information technology systems within the department	No. The audit did not assess the feasibility of pooling information systems; it focused on the City's IT security posture.
6. Analyze departmental roles and functions, and provide recommendations to change or eliminate them	Yes. The audit evaluates the roles and functions of IT security at the City and makes recommendations to better align them with leading practices.

I-900 element	Addressed in the audit
7. Provide recommendations for statutory or regulatory changes that may be necessary for the department to properly carry out its functions	No. The audit did not identify a need for statutory or regulatory change.
8. Analyze departmental performance data, performance measures, and self-assessment systems	Yes. Our audit examined and made recommendations to improve IT security control performance.
9. Identify relevant best practices	Yes. The audit identified and used leading practices published by the Center for Internet Security to assess the City's IT security controls.

### Compliance with generally accepted government auditing standards

We conducted this performance audit under the authority of state law (RCW 43.09.470), approved as Initiative 900 by Washington voters in 2005, and in accordance with Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards (July 2018 revision) by the U.S. Government Accountability Office and *Government Auditing Standards*. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives.



## APPENDIX B: SCOPE, OBJECTIVES AND METHODOLOGY

### Scope

The audit assessed the extent to which the City's IT security programs, including their implementation and documentation, aligned with selected CIS Controls and their supporting sub-controls. This audit did not assess the City's alignment with federal or state special data-handling laws or requirements.

### Objectives

To help the City protect its IT systems and secure the data it needs to operate, we conducted a performance audit designed to identify opportunities to improve IT security. This audit answered the following questions:

- Does the local government have vulnerabilities in its IT environment that could lead to increased risk from external or internal threats?
- Do the local government's IT security practices align with selected security controls?

### Methodology

To answer the audit objectives, we conducted technical testing on the City's network, and we compared the City's IT security programs to selected leading practices.

### Internal and external security testing

To determine if the City has vulnerabilities in its IT environment, we conducted internal and external security testing of selected key applications, systems and networks. This work was performed in November 2021 by a third-party vendor on our behalf and in January 2022 by our IT security specialists. This work included identifying and assessing vulnerabilities, and determining whether they could be exploited.

### Comparing the City's IT security programs to leading practices

To determine whether the City's IT security practices align with leading practices, we interviewed key City IT staff, reviewed the City's IT security policies and procedures, observed City security practices and settings, and conducted limited technical analysis of City systems. This work was completed at the City in October 2021 with some additional follow-up afterwards.

We used selected controls from the CIS Controls, version 7.1, as our criteria to assess the City's IT security programs and to identify areas that could be made stronger.

CIS is a nonprofit organization focused on safeguarding public and private organizations against cyber threats. The CIS Controls are a prioritized set of actions that collectively form a defense-in-depth set of best practices that mitigate the most common attacks against systems and networks. The CIS Controls are developed by a community of IT experts who apply their first-hand experience as cyber defenders to create these globally accepted security best practices. The experts who develop the CIS Controls come from a wide range of sectors including retail, manufacturing, healthcare, education, government, defense and others.

Each control consists of a series of sub-controls that are distinct and measurable tasks; when the sub-controls are implemented together, they fully meet the requirements of the overall control. We assessed the City against all applicable sub-controls to determine the alignment with each of the overall controls assessed. We did this by assessing the extent to which the City met each sub-control in three areas:

1. **Implementing** the sub-control
2. **Automating or technically enforcing** the sub-control, which minimizes the possibility of the sub-control failing due to human error or inconsistent processes
3. **Maintaining documentation** to support the sub-control, such as policies or procedures

We also assessed to extent to which the City's IT management was **reporting** on the control to leadership.

## Work on Internal Controls

This audit assessed the IT security internal controls at the City. We used a selection of controls from the CIS Controls as the internal control framework for the assessment. Based on an initial assessment, we selected five controls to include in the scope. To protect the City's IT systems, and the confidential and sensitive information in those systems, this report does not identify the specific controls assessed during the audit. We completed our assessment for the purpose of identifying opportunities for the City to improve its internal IT security controls, but not to provide assurance on the City's current IT security posture.

## INFORMATION ABOUT THE PERFORMANCE AUDIT

### Contact information related to this report

Address:	1104 Maple Street Sumner, WA 98390
Contact:	Jeff Steffens, Administrative Services Director
Website:	<a href="https://sumnerwa.gov/">https://sumnerwa.gov/</a>

*Information current as of report publish date.*

### Audit history

You can find current and past audit reports for the City of Sumner at <http://portal.sao.wa.gov/ReportSearch>.

## ABOUT THE STATE AUDITOR'S OFFICE

The State Auditor's Office is established in the Washington State Constitution and is part of the executive branch of state government. The State Auditor is elected by the people of Washington and serves four-year terms.

We work with state agencies, local governments and the public to achieve our vision of increasing trust in government by helping governments work better and deliver higher value.

In fulfilling our mission to provide citizens with independent and transparent examinations of how state and local governments use public funds, we hold ourselves to those same standards by continually improving our audit quality and operational efficiency, and by developing highly engaged and committed employees.

As an agency, the State Auditor's Office has the independence necessary to objectively perform audits, attestation engagements and investigations. Our work is designed to comply with professional standards as well as to satisfy the requirements of federal, state and local laws. The Office also has an extensive quality control program and undergoes regular external peer review to ensure our work meets the highest possible standards of accuracy, objectivity and clarity.

Our audits look at financial information and compliance with federal, state and local laws for all local governments, including schools, and all state agencies, including institutions of higher education. In addition, we conduct performance audits and cybersecurity audits of state agencies and local governments, as well as state whistleblower, fraud and citizen hotline investigations.

The results of our work are available to everyone through the more than 2,000 reports we publish each year on our website, [www.sao.wa.gov](http://www.sao.wa.gov). Additionally, we share regular news and other information via an email subscription service and social media channels.

We take our role as partners in accountability seriously. The Office provides training and technical assistance to governments both directly and through partnerships with other governmental support organizations.

### Stay connected at [sao.wa.gov](http://sao.wa.gov)

- [Find your audit team](#)
- [Request public records](#)
- Search BARS manuals ([GAAP](#) and [cash](#)), and find [reporting templates](#)
- Learn about our [training workshops](#) and [on-demand videos](#)
- Discover [which governments serve you](#) — enter an address on our map
- Explore public financial data with the [Financial Intelligence Tool](#)

### Other ways to stay in touch

- Main telephone:  
(564) 999-0950
- Toll-free Citizen Hotline:  
(866) 902-3900
- Email:  
[webmaster@sao.wa.gov](mailto:webmaster@sao.wa.gov)