

# Office of the Washington State Auditor Pat McCarthy

July 1, 2024

Council and Executive King County Seattle, Washington

# **Contracted CPA Firm's Audit Report on Financial Statements**

We have reviewed the audit report issued by a certified public accounting (CPA) firm on the financial statements of King County Water Quality Enterprise Fund for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2023. The County contracted with the CPA firm for this audit and requested that we accept it in lieu of performing our own audit.

Based on this review, we have accepted this report in lieu of the audit required by RCW 43.09.260. The Office of the Washington State Auditor did not audit the accompanying financial statements and, accordingly, we do not express an opinion on those financial statements.

This report is being published on the Office of the Washington State Auditor website as a matter of public record.

Sincerely,

Fat Marchy

Pat McCarthy, State Auditor Olympia, WA

# Americans with Disabilities

In accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, we will make this document available in alternative formats. For more information, please contact our Office at (564) 999-0950, TDD Relay at (800) 833-6388, or email our webmaster at <u>webmaster@sao.wa.gov</u>.



Report of Independent Auditors and Financial Statements: with Required Supplementary Information and Other Information

King County Water Quality Enterprise Fund (an Enterprise Fund of King County, Washington)

January 1 – December 31, 2023



# **Table of Contents**

	Page
Report of Independent Auditors	1
Management's Discussion and Analysis	4
Financial Statements	
Statement of Net Position	16
Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Net Position	18
Statement of Cash Flows	19
Notes to Financial Statements	21
Required Supplementary Information	
Pension Plan Information	55
Postemployment Health Care Plan	60
Other Information	
Supplemental Schedule of Debt Service Coverage Ratios	62
Supplemental Schedule of Historical Debt Service Coverage Ratios	63
Report of Independent Auditors on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on	
Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	64

# MOSS<u>A</u>DAMS

# **Report of Independent Auditors**

The Metropolitan King County Council Seattle, Washington

### **Report on the Audit of the Financial Statements**

#### Opinion

We have audited the financial statements of the King County Water Quality Enterprise Fund (the "Fund"), which comprise the statement of net position as of December 31, 2023, and the related statements of revenues, expenses, and changes in net position, and cash flows for the year then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements.

In our opinion, the accompanying financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the King County Water Quality Enterprise Fund as of December 31, 2023, and the changes in financial position and cash flows thereof for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

#### **Basis for Opinion**

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAS) and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Our responsibilities under those standards are further described in the Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Financial Statements section of our report. We are required to be independent of the Fund and to meet our other ethical responsibilities, in accordance with the relevant ethical requirements relating to our audit. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

#### Financial Reporting Entity

As discussed in Note 1, the financial statements present only the Fund and do not purport to, and do not, present fairly the financial position of King County, Washington, as of December 31, 2023, the changes in its financial position, or, where applicable, its cash flows for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

#### Responsibilities of Management for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, and for the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

#### Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Financial Statements

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements as a whole are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, and to issue an auditor's report that includes our opinion. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance but is not absolute assurance and therefore is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with GAAS and *Government Auditing Standards* will always detect a material misstatement when it exists. The risk of not detecting a material misstatement resulting from fraud is higher than for one resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control. Misstatements are considered material if there is a substantial likelihood that, individually or in the aggregate, they would influence the judgment made by a reasonable user based on the financial statements.

In performing an audit in accordance with GAAS and Government Auditing Standards, we

- Exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit.
- Identify and assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error, and design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks. Such procedures include examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements.
- Obtain an understanding of internal control relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Fund's internal control. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.
- Evaluate the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluate the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We are required to communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit, significant audit findings, and certain internal control–related matters that we identified during the audit.

#### **Required Supplementary Information**

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the Management's Discussion and Analysis, the Pension Plan Information and the Postemployment Health Care Plan schedule be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information or provide any assurance.

#### **Other Information**

Management is responsible for the other information as listed in the table of contents. The other information comprises the Supplemental Schedule of Debt Service Coverage Ratios and Supplemental Schedule of Historical Debt Service but does not include the basic financial statements and our auditor's report thereon. Our opinion on the basic financial statements does not cover the other information, and we do not express an opinion or any form of assurance thereon.

In connection with our audit of the basic financial statements, our responsibility is to read the other information and consider whether a material inconsistency exists between the other information and the basic financial statements, or the other information otherwise appears to be materially misstated. If, based on the work performed, we conclude that an uncorrected material misstatement of the other information exists, we are required to describe it in our report.

#### Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated April 30, 2024, on our consideration of the Fund's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the Fund's internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the Fund's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Moss Adams HP

Seattle, Washington April 30, 2024

# Management's Discussion and Analysis

The management of King County Water Quality Enterprise Fund (Water Quality) presents to readers of its financial statements this narrative overview and analysis of its activities and financial performance for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2023, with 2022 comparative information.

# The Sewer System

Water Quality provides regional wastewater treatment services to Western Washington in King County, part of Snohomish County, and part of Pierce County with a service area encompassing over 424 square miles. The major wastewater treatment facilities include three secondary treatment plants located at West Point in Seattle, South Plant in Renton and the Brightwater Treatment Plant located near Woodinville and two smaller secondary treatment plants at Vashon Island and Carnation, 383 miles of sewer conveyance lines, 15 miles of reclaimed water lines, 48 pump stations, and 26 regulator stations. Other facilities include five combined sewer overflow (CSO) treatment plants and 38 CSO outfalls. The sewer system collected and treated an average of 182 million gallons per day (MGD) in 2023 from approximately 2.0 million residents.

### **Financial Highlights**

During 2023, Water Quality provided sewage treatment services to 766,688 residential customer equivalents (RCE) compared to 749,117 in 2022. An RCE is one single-family residence or an equivalent unit of 750 cubic feet of monthly water consumption for all other customers such as multifamily residential, commercial, and industrial properties. The capacity charge program added 4,699 new customers in 2023 compared to 8,759 new customers in 2022. In 2023, the average flow of the five treatment plants was 182 MGD with a peak daily flow of 731 MGD, compared with a 2022 average flow of 185 MGD and peak daily flow of 720 MGD. Maximum system capacity remained unchanged at 868 MGD in 2023. The annual fluctuation in flows largely depends on the amount of annual precipitation.

In 2023, resource recovery delivered 124,195 tons of Loop® biosolids to customers for use in forest management, agriculture, and composting compared to 123,502 tons in 2022. 39.6 million gallons of Class A reclaimed water was distributed for irrigation, urinals/toilets, municipal purposes, and wetland enhancement compared to 103.0 million gallons in 2022. 783.4 million gallons of filtered, treated wastewater were used for internal treatment plant processes compared to 783.0 million gallons in 2022. The permitted capacity for the South Plant reclaimed water facility is a filter feed loading of 1.3 MGD and the Brightwater permitted reclaimed water treatment capacity is 12 MGD.

Water Quality sold 2.9 million therms of natural gas to Anew Climate from the South Treatment Plant in 2023 and 2.7 million therms to Industrial Gas Infrastructure (IGI) Resources, Inc. and Anew Climate in 2022. Congressional action under the Energy Independence Security Act and subsequent rulemaking by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) created an opportunity for Water Quality to monetize the environmental benefit of its biofuel through the generation and sale of environmental attributes called Renewable Identification Numbers (RINS). In November 2016, King County entered an agreement with IGI Resources, Inc, for the sale of bio-methane from South Plant and the corresponding RINS. The agreement with IGI Resources was replaced in August 2022 with an agreement between King County and Anew Climate. In 2023, RINS revenues were \$7.7 million, from which \$1.7 million was used to pay for operational costs to fulfill the administrative and operations requirements of the contract and to improve the operation of the biogas system. In 2022, RINS revenue totaled \$7.8 million, from which \$672 thousand was used to pay for operational and administrative costs and improvement of the operation of the biogas system. Seattle City Light purchased 8.4 million kilowatt hours of electricity generated from digester gas at the West Point Treatment Plant in 2023 and 11.9 million kilowatt hours in 2022.

The Industrial Pretreatment Program conducted 233 inspections and took 1,619 compliance samples in 2023 compared to 225 inspections and 1,607 compliance samples taken in 2022. The program currently tracks 503 facilities with discharge authorization permits and 99 significant industrial users compared to 517 facilities with discharge authorization permits and 102 significant industrial users in 2022.

In 1999, the Metropolitan King County Council adopted the Regional Wastewater Services Plan (RWSP) to construct additional capacity, protect public health, and provide for future projected population growth in its service area through 2030. Major 2023 projects included the Ship Canal Water Quality Project with Seattle Public Utilities and the North Mercer and Enatai Interceptor Upgrades. Remaining RWSP projects include improvements to the regional Conveyance system and CSO control projects. Total capital program expenditures were \$351.5 million in 2023.

Water Quality currently has 38 CSO outfalls plus 5 CSO treatment facilities. Past investments conducted in concert with the City of Seattle have resulted in a significant reduction in combined sewer overflows from a baseline of 2.3 billion gallons per year in years 1980–1983 to an average of 1 billion gallons per year at present.

In 2013, the EPA entered a consent decree (CD) with Water Quality to reduce CSO overflows to meet the regulator's standards of no more than one untreated discharge per year on a 20-year moving average by 2030. The consent decree is based on Water Quality's 2012 Amended Long-term CSO Control Plan (the Plan) and includes commitments to construct 12 projects to control 18 CSO outfall locations. By 2023, six projects were either completed or operational and under monitoring for compliance. At present, four of the remaining six projects are underway, including a joint project with the City of Seattle to control two King County CSO locations.

In October 2019, King County formally requested, and subsequently began negotiations to modify King County's CD with EPA and Ecology to address changed conditions since the CD was signed. These changed conditions include substantially higher cost estimates for planned CSO control projects, climate change impacts, anticipated future increases in regulatory requirements for nutrient discharges to Puget Sound and rate affordability. Any potential modification agreed to by EPA, Ecology and the U.S. District Court would also need King County Council approval.

The EPA and Washington State Department of Ecology (DOE) continue to monitor Water Quality's progress with CSO control and work closely with the enterprise to meet CSO control requirements. In 2019, in accordance with the county's National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit for the West Point Treatment Plant and the consent decree, a review of the CSO Program was submitted to the DOE as part of the West Point permit renewal, stating no changes to the Plan. The next update to the Plan is due to the DOE and EPA in 2026 as part of the next West Point NPDES permit renewal.

Water Quality operating revenues increased by 4.8 percent, or \$27.3 million, to \$594.6 million in 2023 from \$567.3 million in 2022 while operating expenses before depreciation and amortization increased by 20.6 percent, or \$32.7 million, to \$191.5 million in 2023 from \$158.8 million in 2022. Increased RCEs and an increase to the sewer rate are the source of the revenue increase. The increase in operating expenses before depreciation and amortization is reflected in:

- A 122.0 percent increase in pension expense due to changes in actuarial estimates;
- A 22.0 percent increase in materials and supplies expense due to increases in expenses for chemicals and parts to repair aging assets;
- A 25.2 percent increase in contract services due to a combination of a non-capitalizable utility relocation contract to increase capacity on the Sammamish Plateau, a system-wide arc flash hazard assessment, and increased repair and maintenance contracts due to aging assets; and
- A 6.9 percent increase in utility expenses.

The rate stabilization reserve, as mandated by the Metropolitan King County Council, permits Water Quality to set aside a portion of current revenue for future periods to moderate the impact of rate increases over time. The rate stabilization reserve was unchanged at \$46.3 million in 2023. Future deposits to the rate stabilization reserve will decrease operating revenues and debt service coverage in the year of the deposit. Withdrawals will have the opposite effect of increasing operating revenues and debt service coverage in the year the rate stabilization reserve is reduced.

In 2023, Water Quality issued debt to refund existing bonds and to fund its capital program, and voluntarily redeemed outstanding debt using funding from operations. Water Quality received \$134.1 million in low interest state loans at rates between 1.1 percent and 1.6 percent. More detail can be found in the Debt Administration section of this analysis and in Note 5 of the financial statements.

# **Overview of the Financial Statements**

This discussion and analysis are intended to serve as an introduction to Water Quality's basic financial statements. The basic financial statements are comprised of the statement of net position; statement of revenues, expenses, and changes in net position; statement of cash flows; and the notes to the financial statements, which explain certain elements of the financial statements in greater detail.

Water Quality's financial statements provide information with respect to all its activities using enterprise fund accounting methods, which are similar to those used by private-sector companies. The statements provide both long-term and short- term information about Water Quality's financial status.

The statement of net position presents information on all of Water Quality's assets, liabilities, and deferred inflows/outflows of resources, with the difference presented as net position as of year-end. Over time, the statements demonstrate Water Quality's financial health by providing a basis for the reader to evaluate capital structure, liquidity, and financial flexibility.

The most recent year's operating and non-operating revenues and expenses of Water Quality are accounted for in the statement of revenues, expenses, and changes in net position. The statement illustrates the current period results of operations and recovery of costs by receipt of fees and are instrumental in demonstrating Water Quality's continued creditworthiness. Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when liability is incurred regardless of the timing of the cash flows. The receipt of monthly sewage treatment charges provides the principal support for Water Quality's activities. Sewage treatment charges of \$479.4 million provided 80.6 percent of operating revenues in 2023. Water Quality is a wholesale provider of sewage treatment services to 34 municipal and 3 non-municipal participants in King, Pierce, and Snohomish counties. The receipt of the monthly payments is governed by service agreements, the majority of which expire in July 2036.

The statement of cash flows reports cash receipts, cash payments, and net changes in cash derived from operations, financing, and investment activities. From the statement, the reader can discern Water Quality's sources and uses of cash during the year, reasons for differences between operating cash flows and operating income, and the effect on the statement of net position from investing, capital, and financing activities.

The notes to financial statements provide additional information essential to obtain a full understanding of the data provided in the basic statements.

In the following analysis of the financial statements, percentages and ratios were calculated and rounded using the actual detail from the financial statements.

#### Financial Analysis of the Statement of Net Position

Comparative data, stated in millions of dollars:

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2023	2022	
Current assets Noncurrent restricted assets Capital assets Other	\$ 412.0 200.9 4,314.2 184.9	\$530.6 208.1 4,125.6 175.9	
Total assets	5,112.0	5,040.2	
Deferred outflows of resources	150.1	170.6	
Total assets and deferred outflows of resources	5,262.1	5,210.8	
Current liabilities Noncurrent liabilities	392.9 3,610.8	391.0 3,681.4	
Total liabilities	4,003.7	4,072.4	
Deferred inflows of resources	62.0	69.6	
Total liabilities and deferred inflows of resources	4,065.7	4,142.0	
Net position - net investment in capital assets Net position - restricted Net position - unrestricted	675.4 290.2 230.8	440.7 269.9 358.2	
Total net position	\$ 1,196.4	\$ 1,068.8	

Net position serves as a useful indicator of Water Quality's financial position. As of December 31, 2023, assets and deferred outflows of resources exceeded liabilities and deferred inflows of resources by \$1,196.4 million.

Of the total Water Quality assets and deferred outflows of resources, 82.0 percent or \$4,314.2 million were invested in capital assets such as treatment plants, pumping and regulator stations, interceptors, and other equipment at year end 2023. For the year end 2022, 79.2 percent or \$4,125.6 million were invested in capital assets. Water Quality uses its capital assets to provide wholesale wastewater collection and treatment services in King, Pierce, and Snohomish counties.

Net position increased by 11.9 percent or \$127.6 million in 2023 to \$1,196.4 million from \$1,068.8 million in 2022. Restricted net position increased by 7.5 percent or \$20.3 million in 2023 to \$290.2 million from \$269.9 million in 2022. Comprehensive planning costs and actuarial net pension estimate changes were the main cause for the restricted net position increase. Unrestricted net position decreased by \$127.4 million in 2023 to \$230.8 million from \$358.2 million in 2022. The decrease in unrestricted net position is due to a combination of an increase in capital assets and a decrease in liabilities, which created an increase in net investment in capital assets.

# Financial Analysis of the Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Net Position

Comparative data, stated in millions of dollars:

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2023	2022	
Sewage treatment fees Capacity charge revenue Other revenue	\$ 479.4 90.8 24.4	\$ 442.9 98.2 26.2	
Operating revenues Operating expenses	594.6 385.2	567.3 350.2	
Operating income	209.4	217.1	
Contributions and grants Other—net	0.7 (82.5)	0.6 (131.3)	
Change in net position	127.6	86.4	
Net position beginning of year	1,068.8	982.4	
Net position end of year	\$ 1,196.4	\$ 1,068.8	

While the statement of net position shows changes in assets, liabilities, deferred inflows and outflows of resources, and net position; the statement of revenues, expenses, and changes in net position provides insight into the source of these changes. Current operating expenses and debt service requirements are met by operating and non-operating revenues composed of monthly sewage treatment charges, a capacity charge for new customers, other special-handling charges, miscellaneous operating revenues, and investment earnings.

During 2023, operating revenues increased by 4.8 percent or \$27.3 million to \$594.6 million from \$567.3 million in 2022. Operating expenses increased by 10.0 percent, or \$35.0 million to \$385.2 million in 2023 from \$350.2 million in 2022.

### **Operating Revenues**

In 2023, sewage treatment revenue increased by 8.2 percent, or \$36.5 million to \$479.4 million from \$442.9 million in 2022. The increase was due to a combination of increased RCEs, which rose by 2.3 percent from 749,117 in 2022 to 766,688 in 2023, and an increase in the monthly sewage treatment rate from \$49.27 per RCE in 2022 to \$52.11 in 2023.

Capacity charge revenues decreased by 7.5 percent, or \$7.4 million to \$90.8 million in 2023 compared to \$98.2 million in 2022. New capacity charge customers decreased by 46.4 percent to 4,699 in 2023 from 8,759 in 2022. The capacity charge rate increased to \$72.50 per RCE in 2023 from \$70.39 per RCE in 2022.

Early capacity charge payoff revenues decreased by 43.0 percent to \$12.6 million in 2023 from \$22.1 million in 2022. The County allows the capacity charge to be prepaid on a discounted basis at the customer's option. The annual discount rate is set to reflect the 15-year mortgage rate and the yields on the 10- and 20-year Treasury bonds. The rate was 2.8 percent in 2023 and 2.1 percent in 2022. In June of 2023, the County Council adopted a capacity charge of \$74.23, a 2.4 percent increase, along with a 5.8 percent sewer rate increase to \$55.11 for 2024.

Other operating revenues totaling \$24.4 million in 2023 decreased by \$1.8 million, or 6.9 percent, compared to \$26.2 million in 2022. This decrease was primarily due to a large decrease in methane and RINS prices and a decrease in septage hauler activity.

### **Operating Expenses**

In 2023, operating expenses, excluding depreciation, rose 20.6 percent or \$32.7 million to \$191.5 million, compared to a 14.9 percent increase, or \$158.8 million in 2022. The increase can be attributed to increases in labor costs, sewage treatment chemical costs, maintenance parts, contract services, and utility costs.

Labor costs increased by 34.8 percent, or \$18.9 million, from \$54.2 million in 2022 to \$73.1 million in 2023. \$16 million of this increase is due to a change in State pension estimates. Materials and supplies costs increased by 22.0 percent, or \$5.2 million, from \$23.9 million in 2022 to \$29.1 million in 2023. Contract services costs increased by 25.2 percent, or \$5.4 million, from \$21.4 million in 2022 to \$26.8 million in 2023. Utility costs increased by 6.9 percent, or \$1.3 million, from \$19.1 million in 2022 to \$20.4 million in 2023. Internal services expenses increased by 3.9 percent, or \$1.6 million, to \$41.7 million in 2023 from \$40.1 million in 2022.

# Non-operating Revenues and Expenses

Non-operating expenses (net) decreased by \$48.8 million to \$82.5 million in 2023 from \$131.3 million in 2022. Interest costs rose by \$2.4 million, due to a combination of adding a long-term lease and higher interest rates on Water Quality's short-term debt. Interest earnings increased by \$42.1 million as interest rates increased.

## **Capital Assets**

On December 31, 2023, Water Quality's investment in capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation, was \$4,314.2 million, reflecting an increase of \$188.6 million or 4.6 percent more than the balance of \$4,125.6 million on December 31, 2022. In 2023, additional Georgetown Wet Weather Station and Sunset Heathfield Pump Station costs were capitalized and the Lake Hills Interceptor Rehabilitation Phase II was placed into service and capitalized.

Large 2023 construction project expenditures included:

- \$79.2 million for West Point Power Quality Improvements. This project includes the planning, design, installation, and implementation of an Uninterruptible Power Supply (UPS) system that will mitigate the effects of incoming voltage sags on the seven critical pump Variable Frequency Drives (VFDs) to reduce unauthorized discharges of storm water and sewage into Puget Sound at West Point Treatment Plant (WPTP) in Seattle. Substantial completion is expected in July 2024.
- \$51.2 million for North Mercer Island & Enatai Interceptors Upgrade. This project increases the
  reliability and capacity of the existing North Mercer Island Interceptor and Enatai Interceptor
  components of the regional wastewater system to convey the 20-year peak wastewater flows
  projected through the year 2060 from service areas in North Mercer Island, the southwest portion
  of Bellevue, and the Town of Beaux Arts Village. Substantial completion is expected in
  August 2025.
- \$22.2 million for Joint Ship Canal Water Quality CSO Control. This project provides offline storage with a deep storage tunnel constructed between the Ballard and Wallingford CSO areas, on the north side of the Ship Canal. Substantial completion is expected in June 2025.
- \$8.9 million for Jameson/Arcweld Building Replacement. This project provides replacement buildings that are seismically sound, Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) compliant, and creates more functional and productive work facilities for Construction Management and Offsite Facilities employees. The project was substantially completed in February 2024.
- \$8.3 million for Optimization of the three Brightwater Treatment Plant (BW) Aeration Basins. This project decreases chemical and energy usage, decreases foaming and increases process/operational flexibility. Substantial completion is expected in August 2024.

Large 2022 construction project expenditures included:

- \$35.2 million for West Point Power Quality Improvements, as discussed above.
- \$33.4 million for North Mercer Island & Enatai Interceptors Upgrade, as discussed above.
- \$24.4 million for Joint Ship Canal CSO Control, as discussed above.
- \$13.1 million for West Point Primary Sedimentation Area Roof. This project restores the Primary Sedimentation tank roof structure to a seismic life safety performance level at West Point Treatment Plant in Seattle. The project includes removing the Z-beams, girders, columns, upgrading the tank walls, and adding a partial roof structure. Substantial completion is expected in August 2024.

• \$6.2 million for West Point Low-Pressure Sludge Gas/Biogas Piping. This project is to replace and/or rehabilitate the Low-Pressure Sludge Gas/Biogas (LSG) piping system at the West Point Treatment Plant (WPTP) in Seattle. Substantial completion is expected in September 2025.

For more information on capital assets, refer to Note 7 in the financial statements.

#### **Debt Administration**

In January of 2021, Water Quality initiated use of the Commercial Paper (CP) program which is funded by Multi-Modal Limited Tax General Obligation Notes (Payable from Sewer Revenues). The CP program provides flexible, short-term financing and refinancing of long-term fixed or variable-rate debt for Water Quality capital projects. The Notes will not exceed an aggregate principal amount of \$250.0 million at any given time.

On July 19, 2023, the County issued \$130.0 million of Sewer Revenue Bonds with coupon rates of 4.0 percent to 5.0 percent to provide funding for Water Quality's construction plan.

On October 4, 2023, the County issued \$140.8 million in commercial paper to purchase Open Market Securities and U.S. Government and State and Local Government Series securities to refund \$39.7 million of Sewer Revenue and Refunding Bonds, Series 2013B, to reduce future debt service and to refund \$100.3 million of Junior Lien Variable Sewer Revenue Bonds, Series 2020A, which had a mandatory tender date of January 1, 2024.

To reduce future debt service, on October 13, 2023, Water Quality placed existing resources of \$137.8 million with its escrow agent to fully defease the Sewer Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2014A in the amount of \$75.0 million; and partially defease the Sewer Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2014B in the amount of \$43.5 million; the Sewer Improvement and Refunding Revenue Bonds, Series 2015B, in the amount of \$4.7 million; and the Sewer Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2017A in the amount of \$10.5 million.

Water Quality has two Water Infrastructure Finance and Innovation Act (WIFIA) Bonds, totaling \$231.3 million, from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Water Quality made a draw of \$17.7 million in December 2023 for the Georgetown Wet Weather Treatment Station. Draws on the WIFIA Bonds are secured by a pledge of revenue of the sewer system, after payment of all operating and maintenance expenses of the sewer system and are entitled to all of the benefits of a Parity Bond.

Water Quality had \$2.2 billion of sewer revenue bonds and variable rate revenue bonds outstanding at the end of 2023 and had \$2.4 billion of sewer revenue bonds and variable rate revenue bonds outstanding at the end of 2022. Revenue bonds are repaid from and secured by a pledge of earnings, revenues and money received by Water Quality from or on account of operation of the sewer system, including receipts from sewage treatment fees, and other income of Water Quality. Revenue bonds are not guaranteed by the full faith and credit of King County.

At the end of 2023, Water Quality had \$786.4 million of general obligation bonds and variable rate general obligation bonds outstanding and had \$827.2 million of general obligation bonds and variable rate general obligation bonds outstanding at the end of 2022. While the full faith and credit of King County guarantees repayment of principal and interest on general obligation bonds, they are paid from sewage treatment fees and other income.

King County Sewer Enterprise parity revenue bonds ratings of Aa1 from Moody's Investors Services (Moody's) and AA+ from Standard and Poor's (S&P) Global Ratings were affirmed in June 2023. Water Quality's ratings on its limited tax general obligation bonds of Aaa from Moody's and AAA from S&P were also affirmed in March 2024. Water Quality's ratings on its junior lien revenue bonds of Aa2 from Moody's and AA from S&P were also affirmed in June 2023.

As required by bond covenant, Water Quality maintains a bond reserve account, which is funded by cash, Treasury securities investment and surety bond policies. As of December 31, 2023, the cash and investment balance in the reserve account was \$128.8 million and with a surety bond balance of \$29.6 million, totaled \$158.3 million. This balance exceeded the reserve account requirement of maximum annual debt service on the parity bonds by \$4.2 million. On December 31, 2023, and 2022, respectively, the rate stabilization, liquidity, and asset management financial policy reserves totaled \$84.4 million and \$83.5 million.

For more detailed information on debt, refer to Note 5 in the financial statements.

# Debt Service Coverage Ratios

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2023	2022	
Parity and parity lien debt	2.18	2.00	
Total debt	1.77	1.72	

Bond rating agencies closely monitor two debt coverage ratios: coverage on parity debt and coverage on total debt. By bond ordinance, Water Quality sets sewer rates at a level adequate to provide net revenue equal to at least 1.15 times the annual debt service requirement on parity debt. Water Quality has an adopted policy to equal or exceed a ratio of at least 1.25 on parity debt or 0.10 above the ratio required by bond ordinance. Since 2001, Water Quality established a minimum coverage policy of 1.15 on total debt to further strengthen coverage performance.

### **Requests for Information**

This financial report is designed to provide an overview of Water Quality's financial condition as of the year ended December 31, 2023. Questions concerning this report or requests for additional information should be addressed to Eben Sutton, Chief Accountant for King County, 201 S. Jackson Street, Ste. 714, Seattle, WA 98104.

**Financial Statements** 

CURRENT ASSETS		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	345,746
Restricted cash and cash equivalents	Ψ	262
Accounts receivable, net		48,801
Lease receivable		18
Due from other funds		5,788
Due from other governments, net		331
Inventory of supplies		10,970
Prepayments		34
Total current assets		411,950
NONCURRENT ASSETS		
Restricted assets		
Cash and cash equivalents		161,734
Investments		14,260
Net pension asset		24,873
		200,867
Constal accests		
Capital assets		1 002 102
Capital assets not being depreciated Capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation and amortization		1,003,193
Capital assets, her of accumulated depreciation and amortization		3,311,025
		4,314,218
Other noncurrent		
Regulatory assets, net of amortization		178,812
Notes receivable		6,144
		184,956
		101,000
Total noncurrent assets		4,700,041
Total assets		5,111,991
		, ,
DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES		
Deferred outflows on refunding		129,038
Deferred outflows on other postemployment benefits		335
Deferred outflows on pension		20,582
Deferred outflows on asset retirement obligations		160
Total deferred outflows of resources		150,115
TOTAL ASSETS AND DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES	\$	5,262,106

D	ecem	ber 3'	1, 20	)23

CURRENT LIABILITIES		
Accounts payable	\$	47,404
Retainage payable		257
Due to other funds		50
Interest payable		56,293
Wages and benefits payable		6,926
Compensated absences, current portion		627
Other postemployment benefits, current portion		213
Due to other governments, current portion		23
Unearned revenue		3,058
Lease payable, current portion		413
State loans payable, current portion		8,800
General obligation bonds payable, current portion		31,235 64,085
Revenue bonds payable, current portion Environmental remediation liability, current portion		64,085 4,882
Notes payable		4,002 168,600
Other liabilities		13
Total current liabilities		392,879
NONCURRENT LIABILITIES		
Compensated absences		13,900
Other postemployment benefits		2,797
Net pension liability		11,173
Due to other governments		556
Lease payable		50,470
State loans payable, net		259,647
General obligation bonds payable, net		830,126
Revenue bonds payable, net Environmental remediation liability		2,316,884 44,938
Asset retirement obligation		1,485
Other liabilities		78,821
Total noncurrent liabilities		3,610,797
Total liabilities		4,003,676
DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES		40.050
Regulatory credits - rate stabilization Deferred inflows on leases		46,250
		17
Deferred inflows on refunding Deferred inflows on other postemployment benefits		1,278 758
Deferred inflows on pension		13,767
Total deferred inflows of resources		62,070
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES		4,065,746
NET POSITION		4,000,740
Net investments in capital assets		675,354
Restricted for		075,554
Debt service		129,744
Pensions		31,500
Regulatory assets and environmental liabilities		128,992
Unrestricted		230,770
Total net position	\$	1,196,360
	Ψ	1,100,000

See accompanying notes.

# King County Water Quality Enterprise Fund Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Net Position (in thousands) Year Ended December 31, 2023

OPERATING REVENUES Sewage disposal fees	\$	479,425
Capacity charge revenues	Ψ	90,847
Miscellaneous revenues		24,332
		21,002
Total operating revenues		594,604
OPERATING EXPENSES		
Personnel services		73,108
Materials and supplies		29,139
Contract service and other charges		26,812
Utilities		20,442
Internal services		41,716
Depreciation and amortization		172,993
Miscellaneous expenses		21,014
Total operating expenses		385,224
OPERATING INCOME		209,380
NONOPERATING REVENUES (EXPENSES)		
Investment earnings		32,510
Interest expense		(109,929)
Gain on extinguishment of debt		1,789
Federal, state, and other operating subsidies		30
Other revenues		2,578
Loss on disposal and impairment of capital assets		(1,327)
Other expenses		(8,134)
Total nonoperating revenues (expenses), net		(82,483)
INCOME BEFORE GRANTS AND CONTRIBUTIONS		126,897
Capital grants and contributions		676
CHANGE IN NET POSITION		127,573
NET POSITION		
Beginning of year		1,068,787
<u>.</u>		.,,
End of year	\$	1,196,360

See accompanying notes.

CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES Cash received from customers Cash received from other funds - internal services Cash payments to suppliers for goods and services Cash payments to other funds - internal services Cash payments for employee services Other receipts Other payments	\$ 589,791 2,604 (76,371) (41,671) (67,965) 2,582 (33,090)
Net cash provided by operating activities	375,880
CASH FLOWS FROM NONCAPITAL FINANCING ACTIVITIES Operating grants and subsidies Transfers out Assistance to others	144 (1,167) (2,281)
Net cash used in noncapital financing activities	(3,304)
CASH FLOWS FROM CAPITAL AND RELATED FINANCING ACTIVITIES Acquisition of capital and other utility assets Proceeds from disposal of capital assets Principal paid on capital financing Interest paid on capital financing Proceeds from capital financing Cash payments for debt defeasance Capital grants and contributions	(322,166) 16 (236,532) (129,886) 291,273 (137,840) 3,695
Net cash used in capital and related financing activities	(531,440)
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES Purchase of investments Proceeds from sale and maturity of investments Interest on investments Net cash provided by investing activities	(1,475) 1,556 <u>31,742</u> <u>31,823</u>
NET DECREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	(127,041)
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS Beginning of year	634,783
End of year	\$ 507,742

RECONCILIATION OF OPERATING INCOME TO NET CASH PROVIDED BY OPERATING ACTIVITIES	
Operating income	\$ 209,380
Adjustments to reconcile operating income to net cash	
provided by operating activities	
Depreciation and amortization	172,993
Other nonoperating revenue	2,578
Changes in assets	(0.004)
Accounts receivable, net	(2,021)
Lease receivable	42
Due from other funds	527
Inventory of supplies	(168)
Prepayments Net pension asset	(21) (2,216)
Regulatory assets	(8,086)
Other assets	(8,080) (977)
Changes in deferred outflows of resources	(977)
Deferred outflows on other postemployment benefits	(56)
Deferred outflows on pension	1,407
Deferred outflows on asset retirement obligations	249
Changes in liabilities	240
Accounts payable	257
Retainage payable	(34)
Due to other funds	45
Wages and benefits payable	783
Compensated absences	887
Other postemployment benefits	569
Unearned revenue	262
Net pension liability	11,173
Other liabilities	(4,247)
Changes in deferred inflows of resources	
Deferred inflows on leases	(41)
Deferred inflows on other postemployment benefits	20
Deferred inflows on pension	 (7,425)
Total adjustments	 166,500
NET CASH PROVIDED BY OPERATING ACTIVITIES	\$ 375,880

NONCASH INVESTING, CAPITAL, AND FINANCING ACTIVITIES

Water Quality issued commercial paper in 2023 to refund debt issued in 2013 and 2020. The \$140.8 million of commercial paper proceeds were placed in escrow for the defeasance of \$140.0 million of outstanding bond principal and \$653 thousand of interest.

## Note 1 – Operations and Accounting Policies

**Summary of operations** – The King County Water Quality Enterprise Fund (Water Quality) is an enterprise fund operated by the King County Department of Natural Resources and Parks in accordance with Chapter 35.58 of the Revised Code of Washington (RCW) to provide sewage treatment and water pollution abatement services to the urbanized areas of King County, Washington (the County).

Water Quality is an integral part of the County reporting entity and is included, as an enterprise fund, in the County's annual comprehensive financial report (ACFR). As an enterprise fund, Water Quality is funded and operated separately from other operations of the County. Revenues, bond proceeds, and grants are restricted by purpose. Accordingly, Water Quality maintains separate accounting records and issues stand-alone financial statements.

Water Quality has long-term sewage disposal agreements with the cities and sewer districts that operate sewage collection systems within its service area. The monthly sewage disposal charge to the contracting cities and districts is based on Water Quality's estimated annual monetary requirements, including operating costs and debt service. Revenues from Water Quality's largest customer, the City of Seattle (Seattle Public Utilities), represent approximately 38.7 percent of total sewage disposal fees in 2023.

Water Quality purchases goods and services from other County agencies, including reimbursement of the County's general fund for a share of general government. Expenses incurred in doing business with other County agencies amounted to \$41.7 million in 2023.

**Significant accounting policies** – Water Quality is accounted for using the flow of economic resources measurement focus similar to that of a private enterprise organized for profit. Water Quality's financial statements are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) as applied to governmental units using the accrual basis of accounting. Under this method, revenues are recorded when earned, and expenses are recorded at the time liabilities are incurred, regardless of the timing of cash flows. Water Quality applies all applicable Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) pronouncements.

- a. Cash and cash equivalents Water Quality considers demand deposits, cash with escrow agent, and all balances held with the King County Treasurer in the King County Investment Pool (the Pool) except the amounts invested through the Pool-Plus program as cash and cash equivalents. Water Quality records its investments in the Pool-Plus program at fair value. Unrealized gain or loss on Water Quality's proportionate share of the pooled investments and individual investments is reported as a component of investment earnings.
- b. Receivables and allowance for doubtful accounts Receivables include charges for services rendered by Water Quality or intergovernmental grants. All unbilled service receivables are recorded at year-end. The provisions for estimated uncollectible receivables are reviewed at year-end. Water Quality has the ability to place a lien on properties owned by customers for uncollected capacity charges and no allowance for doubtful accounts was recorded as of December 31, 2023. Water Quality reported notes receivable of \$6.1 million at 2023 year-end for capacity charge account balances over 365 days old.

c. **Due from and to other funds** – Due from and to other funds consists of current receivables and payables from or to other funds within the County. They typically arise from interfund goods and service transactions and reimbursements.

Interfund loans receivable and payable or advances from and to other funds represent authorized short-term and long-term, respectively, lending and borrowing arrangements within the County.

- d. **Inventory of supplies** Inventory is recorded at the lower of cost or market using the weightedaverage cost method. Materials and supplies are expensed as consumed. Inventory is written off in the year that it is determined obsolete.
- e. **Restricted assets** In accordance with Water Quality's bond resolutions, state law, King County codes, or other agreements, separate restricted assets have been established. These assets are restricted for specific purposes, including bond and state loan reserves.
- f. Capital assets Capital assets are stated at cost, less accumulated depreciation and amortization. Water Quality's capitalization threshold is: equipment at \$5 thousand; software and intangible assets at \$500 thousand, and buildings and improvements at \$100 thousand. Provision for depreciation and amortization is made on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful lives of Water Quality's capital assets as follows:

Description	Estimated Useful Life
Buildings and improvements other than buildings	10–75 years
Cars, vans, and trucks	5–10 years
Data processing equipment	3–10 years
Heavy equipment	5–25 years
Sewer lines	20–50 years
Shop equipment	5–20 years
Software	3–10 years
Intangibles	35 years

Repairs and maintenance are expensed as incurred; major renewals, replacements, and betterments are capitalized.

Water Quality annually reviews long-lived assets for impairment to determine whether any events or circumstances indicate the carrying value of the assets may not be recoverable. No impairment was identified in 2023.

g. Leases - Water Quality is a lessee for various noncancellable leases of land, right-of-way and easements, building, and equipment. At lease commencement, Water Quality recognizes a lease liability and an intancible right-to-use asset on the Statement of Net Position. The lease liability is initially measured at the present value of payments expected to be made during the lease term. The future lease payments are discounted using the interest rate implicit in the lease contract. If the interest rate is not available, Water Quality generally uses the County's incremental borrowing rate for lease terms (including options to extend which are reasonably certain to be exercised) of less than 15 years and the US Treasury Securities-State and Local Government Series (SLGS) rate for leases with terms of 15 years or more. Subsequently, the lease liability is reduced by the principal portion of lease payments made. The lease asset is initially measured at the amount of the lease liability adjusted for payments made at or before the lease commencement date, direct costs ancillary to placing the underlying asset in service, and lease incentives received. The lease asset is subsequently amortized on a straight-line basis over the shorter of the lease term or the useful life of the underlying asset. The lease term includes the noncancellable period of the lease. For leases with a maximum possible term of 12 months or less at commencement, an expense is recognized based on the provisions of the lease contract.

Lease payments included in the measurement of the lease liability are composed of fixed payments and purchase option prices that Water Quality is reasonably certain to exercise. In determining the lease term, Water Quality considers all facts and circumstances that create an economic incentive to exercise an extension option, or not exercise a termination option. Extension options (or periods after termination options) are only included in the lease term if the lease is reasonably certain to be extended (or not terminated). Water Quality monitors changes in circumstances that would require a remeasurement of its lease and will remeasure the lease asset and liability if certain changes occur that are expected to significantly affect the amount of the lease liability.

Water Quality is a lessor for a noncancelable lease of land. At lease commencement, Water Quality recognizes a lease receivable and a deferred inflow of resources on the Statement of Net position. The lease receivable is initially measured at the present value of payments expected to be received during the lease term and subsequently reduced by the principal portion of lease payments received. The deferred inflow of resources is initially measured as the amount of the lease receivable adjusted for lease payments received at or before the lease commencement date, lease incentives paid, or other payments made on behalf of the lessee at or before the lease commencement date. The deferred inflow of resources is subsequently amortized on a straight-line basis over the lease term. The lease term includes the noncancellable period of the lease. For leases with a maximum possible term of 12 months or less at commencement, revenue is recognized based on the provisions of the lease contract.

Lease receipts included in the measurement of the lease receivable are composed of fixed payments from the lessee. Water Quality monitors changes in circumstances that would require a remeasurement of its lease and will remeasure the lease receivable and deferred inflows of resources if certain changes occur that are expected to significantly affect the amount of the lease receivable.

- h. Compensated absences Employees earn vacation based upon their date of hire and years of service. Employees hired prior to January 1, 2018, have a maximum vacation accrual of 480 hours, while those hired on January 1, 2018, or after have a maximum vacation accrual of 320 hours unless the employee's collective bargaining unit agreement specifies a different maximum. Unused vacation at retirement or normal termination is considered vested and payable to the employee, up to the employee's maximum accrual. Employees also earn up to 12 days of sick leave per year and may accumulate sick leave balances without limit. If the employee is leaving their employment due to death or retirement, they are paid for 35 percent of the value of unused sick leave with no maximum. Vacation pay, a portion of sick leave liabilities, and compensatory time in lieu of overtime pay, including payroll taxes, are accrued.
- i. Rebatable arbitrage Water Quality's tax-exempt debt is subject to arbitrage restrictions as defined by the Internal Revenue Code. Arbitrage occurs when the funds borrowed at tax-exempt rates of interest are invested in higher yielding taxable securities. These interest earnings in excess of interest expense must be remitted to the federal government except when spending exception rules are met. The liability is recognized during the period the excess interest is earned. Water Quality has no liability as of December 31, 2023.
- j. Deferred outflows and inflows of resources Deferred outflows of resources represent consumption of resources that is applicable to future reporting periods and deferred inflows of resources represent acquisition of resources that is applicable to future reporting periods. Deferred outflows of resources include deferred losses on refunding of bonds and certain amounts related to pension, postemployment benefits other than pensions (OPEB) and asset retirement obligations. Deferred inflows of resources include rate stabilization, deferred gains on refunding of bonds, and certain amounts related to a lease, pension and OPEB.
- k. Unearned revenues Unearned revenues are obligations of Water Quality to perform services or provide goods. This account offsets reported assets for revenues that have not met recognition requirements, including prepayments for capacity charges.
- Operating and nonoperating revenues and expenses Operating revenues result from exchange transactions of Water Quality's activities. Expenses associated with providing wastewater treatment services and operating Water Quality's treatment facilities are considered operating. Nonoperating revenues result from nonexchange transactions such as operating subsidies and investment earnings.

Water Quality provides water treatment services to cities and sewer districts and recognizes revenue when such service is provided. Additionally, the capacity charge revenue is generated from new connections to the sewer system. Both water treatment service and capacity charge rates are authorized by ordinances passed by the King County Council. Water treatment service and capacity charge revenues are recorded through cycle billings rendered to customers monthly. Water Quality accrues and records unbilled water service and capacity charge revenues in the financial statements for services provided from the date of the last billing to year-end.

m. **Debt-related amortization** – Bond premiums, discounts and refunding losses and gains are amortized over the life of the bonds using the outstanding principal balance method.

- n. **Capital grant revenues** Pursuant to GASB Statement No. 33, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Nonexchange Transactions*, grant revenues for capital purposes are reported separately from operating and nonoperating revenues as capital grant revenues.
- o. Net position Resources set aside for debt service, capital projects and other obligations, net of related liabilities and deferred outflows/inflows of resources, are classified as restricted net position on the statement of net position as their use is limited by externally imposed restrictions. For pension plans that have a net pension asset, the restricted net position is calculated with the net pension asset adjusted for related deferred outflows/inflows of resources. Net investment in capital assets is reported as a separate component of net position and consists of capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation and amortization, reduced by the outstanding balances of any bonds, notes, or other liabilities attributable to the acquisition, construction, or improvement of those assets. Additionally, deferred outflows/inflows of resources that are attributable to the acquisition, construction, or improvement of those assets or related debt are included in net investment in capital assets. Any net position not subject to classification as restricted or invested in capital assets is reported as unrestricted.
- p. Net position flow assumption Sometimes Water Quality will fund outlays for a particular purpose from both restricted (e.g., restricted bond or grant proceeds) and unrestricted resources. In order to calculate the amounts to be reported as restricted net position and unrestricted net position, a flow assumption must be made about the order in which the resources are considered to be applied. It is Water Quality's policy to consider restricted net position to have been depleted before unrestricted net position is applied.
- q. Use of estimates The preparation of the financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements. Specific estimates have been made in the areas of allowance for uncollectible accounts, environmental remediation costs, useful lives of capital assets, asset retirement obligations, pension and other postemployment benefits liabilities and related deferred outflows and inflows of resources, and future interest rates. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

**New accounting standards** – Effective for fiscal year 2023 reporting, Water Quality implemented the following new GASB standards:

GASB Statement No. 94, *Public-Private and Public-Public Partnerships (PPPs) and Availability Payment Arrangements (APAs)*. This statement establishes accounting and financial reporting guidance for arrangements between governments and an external entity. PPP arrangements generally result in the government conveying control of the right to operate or use a capital asset to an external entity for a period of time in an exchange or exchange-like transaction. APAs are agreements in which a government compensates an operator for services that may include designing, constructing, financing, maintaining, or operating an underlying nonfinancial asset for a period of time in an exchange or exchange-like transaction. This statement did not have an impact on Water Quality's financial statements. GASB Statement No. 96, *Subscription-Based Information Technology Arrangements (SBITAs)*. This statement establishes accounting and financial reporting guidance for SBITAs. A SBITA is defined as a contract that conveys control of the right to use another party's information technology (IT) software, alone or in combination with tangible underlying IT assets, in an exchange or exchange-like transaction for a period exceeding 12 months. The government is required to recognize a subscription liability and an intangible right-to-use subscription asset. Cash outlays necessary to place the subscription asset in service can be capitalized during the initial project implementation stage. Water Quality implemented this statement with no impact on its financial statements.

GASB Statement 99, *Omnibus 2022*. The purpose of this statement is to enhance the comparability in accounting and financial reporting and improve the consistency of authoritative literature by focusing on practice issues that have been identified during implementation and application of various GASB statements. This statement was issued in April 2022 and had multiple effective dates for various topics. The requirements related to leases, PPPs, and SBITAs are effective for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2022, and all reporting periods thereafter. These requirements were adopted by Water Quality in 2023 with no material impact on its financial statements.

# Note 2 – Deposits and Investments in King County Investment Pool

The King County Treasurer is the custodian of Water Quality's cash. Water Quality's cash on deposit with the King County Treasurer is pooled with cash from other County funds and other jurisdictions and are either deposited in the County's bank account or invested by the County. The King County Investment Pool (the Pool) functions essentially as a demand deposit account where Water Quality receives an allocation of its proportionate share of pooled earnings as interest. Water Quality's equity share of the Pool's net assets is reported as cash and cash equivalents on the statement of net position and reflects the change in fair value of the corresponding investment securities.

The Pool is administered by the King County Treasury Operations Section and is not registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) as an investment company. Oversight is provided by the King County Executive Finance Committee (EFC), which serves the role of the County Finance Committee as defined in RCW 36.48.070.

The County has deposit and investment policies addressing risks that have the potential to result in losses of deposits and investments. The deposits that are not covered by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) are collateralized by the Public Deposit Protection Commission of the State of Washington (PDPC) at no less than fifty percent. Effective June 7, 2018, resolution 2018-1 adopted by Commission on June 1, 2018, allowed "all well capitalized public depositaries may collateralize uninsured public deposits at no less than fifty percent." The PDPC is a statutory authority established under Chapter 39.58 RCW that governs public depositaries and provides that "all public funds deposited in public depositaries, including investment deposits and accrued interest thereon, shall be protected against loss, as provided in the chapter." It constitutes a multiple financial institution collateral pool that can make pro rata assessments to all public depositaries within the state for their public deposits. PDPC protection is of the nature of collateral, not of insurance.

The custodial credit risk for deposits is the risk that Water Quality's deposits may not be returned to it in the event of a bank failure. State statutes require that certificates of deposit be placed in qualified public depositaries in the State of Washington and total deposits cannot exceed the net worth of the financial institution. Those institutions not meeting 100 percent collateralization or the minimum credit rating requirements may receive deposits up to the FDIC or federally guaranteed amounts. The County's diversification policy limits the maximum amount of investment in certificates of deposit to 25 percent of the total amount of the portfolio and five percent from a single issuer.

Assessing Water Quality's risk exposure, Water Quality's cash and cash equivalents balance of \$507.7 million were fully invested in the Pool as of December 31, 2023. The County had demand deposits of \$11.7 million as of December 31, 2023, of which \$8.3 million was exposed to custodial credit risk as uninsured and uncollateralized.

The EFC adopted the Pool-Plus program which allows County agencies or junior taxing districts to invest funds beyond the maximum maturity limit established for the Pool. This policy provides an investment option that allows a participant in the Pool to combine a portfolio of individual long-term securities in the same fund that is invested in the Pool. The pooling of the long-term portfolio with the Pool provides the ability to invest at durations longer than the Pool while maintaining access to the liquidity of the Pool. The Pool-Plus program allows qualifying funds to invest over longer durations recognizing there are: (1) potential risks such as increased price volatility and the possibility of selling securities before maturity to pay unexpected expenditures could result in a loss of principal; (2) benefits from reducing reinvestment risk which improves the predictability of future budget revenue; (3) and potential rewards such as increased earnings.

Water Quality participated in the Pool-Plus program starting in 2018 and reported individual investments at \$14.3 million as of December 31, 2023, which comprises fair value of \$14.2 million and interest accrual of \$65 thousand on its investments. The following schedule shows the types of investments, the average interest rate, and the effective duration limits of the Pool-Plus program at December 31, 2023 (dollars in thousands):

	Fair Value Principal		rincipal	Average Interest Rate	Effective _Duration (Yrs)_	
Investment type						
U.S. Treasury notes	\$	14,195	\$	15,190	2.41%	3.978

**Credit risk** – **investments** – Credit risk is the risk that an issuer or other counterparty to an investment will not fulfill its obligation. As of December 31, 2023, the Pool was not rated by a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (NRSRO). In compliance with state statutes, Pool policies authorize investments in U.S. Treasury securities, U.S. federal agency securities and mortgage-backed securities, municipal securities, and corporate notes (rated at least "A" by two NRSROs), commercial paper (rated at least the equivalent of "A-1" by two NRSROs), certificates of deposit issued by qualified public depositories, repurchase agreements, and the Local Government Investment Pool managed by the Washington State Treasurer's office.

The credit quality distribution below is categorized to display the greatest degree of credit risk as rated by Standard and Poor's and Moody's. For example, a security rated "AAA" by one rating agency and "AA" by another would be listed as "AA."

This table shows the credit quality for all securities in the Pool not backed by the full faith and credit of the United States (in thousands):

	Credit Quality Distribution											
Investment Type	AAA or A-1	AA	Α	Not Rated	Total							
Repurchase Agreements	\$ 347,000	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ 347,000							
Commercial Paper	1,146,816	-	-	-	1,146,816							
Corporate Notes	6,699	210,934	309,632	-	527,265							
U.S. Agency Notes	-	1,099,499	-	-	1,099,499							
U.S. Agency Collateralized Obligations	-	45,778	-	-	45,778							
Supranational Coupon Notes	1,394,596	-	-	-	1,394,596							
State Treasurer's Investment Pool				1,723,538	1,723,538							
Total investments	\$ 2,895,111	\$ 1,356,211	\$ 309,632	\$ 1,723,538	\$ 6,284,492							

The Pool's policies limit the maximum amount that can be invested in various securities. At 2023 yearend the Pool was in compliance with these policies. The Pool's actual composition, as of December 31, 2023, is as follows (in thousands):

		Allocation
	 Total	Percentage
Investment type		
Repurchase agreements	\$ 347,000	3.63%
Commercial paper	1,146,816	12.00%
Corporate notes	527,265	5.52%
Government National Mortgage Association		
collateralized mortgage obligations	856,915	8.97%
U.S. Treasury notes	2,415,126	25.27%
U.S. Agency notes	1,099,499	11.51%
U.S. Agency collateralized		
mortgage obligations	45,778	0.48%
Supranational coupon notes	1,394,596	14.59%
State treasurer's investment pool	 1,723,538	18.03%
	\$ 9,556,533	100.00%

**Custodial credit risk – investments** – Custodial credit risk is the risk that in the event of the failure of the counterparty, the County will not be able to recover the value of its investments or collateral securities that are in the possession of an outside party. County policy mandates that all security transactions, including repurchase agreements, are settled "delivery versus payment (DVP)." This means that payment is made simultaneously with the receipt of the security. These securities are delivered to the County's safekeeping bank or its tri-party custodian banks. Exempt from the DVP policy are Certificates of Deposits (CDs) and funds placed with the State of Washington Local Government Investment Pool.

**Concentration of credit risk – investments** – Concentration of credit risk is the risk of loss attributed to the magnitude of a government's investment in a single issue. At 2023 year-end, the Pool had concentrations greater than 5.0 percent of the total investment pool portfolio in the following issuers: Federal Home Loan Banks, 6.5 percent; and Inter-American Development Bank, 5.1 percent.

**Interest rate risk – investments** – Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. Through its investment policy, the County manages its exposure to fair value losses arising from increasing interest rates by setting maturity and effective duration limits for the Pool. The policy limit for the Pool's maximum effective duration is 1.5 years, and 40 percent of the Pool's total value in securities must have a maturity of 12 months or fewer. Securities in the portfolio cannot have an average life greater than five years at purchase. The combined effective duration of the liquidity and core portfolios was 0.788 years at December 31, 2023.

**Fair value hierarchy** – The County categorizes its fair value measurements within the fair value hierarchy established by generally accepted accounting principles. The hierarchy is based on the valuation inputs used to measure fair value of the assets. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in an active market for identical assets; Level 2 inputs are significant other observable inputs; and Level 3 inputs are significant unobservable inputs.

The following is a summary of inputs in valuing the County's investments as of December 31, 2023 (in thousands):

				Fair	Value	Measurement	Using	
			Qı	oted Prices	S	Significant		
				in Active		Other		
			Ν	/larkets for	C	Observable	Unobs	servable
		Fair Value	lde	ntical Assets		Inputs	In	puts
Investments by Fair Value Level		12/31/2023		(Level 1)		(Level 2)		vel 3)
Commercial paper	\$	1,146,816	\$	-	\$	1,146,816	\$	_
Corporate notes	Ψ	527,265	Ψ	-	Ψ	527,265	Ψ	-
Government National Mortgage Association		021,200				027,200		
collateralized mortgage obligations		856,915		-		856,915		-
U.S. treasury notes		2,415,126		2,415,126		-		_
U.S. agency notes		1,099,499				1,099,499		-
U.S. agency collateralized		1,000,100				1,000,100		
mortgage obligations		45,778		-		45,778		-
Supranational coupon notes		1,394,596		-		1,394,596		-
		<u> </u>				<u> </u>		
Subtotal		7,485,995	\$	2,415,126	\$	5,070,869	\$	-
Investments Measured at								
Amortized Cost (Not Subject to								
Fair Value Hierarchy)								
Repurchase agreements		347,000						
State treasurer's investment pool		1,723,538						
Subtotal		2,070,538						
Total investments in Investment Pool	\$	9,556,533						
	Ť	,,						

#### KING COUNTY INVESTMENT POOL

U.S. Treasury notes are valued using quoted prices in active markets and classified in Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy.

Commercial Paper, U.S. Agency Discount Notes, Corporate Notes, U.S. Agency Notes, U.S. Agency Collateralized Mortgage Obligations and Supranational Coupon Notes are valued using standard inputs including benchmark yields, reported trades, broker/dealer quotes, issuer spreads, two-sided markets, benchmark securities, bids, offers, and reference data including market research publications. Interactive Data also monitors market indicators, industry and economic events and corroborating market data and are classified in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

# Note 3 – Restricted Assets

A significant portion of Water Quality's assets are restricted to use by legal and contractual provisions and by fiscal management policy. Restricted assets comprise cash and cash equivalents of \$162.0 million, investments of \$14.3 million, and net pension asset of \$24.9 million at December 31, 2023, to comply with bond covenants and other requirements.

Liability amounts payable from restricted assets primarily consist of escrow and in-house retainage payables and a rental deposit. These amounted to \$262 thousand at December 31, 2023. The details of cash and cash equivalents and restricted assets as of December 31, 2023, are as follows (in thousands):

	 2023
Unrestricted cash and cash equivalents Operating funds Construction funds Bond funds Unallocated insurance recoveries Policy reserves	\$ 3,235 119,371 106,129 78,821 38,190
Total unrestricted cash and cash equivalents	345,746
Restricted cash and cash equivalents Bond reserves SRF loan reserves Retainage and deposits Rate stabilization reserve	 114,503 981 262 46,250
Total restricted cash and cash equivalents	 161,996
Total cash and cash equivalents	 507,742
Restricted investments Bond reserves Restricted net pension asset	14,260 24,873
	 24,075
Total restricted assets - cash and cash equivalents, investments, and other assets	\$ 201,129

#### Note 4 – Risk Management

Water Quality is exposed to a wide range of risks of loss, including those related to tort; theft of, damage to, and destruction of assets; errors and omissions; injuries to employees; and natural disasters.

Water Quality participates in three County internal service funds to account for and finance its (1) property/casualty, (2) workers' compensation, and (3) employee medical and dental benefits, through self-insurance programs. The County contracts with a plan administrator to process medical and dental claims. County fund/claims managers, together with the Civil Division of the King County Prosecuting Attorney's Office, are responsible for processing all tort and workers' compensation claims.

Water Quality claims paid by the Insurance Fund of King County were \$25 thousand during 2023. In the past three years there was no occurrence that resulted in payment in excess of the self-insured retention of \$6.5 million.

Claims settlements and loss expenses are accrued in the three internal service funds for the estimated settlement value of both reported and unreported claims. These funds are responsible for collecting interfund premiums from insured funds and departments, for paying claim settlements, and for purchasing certain policies. The County's internal service funds assess premiums attributable to Water Quality on the basis of claims experience, actuarial evaluation of future claims risk, and adequacy of available reserves. Premiums are recorded as an expense in the year paid or accrued.

Water Quality retains all risk associated with environmental claims.

### Note 5 – Debt and Other Liabilities

#### Short-Term Debt

Limited tax general obligation notes (commercial paper) – In 2020, the County was authorized to utilize a commercial paper program funded by Multi-Modal Limited Tax General Obligation Notes, payable from sewer revenues, up to a combined maximum amount of \$250.0 million, to finance projects that have secured long-term financing from state or federal sources and to refund outstanding and future bonds of the County's sewer system. The authorization expires on December 15, 2050. Commercial paper issued by Water Quality has maturities between one and 270 days. As of December 31, 2023, the commercial paper outstanding was \$168.6 million. The following table summarizes changes in short-term notes payable for the year ended December 31, 2023 (in thousands):

Balance January 1,						Balance December 31,		
		2023 Additions		dditions	R	eductions	2023	
Commercial paper	\$	151,200	\$	141,345	\$	(123,945)	\$	168,600

### Long-term Debt and Other Liabilities

Water Quality issues revenue bonds and limited tax general obligation bonds (LTGO) to provide funding for the construction plan. Some serial bonds may not be redeemed prior to maturity, while other bonds may be redeemed after the lapse of specific periods of time. Amounts from the sewer revenue bond fund may be used to purchase term bonds prior to maturity. Prior to the "Tax Cuts and Jobs Act" of 2017, bond indebtedness was incurred to advance refund several general obligation and revenue bonds.

Sewer Revenue Bonds are Parity Bonds, secured solely by a pledge of and lien on revenue of the system, subordinate only to the payment of operating and maintenance expenses of the County's sewer system. LTGO Bonds are Parity Lien Obligations, payable from sewer revenues, and are limited tax general obligation bonds of the County that are additionally secured by a pledge of and lien on revenue of the system. The lien on the revenue of the system that secures the Parity Lien Obligations is subordinate to the lien that secures the Parity Bonds.

Bonds are displayed net of premium or discount. Annual interest expense is decreased by amortization of debt premium and increased by the amortization of debt discount.

**Sewer revenue bonds** – As of December 31, 2023, bonds outstanding include \$2,238.6 million of serial and term bonds maturing from January 1, 2024, through January 1, 2052, bearing interest at stated rates of 0.19 percent to 5.0 percent per annum.

On July 19, 2023, the County issued \$130.0 million of Sewer Revenue Bonds with coupon rates of 4.0 percent to 5.0 percent to provide funding for Water Quality's construction plan.

On October 4, 2023, the County issued commercial paper notes to purchase Open Market Securities and U.S. Government and State and Local Government Series securities. A total of \$40.6 million was placed in escrow to refund \$39.7 million of Sewer Revenue and Refunding Bonds, Series 2013B, to reduce future debt service.

To reduce future debt service, on October 13, 2023, Water Quality placed existing resources of \$137.8 million with its escrow agent to fully defease the Sewer Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2014A in the amount of \$75.0 million; and partially defease the Sewer Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2014B in the amount of \$43.5 million; the Sewer Improvement and Refunding Revenue Bonds, Series 2015B, in the amount of \$4.7 million; and the Sewer Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2017A in the amount of \$10.5 million.

**Water Infrastructure Finance and Innovation Act (WIFIA) Bonds** – Water Quality has two WIFIA Bonds, totaling \$231.3 million, from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Water Quality made a draw of \$17.7 million in December 2023. Draws on the WIFIA Bonds are secured by a pledge of revenue of the sewer system, after payment of all operating and maintenance expenses of the sewer system and are entitled to all of the benefits of a Parity Bond. The following table summarizes Water Quality's revenue bonds (in thousands):

	Final Maturity	Interest Rates	Original Issue Amount			tstanding at cember 31, 2023
2014B Refunding	7/1/35	1.00-5.00%	\$	192,460	\$	96,010
2015A Refunding	7/1/47	3.00-5.00%	,	474,025		250,660
2015B Refunding	1/1/46	4.00-5.00%		93,345		53,650
2016A Refunding	7/1/41	4.00-5.00%		281,535		251,450
2016B Refunding	7/1/49	4.00-5.00%		499,655		418,855
2017A Refunding	7/1/49	5.00%		149,485		107,325
2018B Sewer Revenue	7/1/32	5.00%		124,455		96,985
2020A Refunding	1/1/52	1.63-5.00%		179,530		175,240
2020B Refunding	1/1/40	0.27-2.48%		186,745		177,595
2020B Refunding Junior Lien Variable	1/1/42	(variable)		100,295		100,295
2021A Refunding	7/1/47	0.19-2.84%		231,200		222,835
2021A Refunding Junior Lien Variable (FRN)	1/1/40	(variable)		140,000		140,000
2023A Sewer Revenue	1/1/47	4.00%-5.00%		129,970		129,970
2018A WIFIA Bond	1/1/51	3.06%		17,686		17,686
					•	
			\$	2,800,386	\$	2,238,556

As of December 31, 2023, Water Quality had \$107.5 million of cash available in debt service funds to service the bond debt. Bond indentures require reserves of \$154.1 million. Water Quality has exceeded this requirement with restricted assets of \$128.8 million and surety guarantees of \$29.6 million.

**General obligation bonds** – As of December 31, 2023, bonds outstanding include \$786.4 million of serial and term bonds maturing January 1, 2024, through January 1, 2046, bearing interest at stated rates of 0.14 percent to 5.0 percent per annum.

The following table summarizes Water Quality's general obligation bonds (in thousands):

	Final Maturity	Interest Rates	Original Issue Amount		Interest		standing at cember 31, 2023
2015A LTGO	7/1/38	2.00-5.00%	\$	247,825	\$ 99,460		
2017A LTGO	7/1/33	4.00-5.00%		154,560	122,715		
2019A LTGO	1/1/38	5.00%		101,035	101,035		
2019A Multi-Modal LTGO	1/1/46	(variable)		100,000	100,000		
2019B Multi-Modal LTGO	1/1/46	(variable)		48,095	48,095		
2021A LTGO	1/1/38	2.00-5.00%		239,585	225,205		
2021B LTGO	7/1/36	0.14-2.24%	_	94,510	 89,850		
			\$	985,610	\$ 786,360		
**State loans** – Water Quality has received loans from the Washington State Department of Ecology under the Water Pollution Control State Revolving Fund Loan Program and the Washington Public Works Trust Fund. The loans require either semi-annual or annual payments of principal and interest from 2024 through 2055 and bear interest at stated rates from 0.5 percent to 2.7 percent. As of December 31, 2023, the balance due on all state loans is \$268.4 million and the unused portion of state loan agreements is \$66.0 million. Water Quality maintains separate cash reserves of \$981 thousand as of December 31, 2023. As these reserves are required under the Revolving Fund Loan Program, they are treated as restricted.

State loans are secured by a subordinate lien on Water Quality's net revenues. Events of default under the loan agreements with the Department of Ecology include nonpayment of amounts due, failure to commence the project for which the loan was granted and unjustified failure to perform loan agreement obligations. Remedies include suspending any undisbursed loan proceeds and acceleration of the outstanding balance, provided that the loan is not subject to acceleration if any sewer revenue bonds are outstanding. Any state funds owed to the County may also be withheld. Events of default under the loan agreements with the Public Works Trust Fund include nonpayment of amounts due and failure to use loan proceeds for permitted activities. Remedies include withholding of any undisbursed loan proceeds, assessment of additional interest and notification to creditors.

**Variable rate general obligation and revenue bonds** – The County's \$140.0 million in Floating Rate Notes (FRN) with an interest rate set at a fixed spread, plus 23 basis points, over the Securities Industry and Financial Markets Association (SIFMA) Index have a 5-year mandatory tender date on January 1, 2027.

The 2019 Series A and Series B Multi-Modal Variable Rate Bonds in the amount of \$148.1 million are secured by a subordinate lien on the net revenue of the Water Quality system, as well as the full faith and credit of the County. The Standby Bond Purchase Agreement, which supports the bonds and expires on June 26, 2024, contains a provision that in the event of a default, including non-payment of amounts due or a ratings downgrade below a certain threshold, the outstanding amounts would be subject to an acceleration clause, and become due immediately.

The outstanding Mandatory Put Bonds, Series 2020B, in the amount of \$100.0 million do not require liquidity facilities. Series 2020B has a mandatory tender date of January 1, 2026.

The future annualized interest payments for the variable rate bonds are based on an interest rate of 3.5 percent, which represents 70 percent of the long-term interest rate of 5.0 percent assumed by the County for financial planning purposes. Interest payments on the Junior Lien Variable Sewer Revenue Bonds, Series 2020B, are based on the stated interest rate of 0.875 percent that will be paid through its mandatory purchase date.

On October 4, 2023, the County issued commercial paper notes to purchase Open Market Securities and U.S. Government and State and Local Government Series securities. A total of \$100.2 million was placed in escrow to refund \$100.3 million of Junior Lien Variable Sewer Revenue Bonds, Series 2020A, which had a mandatory tender date of January 1, 2024.

Year(s) Ending		Revenu	e Bo	onds	G	General Obligation Bonds		State Loans				
December 31	F	Principal		Interest	F	Principal		Interest		Principal	nterest	 Total
2024	\$	64,085	\$	80,089	\$	31,235	\$	34,031	\$	8,800	\$ 4,768	\$ 223,008
2025		57,290		78,434		40,790		32,533		6,989	4,658	220,694
2026		58,165		76,556		50,170		30,587		9,234	4,548	229,260
2027		67,410		74,537		49,760		28,455		8,749	4,416	233,327
2028		78,320		71,403		47,745		26,243		8,844	4,271	236,826
2029-2033		408,550		314,652		269,435		94,608		44,188	19,090	1,150,523
2034-2038		486,551		232,525		149,130		54,994		46,131	15,104	984,435
2039-2043		646,896		130,576		-		39,986		40,769	11,197	869,424
2044-2048		310,663		43,464		148,095		23,991		44,387	7,290	577,890
2049-2053		60,626		4,039		-		-		45,156	2,949	112,770
2054-2055		-		-		-		-		5,200	 211	 5,411
	\$	2,238,556	\$	1,106,275	\$	786,360	\$	365,428	\$	268,447	\$ 78,502	\$ 4,843,568

At December 31, 2023, the required principal and interest payments for all classes of long-term debt are as follows (in thousands):

**Prior year refunded and defeasance of debt** – As of December 31, 2023, Water Quality had outstanding refunded and defeased general obligation bonds and sewer revenue bonds in the amount of \$621.4 million, of which \$347.6 million were for bonds defeased prior to 2023. Water Quality defeased these bonds by placing proceeds of the refunding bonds and/or existing cash from Water Quality in irrevocable trust accounts to provide for future debt service payments on the defeased bonds. As a result, the refunded bonds are considered to be defeased and the liability has been removed from Water Quality's statement of net position.

**Financial policy reserves** – In addition to bond reserves related to Sewer Revenue Bonds, Water Quality maintains liquidity and asset management reserves totaling \$38.2 million at December 31, 2023.

**Compliance with bond resolutions** – With respect to the year ended December 31, 2023, Water Quality complied with all financial covenants stipulated by its bond resolutions.

**Changes in long-term liabilities** – Long-term liability activity for the year ended December 31, 2023, was as follows (in thousands):

	Balance January 1, 2023	Additions	Reductions	Balance December 31, 2023	Due Within One Year
Bonds payable Bond premiums and discounts	\$ 3,258,185 249,060	\$  147,656 8,894	\$ (380,925) (40,540)	\$ 3,024,916 217,414	\$
Total bonds payable	3,507,245	156,550	(421,465)	3,242,330	95,320
Direct borrowings-state loans	140,726	134,144	(6,423)	268,447	8,800
Lease payable	1,429	49,785	(331)	50,883	413
Compensated absences	13,640	14,410	(13,523)	14,527	627
Other post-employment benefits	2,441	772	(203)	3,010	213
Net pension liability	-	16,880	(5,707)	11,173	-
Environmental remediation	54,059	2,551	(6,790)	49,820	4,882
Asset retirement obligations	1,485	-	-	1,485	-
Other liabilities	79,396	4		79,400	23
Total long-term liabilities	\$ 3,800,421	\$ 375,096	\$ (454,442)	\$ 3,721,075	\$ 110,278

# Note 6 – Asset Retirement Obligations

In 2023, Water Quality reported the ARO of \$1.5 million in accordance with GASB Statement No. 83, *Certain Asset Retirement Obligations*. The liability is measured at its current value and based on professional judgment, experience, and historical cost data.

Water Quality's ARO relates to the disposition of underground storage tanks (USTs) due to applicable regulations and requirements. The estimated remaining useful life of the USTs ranges from one to six years.

The liability could change over time as new information becomes available as a result of changes in technology, legal or regulatory requirements, and types of equipment, facilities or services that will be used to meet the obligation to dispose of the USTs.

## Note 7 – Changes in Capital Assets

Changes in capital assets for the year ended December 31, 2023, are shown in the following table (in thousands):

	Janu	ance Jary 1, 023	In	creases	D	ecreases	Balance cember 31, 2023
Land	\$	248,834	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 248,834
Easements		16,198		35		-	16,233
Artwork		1,023		-		-	1,023
Construction work in progress		494,190		343,361		(100,448)	 737,103
Total nondepreciable assets		760,245		343,396		(100,448)	 1,003,193
Buildings	2,	172,597		14,464		(144)	2,186,917
Improvements other than buildings		160,730		2,737		(42)	163,425
Right of way		7,635		-		-	7,635
Infrastructure	2,0	601,200		20,463		(1,057)	2,620,606
Equipment	1,:	292,347		32,518		(10,620)	1,314,245
Software development		37,709		37		-	 37,746
Total depreciable assets	6,2	272,218		70,219		(11,863)	 6,330,574
Accumulated depreciation and amortization							
Building	(1,0	015,572)		(57,393)		98	(1,072,867)
Improvements other than building		(60,243)		(6,343)		25	(66,561)
Right of way		(2,454)		(218)		-	(2,672)
Infrastructure	(8	873,358)		(52,780)		769	(925,369)
Equipment	(!	920,593)		(53,772)		9,630	(964,735)
Software development		(37,309)		(155)		-	 (37,464)
Total depreciation and amortization	(2,	909,529)		(170,661)		10,522	 (3,069,668)
Depreciable assets - net	3,	362,689		(100,442)		(1,341)	 3,260,906
Lease assets - net (see Note 8 for detail)		2,666		49,785		(2,332)	 50,119
Total capital assets - net	\$ 4,	125,600	\$	292,739	\$	(104,121)	\$ 4,314,218

## Note 8 – Leases

**Lessee activity** – Water Quality leases land, building, equipment, and other assets under a variety of long-term, non-cancelable leases agreements. In accordance with GASB Statement No. 87, *Leases*, Water Quality records right-to-use assets and lease liabilities based on the present value of expected payments over the lease term of the respective leases. The expected lease payments are discounted using the interest rate implicit in the lease contract. If the interest rate is not available, Water Quality generally uses the County's incremental borrowing rate for lease terms (including options to extend which are likely to be exercised) of less than 15 years and the SLGS rate for leases with terms of 15 years or more.

The following is a summary of Water Quality's right-to-use lease asset activity for the year ended December 31, 2023 (in thousands):

	Balance January 1, 2023		Increases Decreases			Balance December 31, 2023		
Furniture, machinery, and equipment	\$	151	\$	-	\$	(36)	\$	115
Buildings		-		49,785		-		49,785
Land		8		-		(8)		-
Rights-of-way and Easements		3,635		-		-		3,635
Right-to-use assets, being amortized:		3,794		49,785		(44)		53,535
Furniture, Machinery, and Equipment		(52)		(50)		36		(66)
Buildings		-		(1,034)		-		(1,034)
Land		(4)		(4)		8		-
Rights-of-way and Easements		(1,072)		(1,244)		-		(2,316)
Total accumulated amortization		(1,128)		(2,332)		44		(3,416)
Total right-to-use assets, net	\$	2,666	\$	47,453	\$	-	\$	50,119

At December 31, 2023, future annual lease principal and interest payments are as follows (in thousands):

	Right-to-Use Lease Agreements					
Year(s) Beginning	Р	rincipal		Interest		
January 1, 2024	\$	413	\$	1,830		
January 1, 2025		466		1,815		
January 1, 2026		519		1,803		
January 1, 2027		583		1,789		
January 1, 2028		648		1,777		
January 1, 2029-2033		3,821		8,519		
January 1, 2034-2038		6,016		7,653		
January 1, 2039-2043		9,190		6,275		
January 1, 2044-2048		13,258		4,239		
January 1, 2049-2053		15,969		1,378		
	¢	50 000	¢	27.070		
	\$	50,883	\$	37,078		

**Lessor activity** – Water Quality leases land to an American wireless network operator. Water Quality records lease receivable and deferred inflows of resources based on the present value of expected receipts over the term of the lease. The expected receipts are discounted using the County's incremental borrowing rate.

During 2023, the amount recognized as lease revenue and lease interest was \$41 thousand and \$2 thousand, respectively.

# Note 9 – Environmental Remediation

Water Quality operations are subject to rules and regulations enacted by the Washington State Department of Ecology (DOE) and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

Water Quality follows GASB Statement No. 49, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pollution Remediation Obligations* (GASB 49), which mandates the disclosure of "obligations to address current or potential detrimental effects of existing pollution by participating in pollution remediation activities." GASB 49 establishes five events, any one of which obligates Water Quality to record a liability for pollution remediation expenditures. Liabilities are related to ongoing projects, which include the sediment management of aquatic habitats along Elliott Bay and the clean-up of certain sites along the Lower Duwamish Waterway (LDW). Environmental remediation costs are capitalized and amortized over 30 years.

The Sediment Management Project was obligated when the Metropolitan King County Council approved the pollution remediation program. The LDW project became a Water Quality obligation when King County entered into an Administrative Order on Consent (AOC) with the DOE and EPA. This AOC also includes The Boeing Company, the City of Seattle and the Port of Seattle as parties conduct the studies on which to base the cleanup decision. Each party has agreed to pay one fourth of the costs under the AOC.

Both projects may result in additional cleanup efforts as a result of future regulatory orders. These potential cleanup liabilities cannot be currently estimated. Ongoing, regulatory action has identified and may identify other Potentially Responsible Parties (PRP) for the LDW cleanup.

There are no estimated recoveries at this time that will reduce the amount of Water Quality's pollution remediation obligations. The total environmental remediation liability at December 31, 2023, stands at \$49.8 million.

The pollution remediation obligation is an estimate and subject to changes resulting from price increases or reductions, technology, or changes in applicable laws or regulations.

The methodology for estimating liabilities continues to be based on Water Quality engineering analysis, program experience and cost estimates for the remediation activities scheduled to be undertaken in future years as programmed under Water Quality's Regional Wastewater Services Plan. Certain costs were developed by consulting engineers. Costs were estimated using the expected cash flow method set out by GASB 49. For the LDW project, a weighted average method is used to calculate the liability. The Sediment Management Plan does not employ weighted average cost estimates continue to be remeasured as succeeding benchmarks are reached or when cost assumptions are modified. All pollution remediation costs are being deferred and amortized over 30 years as permitted by regulatory accounting standards (see Note 10 – Regulatory Assets and Credits).

## Note 10 – Regulatory Assets and Credits

The King County Council has taken various regulatory actions resulting in differences between the recognition of revenues for rate-making purposes and their treatment under generally accepted accounting principles for nonregulated entities. Changes to these balances and their inclusion in rates may occur only at the direction of the Council.

**Rate stabilization** – In 2005, the Council established a Rate Stabilization Reserve. This action created a deferred inflow of resource which deferred operating revenue to be set aside in a reserve and recognized in subsequent years to maintain stable sewer rates. The reserve was \$46.3 million in 2023.

**Pollution remediation** – In 2006, the Council approved the application of regulatory accounting to treat pollution remediation obligations as regulatory assets to allow for cost recovery through future rate increases. The portion of regulatory asset costs that have been accrued is being amortized over a recovery period of 30 years. Water Quality had \$76.9 million in pollution remediation regulatory assets, net of amortization, as of December 31, 2023.

**Rainwise program** – In 2013, the Council approved the application of regulatory accounting to treat program payments to Rainwise participants as regulatory assets to allow for cost recovery through future rate increases. The portion of regulatory asset costs that have been accrued is being amortized over a recovery period of seven years. Water Quality had \$1.1 million in Rainwise regulatory assets, net of amortization, as of December 31, 2023.

**Strategic planning costs** – In 2016, the Council approved the application of regulatory accounting to treat strategic planning costs as regulatory assets to allow for cost recovery through future rate increases. The portion of regulatory asset costs that have been accrued is amortized over a 7- to 10-year recovery period. Water Quality had \$98.7 million in strategic planning regulatory assets, net of amortization, as of December 31, 2023.

# Note 11 – Employee Benefit Plans

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 68, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions*, the County elected to use June 30, 2023, as the measurement date for reporting net pension asset/liability at 2023 year-end.

The following table represents the aggregate pension amounts allocated to Water Quality for all pension plans subject to the requirements of GASB Statement No. 68 for the year 2023 (in thousands):

	 gate Pension its—All Plans
Net pension liability	\$ (11,173)
Net pension asset	24,873
Deferred outflows of resources	20,582
Deferred inflows of resources	(13,767)
Pension expense (benefit)	11,490

**Pension plans** –Substantially all full-time and qualifying part-time employees of Water Quality participate in Public Employees' Retirement System (PERS) Plan 2 or Plan 3.

PERS is administered by the Washington State Department of Retirement Systems (DRS) under costsharing, multiple-employer public employee defined benefit and defined contribution retirement plans. The state Legislature establishes, and amends, laws pertaining to the creation and administration of all statewide public retirement systems.

The DRS, a department within the primary government of the State of Washington, issues a publicly available annual comprehensive financial report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information for each plan.

The DRS ACFR may be downloaded from the DRS website at 2023 ACFR Document (wa.gov).

**Public Employees Retirement System** – PERS members include elected officials; state employees; employees of the Supreme, Appeals and Superior Courts; employees of the legislature; employees of district and municipal courts; employees of local governments; and higher education employees not participating in higher education retirement programs. PERS is comprised of three separate pension plans for membership purposes. PERS Plans 1 and 2 are defined benefit plans, and PERS Plan 3 is a defined benefit plan with a defined contribution component.

**PERS Plan 1** provides retirement, disability, and death benefits. Retirement benefits are determined as two percent of the member's average final compensation (AFC) times the member's years of service. AFC is the average of the member's 24 highest consecutive service credit months. Members are eligible for retirement from active status at any age with at least 30 years of service, at age 55 with at least 25 years of service, or at age 60 with at least five years of service.

Members retiring from inactive status prior to the age of 65 may receive actuarially reduced benefits. Retirement benefits are actuarially reduced to reflect the choice of a survivor benefit. Other benefits include an optional cost-of-living adjustment (COLA). PERS 1 members were vested after the completion of five years of eligible service. The plan was closed to new entrants after September 30, 1977.

Water Quality's actual contributions to the PERS Plan 1, which were the PERS Plan 1 Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL) portion of PERS Plan 2/3 employer contributions, were \$3.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2023.

**PERS Plan 2/3** provides retirement, disability, and death benefits. Retirement benefits are determined as two percent of the member's average final compensation (AFC) times the member's years of service for Plan 2 and one percent of AFC for Plan 3. AFC is the monthly average of the member's 60 highest-paid consecutive service credit months. There is no cap on years of service credit. Members are eligible for retirement with a full benefit at age 65 with at least five years of service credit. Retirement before age 65 is considered an early retirement. PERS Plan 2/3 members who have at least 20 years of service credit and are 55 years of age or older, are eligible for early retirement with a reduced benefit. The benefit is reduced by a factor that varies according to age for each year before age 65. PERS Plan 2/3 retirement benefits are actuarially reduced if a survivor benefit is chosen. Other PERS Plan 2/3 benefits include a COLA based on the Consumer Price Index, capped at 3 percent annually.

PERS Plan 2 members are vested after completing five years of eligible service. PERS Plan 3 members are vested in the defined benefit portion of their plan after ten years of service; or after five years of service if 12 months of that service are earned after age 44.

PERS Plan 2/3 is considered a single defined benefit plan for reporting purposes. PERS Plan 3 accounts for the defined contribution portion of benefits for Plan 3 members. Local government employees joining the system on or after September 1, 2002, have the irrevocable option of choosing membership in either PERS Plan 2 or PERS Plan 3. The option must be exercised within 90 days of employment. Employees who fail to choose within 90 days default to PERS Plan 2.

PERS Plan 3 defined contribution retirement benefits are dependent on employee contributions and investment earnings on those contributions. Members are eligible to withdraw their defined contributions upon separation. Members have multiple withdrawal options, including purchase of an annuity. As established by statute, PERS Plan 3 required defined contribution rates are set at a minimum of 5 percent and escalate to 15 percent with a choice of six options. PERS Plan 3 members choose their contribution rate from six options when joining membership and can change rates only when changing employers. Employers do not contribute to the defined contribution benefits. PERS Plan 3 members are immediately vested in the defined contribution portion of their plan.

The PERS Plan 2/3 employer and employee contribution rates are developed by the OSA to fully fund Plan 2 and the defined benefit portion of Plan 3. The Plan 2/3 employer rates include a component to address the PERS Plan 1 Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL) and an administrative expense. The rates are adopted by the Pension Funding Council each biennium and subject to change by the Legislature. The PERS Plan 2/3 required contribution rates (expressed as a percentage of covered payroll) for 2023 were as follows:

	PERS Plan 2/3	6	
Actual Contribution Rates	Employer Plan 2/3	Employee Plan 2	Employee Plan 3
January – June 2023			
PERS Plan 1	6.36%	6.36%	Varies
PERS Plan 1 UAAL	3.85%		
Administrative Fee	0.18%		
Total	10.39%		
July – August 2023			
PERS Plan 1	6.36%	6.36%	Varies
PERS Plan 1 UAAL	2.85%		
Administrative Fee	0.18%		
Total	9.39%		
September – December 2023			
PERS Plan 1	6.36%	6.36%	Varies
PERS Plan 1 UAAL	2.97%		
Administrative Fee	0.20%		
Total	9.53%		

Water Quality's actual contributions to the PERS plan 2/3 were \$5.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2023.

**Actuarial assumptions** – The total pension liability (TPL) for each of the DRS plans was determined by an actuarial valuation as of June 30, 2022, with the results rolled forward to June 30, 2023, using the following actuarial assumptions, applied to all prior periods included in the measurement:

- Inflation: 2.75 percent total economic inflation; 3.25 percent salary inflation.
- Salary increases: In addition to the base 3.25 percent salary inflation assumption, salaries are also expected to grow by service-based salary increases.
- Investment rate of return: 7.00 percent.

Mortality rates were developed using the Society of Actuaries' Pub. H-2010 mortality rates, which vary by member status, as the base table. OSA applied age offsets for each system, as appropriate, to better tailor the mortality rates to the demographics of each plan. OSA applied the long-term MP-2017 generational improvement scale, also developed by the Society of Actuaries, to project mortality rates for every year after the 2010 base table. Mortality rates are applied on a generational basis. Under "generational" mortality, a member is assumed to receive additional mortality improvements in each future year throughout their lifetime.

The actuarial assumptions used in the June 30, 2022, valuation were based on the results of the 2013-2018 Demographic Experience Study Report and the 2021 Economic Experience Study. Additional assumptions for subsequent events and law changes are current as of the 2022 actuarial valuation report.

**Long-term expected rate of return** – OSA selected a 7.0 percent long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments. In selecting this assumption, OSA reviewed the historical experience data, considered the historical conditions that produced past annual investment returns, and considered Capital Market Assumptions (CMAs) and simulated expected investment returns the Washington State Investment Board (WSIB) provided.

The WSIB's Capital Market Assumptions (CMAs) contain the following three pieces of information for each class of assets the WSIB currently invests in:

- Expected annual return.
- Standard deviation of the annual return.
- Correlations between the annual returns of each asset class with every other asset class.

The WSIB uses the CMAs and their target asset allocation to simulate future investment returns over various time horizons. The expected future rates of return (expected returns, net of pension plan investment expense, including inflation) are developed by the WSIB for each major asset class.

Best estimates of arithmetic real rates of return for each major asset class included in the pension plan's target asset allocation as of June 30, 2023, are summarized in the following table:

Asset Class	Target Allocation	% Long-Term Expected Real Rate of Return Arithmetic
Fixed income Tangible assets Real estate Global equity Private equity	20.00% 7.00% 18.00% 32.00% 23.00%	1.50% 4.70% 5.40% 5.90% 8.90%
	100.00%	

The inflation component used to create the table above was 2.20 percent and represents the WSIB's long term estimate of broad economic inflation consistent with their 2021 CMAs.

**Discount rate** – The discount rate used to measure the total pension liability for all DRS plans was 7.0 percent. To determine that rate, an asset sufficiency test was completed to test whether each pension plan's fiduciary net position was sufficient to make all projected future benefit payments for current plan members.

Based on OSA's assumptions, the pension plan's fiduciary net position was projected to be available to make all projected future benefit payments of current plan members. Therefore, the long-term expected rate of return of 7.0 percent was used to determine the total pension liability.

**Sensitivity of net pension liability (asset)** – The table below presents Water Quality's proportionate share of the net pension liability (asset) calculated using the discount rate of 7.0 percent, as well as what Water Quality's proportionate share of the net pension liability/(asset) would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1-percentage point lower (6.0 percent) or 1-percentage point higher (8.0 percent) than the current rate (in thousands).

				Current			
	1%	Decrease	Disc	count Rate	1%	6 Increase	
Pension Plan	(	(6.00%)		7.00%)	(8.00%)		
PERS 1	\$	15,610	\$	11,173	\$	7,301	
PERS 2/3		27,052		(24,873)		(67,532)	

**Pension plan fiduciary net position** – Detailed information about the fiduciary net position of the State's pension plans is available in the separately issued DRS financial report.

**Pension liability (asset), pension expense, and deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions** – At December 31, 2023, Water Quality reported a net pension liability of \$11.2 million and a net pension asset of \$24.9 million for its proportionate share of the net pension liabilities (assets) as follows (in thousands):

	Liab	Liability (Asset)			
PERS 1 PERS 2/3	\$	11,173 (24,873)			

Water Quality's proportionate share of the collective net pension asset was as follows:

	Proportionate Share 6/30/22	Proportionate Share 6/30/23	Change in Proportion
PERS 1	-	0.49%	0.49%
PERS 2/3	0.61%	0.61%	-

Employer contribution transmittals received and processed by the DRS for its fiscal year ended June 30, 2023, are used as the basis for determining each employer's proportionate share of the collective pension amounts reported by the DRS in the Schedules of Employer and Nonemployer. Allocations for all plans except Law Enforcement Officers' and Fire Fighters' Retirement System (LEOFF) Plan 1.

**Pension expense (benefit)** – For the year ended December 31, 2023, Water Quality recognized pension expense and benefits as follows (in thousands):

	ion Expense Benefit)
PERS 1 PERS 2/3	\$ 13,950 (2,460)

**Deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources** – At December 31, 2023, Water Quality reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions from the following sources (in thousands):

PERS 1		Deferred Outflows of Resources		Deferred Inflows of Resources	
Net difference between projected and actual investment earnings on pension plan investments.	\$	-	\$	(1,259)	
Contributions subsequent to the measurement date.		1,449			
Total	\$	1,449	\$	(1,259)	

# King County Water Quality Enterprise Fund Notes to Financial Statements

PERS 2/3	Deferred Outflov of Resources		 ed Inflows of
Difference between expected and actual experience.	\$	5,066	\$ (278)
Net difference between projected and actual investment earnings on pension plan investments.		-	(9,374)
Changes of assumptions.		10,442	(2,276)
Changes in proportion and differences between contributions and proportionate share of contributions.		727	(580)
Contributions subsequent to the measurement date.		2,898	 -
Total	\$	19,133	\$ (12,508)

Deferred outflows of resources related to pensions resulting from Water Quality's contributions subsequent to the measurement date will be recognized as a reduction of the pension liability in the year ended December 31, 2024. Other amounts reported as deferred outflows and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions will be recognized in pension expense as follows (in thousands):

Year Ending December 31,	PER	PERS 1		PERS 2/3	
2024 2025 2026 2027 2028 Thereafter	\$	(857) (1,078) 665 11 -	\$	(4,375) (5,354) 7,849 2,784 2,784 2,744 79	
Thereafter		-		_,.	

# Note 12 – Other Post-Employment Benefits

GASB Statement No. 75, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Postemployment Benefits Other Than Pensions*, establishes standards for recognizing and measuring other postemployment benefits (OPEB) liabilities, deferred outflows of resources, deferred inflows of resources, and expenses. The following table represents Water Quality's allocated OPEB amounts subject to the requirements of GASB Statement No. 75 for the year 2023 (in thousands):

	OPEB Amounts		
OPEB liabilities	\$	(3,010)	
Deferred outflows of resources		335	
Deferred inflows of resources		(758)	
OPEB expense		533	

# King County Water Quality Enterprise Fund Notes to Financial Statements

**Plan description** – The County administers a single-employer defined-benefit postemployment healthcare plan (the Plan). The Plan provides healthcare insurance for eligible retirees and their spouses and children through the County's health insurance plan, which covers retired members. The plan provides healthcare insurance benefits and prescription coverage. The plan offers dental and vision benefits, but the retiree premiums are assumed to cover the full cost of those benefits. The Plan does not issue a separate stand-alone financial report.

As a self-insurer, the County establishes a monthly premium rate for insurance benefits each budget year. Eligible retirees may continue to receive insurance benefits by contributing 100 percent of the Consolidated Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act or COBRA rate for these benefits. The program provides the same level of healthcare benefits to retirees as the active group plan. LEOFF1 retirees are not required to contribute as the County pays 100 percent of their medical costs. The County pays benefits as they come due.

**Employees covered by benefit terms** – At December 31, 2023, the benefit terms covered the following County employees:

Category	Count
Inactive employees, spouses, or beneficiaries currently	
receiving benefit payments	510
Active employees	14,525
Total	15,035

**Total OPEB liability** – The County's total OPEB liability was valued as of December 31, 2022, and was used to calculate the total OPEB liability measured as of December 31, 2023.

**Actuarial assumptions** – The total OPEB liability in the December 31, 2023, actuarial valuation was determined using the following actuarial assumptions, applied to all periods included in the measurement, unless otherwise specified:

Measurement Date	December 31, 2023
Discount rate	3.77%
Inflation	2.75%
Healthcare cost trend rates	
Pre-Medicare	6.80% *
Medicare	4.17% *
Salary increases	3.25% **
Mortality rates	Public Employer Mortality Tables - Society of Actuaries

\* Trending down to 4.14 percent over 51 years, Applies to calendar years.

\*\* Additional merit-based increase based on State of Washington merit salary increase tables.

• Projections of the sharing of benefit-related costs are based on an established pattern of practice.

• Experience studies come from the State of Washington 2018 reports.

- Inactive employees (retirees) pay 100 percent of the cost of benefits, except for LEOFF1 which pays zero percent.
- There were no ad hoc postemployment benefit changes (including ad hoc COLAs) to the Plan.

The discount rate used to measure the total OPEB liability is 3.77 percent. The County's OPEB Plan is an unfunded plan, therefore the discount rate was set to the rate of tax-exempt, high-quality 20-year municipal bonds, as of the valuation date.

**Changes in total OPEB liability** – Water Quality's allocated changes in the total OPEB liability for the year ended December 31, 2023, were as follows (in thousands):

Total OPEB liability - 1/1/2023	\$ 2,441
Changes for the year	
Service cost	94
Interest	119
Differences between expected and actual experience	(2)
Change of assumptions	55
Benefit payments	(111)
Implicit subsidy credit	(92)
Other changes	 506
Net changes	569
Total OPEB liability - 12/31/2023	\$ 3,010

**Sensitivity of total OPEB liability to changes in the discount rate** – The table below presents Water Quality's proportionate share of the total OPEB liability as well as what Water Quality's proportionate share of the total OPEB liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is one percentage point lower (2.77 percent) or one percentage point higher (4.77 percent) than the current rate (in thousands).

	 1% Decrease (2.77%)		Current Discount Rate (3.77%)		1% Increase (4.77%)	
Total OPEB liability Increase (Decrease) % change	\$ 3,217 207 6.88%	\$	3,010	\$	2,818 (192) -6.38%	

**Sensitivity of total OPEB liability to changes in the healthcare cost trend rates** – The healthcare trend for this valuation started at 7.40 percent and decreased to 4.14 percent over 51 years. The table below presents Water Quality's proportionate share of the total OPEB liability as well as what Water Quality's proportionate share of the total OPEB liability would be if it were calculated using healthcare cost trend rates that are one percentage point lower (6.40 percent) or one percentage point higher (8.40 percent) than current healthcare cost trend rates (in thousands).

	 1% Decrease (6.40%)		Trend Rate (7.40%)		Increase 3.40%)
Total OPEB liability Increase (Decrease) % change	\$ 2,733 (277) -9.19%	\$	3,010	\$	3,331 321 10.66%

**Deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources** – At December 31, 2023, Water Quality reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to OPEB from the following sources (in thousands):

	Out	eferred flows of sources	Inf	eferred lows of sources
Difference between expected and actual experience	\$	201	\$	(153)
Changes of assumptions		134		(605)
Total	\$	335	\$	(758)

Amounts reported as deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to OPEB in the year ended December 31, 2023, will be recognized as OPEB expense as follows (in thousands):

Year Ending December 31,	An	Amount		
2024	\$	(78)		
2025		(78)		
2026		(78)		
2027		(65)		
2028		(53)		
Thereafter		(71)		

**Expected average remaining service lives (EARSL)** – The effects on the total OPEB liability of (1) changes of economic and demographic assumptions or of other inputs and (2) differences between expected and actual experience are required to be included in OPEB expense in a systematic and rational manner over a closed period equal to the average of the expected remaining service lives of all employees that are provided with benefits through the OPEB plan (active employees and inactive employees), beginning in the current period. The EARSL for the current period is 8.9 years.

## Note 13 – Interfund Balances and Transfers

Water Quality is an enterprise fund of the County and reports in its financial statements interfund balances and transfers as a result of intra-county activity with other County agencies.

**Interfund balances** – The balances result from the time lag between the dates when interfund goods and services were provided or reimbursable expenditures incurred and when interfund payments were made, Water Quality reported total due from other funds of \$5.8 million and due to other funds of \$50 thousand at December 31, 2023.

**Interfund transfers** – The King County Council approves ordinances and/or motions authorizing Water Quality to contribute and receive amounts to and from various County funds. During 2023, the transfers from Water Quality to other funds were \$1.2 million.

## Note 14 – Commitments and Contingencies

**Construction and maintenance programs** – To ensure the continued operation, reliability, and compliance with regulatory standards of existing wastewater treatment facilities, Water Quality has active construction and contractual commitments of approximately \$222.8 million on active construction contracts as of December 31, 2023.

**Contingencies and claims** – The following litigation, or potential litigation, may involve claims for material damages against the King County Water Quality Enterprise Fund for which Water Quality is unable to provide an opinion as to the ultimate outcome or the amount of damages that may be found:

 Lower Duwamish Waterway – The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) issued an administrative order that required King County, the City of Seattle, Boeing, and the Port of Seattle to conduct studies to determine the nature and extent of contamination in the Lower Duwamish Waterway. The County and the other three parties have agreed with the EPA to amend the administrative order on a number of occasions to conduct additional studies. The last two amendments cover the first two (of three) phases of remedy design. EPA's Record of Decision (ROD), issued in 2014, contains EPA's final plan for cleanup. The County and a number of other parties are participating in an alternative dispute resolution process, called an allocation, to determine shares of liability for the costs of the cleanup. The 2014 ROD estimated total cleanup costs of \$342.0 million in 2011 dollars for the Lower Duwamish Waterway. As of 2023, due to inflation and other factors, the current estimate of the cleanup costs is approximately \$700.0 million to \$750.0 million. One Lower Duwamish Working Group ("LDWG") party, the Port of Seattle, rejected its share. The Port withdrew from both the Allocation and from LDWG and filed suit against Boeing in federal district court on July 19, 2022, arguing that Boeing should pay more and the Port should pay less. All other participants accepted their shares and are currently negotiating final settlement(s) around those shares.

The EPA has issued the Final Allocation identifying party shares, and sufficient parties have accepted their allocated shares and move toward final settlements. In addition, in January of 2023, the EPA issued Special Notice Letters to the Port, County, City, Boeing, and certain United States agencies inviting consent decree negotiations for performance of final cleanup, and the County is involved in consent decree negotiations with the EPA and other parties now. After attempts at settlement with Boeing, Seattle, and the County failed, the Port voluntarily withdrew its lawsuit in May 2023, leaving its intent toward cleanup unclear. Due to the fact that additional process is still involved to negotiate final settlements among participants, that a settlement must also be reached with the United States (as a non-participant potentially responsible party (PRP)), and the consent decree has not yet been negotiated with the EPA, the County is unable to determine the schedule or cost of any required remediation.

The County has indicated willingness to be a performing party in conjunction with the City and Boeing under the consent decree, if satisfactory terms are agreed to and if settlements with other parties are achieved. The County expects to utilize funds from cash-out parties but otherwise will be required to pay its performing party share (approximately fifteen percent) of the Lower Duwamish cleanup costs, which will be primarily allocated to the System, over the approximately ten-year clean up period.

- Denny Way CSO Model Toxic Control Act Cleanup There was a potential requirement for more cleanup in the area contaminated when the Denny Way CSO was replaced in 2005. Water Quality signed an Agreed Order with the Washington State Department of Ecology (DOE) under the Model Toxics Control Act for an interim cleanup action in November 2007 and subsequently performed the interim cleanup at a cost of \$3.6 million. Water Quality has recently engaged in negotiations with the DOE to prepare a remedial investigation, feasibility study and draft cleanup action plan, and to implement what may be an interim or final cleanup action. It is unclear what final remedy the DOE may select. The County is unable to determine an amount, if any, for which Water Quality may be responsible.
- East Waterway Operable Unit of the Harbor Island Superfund Site The Port of Seattle completed a significant removal action in the East Waterway. In addition, the Port of Seattle under administrative order to the EPA has completed the site investigation including a supplemental Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study (RI/FS). A three-way agreement with the Port of Seattle, the City of Seattle and King County covers the participation of the City, the Port, and the County in the supplemental RI/FS process, and allocates to the County a one-third pro-rata share of the study costs as defined in the agreement. These costs are subject to reallocation among Potentially Responsible Parties (PRP), and the County, City, and Port have now begun their efforts to seek contribution from other PRPs for these and future site costs. The County, City, and Port are in early discussions with over 20 other parties to begin participation in an alternative dispute resolution process, called an allocation, to determine shares of liability for the costs of investigation and cleanup. Due to the fact that the parties do not yet know their respective shares of cleanup costs and no consent decree has been negotiated with the EPA, the County is unable to determine the schedule or cost of any required remediation. In addition, the County is unable to determine the extent to which King County and Water Quality (which has been covering the costs of the County's share to date) will be responsible for the cost of such remediation. On April 20, 2023, the EPA issued a Proposed Plan which proposes an interim cleanup remedy for the site.

- Lower Duwamish Waterway Possible Natural Resource Damages The County has participated in discussions with National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) regarding alleged natural resource damages (NRD) in the Lower Duwamish Waterway and around Harbor Island. These discussions have included NOAA's determination that the County may be a potentially liable party that has contributed to the release of hazardous substances that have injured natural resources. NOAA notified the County in 2016 that the Natural Resources Trustees will proceed with a NRD assessment and invited the County to participate in the development of the assessment. The County notified NOAA in 2016 and again in 2019 that the County desires to conduct settlement discussions with NOAA regarding the NRD liability that NOAA attributes to the County. The County is currently in the process of negotiating a settlement with NOAA but cannot predict the amount or likelihood of settlement.
- *Permitting* In December 2021, the DOE issued the Puget Sound Nutrient General Permit (PSNGP) which applies to all 58 wastewater treatments plants in the State. To comply with the permit would potentially require the County to spend well in excess of \$15.0 million. The County and eight other wastewater utilities have appealed the permit to the Pollution Control Hearings Board (PCHB) and have also obtained a partial stay of the permit's provisions during the pendency of the appeal. The PCHB appeal is stayed pending resolution of separate litigation challenging DOE's regulatory authority. That separate litigation is pending before the Washington State Supreme Court, with oral argument scheduled for May 15, 2024.
- King Tide Flooding Event in South Park On December 27, 2022, the South Park neighborhood experienced a major flooding event when the Duwamish River overtopped its banks due to a combination of king tides, a low barometric pressure system, strong onshore westerly winds, stormwater runoff, and possibly other causes. Approximately 60 property owners and residents filed claims with the City of Seattle ("City") for property damages due to the event. At least half of these claims alleged sewer backups (or combined sewer overflow (CSO) back-ups) in addition to surface water flooding. The City incurred costs to respond to the flooding event and to resolve some of the sewer back-up claims from these property owners and residents. The City has demanded that the County reimburse the City for a portion of these costs, alleging that the County's wastewater/CSO system did not perform adequately during the King Tide event. The County continues to investigate the City's allegations regarding performance of the County's wastewater/CSO system during the flooding event. The County and the City are continuing to have settlement discussions in an attempt to resolve the City's demand for partial reimbursement.

# Note 15 – Subsequent Events

On January 25, 2024, Water Quality and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency signed a \$498.3 million loan agreement to fund 14 infrastructure projects that will help improve water quality.

On January 26, 2024, Water Quality issued \$30.0 million in commercial paper.

On January 29, 2024, Water Quality made a \$25.9 million draw on its Georgetown Wet Weather Station project State loans.

On February 12, 2024, Water Quality made a \$2.5 million draw on its Joint Ship Canal project State loans.

On March 7, 2024, Water Quality received a \$25.9 million Monsanto PCB class action settlement award, which covers primarily a portion of damages claimed for the County's CSO control project costs and to a lesser degree costs for cleanup and natural resource damages for the LDW and Harbor Island Superfund Sites.

On April 15, 2024, Water Quality made a \$9.6 million draw on its Water Infrastructure Finance and Innovation Act (WIFIA) loan from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency for Water Quality's Joint Ship Canal project.

# **Required Supplementary Information**

#### Schedule of the County's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability Public Employees' Retirement System (PERS) Plan 1 Measurement Date of June 30\* (dollars in thousands)

	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019
County's proportion of the net pension liability	8.44%	8.27%	8.61%	8.85%	8.25%
County's proportionate share of the net pension liability	\$ 192,692	\$ 230,262	\$ 105,126	\$ 312,368	\$ 317,333
Covered payroll	\$ 1,490,885	\$ 1,302,359	\$ 1,266,269	\$ 1,283,745	\$ 1,196,465
County's proportionate share of the net pension liability as a percentage of covered payroll	12.92%	17.68%	8.30%	24.33%	26.52%
Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension liability	80.16%	76.56%	88.74%	68.64%	67.12%
	2018	2017	2016	2015	
County's proportion of the net pension liability	8.56%	8.45%	8.90%	8.76%	
County's proportionate share of the net pension liability	\$ 382,129	\$ 400,803	\$ 477,872	\$ 458,477	
Covered payroll	\$ 1,124,434	\$ 1,031,025	\$ 1,007,624	\$ 1,000,211	
County's proportionate share of the net pension liability as a percentage of covered payroll	33.98%	38.87%	47.43%	45.84%	
Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension liability	63.22%	61.24%	57.03%	59.10%	

\* This schedule is to be built prospectively until it contains ten years of data.

See report of independent auditors.

#### Schedule of the County's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability Public Employees' Retirement System (PERS) Plan 2/3 Measurement Date of June 30\* (dollars in thousands)

2023 2022 2021 2020 2019 County's proportion of the net pension liability (asset) (10.44%) (10.31%) (10.53%)10.85% 10.06% County's proportionate share of the net pension liability (asset) (1,049,145) (427,975) (382, 490)138,736 \$ 97,735 \$ \$ \$ \$ Covered payroll \$ 1,443,510 \$ 1,298,630 1,036,103 \$ 1,219,052 \$ 1,144,724 \$ County's proportionate share of the net pension liability (asset) as a percentage 8.54% of covered payroll (29.65%) (29.45%) (101.26%)11.38% Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension liability 101.54% 106.73% 120.29% 97.22% 97.77% 2018 2017 2016 2015 County's proportion of the net pension 10.52% liability (asset) 10.29% 10.14% 10.36% County's proportionate share of the net pension liability (asset) 175,728 \$ 352,361 \$ 529,855 \$ 370,294 \$ Covered payroll \$ 1,072,968 995,800 949,860 \$ \$ 953,254 \$ County's proportionate share of the net pension liability (asset) as a percentage of covered payroll 16.38% 35.38% 55.58% 38.98% Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension liability 95.77% 90.97% 85.82% 89.20%

\* This schedule is to be built prospectively until it contains ten years of data.

#### Schedule of the County's Contributions Public Employees' Retirement System (PERS) Plan 1 For the Year Ended December 31\* (dollars in thousands)

	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	
Contractually required contributions	\$ 53,584	\$ 53,488	\$ 56,706	\$ 60,884	\$ 62,259	
Contributions in relation to the contractually required contributions	53,584	53,488	56,708	60,884	62,259	
Contribution deficiency (excess)	\$ -	\$-	\$ (2)	* _	\$ -	
Covered payroll	\$ 1,590,944	\$ 1,388,530	\$ 1,316,507	\$ 1,306,676	\$ 1,245,598	
Contributions as a percentage of covered payroll	3.37%	3.85%	4.31%	4.66%	5.00%	
	2018	2017	2016	2015		
Contractually required contributions	\$ 59,366	\$ 54,111	\$ 50,154	\$ 25,283		
Contributions in relation to the contractually required contributions	59,366	54,111	50,154	25,283		
Contribution deficiency (excess)	\$	\$ -	\$-	\$-		
Covered payroll	\$ 1,154,804	\$ 1,082,715	\$ 1,028,598	\$ 507,206		
Contributions as a percentage of covered payroll	5.14%	5.00%	4.88%	4.98%		

\* This schedule is to be built prospectively until it contains ten years of data.

\*\* The excess contribution in PERS 1 and deficiency in PERS 2 resulted from the employer contributions of King County Flood Control District, a component unit of the County,

# King County Water Quality Enterprise Fund Required Supplementary Information Pension Plan Information

#### Schedule of the County's Contributions Public Employees' Retirement System (PERS) Plan 2/3 For the Year Ended December 31\* (dollars in thousands)

	2023		2022		2021		2020		2019	
Contractually required contributions	\$	95,119	\$	86,165	\$	92,418	\$	101,390	\$	93,935
Contributions in relation to the contractually required contributions		95,119		86,165		92,416		101,390		93,935
Contribution deficiency (excess)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	2 **	* \$	-	\$	-
Covered payroll	\$	1,495,774	\$	1,336,109	\$	1,264,018	\$	1,251,724	\$	1,188,641
Contributions as a percentage of covered payroll		6.36%		6.45%		7.31%		8.10%		7.90%
	2018		2017		2016		2015			
Contractually required contributions	\$	84,792	\$	72,763	\$	62,650	\$	72,853		
Contributions in relation to the contractually required contributions		84,792		72,763		62,650		72,853		
Contribution deficiency (excess)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-		
Covered payroll	\$	1,103,984	\$	1,031,418	\$	977,342	\$	933,304		
Contributions as a percentage of covered payroll		7.68%		7.05%		6.41%		7.81%		

\* This schedule is to be built prospectively until it contains ten years of data.

\*\* The excess contribution in PERS 1 and deficiency in PERS 2 resulted from the employer contributions of King County Flood Control District, a component unit of the County,

# Notes to Pension Required Supplementary Information

For PERS, the Office of the State Actuary (OSA) calculates the actuarially determined contributions (ADC) based on the results of an actuarial valuation consistent with the state's funding policy defined in Chapter 41.45 the Revised Code of Washington (RCW).

Consistent with the state's contribution-rate adoption process, the results of an actuarial valuation with an odd-numbered year valuation date determine the ADC for the biennium that ensues two years later. The actuarial valuation with a June 30, 2019, valuation date, completed in the fall of 2020, plus any supplemental contribution rates from the preceding legislative session, determined the ADC for the period beginning July 1, 2021, and ending June 30, 2023.

OSA calculates the ADC consistent with the methods described above. Adopted contribution rates could be different pending the actions of the governing bodies.

For cost-sharing plans, OSA calculates the contractually required contributions (CRC) using the same assumptions and methods as the ADC except the CRC reflect the adopted contribution rates for the time period shown, which might differ from the contribution rates produced for the ADC.

A ten-year schedule of Annual Money-Weighted Rates of Return is available in the 2023 Washington State Department of Retirement Systems Annual Comprehensive Financial Report (ACFR). The ACFR is available online at <u>2023 ACFR Document (wa.gov)</u>.

# Required Supplementary Information Postemployment Health Care Plan

# Schedule of the County's Changes in Total OPEB Liability and Related Ratios For the Year Ended December 31\*

(dollars in thousands)

	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	
Total OPEB liability - beginning of year	\$ 92,158	\$ 106,488	\$ 106,619	\$ 111,272	\$ 111,412	
Service cost Interest Differences between expected and actual	2,936 3,723	3,044 1,960	2,865 2,125	2,220 4,149	2,155 4,138	
experience Changes of assumptions Benefit payments	(65) 1,728 (3,478)	6,526 (19,885) (3,863)	- 1,300 (4,404)	(8,646) 3,310 (3,922)	- - (4,953)	
Implicit rate subsidy fulfilled	(2,888)	(2,112)	(2,017)	(1,764)	(1,480)	
Net change in total OPEB liability	1,956	(14,330)	(131)	(4,653)	(140)	
Total OPEB liability - end of year	\$ 94,114	\$ 92,158	\$ 106,488	\$ 106,619	\$ 111,272	
Covered-employee payroll	\$ 1,440,957	\$ 1,395,600	\$ 1,370,460	\$ 1,324,116	\$ 1,219,237	
Total OPEB liability as a percentage of covered-employee payroll	6.53%	6.60%	7.77%	8.05%	9.13%	
	2018					
Total OPEB liability - beginning of year	\$ 118,120					
Service cost Interest Differences between expected and actual	2,092 4,147					
experiences of assumptions Benefit payments	3,332 (9,652)					
Implicit rate subsidy fulfilled	(5,244) (1,383)					
Net change in total OPEB liability	(6,708)					
Total OPEB liability - end of year	\$ 111,412					
Covered-employee payroll	\$ 1,217,867					
Total OPEB liability as a percentage of covered-employee payroll	9.15%					

\* This schedule is to be built until it contains ten years of data.

## Notes to OPEB Required Supplementary Information

The plan does not have assets in trusts or equivalent arrangements and is funded on a pay-as-you-go basis. Potential factors that may significantly affect trends in amounts reported include changes to the discount rate, health care trend rates, salary projections, benefit terms, and participation percentages.

See report of independent auditors.

**Other Information** 

# King County Water Quality Enterprise Fund Supplemental Information Supplemental Schedule of Debt Service Coverage Ratios (Unaudited) Year Ended December 31, 2023

Water Quality is obligated by applicable bond ordinances to set sewage disposal rates at a level adequate to provide net revenue equal to at least 1.15 times the annual debt service requirements for sewer revenue and general obligation bonds payable from revenues of Water Quality. It is an adopted policy of Water Quality to achieve a debt service coverage ratio of 1.25.

Coverage (1.15 required by covenant, adopted policy 1.25) 2.18

In 2001, Water Quality adopted a new debt service target of 1.15 times the annual debt service for bonds, obligations, notes, and loans of Water Quality.

Coverage (1.15 adopted target) 1.77

Water Quality is required to generate revenues sufficient to pay all costs of operation of the sewage treatment system and debt service on obligations of Water Quality.

Coverage (1.00 required by covenant) 1.52

In 2001, Water Quality issued an additional tier of revenue bonds. The bond covenants of the Junior Lien Variable Rate Demand Sewer Revenue Bonds, Series 2001A and Series 2001B, require that sewage disposal rates provide net revenue equal to at least 1.10 times the annual debt service requirements for all junior lien obligations after payment of senior lien requirements. All of Water Quality Junior Lien Variable Rate Sewer Revenue Bonds and Multi-Modal Limited Tax General Obligation Revenue Bonds incorporate the identical requirement stated in the 2001 bond covenant requirements. Beyond the continuation of low interest rates, improved coverage in 2020 and 2021 resulted from early calls and refinancing of higher interest rates 2011 and 2012 put bonds.

Coverage (1.10 required by covenant)

27.65

# King County Water Quality Enterprise Fund Supplemental Information Supplemental Schedule of Historical Debt Service Coverage Ratios (Unaudited)

DEBT SERVICE COVERAGE FOR THE WATER QUALITY ENTERPRISE LAST TEN FISCAL YEARS (dollars in thousands)										
	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Residential customer and residential customer Equivalents (RCEs) (annual average, rounded) Percentage annual change Operating revenues	725,844 1.07%	736,090 1.41%	756,430 2.76%	756,916 0.06%	760,571 0.48%	763,436 0.38%	767,265 0.50%	738,286 -3.78%	749,117 1.47%	766,688 2.35%
Sewage disposal fees Rate stabilization	\$ 346,591 18,000	\$ 371,253 (12,000)	\$ 381,513 -	\$ 401,650 -	\$ 403,589 -	\$ 415,279 -	\$ 417,361 -	\$ 419,672 -	\$ 442,908 -	\$    479,425 -
Capacity charge revenues Other operating revenues	59,522 11,675	62,479 11,674	71,200 11,828	82,615 18,308	86,836 19,125	102,146 19,024	92,622 19,956	90,814 24,010	98,193 26,227	90,847 24,332
Total Operating Revenues	435,788	433,406	464,541	502,573	509,550	536,449	529,939	534,496	567,328	594,604
Operating and maintenance expenses <sup>1)</sup> Adjusted for non-cash GAAP transactions <sup>2)</sup>	122,014 2,187	127,211 1,715	138,698 (2,377)	142,263 5,936	139,585 13,004	143,834 10,438	154,513 3,505	138,166 18,198	158,816 14,191	191,217 (4,392)
Net operating and maintenance expenses	124,201	128,926	136,321	148,199	152,589	154,272	158,018	156,364	173,007	186,825
Net operating revenue Interest income <sup>3)</sup>	311,587 2,822	304,480 2,863	328,220 4,549	354,374 6,055	356,961 8,956	382,177 10,765	371,921 7,971	378,132 4,833	394,321 7,601	407,779 16,790
Net revenue available for debt service	314,409	307,343	332,769	360,429	365,917	392,942	379,892	382,965	401,922	424,569
Debt service Parity bonds Parity lien obligations Subordinate debt service	175,463 42,876 17,477	167,694 40,348 18,318	160,957 53,164 21,316	159,761 52,650 26,277	163,967 49,121 33,139	171,321 41,529 35,174	162,385 50,755 30,367	153,818 77,079 12,714	141,421 59,269 33,501	138,211 56,968 44,957
Total debt service	\$ 235,816	\$ 226,360	\$ 235,437	\$ 238,688	\$ 246,227	\$ 248,024	\$ 243,507	\$ 243,611	\$ 234,191	\$ 240,136
Debt service coverage On parity bonds On parity bonds and parity lien obligations On all sewer system obligations	1.79 1.44 1.33	1.83 1.48 1.36	2.07 1.55 1.41	2.26 1.70 1.51	2.23 1.72 1.49	2.29 1.85 1.58	2.34 1.78 1.56	2.49 1.66 1.57	2.84 2.00 1.72	3.07 2.18 1.77

1) Operating and maintenance expenses exclude depreciation and amortization (non-cash transactions).

2) Non-cash GAAP adjustments primarily consist of pension, other post-employment benefits, and compensated absence accruals.

3) Interest Income excludes unrealized gains and losses in the fair value adjustment of investments (non-cash transactions).

See report of independent auditors.



# Report of Independent Auditors on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*

The Metropolitan King County Council Seattle, Washington

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the King County Water Quality Enterprise Fund (the Fund), which compromise the statement of net position as of December 31, 2023, and the related statements of revenue, expenses, and changes in net position and cash flows for the year then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated April 30, 2024.

## **Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the Fund's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Fund's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Fund's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses and significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified.

## **Report on Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Fund's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the financial statements. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

## **Purpose of this Report**

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Moss Adams HP

Seattle, Washington April 30, 2024

