Washington State Auditor's Office

Accountability Audit Report

Marshland Flood Control District Snohomish County

Report Date November 22, 2006

Report No. 72006

Issue Date January 19, 2007







Washington State Auditor Brian Sonntag

January 19, 2007

Board of Directors Marshland Flood Control District Snohomish, Washington

Report on Accountability for Public Resources

Please find attached our report on the Marshland Flood Control District's accountability for public resources and compliance with state laws and regulations and its own policies and procedures.

Sincerely,

BRIAN SONNTAG,CGFM STATE AUDITOR

Table of Contents

Marshland Flood Control District Snohomish County November 22, 2006

Audit Summary	1
Description of the District	2
Audit Areas Examined	3
Schedule of Audit Findings	4

Audit Summary

Marshland Flood Control District Snohomish County November 22, 2006

ABOUT THE AUDIT

This report contains the results of our independent accountability audit of the Marshland Flood Control District.

We performed audit procedures to determine whether the District complied with state laws and regulations and its own policies and procedures. We also examined District management's accountability for public resources. Our work focused on specific areas that have potential for abuse and misuse of public resources.

Areas examined during the audit were selected using financial transactions from January 1, 2003, through December 31, 2005.

RESULTS

The District complied with state laws and regulations and its own policies and procedures in the areas we examined. We are reporting a finding concerning compliance with competitive bid laws. In addition, we noted certain issues that we communicated to District's management.

CLOSING REMARKS

We thank District officials and personnel for their assistance and cooperation during the audit.

Description of the District

Marshland Flood Control District Snohomish County November 22, 2006

ABOUT THE DISTRICT

The Marshland Flood Control District was formed in the 1950s to provide flood protection to farms and homes within the District. The District serves approximately 118 landowners. The District has two part-time employees and generated approximately \$156,450.84 in revenue for 2005.

AUDIT HISTORY

The District is normally audited on a three-year cycle. However, from 2000 through 2002, the District received federal single audits due to its federal expenditures exceeding \$300,000. The District has not had findings since 1994.

ELECTED OFFICIALS

These officials served during the audit period:

Board of Directors:

Don Bailey John Misich Dennis Thomas (January 2003 through December 2003) Marvin Thomas (effective January 2004)

APPOINTED OFFICIALS

Attorney Office Manager Gary Brandstetter Paul Reasoner

ADDRESS

District

P.O. Box 85 Snohomish, WA 98291-0085

Audit Areas Examined

Marshland Flood Control District Snohomish County November 22, 2006

In keeping with general auditing practices, we do not examine every portion of the Marshland Flood Control District's financial activities during each audit. The areas examined were those representing the highest risk of noncompliance, misappropriation or misuse. Other areas are audited on a rotating basis over the course of several years. The following areas of the District were examined during this audit period:

ACCOUNTABILITY FOR PUBLIC RESOURCES

We evaluated the District's accountability in the following areas:

- Payroll
- Open Public Meetings Act
- Conflict of interest
- Gifting of public funds

- Compensation of elected officials
- Long-term debt
- Insurance and risk management

We audited the following areas for compliance with certain applicable state and local laws and regulations:

- Insurance and bonding
- Ethics/conflict of interest laws

- Competitive bid law compliance
- Open Public Meetings Act

Schedule of Audit Findings

Marshland Flood Control District Snohomish County January 1, 2003 through December 31, 2005

1. The Marshland Flood Control District did not comply with state bid law.

Description of Condition

The District paid a contractor \$157,313.17 for ditch maintenance and construction from 2003 through 2005 without a formal contract or competitively bidding the services. State law requires flood control districts to competitively bid all public works contracts.

Cause of Condition

The District was unaware that a signed contract with the service provider was necessary. The District believed that a certain piece of equipment owned and operated by the contractor was required for ditch maintenance and was not readily available from other contractors.

Effect of Condition

The District cannot be sure it obtained the services provided at the lowest cost. Also, without a signed contract in place, the District may not receive the expected services at the agreed-upon prices.

Recommendation

Although we recognize the District complied with competitive bidding requirements on other projects examined during the audit, we recommend that the District comply with state bid laws for all public works projects. The District should also ensure that a signed contract is in place before allowing service providers to begin public works projects.

District's Response

The District will be enacting a bid system for dike and drainage maintenance. With this action we hope to stay in good standings with the Washington State Auditor's Office.

Auditor's Remarks

We appreciate the District's response to this finding and we will review the District's new system during our next audit.

Applicable Laws and Regulations

RCW 86.09.178

Contracts for construction or for labor or materials entering into the construction of any improvement authorized by the district shall be awarded at public bidding except as herein otherwise provided. A notice calling for sealed proposals shall be published in such newspaper or newspapers of general circulation as the board shall designate for a period of not less than two weeks (three weekly

issues) prior to the day of the opening of the bids. Such proposals shall be accompanied by a certified check for such amount as the board shall decide upon, to guarantee a compliance with the bid and shall be opened in public at the time and place designated in the notice. The contract shall be awarded to the lowest and best responsible bidder: PROVIDED, that the board shall have authority to reject any or all bids, in which event they shall re-advertise for bids and, when no satisfactory bid is then received and with the written approval of the director, may proceed to construct the works by force account.

RCW 39.04.010

Definitions

The term state shall include the state of Washington and all departments, supervisors, commissioners and agencies thereof.

The term municipality shall include every city, county, town, district or other public agency thereof which is authorized by law to require the execution of public work, except drainage districts, diking districts, diking and drainage improvement districts, drainage improvement districts, diking improvement districts, consolidated diking and drainage improvement districts, consolidated drainage improvement districts, consolidated diking improvement districts, irrigation districts or any such other districts as shall from time to time be authorized by law for the reclamation or development of waste or undeveloped lands.

The term public work shall include all work, construction, alteration, repair, or improvement other than ordinary maintenance, executed at the cost of the state or of any municipality, or which is by law a lien or charge on any property therein. All public works, including maintenance when performed by contract shall comply with the provisions of RCW 39.12.020. The term does not include work, construction, alteration, repair, or improvement performed under contracts entered into under RCW 36.102.060(4) or under development agreements entered into under RCW 36.102.060(7) or leases entered into under RCW 36.102.060(8).

The term contract shall mean a contract in writing for the execution of public work for a fixed or determinable amount duly awarded after advertisement and competitive bid. However, a contract which is awarded from a small works roster need not be advertised.